



Provided by the author(s) and University of Galway in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite the published version when available.

Title	Pectin metabolism and assembly in the cell wall of the charophyte green alga penium margaritaceum
Author(s)	Domozych, David S.; Sørensen, Iben; Popper, Zoë A.; Ochs, Julie; Andreas, Amanda; Fangel, Jonatan U.; Pielach, Anna; Sacks, Carly; Brechka, Hannah; Ruisi-Besares, Pia; Willats, William G.T.; Rose, Jocelyn K.C.
Publication Date	2014-03-20
Publication Information	Domozych, DS,Sorensen, I,Popper, ZA,Ochs, J,Andreas, A,Fangel, JU,Pielach, A,Sacks, C,Brechka, H,Ruisi-Besares, P,Willats, WGT,Rose, JKC (2014) 'Pectin Metabolism and Assembly in the Cell Wall of the Charophyte Green Alga Penium margaritaceum'. Plant Physiol, 165 :105-118, DOI:10.1104/pp.114.236257
Publisher	American Society of Plant Biologists
Link to publisher's version	http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.114.236257
Item record	http://hdl.handle.net/10379/6468
DOI	http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.114.236257

Downloaded 2024-04-23T11:57:55Z

Some rights reserved. For more information, please see the item record link above.



Running head: Pectin metabolism and assembly in *Penium*.

Corresponding author: David S. Domozych, Department of Biology and Skidmore
Microscopy Imaging Center, Skidmore College, Saratoga Springs, NY 12866, USA;
Tele: 518-580-5075; ddomoz@skidmore.edu.

Research area: Cell Biology

Pectin Metabolism and Assembly in the Cell Wall of the Charophyte Green Alga
Penium margaritaceum

David S. Domozych¹, Iben Sørensen², Zoë A. Popper³, Julie Ochs¹, Amanda Andreas¹,
Jonatan U. Fangel⁴, Anna Pielach², Carly Sacks¹, Hannah Brechka¹, Pia Ruisi-Besares¹,
William G.T. Willats⁴ and Jocelyn K.C. Rose²

¹*Department of Biology and Skidmore Microscopy Imaging Center, Skidmore College, Saratoga Springs, NY 12866, USA; ²Department of Plant Biology, Cornell, Ithaca, NY, USA; ³Botany and Plant Science and Ryan Institute for Environmental, Marine and Energy Research,, School of Natural Sciences, National University of Ireland, Galway, Galway, Ireland; ⁴Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Faculty of Science, Thorvaldsensvej 40, 1871 Frederiksberg, Denmark.

”One sentence summary”: The Charophyte green alga, *Penium margaritaceum*, possesses an experimentally tractable pectin domain in its cell wall that offers insight into wall assembly and polar growth in plants.

Financial source:

Corresponding author: David Domozych: ddomoz@skidmore.edu

ABSTRACT

The pectin polymer homogalacturonan (HG) is a major component of land plant cell walls and is especially abundant in the middle lamella. Current models suggest that HG is deposited into the wall as a highly methylesterified polymer, demethylesterified by pectin methylesterase (PME) enzymes and cross-linked by calcium ions to form a gel. However, this idea is based largely on indirect evidence and *in vitro* studies. We took advantage of the wall architecture of the unicellular alga *Penium margaritaceum*, which forms an elaborate calcium cross-linked HG-rich lattice on its cell surface, to test this model and other aspects of pectin dynamics. Studies of live cells and microscopic imaging of wall domains confirmed that the degree of methylesterification and sufficient levels of calcium are critical for lattice formation *in vivo*. Pectinase treatments of live cells and immunological studies suggested the presence of another class of pectin polymer, rhamnogalacturonan I, and indicated its co-localization and structural association with HG. Carbohydrate microarray analysis of the walls of *Penium*, *Physcomitrella patens* and *Arabidopsis thaliana* further suggested conservation of pectin organization and interpolymer associations in the walls of green plants. The individual constituent HG polymers also have a similar size and branched structure to those of embryophytes. The HG-rich lattice of *Penium*, a member of the Charophyte green algae, the immediate ancestors of land plants, was shown to be important for cell adhesion. The calcium-HG gel at the cell surface may therefore represent an early evolutionary innovation that paved the way for an adhesive middle lamella in multicellular land plants.

