



Provided by the author(s) and NUI Galway in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite the published version when available.

Title	Using qualitative insights to change practice: exploring the culture of antibiotic prescribing and consumption for urinary tract infections
Author(s)	Duane, Sinead; Domegan, Christine; Callan, Aoife; Galvin, Sandra; Cormican, Martin; Bennett, Kathleen; Murphy, Andrew W.; Vellinga, Akke
Publication Date	2016-01-11
Publication Information	Duane, Sinead, Domegan, Christine, Callan, Aoife, Galvin, Sandra, Cormican, Martin, Bennett, Kathleen, Murphy, Andrew W., Vellinga, Akke. (2016). Using qualitative insights to change practice: exploring the culture of antibiotic prescribing and consumption for urinary tract infections. <i>BMJ Open</i> , 6(1). doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008894
Publisher	BMJ Publishing Group
Link to publisher's version	<a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008894">http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008894</a>
Item record	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/10379/6608">http://hdl.handle.net/10379/6608</a>
DOI	<a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008894">http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008894</a>

Downloaded 2020-09-19T23:08:29Z

Some rights reserved. For more information, please see the item record link above.



1 **Using qualitative insights to change practice - Exploring the culture of antibiotic**  
2 **prescribing and consumption for urinary tract infections**

3 **Author's:** Sinead Duane *postdoctoral research fellow*<sup>1\*</sup>, Christine Domegan<sup>2</sup> *Senior lecturer*  
4 *in marketing*, Aoife Callan *postdoctoral research fellow*<sup>1,3</sup>, Sandra Galvin *postdoctoral*  
5 *research fellow*<sup>1</sup>, Martin Cormican *consultant microbiologist and professor of bacteriology*  
6 <sup>4,5</sup>, Kathleen Bennett *Associate professor in pharmacoepidemiology*<sup>6</sup>, Andrew W. Murphy  
7 *professor of primary care*<sup>1</sup>, Akke Vellinga *senior lecturer in epidemiology*<sup>1,4</sup> on behalf of the  
8 SIMPlE Study Team.

- 9 1. Discipline of General Practice, School of Medicine, National University of Ireland  
10 Galway, Ireland.  
11 2. Discipline of Marketing, J.E. Cairnes School of Business and Economics, National  
12 University of Ireland Galway, Ireland.  
13 3. Discipline of Economics, J.E. Cairnes School of Business and Economics, National  
14 University of Ireland Galway, Ireland.  
15 4. Discipline of Bacteriology, School of Medicine, National University of Ireland  
16 Galway, Ireland.  
17 5. Department of Medical Microbiology, University Hospital Galway, Galway, Ireland.  
18 6. Department of Pharmacology & Therapeutics, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland.  
19

20 \* **Corresponding author: Dr Sinead Duane, Discipline of General Practice, 1 Distillery**  
21 **Road, National University of Ireland Galway Ireland. Email: [sinead.duane@nuigalway](mailto:sinead.duane@nuigalway)**  
22 **Tele: (00353) 91 495192**

23  
24 **Key Words:** Antibiotic Resistance, qualitative, social marketing, RCT design, behavioural  
25 change

26 **Word Count:** 4077

27  
28  
29 **Acknowledgements**

30 The authors would like to acknowledge the additional members of the **SIMPlE** (Supporting  
31 the Improvement and Management of Prescribing for UTI) Study Team: Eamon O'Shea  
32 (Professor of Health Economics, Discipline of Economics, JE Cairnes School of Business and  
33 Economics and The Irish Centre for Social Gerontology, National University of Ireland,  
34 Galway, Ireland). We would like to thank the participants who helped with this research and  
35 the gatekeepers who assisted with recruitment.  
36

37 **ABSTRACT**

38 Objectives: The aim of this paper is to explore the culture of antibiotic prescribing and  
39 consumption in the community for urinary tract infections from the perspective of the  
40 General Practitioners (GP) and community member.

41 Design: In-depth interviews were conducted with GP and focus groups were held with  
42 community members.

43 Setting: General Practice and community setting

44 Participants: Fifteen GPs practicing in rural and urban locations in Ireland participated in the  
45 in-depth interviews. Six focus groups (n=42) with participants who had direct or indirect  
46 experiences with urinary tract infections were also undertaken.

47 Results: The decision to prescribe or consume an antibiotic for a UTI is a set of complex  
48 behaviours including need recognition, information search and evaluation processes governed  
49 by the relationship and interactions between the GP and patient. Different GP and patient  
50 decision making profiles emerged emphasizing the diversity and variety of general practice in  
51 real life settings. The GP findings showed a requirement for more microbiological  
52 information on Antibiotic Resistance patterns to inform prescribing decisions. Focus group  
53 participants wanted a conversation with the GP about their illness and the treatment options  
54 available. Guided by the principles of social marketing the finding informed the design of the  
55 SIMPLE complex intervention.

56 Conclusions: This paper demonstrates how qualitative research can identify the interacting  
57 processes which are instrumental to the decision to prescribe or consume an antibiotic.

