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ABSTRACT

Purpose: Selective laser sintering (SLS) is a rapid prototyping technique applied to produce tissue-engineering scaffolds from powder materials. The standard scanning technique, however, often produces struts of extensive thickness, which means fabrication of highly porous scaffolds with small overall dimensions is quite difficult. Nevertheless, this study aims to overcome this shortfall. Design/methodology/approach: To this end, three scanning methods were evaluated in terms of minimum feature size and freedom of design, using a test polyamide (PA) material. Polycaprolactone (PCL) was then employed to create highly porous 3D scaffolds using the preferred scanning method to produce thin struts. Findings: While in normal scanning mode some features were well above the laser spot diameter, strut thicknesses below the laser spot diameter were achieved when using the “outline scan” function for PA material. Those achieved for PCL were slightly higher and in the 500-800 μm range, with an average pore size of 400 μm. Investigations on the properties of the scaffolds revealed an effective compression modulus of the PCL scaffold of 6.5 MPa. Furthermore, there was no change in physical or chemical properties when the scaffolds were stored in a physiological environment for 7 weeks. Originality/value: Though SLS is considered as a fabrication technique for tissue engineering scaffolds, actually produced scaffolds did not comply with porosity requirements and limitations of the SLS process in producing features at the size of the laser beam spot have not been discussed. The present paper shows the capabilities of the SLS process based on two materials and presents a method to minimize feature size in scaffolds.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Over the last ten years, there has been significant interest in tissue engineering since it offers an alternative approach with great potential for reconstruction or replacement of damaged bone tissues [1-5]. Three main elements are required to engineer tissue, namely (i) a scaffold, (ii) cells and (iii) a dynamic environment in which the cell-scaffold construct are conditioned [6-8]. Current bone tissue engineering techniques employ the use of porous, 3D, biodegradable, biocompatible, and bioresorbable scaffolds, which act as temporary platforms for initial cell attachment and subsequent tissue formation. However, to date there is no optimum scaffold structure available, which matches the material properties of native bone tissue, and at the same time allows for sequential growth of the neotissue as the scaffold degrades with time.

Recently, several novel materials and processing techniques have been developed to address this shortfall [9-12]. With recent advances in design and manufacturing capabilities, there has been significant interest in developing biomimetic scaffolds with similar microarchitectures, mechanical and biological properties that are similar to those of native tissue. In recent years, the advanced manufacturing technique of rapid prototyping (RP) has played an increasingly important role in scaffold fabrication, with the potential to overcome the limitations of conventional manual-based fabrications techniques [12-15]. One such technique is selective laser sintering (SLS), which has the advantage that complex 3D shapes with various pore sizes, shapes and interconnectivities can be produced from CAD files. Additionally, this technique is a fully automated system that employs a CO₂ laser to melt polymeric powders and form scaffold geometries without the use of any toxic chemicals, blowing agents or support structures [16].

Porosity has always been cited as an important requirement for cell growth on tissue engineering scaffolds. Using SLS fabrication methods, porosity can be manipulated by varying scaffold parameters such as pore size and strut thickness. Yang et al. [17] reported that the minimum feature size of the SLS process is limited to
400 μm, which basically is due to the laser spot diameter. In this situation a requirement for high porosity, combined with a small pore size, necessitates the generation of a low scaffold strut thickness. However, specific details about scaffold strut thickness in the literature are difficult to obtain. When strut thickness values are quoted, as for example by Das et al. [18] and Partee et al. [19], they are deemed to be multiples of the proposed laser spot diameter; at least 700 μm. Some studies concentrate on the size of the pores without giving details on the achieved strut thickness [20,21], while Smith et al. [22] gave no dimensions of the actual scaffold features at all. Other studies discussed selective laser sintering of scaffolds, however, for the experiments simple flat disks were manufactured to investigate sintering of the material used. In these cases the pore size and shape were not the specific focus, but the porosity was generated by adjusting the process parameters [16,23]. A comparison between intended design (CAD) and actual achieved structure is often missing. Williams et al. [21] designed pores of 1.75 to 2.5 mm and calculated porosities of 63.1% to 79%, while the porosity of the fabricated scaffolds actually achieved was consistently 27 percentage points lower than the values aimed for. This was due to particle size and growth of the struts by heat conduction into adjacent powder particles.