INTRODUCTION

Contemporary models of plant primary cell wall architecture (Doblin et al., 2010; Cosgrove and Jarvis, 2012) describe composites of semi-crystalline cellulose microfibrils that are closely associated with a range of cross-linking glycans, or hemicelluloses. This load-bearing network is embedded in a hydrated gel matrix comprising several subclasses of pectic polysaccharides, including homogalacturonan (HG), rhamnogalacturonan I and II (RGI and RGII) and xylogalacturonans (Caffall and Mohnen, 2009). Although considerable progress has been made in elucidating the structures and biosynthetic pathways of the predominant wall polymers, very little is known about how complex and heterogeneous architectures are assembled *in muro* from these polymeric building blocks (Cosgrove and Jarvis, 2012).

Arguably the best resolved example of post-secretion assembly and modification is that of HG, a polymer of α -1,4-linked D-galacturonic acid (GalA) residues that may be methyl-esterified at the C-6 carboxyl, or acetylated at the O-2 or O-3 positions. Current models suggest that HG is synthesized in a highly methylesterified form, but undergoes de-methylesterification in the apoplast by pectin methylesterase (PME) enzymes (Wolf et al., 2009). Stretches of consecutive non-methylesterified GalA residues are then cross-linked with calcium (Ca^{2+}) as 'egg-box' complexes, resulting in the formation of a hydrated HG- Ca^{2+} gel (Liners *et al.*, 1992; Morris *et al.*, 2009), which can account for up to 70% of wall pectin (Jarvis and Apperley, 1995). This gelation has profound effects on wall properties, including porosity, pH and other physicochemical attributes (Willats et al., 2001), and has been associated with wall stiffening and a reduction in cell wall creep (Caffall and Mohnen, 2009; Wolf and Greiner, 2012). Accordingly, immunological analyses suggest that the distribution of de-methylesterified HG epitopes correlates with areas of low wall extensibility (Wolf et al., 2012). However, other data suggest that de-methylesterification of HG results in wall loosening and an increase in tissue elasticity (Peaucelle et al., 2011). This discrepancy may relate to the nature of the cell or tissue type and the biomechanics of individual cell walls in the context of complex tissues (Wolf et al., 2012).

There is also evidence that HG plays a role in cell adhesion (Bouton et al., 2002). HG with a low degree of methylesterification (DE) or non-esterified HG is most

abundant in the middle lamella, particularly at intercellular junctions and the corners of intercellular spaces (Bush et al., 2001; Parker et al., 2001; McCartney and Knox, 2002; Guillemain et al., 2005), which are regions where intercellular biomechanical forces are greatest (Jarvis et al., 2003). Ca^{2+} is also present at high levels in the middle lamella, especially at the same load bearing junction areas (Rihouey et al., 1995; Huxham et al., 1999; Bush et al., 2001), giving rise to the idea that Ca^{2+} -HG gelation is biomechanically important. Furthermore, treatment of plant tissues with Ca^{2+} -chelating agents, such as CDTA (cyclohexanediamine-*N,N,N',N'*-tetraacetic acid), can induce partial or extensive cell separation (Ng et al., 2000; McCartney and Knox, 2002), although this is apparently variable between tissues (Fry, 1998). Additionally, it has been observed that the *Cnr* tomato mutant, which has a defect in cell adhesion, has a reduction in Ca^{2+} -complexed HG, as well as lower levels of wall arabinan (Thompson et al., 1999; Orfila et al., 2001). However, other reports indicate that Ca^{2+} cross-linked HG may not always contribute to cell-cell adhesion, or that both Ca^{2+} and HG-ester linkages play a role (Jarvis et al., 2003; Marry et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2011), so this remains controversial.

An excellent organism in which to evaluate the mechanistic model of HG gel formation, and to elucidate pectin dynamics *in vivo*, is the desmid algal species *Penium margaritaceum*, which forms an intricate HG-rich lattice on its surface (Domozych et al., 2007a). This provides an opportunity for direct, non-destructive visualization and experimental manipulation of the polymer-polymer interactions and wall assembly associated with this specific pectin domain in living cells. Moreover, *Penium* is a member of the charophyte green algae (CGA), the immediate ancestors of land plants, which are of particular interest for the study of the evolutionary origins of land plant walls. Indeed, a survey of a broad range of extant charophyte species suggested that the development of walls with land plant-like compositions took place during diversification within the charophytes and was likely a pre-adaptation to terrestrial colonization (Sørensen et al., 2011).