58 Collectively this research identified the consultation as a priority intervention environment  
59 for stimulating change in relation to antibiotics. Qualitative research empowers researchers to

60 investigate the what, how and why of interventions in real life setting. Qualitative research  
61 can play a critical and instrumental in designing behavioural change strategies with high  
62 impact on practice. The results of this research were used to design a complex intervention  
63 and develop a recruitment and retention strategy.

#### 64 **Strength and limitations of this study**

- 65 • The combination of qualitative research, theoretical and decision making social  
66 marketing frameworks ensured that the research findings could be used to design an  
67 intervention which met the needs of both the GP and patient.
- 68 • This research not only provided the foundations for the intervention design, is also  
69 contributed to the refining of the primary and secondary outcomes within the  
70 randomised control trial and the recruitment strategy.
- 71 • As with all qualitative research the sample size is small however, the level of detail  
72 emerging is of great value and the methodology described can be replicated in other  
73 settings.
- 74 • Small incentives (gift vouchers) were used to compensate the participant of this  
75 research which could have led to selection bias.

76

77

78 **BACKGROUND**

79 Antibiotic resistance (ABR) is a global public health issue and the over prescription and  
80 consumption of antibiotics in the community is a main driver<sup>1-3</sup>. Interventions to address this  
81 issue are often aimed at the general public and fail to acknowledge the decisions made by  
82 specific groups such as General Practitioners (GP) or their patients<sup>4-6</sup>. These decisions  
83 become important as the prescription of antibiotics goes beyond a simple or uniform decision,  
84 to prescribe or not<sup>7</sup>. This simple decision mind-set downplays the power relations inherent in  
85 a GP patient consultation and the wider social structures that shape antibiotic prescription and  
86 consumption patterns. For example, GPs within the Irish health care system see a mixture of  
87 private fee paying patients and patients covered under the General Medical Services (GMS)  
88 scheme. Fee paying patients pay between €40 and €60 to consult their GP while GMS  
89 patients receive free health care with a co-payment of approximately €1.50 per prescription.  
90 Approximately 30% of the Irish population are entitled to the GMS scheme<sup>8</sup>. Payment of  
91 consultations may be one factor that influences the expected outcome of the consultation  
92 however others also exist. To identify and comprehend strategies for change, antibiotic  
93 prescribing and consumption is best analysed within the reality in which the behaviours  
94 exist<sup>9</sup>. Interrogating and integrating these behaviours and decision making processes can  
95 contribute to the design of long term behavioural change strategies.

96 The aim of this paper is to explore the culture of antibiotic prescribing and consumption in  
97 the community for urinary tract infections (UTI) from the perspective of the GP and  
98 community member. This paper demonstrates how qualitative research can identify the  
99 interacting processes which are instrumental to the decision to prescribe or consume an  
100 antibiotic. These findings can be used to design complex interventions to facilitate change.  
101 UTI is the second most common infection in primary care<sup>10-14</sup>. A recent study of antibiotic  
102 prescribing in primary care for UTI in Ireland identified that only 55% of antibiotic

103 prescriptions could be interpreted as appropriately targeted when evaluated against the  
104 laboratory report on the urine sample<sup>1</sup>.

## 105 **METHOD**

### 106 **Participants**

107 Fifteen in-depth interviews with GPs and six community focus groups with 42 participants  
108 were conducted in 2013. Purposeful non-probability sampling was used to recruit all in depth  
109 interview and focus group participants. To recruit GPs, 30 postal invitations were sent to  
110 members of an established GP network consisting of over 170 GPs. All GPs selected  
111 practiced outside the proposed intervention catchment area, but had similar characteristics to  
112 it including a mixture of GPs practicing in urban and rural locations. In two cases, two GPs of  
113 different gender were recruited from the same practice. All GPs were assumed to be able to  
114 improve their antibiotic prescribing practices<sup>1</sup>, no further selection criteria was applied.  
115 Invitations were followed up with a telephone call to confirm an interview. Table 1  
116 summaries the characteristics of the participating GPs. Participants ranged in age and practice  
117 size. The number of years experience as a GP ranged from 3 – 37 years.

118 *Table 1: GP in-depth interview characteristics*

<b>Age</b>	<b>Count (n = 15)</b>
30-39 year	6
40-49 years	4
50-59 years	3
60+ years	2
<b>No. of years practicing as a GP</b>	<b>Count (n=14)</b>

≤ 5 years	4
6 – 15 years	4
≥ 16 years	6
<b>No. of GPs in your practice</b>	<b>Count (n = 15)</b>
Single handed	3
2-3	8
4	3
> 4	1
Area in which your practice is based	<b>Count (n = 15)</b>
City	3
Town	5
Village	1
Countryside	6