Strut thickness is an important consideration in SLS fabricated scaffolds to achieve high porosities with small pores. Due to the importance of being able to generate thin struts, the study presented here was focussed on an examination of the different SLS scan options with a view to generating a minimum strut thickness. With process parameters for sintering of polycaprolactone (“PCL”), determined as part of the study, scaffolds were fabricated and characterised in terms of strut morphology and mechanical properties.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. SLS Processing Methods

In this study, a Sinterstation 2500plus (DTM, USA) was employed to fabricate the scaffolds. Initially, Duraform PA powder (polyamide, “PA”) (3D Systems, UK) was employed to optimise the build parameters. Thereafter, PCL (CAPA6501, Solvay, UK) was used to fabricate the scaffolds. The software “Sinter v3.3” (3D Systems) was used to prepare the builds. The Sinterstation 2500plus is actually optimised to build large models and the optics focus the CO2 laser spot to a diameter of about 400 to 500 μm. To achieve feature sizes in the appropriate range for tissue engineering scaffolds, as a first step, the laser processing parameters have to be optimised.

The main sintering path of the laser is along the x-axis of the machine, which is parallel to the front wall of the build chamber. In general, the cross sections of the 3D models are sintered with parallel line scans in the x-direction, incrementally shifted in the y-direction (fill scan). In the y-direction, thin walls or struts are fabricated by single dot-like shots, building up to form a line parallel to the y-axis, as pictured in Figure 1. Ideally, a strut built this way has a thickness similar to the laser spot diameter.

Surfaces that have not been parallel to the y-axis during fabrication may appear stepped due to the scanning technique. To reduce this, a so-called “outline scan” can be added to improve the surface quality. After performing the fill scan, the laser scans along the edge of the part in each cross section similar to a plotter. As laser power and offset values of both the fill scan and the outline scan can be controlled separately, the latter can be used to fabricate thin, smooth walls in any direction in the x-y-plane by turning off the “fill scan” completely.

To compensate for the diameter of the laser spot when scanning, the laser usually is offset from the edges of the cross section. Depending on the offset value and the dimensions of the strut in the 3D design, one or two main struts in the fabricated scaffold can be created. This will be explained further below.

In order to find the most suitable method to fabricate thin struts in scaffolds, the present paper investigated three approaches:

a) using the fill scan and a laser offset of about half of the strut thickness
b) using the outline scan, but no laser offset (laser path along the edge of the strut)
c) using the outline scan and a laser offset of about half of the strut thickness

Method a) is the common sintering technique used for all models fabricated using the Sinterstation. Therefore,
it was investigated to examine the accuracy of the scaffolds generated using the standard sintering technique.

Method b) fabricated a frame around the designed strut with two short and two long edges. During the design stage it was taken into account that the long edges were likely to be fused together if their distance (= strut width) was less than the laser spot diameter of 410 µm. This is due to an overlap of the laser spot in the centre of the strut when scanning around the edge of the strut (Figure 2). Therefore, the strut width was set to 800 µm, and the distance between the struts was set to be the same to ensure even distances between the sintered paths. Each single strut in the 3D design actually resulted in two struts connected at the ends in the fabricated scaffold (Figure 3). As only one pass of the laser per strut was used here, these struts were expected to have the smallest achievable dimensions. For Method c) the offset value was set to approximately half the thickness of a designed strut to make the laser scan along the centre line of each strut (Figure 4). As the outline has to be a closed line, the laser scanned along the centre line twice. It was assumed that this adds to the thickness of the final fabricated strut. On the other hand, unlike Method b), the fabricated scaffold resembled the 3D design as the actual strut thickness achieved by this method has been anticipated in the design.