The evolutionary origins of a pectin network involving Ca^{2+} -cross linking of demethylesterified HG may be traced back to the charophytes, and specifically to the Zygnematales, one of the six clades within the charophytes. The cell walls of *Netrium*, which occupies an intermediate position between the basal saccoderm and the later

diverging placoderm desmids within the Zygnematalean lineage appear to have both low- and high- DE (DE=degree of esterification) HG and Ca²⁺ cross-linked HG, based on immunolabeling with monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) raised to land plant pectins (Eder and Lütz-Meindl, 2010). Similar analyses of the placoderm desmid *Micrasterias* also revealed patterns suggesting pectin de-methylesterification (Lütz-Meindl and Brosch-Salomon, 2000; Eder and Lütz-Meindl, 2008). Furthermore, *Micrasterias* cells incubated in media containing PME exhibited inhibited growth and cell deformation and a PME-like enzyme activity was detected in *Micrasterias* cultures. Such reports hint that mechanisms for assembly of Ca²⁺ cross-linked HG may be similar in the Zygnematales and embryophytes. However, as with land plants, evidence supporting this model of Ca²⁺ cross-linked HG formation is largely indirect.

The goals of this current study were firstly to take advantage of *Penium* wall architecture to test the model of pectin domain deposition, and in particular HG aggregation. Secondly, we wanted to determine whether the HG lattice is structurally and functionally analogous to the HG-rich middle lamella of land plants and, as such, might represent an evolutionarily significant adaptation for land colonization. We generated a high resolution profile of pectin dynamics, fine structure and relationships with wall architecture by employing a range of biochemical and microscopic techniques, immunological studies of living cells and cell wall sections, and carbohydrate microarray profiling.

RESULTS

***Penium* cell wall architecture and sites of cell wall deposition**

Penium is a cylindrical unicell, consisting of two semicells attached at a central isthmus zone (Figure 1A), which is the site of active wall expansion during cell growth and division (Domozych et al., 2009). This simple morphology and associated morphogenesis program allow for convenient imaging of *Penium* cell wall architecture that, in this study, was assessed using Variable Pressure Scanning Electron Microscopy (VPSEM), Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM) and Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM). These technologies provide highly resolved images that significantly refine our understanding of the *Penium* cell wall. The outer cell wall surface

is covered with a complex lattice-like network that can be extracted with the Ca^{2+} -chelator, CDTA, and that is primarily composed of HG (Domozych et al., 2007a). This lattice is interrupted at the isthmus zone (Fig. 1A, B), and at narrower, lateral bands that are found in variable numbers in each semicell (Fig. 1A, C). FESEM imaging showed that the HG lattice adjacent to the lateral bands consists of projections that arise from the underlying wall and there was no evidence of fracturing, suggesting that they are not simply ruptures caused by mechanical stress. The isthmus zone is characterized by numerous HG fibrils that merge with the outer wall lattice along the isthmus edges (Fig. 1B); however, such fibrils are absent in the lateral bands (Fig. 1C), indicating that pectin deposition occurs primarily at the isthmus. TEM imaging of the cell wall highlighted three layers: (1) an outer layer (OL) that contains the HG-rich lattice, (2) an inner layer (IL) consisting of cellulose (Domozych et al. 2011) and (3) an interfacing medial layer (ML) where components of the outer layer embed in the inner layer (Fig. 2A). An extracellular polymeric substance (EPS) coats the outer face of the OL. When *Penium* cells were briefly treated with CDTA (50mM, 4 h), the OL was shown to consist of numerous fibrils that are closely packed to form the lattice (Fig. 2B). FESEM imaging also revealed that the surface pectin lattice is embedded in an underlying wall layer of cellulose microfibrils (Domozych et al., 2007a) that are predominantly orientated perpendicular to the long axis of the cell on the innermost wall layer (Fig. 3A). In contrast, mature wall zones have a cross-meshed organization of fibrils (Fig. 3B), punctuated with numerous pores (Fig. 3C).

Localization of pectin domains within the mature wall and isthmus zone

Immunogold labeling using an expanded set of mAbs that recognize different pectin epitopes and TEM imaging were employed to generate a more detailed spatial map of pectin distribution and dynamics than we have previously described (Domozych et al., 2007a; 2009). The mAb JIM5, which recognizes HG with a relatively low degree of methylesterification (Clausen et al., 2003), labeled both the OL lattice and ML (Fig. 4A). LM18, a more recently developed mAb with affinity toward HG with stretches of non-methylesterified HG (Verhertbruggen et al., 2009), yielded an almost identical labeling pattern (Fig. 4B) to that of JIM5. This was also the case with PAM1 (Fig. 4C), an

antibody that labels HG with large contiguous stretches non-methylesterified GalA (Manfield et al., 2005). The mAb 2F4, which recognizes Ca²⁺-complexed HG (Liners et al., 1989), labeled the OL but not the ML (Fig. 4D), while the mAb INRA-RU2, which binds to the RG-1 backbone (Ralet et al., 2010), only labeled the ML (Fig. 4E). Based on these labeling results, we subsequently used JIM5 or LM18 as a general marker for HG of the OL lattice, and 2F4 to specifically label Ca²⁺-complexed HG during the various experimental treatments reported here.