119

120 Two focus groups were recruited from a rural location and four from an urban. Forty-two  
121 participants were recruited and included those who had direct and indirect experience of a  
122 UTI. A gatekeeper recruitment strategy was used to contact participants. Gatekeepers were  
123 identified as people who had access to the study population and had a prior relationship with  
124 them e.g. community group leaders. Gatekeepers were contacted via email and/or telephone;  
125 they in turn nominated members of their network to participate who fulfilled the eligibility  
126 criteria. Participants were eligible if they were over 18 years and able to give informed  
127 consent. Participants ranged in age from 18 to over 70, however, participants of similar ages  
128 were recruited to each group to identify if there were any cultural differences between the age  
129 groups. Exclusion criteria included anyone who had recently suffered from a complicated

130 UTI, had insufficient command of the English language (spoken and written) and were  
 131 pregnant or breast feeding. The gatekeepers initiated contact with their network and  
 132 assisted in arranging the focus groups to ensure it was convenient to participate. Five  
 133 focus groups were conducted with females as almost half of all females experience at least  
 134 one UTI episode during their lifetime<sup>15</sup>. One focus group was conducted with males only as  
 135 they are less likely to experience UTI, therefore their experiences of consulting with a GP and  
 136 attitudes towards consuming antibiotics may be different. Male and female groups were also  
 137 separated to avoid potential embarrassment due to the topic being discussed. Any gender  
 138 differences may impact on intervention design and therefore needed to be investigated. Over  
 139 a third of participants were GMS patients (received free health care) in line with the national  
 140 average. Each focus group comprised of 5–10 participants. Table 2 summaries the  
 141 characteristics of the focus group participants.

142 *Table 2: Focus group participant characteristics*

<b>Age</b>	<b>Response Count (n = 42)</b>
< 30	11
30-39	11
40-49	2
≥ 50	18
<b>Medical Card Status</b>	<b>Response Count (n=42)</b>
GMS Scheme Patient	15
<b>Area in which they lived</b>	<b>Response Count (n=42)</b>



City	18
Countryside	12
Town	6
Village	6
<b>Have you ever had a UTI?</b>	<b>Response Count (N= 38)</b>
Yes, one	5
Yes, Several	7
None	26*

143 *\*10 of the participants were male and therefore less likely to have experienced a UTI.*

144 The overall recruitment strategy is summarised in Table 3.

145 *Table 3: Summary of sampling and recruitment strategy*

<b>Sample</b>	<b>Recruitment Strategy</b>	<b>Sample Size</b>
Females aged 18 & over	Via local gatekeeper groups = Senior citizen social clubs and young mothers groups	2 pre-test groups 5 focus groups
Males aged 18 & over	Via local gatekeeper groups = Men's Sheds users	1 focus group
GPs	Members of an established GP research network. Recruited via invitation letter and follow up by phone call to the practice	3 pre-test interviews N = 15

146

147 Recruitment continued until theoretical saturation was achieved. All participants were  
148 remunerated (gift voucher) to participate in this research.

149

150

151 **Procedures**

152 The first author (SD) conducted all in depth interviews and facilitated all focus groups. The  
153 third author (AC) acted as the second facilitator in all focus groups. All in depth interviews  
154 and focus groups were led using topic guides. These questions were used to guide the  
155 discussion however, were flexible and adaptable to ensure the conversation was not  
156 constrained. A summary of key questions is provided in Table 4. Discussion was limited to  
157 the community health care setting, and focused on knowledge, attitudes and awareness of the  
158 role of antibiotics in general and specifically the decision making processes for treatment of  
159 UTIs. The topic guides were informed by a literature review and in consultation with a  
160 multidisciplinary team of experts. Two decision making theories guided the development of  
161 the topic guide and the analysis process; Trans theoretical Model (TTM)<sup>16</sup> and the Buyer  
162 Behaviour and Decision Making Model<sup>17</sup>. These theories were adopted to understand the  
163 interrelating contextual factors and processes which contributed to prescription and  
164 consumption decision making. The TTM focused on the decision making process of the GP  
165 to prescribe and their readiness to change. The model has five stages (Pre-contemplation,  
166 Contemplation, Preparation, Action and Maintenance) and is the most used theory by health  
167 researchers to identify and tailor interventions to facilitate behavioural change<sup>18</sup>. The Buyer  
168 Behaviour and Decision Making Model also has five stages (Need Recognition, Information  
169 Search, Evaluation of Alternatives, Purchase Decision and Purchase Evaluation). This model  
170 evaluated how, when and why someone consults with a GP and how they evaluate the  
171 outcome. Both models captured direct and indirect factors which influenced decision making.