2.2. Scaffold Design Dependence of SLS Parameter settings

All scaffolds were designed using Pro/Engineer Wildfire (PTC, USA) and exported into the. STL file format. Scaffolds designed for Method a) consisted of four struts per layer, each strut 500 µm wide and with 2 mm distance between the centrelines of the struts. For Method b) four struts per layer were necessary in the 3D design to result in eight struts in the fabricated model. In this case, the struts were designed with a width of 1 mm, a height of 500 µm and a centreline separation distance of 2 mm. Scaffolds designed for Method c) consisted of eight struts per layer, each 500 µm wide, with a distance of 1 mm between the strut centrelines. In all cases the layers were oriented alternating parallel to the x or to the y-axis. Three layers with a height of 500 µm each and, to distinguish between top and bottom of the scaffold, a top layer of 1000 µm height, were included. CAD images of the models are illustrated in Figure 5.

The important sintering parameters can be seen in Table 1. The laser power was reduced for Methods b) and c) because the laser scan speed is slower in the “outline scan” mode. When not reducing the laser power, the energy density

$$ED = \frac{LP}{SS \times LS}$$  (1)
(with $ED$ = energy density in J/mm², $LP$ = laser power in Watt, $SS$ = scan spacing in mm, and $LS$ = laser scan speed in mm/s), as described in Caulfield et al. [24], would have been excessive over Method a), resulting in thicker struts.

Using the laser scan method that was found to be most suitable to fabricate thin struts, a parameter study was performed to find process parameters most suitable for sintering PCL scaffolds. The variable parameters were laser power (3.5 up to 11.5 W with 0.5 W increments), number of scans (one or two) and preheat temperature for the powder (38°C up to 50°C). Subsequently, cylindrical scaffolds with diameters of 5 and 8 mm (Figure 6) were fabricated from PCL. Resulting from the parameter study, the powder bed preheat temperature was set to 38°C and sintering was performed with a single scan at a laser power of 4 W. The scaffolds were sliced into 45 horizontal layers with a layer thickness of 0.11 mm each. Each set of struts consisted of 5 layers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fabrication method</th>
<th>Laser offset</th>
<th>Laser power W</th>
<th>Scan spacing mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x-direction µm</td>
<td>y-direction µm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3. Characterisation of Scaffold Properties

2.3.1. Strut Morphology
The scaffold structures were examined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Hitachi, UK). All surfaces were coated with gold using an Emitech K550 gold coater (Emitech, UK) to avoid charging under the electron beam. The pore size, pore area, and strut thickness values as well as the surface topography were obtained using ImageJ analysis software (NIH, Public Domain).

2.3.2. Compression Tests
To determine the effective modulus of the scaffolds, the PCL scaffolds (diameter 5 mm) were tested in compression (n=6). The influence of cells and physiological conditions on the effective modulus were investigated using two additional scaffolds, one of which was seeded with SaOs-2 cells in a well (3x10^4 cells/well) and left in the physiological solution described below for seven weeks, while the other was stored as is in the solution for seven weeks. All compression tests were carried out on a Zwick Z2.5 (Zwick Testing Machines Ltd., UK) using a 1 kN load cell and at a compression rate of 1 mm/min. The modulus values were determined from subsequent stress-strain graphs.