The cell wall of the isthmus is distinct from the rest of the *Penium* wall in that there is an approximately 1µm region that is devoid of the OL lattice (Fig. 4F). This zone corresponds to the region where new wall is deposited (see Fig. 1B). Labeling of the isthmus zone and adjacent mature wall with 2F4 showed binding to the OL in the mature wall as before, but not the isthmus zone (Fig. 4G). LM18 labeled both the outer lattice of mature wall zone and the ML zone of the isthmus (Fig. 4H). This pattern was diametrically opposite to that seen with JIM7, a mAb that recognizes highly methylesterified HG (Clausen et al., 2003), which only labeled the isthmus zone (Fig. 4I). The INRA-RU2 mAb labeled the ML of the isthmus as well as the mature wall outside the isthmus region (Fig. 4J). Taken together, these distribution patterns suggest considerable spatial heterogeneity within the wall superstructure, and a precisely coordinated mechanism of wall deposition and post-secretion modification of the constituent pectin polymers.

Effect of experimental treatments on wall expansion

The symmetrical cylindrical shape of a *Penium* cell allows for quantitative determination of the cell wall surface area. Cells that are first live labeled with a mAb that binds to the OL HG can be returned to culture and after a prescribed period of time, examined for new wall zones marked by non-labeled zones (Domozych et al., 2011). New wall surface area can then be compared with total cell surface area to yield % surface area covered by newly deposited wall. In this study, we measured cells incubated with the various experimental agents (Table 1). While growth increment levels were generally similar, there were subtle differences under certain experimental conditions. First, after 48 h of growth approximately half of the cell surface was covered by new wall

in control, arabinase-treated and varying Ca^{2+} concentration incubations. Second, when cells were incubated with PME, a notable decrease in wall expansion was observed (e.g. approximately 16%). This result agrees with previous report that exogenous PME inhibited growth of the desmid *Micrasterias* (Eder and Lütz-Meindl, 2008). Likewise, there was a smaller but notable decrease in expansion of *Penium* cells incubated with a low DE pectin fraction, P16 (DE=16%; Limberg et al., 2000). Third, when cells were incubated with pectate lyase (PL), or a relatively highly methylesterified (DE=70%) pectin fraction, P70 (Limberg et al., 2000), a discernible increase in expansion was observed.

Effect of pectin modifying enzymes on lattice formation

The immunological analysis shown in Fig. 4 suggested that HG is secreted at the isthmus zone in a highly methylesterified form and is then de-methylesterified prior to formation of the HG lattice at the cell surface. To test the dependence of lattice formation on HG with the appropriate degree of methylesterification, cell cultures were incubated with exogenous PME for 8 h. This resulted in a dramatic change in lattice structure, as shown by live-cell labeling of the cell surface with JIM5, which revealed a region of densely packed punctae in the newly synthesized wall, rather than the normal lattice (Fig. 5A). An identical pattern was seen when treated cells were labeled with 2F4 (Fig. 5B). FESEM imaging highlighted notable changes to the OL (Fig. 5C), including small and incomplete stretches of interconnected HG projections (Fig. 5D). TEM analysis of the altered wall region further confirmed the disappearance of the compact OL lattice and instead, the surface consisted of thin intertwined fibrils (Fig. 5E). No structural alterations to the ML or IL were observed. The OL fibers and the ML of the PME treated cells still labeled with JIM5 (Fig. 5F), while 2F4 labeled just the OL fibrils (Fig. 5F). In all experiments, the pre-existing cell wall was not affected by the PME treatment. When the treated cell cultures were washed to remove the PME and then grown in normal medium the typical lattice reformed within a few hours, initiating at the isthmus (Fig. 5G). This enzyme treatment and recovery ‘pulse-chase’ experiment suggested that while HG production and secretion are not affected by PME action, lattice formation and integrity requires the presence of HG with an appropriate DE.