172

173

174

175 *Table 4: Summary of key questions discussed within this research*

<b>GP Interview Questions</b>	<b>Focus Group Questions</b>
Section 1: Usual practice for treating a UTI	Section 1: General Health and GP Consultations
Can you talk me through how you would normally diagnose someone with a UTI? What treatment do you recommend, how do you make this choice? Please describe the role of the patient in the diagnosis?	Activity to establish participants health seeking behaviours and current relationship with GPs
<b>Section 2: Antibiotics</b>	<b>Section 2: Awareness of Antibiotics</b>
Overall, what are your views on prescribing antibiotics? Positive/negative aspects? Do these views change for a UTI patient? Have you ever received any guidelines on prescribing antibiotics? Can you remember what the guidelines are? Do they include UTI? How did you feel about using this guidelines in practice?	Can you explain to me what an antibiotic is? Have you been prescribed any kind of antibiotic in the past year? Did you ask your GP/doctor any questions relating to the prescription? Can you describe the benefits and consequences of taking an antibiotic?
<b>Section 3: Antimicrobial resistance</b>	<b>Section 3: Urinary Tract Infections Experiences and Associations</b>
Are there any adverse side effects to prescribing antibiotics? Do you know what the antimicrobial resistance patterns are in your area?	Scenario based exercise describing symptoms experienced by a typical UTI patient. Discussions of personal experiences of having a UTI and the actions taken throughout the illness. Has anyone here ever experienced a Urinary Tract Infection (UTI) or known someone that has had one – what words or phrases would you associate with it? Please describe the steps that you go through when deciding to go to see your GP doctor key priorities. Scenario based exercise to discuss association between UTI illness and antibiotic.
<b>Section 4: Intervention Design</b>	<b>Section 4: Antibiotic Resistance</b>
Discussion of possible strategies to facilitate changing their attitudes and behaviours towards prescribing antibiotics for UTI.	Have you ever heard of the term antimicrobial resistance? What does it mean to you? In what context did you hear it?
	<b>Section 5: Intervention Design</b>
	Discussion of possible strategies to facilitate changing their attitudes and behaviours towards consuming antibiotics for UTI.

176

177 Three pre-test in-depth interviews and two pre-test focus groups were undertaken prior to the  
178 commencement of data collection. Minor changes were made as a consequence such as plain  
179 English proofing and re-ordering of questions.

180 In-depth interviews were conducted in the GP's practice and lasted between 30 minutes and  
181 one hour. Fourteen were audio recorded, one participant declined to be audio recorded, in this  
182 case only hand written notes were available. Focus groups were conducted in locations  
183 convenient to participants and lasted approximately one and a half hours.

184 Prior to participation in this research, all participants were asked to complete a brief profile  
185 survey and written consent was obtained. All study procedures were approved by the Irish  
186 College of General Practitioners (ICGP) ethics committee in December 2012.

### 187 **Data Analysis**

188 After each focus group a debriefing session was held to discuss the session and emerging  
189 themes. Digital recordings were transcribed verbatim, transcripts were reread and coded  
190 aided by manual coding and Nvivo 10. In applying an analytical perspective to the qualitative  
191 data analysis, we adopted a realist perspective, which emphasizes the importance of context  
192 for interpreting reality and that the phenomena under investigation are complex<sup>19</sup>.

193 Thematic data analysis was concurrent with data collection and followed Braun and Clarks  
194 (2006) six step process<sup>20</sup>. We integrated, coded, and thematically analyzed both datasets  
195 using an interpretive approach and a coding scheme derived both from the research aim and  
196 from issues that emerging during data generation and early analysis. The TTM and Buyer  
197 Behaviour and Decision Making Model were used to inform the initial codes. Throughout the  
198 process of analysis the data was constantly compared to identify the underlying themes  
199 within the data.

200 **RESULTS**

201 The results of this research focus on knowledge of AMR and the factors which affect the  
202 decision to consult a GP, the diagnosis of a UTI and how it is treated.

203 **Knowledge of Antibiotic Resistance (ABR)**

204 GPs are knowledgeable of the definition of ABR and the consequences of it, however, their  
205 discussion of ABR focused on the longer term societal impact. GPs accepted that antibiotics  
206 were overprescribed contributing to the spread of ABR but are also a necessary part of a  
207 modern healthcare system. They believed other sectors such as vets and agriculture were part  
208 of the problem.

209 *GPs role in creating awareness*

210 All but one GP agreed it was the GPs responsibility to discuss the issue of ABR with their  
211 patients. However, many did not engage in this conversation within every consultation. All  
212 GPs felt they needed evidence from the microbiology laboratory to support this conversation.  
213 In addition, GPs perceived discussing ABR with patients as time consuming which was a  
214 major concern.

215 *“... probably the way the practice works here it's so busy that they're not given an  
216 opportunity to kind of discuss it, you know. (GP 12)”*

217 The patient also needed to be willing to listen, this was not the case in all instances.

218 The GPs who believed they were more prudent prescribers, had already integrated a  
219 conversation about ABR into the consultation. They used it as a justification for not  
220 prescribing an antibiotic or using a delayed prescribing strategy. Their comfort with this  
221 conversation developed over time and by focusing on the short term benefits the message  
222 seemed to be accepted.

223 *“Certainly sometimes I use it as leverage to kind of try to avoid giving prescriptions.” (GP*

224 *13)*

225

226 No GP discussed ABR specifically with the patient once they had prescribed an antibiotic.

227 *“Because I would usually have the decision made myself that this person needs an antibiotic*

228 *or they don't...” (GP 12)*

229 *Patient knowledge of ABR*

230 In contrast, when asked directly focus group participants found it difficult to define ABR,

231 instead making reference to becoming ‘immune’ to antibiotics if you do not consume them

232 correctly. Overall, focus group participants lacked an awareness about the lasting

233 consequence of ABR. They were unaware that antibiotics would “run-out” in the future if not

234 protected. Focus group participants believed this type of information would encourage them

235 to question their consumption. However, messages needed to be simple and relevant to them.