2.3.3. Stability of the SLS Fabricated Scaffold in a Physiological Environment
To examine the physical integrity of the SLS fabricated constructs in a physiological environment, scaffolds were stored in the cell media solutions. Subsequently, the scaffolds were covered with 1 ml of media containing 500 ml RPMI-1640, 5 ml penicillin-streptomycin, 5 ml L-glutamine, 50 ml and 10 % foetal bovine serum and incubated at 37°C, 100 % humidity and 5 % CO₂ for 7 weeks (n=6). Thereafter, the scaffolds were visually examined using scanning electron microscopy; the porosity and surface topography were also examined. Because of the non-conductive nature of the polymer, samples were gold-coated prior to imaging. Samples were viewed and images captured over a range of magnifications. Furthermore, to determine if there were any adverse effects on the chemical composition of the scaffolds after storage in the cell culture media over a 7-week period, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR-ATR Shimadzu, UK) was employed (n=6). Spectra were recorded in the wavelength range of 4000 and 400 cm⁻¹ by 2 cm⁻¹ resolution in 16 scans.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Optimisation of Scaffold Structure
While for the struts in the x-direction the thickness was satisfying when using the standard scanning method, perpendicular struts had an excessive thickness, see Figure 7. As shown in Table 2, the struts in y-direction fabricated using Method a) were found to be more than twice the laser diameter, possibly caused by too much energy applied to a small area in the fast shot of the laser, or due to an inaccurate control of the laser beam movement. Though the laser spot diameter is only 410 µm, the struts were 955 ± 49 µm wide. There were no gaps found between them. This was in contrast to the struts parallel...
to the main sintering direction of the laser along the x-axis of the build chamber, which tended to match the size of the laser spot diameter. Hence, this method was deemed unsuitable for scaffold fabrication. Methods b) and c), on the other hand, resulted in scaffold struts that were in both x- and y-direction even less thick than the laser beam spot diameter. For Method b), struts in the x-direction and y-direction were about the same widths of 325 +/- 20 µm. This matched the width of the struts in the x-direction achieved with Method a), because for this direction the scanning technique is the same for these two methods. With Method c) slightly higher widths as Method b) were achieved in both directions. This was attributed to the fact that with Method c) each strut was scanned twice along its centre.

Method b) gave the best results in achieving minimal strut thickness. However, it has a few general drawbacks. Using this method it is quite difficult to foresee the structure of the fabricated scaffold based on the designed one, as each strut in the 3D design results in two struts in the built model. In addition, this method is only suitable when the edges of the design are parallel. Using a cylindrical design, some of the fabricated struts would be curved (Figure 8). Therefore, Method b) is not suited for scaffolds with curved surfaces, such as cylindrical scaffolds. Finally, the flow through the structure from the sides is obstructed, because some of the struts are connected at their ends, unless this part is cut away. Due to the good results obtained using Method c), it was chosen for further scaffold fabrication. The thickness achieved was only slightly higher than for Method b), it allows for more complex designs, and, when anticipating the fabricated strut thickness in the 3D design, final outcome and design do not differ. Non-parallel shapes can be built as intended, and there is virtually no limitation in the macroscopic shape of the scaffold, i.e. cylindrical scaffolds can be fabricated.

It was observed that when processing PCL with method c), the achievable minimal strut thickness was higher when then processing PA. In the x-direction it was 450 µm, while it was approximately 700 to 800 µm in y-direction. The increased thickness compared to the PA samples is due to the different process parameters used and different thermal properties of the material. The difference between struts in x- and y-direction, however, is surprising, as sintering conditions for both directions were the same. In an additional test of all three methods applied to PCL material, however, Method c) still gave the best results. In order to achieve pores of 300 to 400 µm diameter, the number of struts in the y-direction was reduced to three compared to five struts parallel to the x-axis in a 5 mm diameter cylindrical scaffold. In an 8 mm diameter scaffold there were six struts parallel to the y-axis and eight parallel to the x-axis. At the bottom and at the top of the scaffolds, two perpendicular struts were added to increase the mechanical stability and prevent inclining when loaded with compression forces. Based on the CAD design, the scaffolds had a porosity of 50 %. The fabricated scaffolds are shown in Figure 9.
3.2. Materials Properties of the Scaffolds

3.3.1. Strut Morphology
Visual inspection of the PCL constructs using SEM revealed strut thickness values of approximately 500 µm and 800 µm, respectively, depending on their orientation. The average pore size was around 400 µm (Figure 10a). On the microscale each strut within the scaffold consisted of a large number of randomly oriented grains (Figure 10a, b, c). The grains had different shapes and their surface area ranged from 500 to 5000 mm². The surface of the single particles appeared smooth and each grain had rounded, regular edges (Figure 10d). The grains were usually connected by molten material through sintering, however, many grains were connected to each other by a system of thin struts, leaving an internal microporosity within each strut.