### 236 **Decision to Consult a GP**

237 Focus group participants were aware of the symptoms of a UTI and the discomfort associated

238 with it. Prior to consulting a GP with UTI symptoms, half of focus group participants described

239 trying home remedies such as ‘flushing’ the UTI out with water and cranberry juice.

240 *“Start by trying to deal with it on your own and then if it really doesn't go away, go to the*

241 *doctor” (FG1).*

242 Advice was often sought from close family members (usually mothers) and pharmacists

243 relating to how to manage the UTI. If symptoms persisted and were deemed severe participants

244 would then decide to consult a GP.

245 Other participants used past experience to assess their need to consult a GP. Some who had

246 experienced UTI in the past, and associated their present symptoms with a UTI consulted the

247 GP immediately expecting antibiotic treatment and a shorter illness duration.

248           *“All the time you hear people saying it. They're going to the doctor to ask them to get*  
249                           *antibiotics. They expect it from the consultation”* (FG1).

250 Only one participant described a situation whereby she refused an antibiotic for a UTI until  
251 further tests were undertaken.

252           *“Like I had a UTI during the year and I didn't want an antibiotic and she sent away the*  
253           *sample and rang me at work and said you have to take an antibiotic and I said why? Then*  
254           *she said there's blood in your urine. You have to take one and come back in if I needed to*  
255                           *discuss it with her.”* FG 2

256 This participant's mother had been reluctant to give her antibiotics as a child, therefore she  
257 had a cautious approach to consuming them.

258 Trust and value for money were also important factors when deciding to consult with a GP.

259 GPs were deemed knowledgeable and participants trusted their diagnosis if symptoms  
260 persisted and wanted reassurance that their symptoms would not worsen.

261 In addition to trust, value for money was identified as a crucial factor when deciding to  
262 consult for a minor illness, particularly for fee paying patients. Value for money was  
263 evaluated against severity of symptoms and/or consulting with the GP over a number of  
264 ailments at once. It may be linked to receiving an antibiotic however reassurance that  
265 symptoms will not worsen depending on the patient profile was also highly valued.

266           *“Whether or not to spend 50 quid to bring the child to the doctor and yourself to the doctor*  
267                           *or to put food on the table. It's a hard choice...”* (FG 6)

268 Value, ultimately linked to satisfaction was also associated with consultation duration,  
269 closeness of relationship and communication between the GP and patient and not necessarily  
270 leaving the practice with a prescription for an antibiotic.

271 *“He listens to you. Some doctors don’t listen to you. They just brush you by. He listens to you*  
272 *and takes you seriously and do something about it.” (FG 5)*

273 More health conscious individuals, such as the young mothers, sought reassurance that they  
274 were not suffering from a more serious condition rather than an antibiotic and that they could  
275 return to their daily lives as soon as possible.

276 *“As long as I found some way of alleviating whatever pain I was in I don’t care whether I got*  
277 *a prescription or not”.* (FG 3)

278 GPs stated they did not treat private and GMS patients differently, however they were  
279 conscious of patients receiving value for money from the consultation.

280 *“Yeah, I mean I suppose the GMS patients would present more frequently and earlier than*  
281 *the private patients purely for financial... You know, the private patient would certainly have*  
282 *done the cystopurin and the cranberry juice first and would present later, yeah” (GP 12)*

283

#### 284 **Diagnosing and treatment of a UTI**

285 The treatment of UTI centred around two decision making processes, firstly whether to  
286 prescribe an antibiotic or not and secondly the type of antibiotic prescribed.

#### 287 *Diagnosis of a UTI*

288 UTI consultations are common and a relatively simple consultation which GPs did not want  
289 to complicate or elongate.

290 *“Great. In and out in two minutes... I think it’s something that’s very straightforward... (GP*

291 *6)*

292 GPs believed UTIs were easy to treat and all GPs interviewed asked patients to describe their  
293 symptoms, some followed this conversation with a dip stick test. However, if the dipstick is  
294 normal but the symptoms are suggestive of a UTI, a GP may choose to prescribe anyway.



295 *“I think if the symptoms are very suggestive, it doesn't necessarily mean that they don't have*  
296 *an infection. So, I suppose if they had normal urinalysis I might look at weighing up the pros*  
297 *and cons of what treatment prescribe”.* (GP In 3)

298 In this instance of uncertainty a GP will choose whether to prescribe an antibiotic or not.  
299 Some of the GPs prescribed an antibiotic if they believed the symptoms suggested a UTI. In  
300 other cases, if the patient had already delayed consulting with the GP, the symptoms were  
301 perceived as severe and non-responsive to other remedies they was more inclined to  
302 prescribe.

303 A sense of guilt arose when private patients presented as they were paying a fee for the  
304 consultation and even GPs who were comfortable not prescribing or delaying prescriptions  
305 perceived the private patient to expect a prescription.

306 *“I think it's much more difficult not to prescribe with a private patient because they're*  
307 *coming in and paying €45 for a consultation and they don't expect just something that can get*  
308 *over the counter. I think they're probably as willing to defer the prescription as a public*  
309 *patient but I think I probably would be more likely to give a delayed prescription to them*  
310 *than no prescription at all...”* (GP 13)

311 Consultations within general practice are often unpredictable, with time constraints impacting  
312 on what can be discussed. The perceived ease of diagnosing a UTI coupled with external  
313 pressures related to consultation duration often resulted in a quick diagnosis. Patients wanted  
314 to get back to health quickly.

315

### 316 **Antibiotic Treatment of UTI**

317 Both GPs and focus group participants agreed that in general GPs were prescribing less  
318 antibiotics. However, GPs have not changed their behaviour for UTI. They believe antibiotics  
319 are a necessary treatment for patients experiencing a UTI. Antibiotic treatment for UTI is

320 usually empirical due to a delay between the consultation and microbiological analysis results  
321 confirming a UTI.

322 *So, rather than sitting in the patient, I would treat them with a broad spectrum antibiotic and*  
323 *I would send a sample off for a culture and sensitivity and we'd see how we were fixed when*  
324 *that would come back whether we were on the right antibiotic or not and that's basically..."*

325 (GP 1)

326 GPs preferred to treat empirically due to the discomfort experienced by the patient and to  
327 reduce reconsultations. Once they have decided to prescribe an antibiotic it is difficult to  
328 change their minds. However, if a GP was unsure of the diagnosis, multiple factors influence  
329 the decision to treat a UTI with an antibiotic, beyond the illness itself. Additional  
330 considerations include, severity of symptoms, personal circumstances, previous experiences  
331 (GP and Patient), GPs general attitude to treating UTI and grey areas whereby symptoms are  
332 presented but there is no evidence of a UTI. Any combination of factors could influence the  
333 outcome of the consultation.

334 For GPs who did not wish to prescribe, negative dip stick (urine test) results coupled with the  
335 lag time with receiving laboratory results present GPs with an opportunity to delay antibiotic  
336 treatment until results are known. This conversation was easier with patients who preferred  
337 not to take antibiotics. However, there were cohorts who wanted to get well quickly and  
338 wanted an antibiotic to treat their symptoms.

339 Even a GP who have a well established reputation for not prescribing antibiotics accept that  
340 prudent prescribing is a long term strategy whereby patients may only see the benefits in the  
341 future.

342 *"No. Now, twenty years on people begin to think maybe we're right." (GP8)*

343 It is also acknowledged that not all patients share the same views on antibiotic consumption  
344 and therefore patients also need to be willing to change their perspectives.

345 *“Well, first of all they've been used to the pattern of getting them down through the years...*  
346 *They feel they need to get something and to get over the infection as quick as possible. So,*  
347 *that's part of the problem.” (GP 9)*

348

### 349 *Antibiotic Prescribing Preferences*

350 The GPs had spent little time reflecting on the influences on their antibiotic prescribing  
351 preferences with many GPs prescribing the same antibiotic for UTI routinely. However, some  
352 patients requested an antibiotic and in some cases even indicated the treatment they thought  
353 was required.

354 GPs rarely received formal feedback on their prescribing, few were knowledgeable on local  
355 resistance patterns and their antibiotic prescribing preferences were instead formed through  
356 habit (prescribing the same antibiotic routinely), anecdotal evidence from observing patients  
357 and the laboratory results of individual patients. In a few cases GPs cited observing patterns  
358 within their patients which suggested that there was increased resistance to trimethoprim (a  
359 type of antibiotic) in the community. This knowledge encouraged the GPs to switch to  
360 alternatives. The GPs were aware of guidelines but rarely cited as the primary reason for  
361 choosing a particular antibiotic treatment.

362

## 363 **DISCUSSION**

364 The diversity and complexity of factors contributing to the culture of antibiotic prescribing  
365 and consumption for UTI in the community is evident within the findings from our research.  
366 Few qualitative studies have discussed the culture of antibiotic prescribing and consumption  
367 from the perspective of the GP and patient. This research highlights how difficult it is to  
368 capture the complex interactions which contribute to antibiotic prescribing for UTI. These  
369 interactions take place within the consultation, and like other studies, our findings highlight

370 the important role of the consultation encounter when deciding to prescribe, particularly if  
371 prudent prescribing is a desired outcome<sup>21</sup>.