3.3.2. Compression Tests
The effective modulus for the untreated scaffolds was determined to be 6.5 ± 0.7 MPa. The effective moduli for both scaffolds stored in the physiological solution for seven weeks, whether or not seeded with cells, are within one standard deviation of this value.

3.3.3. Stability of the SLS Fabricated Scaffold in a Physiological Environment
The surface topography of the scaffolds stored in the physiological solution (Figure 11) appeared to be slightly different to the as-fabricated PCL scaffolds. The number of grains in the struts appeared to be reduced; there were more areas where no separate grains were attached. The grains appeared to have irregular shapes with uneven, jagged surfaces (Figure 11d).

Figure 10. SEM analysis of strut morphology. Untreated scaffold at various magnifications, (a) X30, (c) X100, (e) X 250, (g) X 1000.
Figure 11. SEM images of scaffold after seven weeks in media at various magnifications, (b) X 30, (d) X 100, (f) X 250, (h) X 1000.

Figure 12. FTIR spectra showing chemical composition of SLS scaffolds. There is no change in the functional groups after 7 weeks in media, suggesting the SLS fabricated scaffold maintains its chemical integrity.
Based on the FTIR analysis, it was seen that the chemical composition of the scaffolds stored in the media did not change over a 7-week period (Figure 12). The characteristic C–H stretching of CH₂ and CH₃ groups of saturated structures was observed in the range 2980–2850 cm⁻¹. Typical absorption frequencies associated with ester moieties present in PCL were readily evidenced mainly at 1740 cm⁻¹ (C=O stretching). The absorption band at 1220 cm⁻¹ was attributable to the C(=O)–O and C–N stretching and N–H bending (amide III) vibrations. Thereby, no alteration in the chemical composition of the scaffolds was observed.

4. DISCUSSION

The selected approach to fabricate scaffolds using the outline scan function of the Sinterstation proved to be successful with respect to producing minimal strut thicknesses, which to date had not been seen for selective laser sintered PCL scaffolds. The phenomenon of different strut thicknesses in x- and y-directions is more obvious for the PCL scaffolds than for PA. This is probably due to the different heat conduction properties of this material and will be further investigated. However, as it occurs for both tested materials, an influence of the prototyper’s non-accessible scan parameters is undeniable. Nonetheless, porous PCL scaffolds were fabricated by selective laser sintering, using a Sinterstation, with a pore size range of 300–400 μm in diameter and a minimum wall thickness of 450 μm in the x-direction and 700 μm in the y-direction.

In addition, there was no dramatic change in the physical properties or chemical composition of the SLS fabricated scaffolds stored in a cell culture environment for 7 weeks. This was echoed in the mechanical properties. Though signs of degradation of the material were obvious, the mechanical performance of the scaffolds remained unchanged after 7 weeks under the influence of the physiological solution and cells. Degradation appeared to be limited to surface particles that did not contribute to the mechanical properties as they did not have a strong mechanical bond to the main structure. Moreover, the mechanical property values were at the lower end of the compressive modulus values quoted for cancellous bone [25].

Taken together, these results highlight the potential of the described selective laser sintering method as an alternative fabrication technique for highly porous tissue engineering scaffolds with minimal strut thickness. Optimisation of process parameters to further reduce the strut thickness, in particular for PCL, is currently in progress.
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