372 Overall GPs are aware of the consequences of antibiotic resistance and have taken steps to  
373 improve their prescribing behaviours particularly when treating colds and flues. Unlike other  
374 areas in general practice where improvements have been made to antibiotic prescribing  
375 practices (REF- sarah Tonkin crine), the GPs within this research viewed UTI differently.  
376 Instead believing that antibiotics are a necessary treatment for UTI. This mind-set and the  
377 perceived ease of the UTI consultation, have contributed to GPs not questioning their  
378 prescribing decisions. Support from microbiological laboratory may interrupt this culture and  
379 encourage GPs to question their prescribing decisions. {Arnold, 2005 #42}

380 The findings outline at least two distinct GP decision making perspectives, the 'habitual' and  
381 'questioning' prescriber both representing different views on the culture of prescribing. The  
382 'habitual prescriber' treats all UTIs with an antibiotic if they believe symptoms are consistent  
383 with a UTI, particularly if the patient has tried to manage the symptoms themselves before  
384 consulting. This GP is in the pre-contemplation stage of changing their prescribing behaviour.  
385 They need to be convinced of the necessity of change through scientific evidence. The  
386 'questioning prescriber', recognises there is a grey area when diagnosing a UTI, these GPs  
387 are more willing to question the need for an antibiotic depending on the symptoms presented  
388 to them by the patient and the dipstick results. These GPs are in the contemplation or action  
389 stages of change. Evidence linking their prescribing behaviour with ABR may facilitate a  
390 change in practice, particularly amongst the GPs who routinely prescribe for UTI. Similar to  
391 other research, GPs would favour an intervention that would support their skills.<sup>23</sup>  
392 'Questioning prescribers' also need scientific evidence to support their decisions but in this  
393 instance to reassure them that the actions are correct and that the patient will be satisfied with

394 the outcome. Change strategies should focus on the uncertainty of diagnosing UTIs within  
395 this grey area.

396 Patients need to be satisfied with the treatment and GPs reassured that they were making  
397 adequate treatment decisions. Similarly the results revealed at least three profiles of patients,  
398 the young professional (quick fixers), the young mothers (advice seekers) and the mature  
399 patient (experienced consulters). Each type of patient can be satisfied differently from a  
400 'simple' UTI consultation. For instance the 'quick fixers', prioritise their personal health,  
401 adopt a low involvement approach and are satisfied to receive their antibiotic prescription.  
402 The 'advice seekers' adopt a higher involvement perspective, discussing treatment options for  
403 their illness, an antibiotic is not a satisfactory outcome in all instances. Confirming the  
404 findings outlined in Leyton *et al* (2010), UTI patients do not always expect an antibiotic  
405 instead seek reassurance that their symptoms will improve. In this instance time, spent  
406 listening and interacting with patients may result in patient satisfaction with the  
407 consultations.<sup>22</sup> Finally, the 'experienced consulters', have experienced a UTI and antibiotic  
408 treatment in the past reinforcing the norm and expectations of treatment. The association  
409 between symptoms and treatment needs to be broken for change efforts to be successful in  
410 these cases. For all profiles of patients, the GPs decision making power and influence hinges  
411 directly on the type of patient consulting for a UTI and vice versa. The findings indicated the  
412 interaction within the consultation and dialogue between the GP and patient which activate  
413 the outcome.

#### 414 CONCLUSION

415 The culture of prescribing and consuming antibiotics for suspected UTI is contributing to the  
416 issue of antibiotic resistance. As a result of this qualitative research, the behavioural  
417 interventions should focus on:

- 418 1. Improving the quality of antibiotic prescribing for UTI by encouraging GPs to reflect on  
419 their current antibiotic prescribing practices, including when they prescribe and what the  
420 antibiotics they choose.
- 421 2. Supporting a dialogue between the GP and patient within the consultation about the  
422 positive and negative aspects of antibiotic treatment for UTI particularly when symptoms  
423 are non-specific.
- 424 3. Integrating behavioural change messages into routine care without elongating the  
425 consultation.

426 Change will not emerge from a once off intervention, however, steps can be taken to  
427 stimulate positive behaviour changes for both GPs and patients. Using these insights, the  
428 SIMPle complex intervention was designed using the principles of social marketing. SIMPle  
429 incorporated the following components: a professional development programme for the GP,  
430 which includes interactive workshops, audit and feedback reports on antibiotic prescribing  
431 and resistance, an electronic antibiotic prescribing prompt summarising guidelines and a  
432 supportive framework educating patients on ABR<sup>24</sup>. By integrating this intervention into  
433 routine care the final intervention (SIMPle study) aimed to be sustainable and self-promoting.

434

435

436

437

#### 438 **CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT**

439 SD, CD and AV conceived the study. SD and AC carried out the fieldwork. SD and CD  
440 conducted the analysis. SD drafted the manuscript and CD and AV revised the paper. All  
441 authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript. All members of the SIMPle  
442 Study team contributed to the data collection and study design.

443

#### 444 **FUNDING**

445 This study was funded by the Health Research Board of Ireland under the Interdisciplinary  
446 Capacity Enhancement Award (ICE2011-10).

447

#### 448 **ETHICAL APPROVAL**

449 Ethical approval for the formative research data collection was obtained from the Irish  
450 College of General Practitioners.

451

#### 452 **TRANSPARENCY DECLARATIONS**

453 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

454

#### 455 **TRIAL REGISTRATION**

456 This intervention is registered at [ClinicalTrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov), ID NCT01913860.

457

458 **REFERENCES**

- 459 1. Vellinga A, Cormican M, Hanahoe B, et al. Antimicrobial management and appropriateness of  
 460 treatment of urinary tract infection in general practice in Ireland. *BMC Fam Prac* 2011;**12**.  
 461 2. Wise R, Hart T, Cars O, et al. Antimicrobial resistance: is a major threat to public health. *BMJ*  
 462 1998;**317**(7159):609.  
 463 3. Lipsitch M, Samore MH. Antimicrobial use and antimicrobial resistance: a population perspective.  
 464 *Emerg Infect Dis* 2002;**8**(4):347.  
 465 4. Ashiru-Oredope D, Utilization SHobotESPfA, Group RO. Antimicrobial stewardship: English  
 466 Surveillance Programme for Antimicrobial Utilization and Resistance (ESPAUR). *J Antimicrob*  
 467 *Chemother* 2013;**68**(11):2421-23.  
 468 5. Arnold SR, Straus SE. Interventions to improve antibiotic prescribing practices in ambulatory care.  
 469 *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005;**Issue 4**(4):CD003539.  
 470 6. Anomaly J. Collective action and individual choice: rethinking how we regulate narcotics and  
 471 antibiotics. *J Med Ethics* 2013;**39**(12):752-56.  
 472 7. Chinburapa V, Larson LN, Brucks M, et al. Physician prescribing decisions: the effects of situational  
 473 involvement and task complexity on information acquisition and decision making. *Soc Sci Med*  
 474 1993;**36**(11):1473-82.  
 475 8. McGowan B, Bennett K, Casey M, et al. Comparison of prescribing and adherence patterns of anti-  
 476 osteoporotic medications post-admission for fragility type fracture in an urban teaching  
 477 hospital and a rural teaching hospital in Ireland between 2005 and 2008. *Ir J Med Sci* 2013:1-  
 478 8.  
 479 9. Edgar T, Boyd SD, Palamé MJ. Sustainability for behaviour change in the fight against antibiotic  
 480 resistance: a social marketing framework. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2009;**63**(2):230-37.  
 481 10. Gupta K, Hooton TM, Stamm WE. Increasing antimicrobial resistance and the management of  
 482 uncomplicated community-acquired urinary tract infections. *Ann of Intern Med*  
 483 2001;**135**(1):41-50.  
 484 11. Vellinga A, Tansey S, Hanahoe B, et al. Trimethoprim and ciprofloxacin resistance and prescribing  
 485 in urinary tract infection associated with *Escherichia coli*: a multilevel model. *J Antimicrob*  
 486 *Chemother* 2012;**67**(10):2523-30.  
 487 12. Bishop MC. Uncomplicated Urinary Tract Infection. *EAU Update Series* 2004;**2**(3):143-50.  
 488 13. Mazulli T. Diagnosis and management of simple and complicated urinary tract infections (UTIs).  
 489 *Can J Urol* 2012;**19**(October):42-48.  
 490 14. Vellinga A, Murphy AW, Hanahoe B, et al. A multilevel analysis of trimethoprim and ciprofloxacin  
 491 prescribing and resistance of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* in general practice. *J Antimicrob*  
 492 *Chemother* 2010;**65**(7):1514-20.  
 493 15. Gupta K, Hooton TM, Stamm WE. Increasing antimicrobial resistance and the management of  
 494 uncomplicated community-acquired urinary tract infections. *Annals of internal medicine*  
 495 2001;**135**(1):41-50.  
 496 16. Prochaska JO, Velicer WF. The transtheoretical model of health behavior change. *American journal*  
 497 *of health promotion* 1997;**12**(1):38-48.  
 498 17. Howard JA, Sheth JN. *The theory of buyer behavior*: Wiley New York, 1969.  
 499 18. Truong VD, Garry T, Hall CM. Social Marketing as the Subject of Doctoral Dissertations. *SMQ*  
 500 2014;**20**(4):199-218.  
 501 19. Sobh R, Perry C. Research design and data analysis in realism research. *E J Mark*  
 502 2006;**40**(11/12):1194-209.  
 503 20. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in psychology*  
 504 2006;**3**(2):77-101.  
 505 21. Strandberg EL, Brorsson A, Hagstam C, et al. "I'm Dr Jekyll and Mr Hyde": Are GPs' antibiotic  
 506 prescribing patterns contextually dependent? A qualitative focus group study. *Scandinavian*  
 507 *journal of primary health care* 2013;**31**(3):158-65.



- 508 22. Lundkvist J, Åkerlind I, Borgquist L, et al. The more time spent on listening, the less time spent on  
509 prescribing antibiotics in general practice. *Family Practice* 2002;**19**(6):638-40.
- 510 23. Velasco E, Ziegelmann A, Eckmanns T, et al. Eliciting views on antibiotic prescribing and resistance  
511 among hospital and outpatient care physicians in Berlin, Germany: results of a qualitative  
512 study. *BMJ Open* 2012;**2**(1).
- 513 24. Duane S, Callan A, Galvin S, et al. Supporting the improvement and management of prescribing for  
514 urinary tract infections (SIMPlE): protocol for a cluster randomized trial. *Trials* 2013;**14**(1):441.
- 515