Similarly, cell cultures were incubated with PL, which catalyzes the eliminative cleavage of de-methylesterified HG. After 4-6 h of PL treatment the OL showed substantial disintegration at particular zones (Fig. 6A). TEM analysis of the PL-treated cells provided evidence of OL peeling away from the wall, leaving the ML and IL unaffected (Fig. 6B). This was further highlighted by JIM5 immunogold labeling where the ML still retained label (Fig. 6C). VPSEM analysis of PL-treated cells showed that removal of the OL began at lattice-free zones of the wall; specifically the isthmus and lateral bands (Fig. 6D; see also Fig. 6A). After 36 h of PL incubation, the entire OL was virtually removed from the cell (Fig. 6E); however, the cylindrical shape of the cell was retained. After washing PL-treated cells and returning them to normal culture medium, a new lattice formed within 8h, initiating at the isthmus (Fig. 6F). These results demonstrated that the OL lattice is not necessary for shape maintenance.

Formation of the HG-rich lattice is sensitive to Ca^{2+} levels

Given the proposed profound importance of Ca^{2+} in the rheological properties of pectinaceous cell wall matrices, and specifically on HG gelation, we investigated whether the concentration of Ca^{2+} in the culture medium affects HG-rich lattice formation. Cells grown in the presence of 25 μM CaCl_2 (1/10 the normal level) exhibited swelling adjacent to the isthmus zone, an area corresponding to the newly formed wall (Fig. 7A). Both JIM5 and 2F4 immunofluorescence labeling show a dense and somewhat less intense aggregation of punctae, instead of the typical lattice, which first appeared at the isthmus and was then displaced outward during cell expansion (Fig. 7A, B). Older zones of the wall appeared unaltered by the reduced Ca^{2+} treatment (Fig. S1A). When cells were returned to growth medium with normal levels of Ca^{2+} (250 μM CaCl_2) for 6 h, the typical lattice formed in the newly synthesized wall, again starting at the isthmus (Fig. S1B). In low Ca^{2+} conditions the OL lattice appeared incomplete when imaged both with TEM (Fig. 7C) and VPSEM (Fig. 7D). Furthermore, in immunogold labeling studies the walls only weakly labeled with either JIM5 (Fig. S1C) or 2F4 (Fig. S1D), suggesting that HG and HG- Ca^{2+} levels, respectively, were substantially reduced.

In contrast, when cells were grown in a culture medium with ten times the normal level of Ca^{2+} (2.5 mM CaCl_2), the lattice projections showed an irregular distribution

(Fig. 7E) and consisted of long aggregates, or ridges, of thick fibers that labeled both with JIM5 and 2F4 (Fig. 7F, G). TEM imaging of these altered walls show that the OL lattice projections fused to form elongated projections (Fig. S1E) that also labeled with JIM5 and 2F4. Upon returning to normal medium conditions, the typical lattice reformed, initiating at the isthmus (Fig. S1F). In all experiments with varying Ca^{2+} concentrations, it is important to note this cation is also important for many other cellular processes/structures, which that could have been affected. However, the distinct alteration to the lattice observed in live cells under varying Ca^{2+} concentrations and the rapid gelling of extracted *Penium* HG in the presence of Ca^{2+} strongly suggest that the availability of this cation influences cell wall structure.

The structures of individual *Penium* HG polymers are similar to those of land plants

In addition to the mechanism of HG assembly into the large macrostructures of the lattice, an important question is the nature of the fine structure of the HG building blocks, and whether they are similar to those reported for the CDTA extracted HG of land plants (Morris et al. 2009). Treatment of live cells with CDTA resulted in the loss of JIM5 binding, except at the cell poles (Fig. 8A), which provided further evidence for the central role of Ca^{2+} in stabilizing the HG-rich lattice, but also yielded an HG fraction for structural analysis using high resolution TEM. We developed a protocol that allowed the visualization of individual dispersed polymers, with a low degree of aggregation. The polymers collectively have a relatively uniform structure in the form of elongated fibers, approximately 100-200 nm in length, and most had one or two branches per chain (Fig. 8B). Immunolabeling with JIM5 confirmed that the polymers corresponded to HG (Fig. 8C) and addition of Ca^{2+} to the extract caused their rapid gelation (Fig. 8D, E). Gelation also occurred when the CDTA extract was mixed with salts of other cations (Fig. S2).

Effect of exogenous pectins with varying DE on lattice formation

To further examine the significance of HG DE (Degree of Esterification) on lattice formation, cells were cultured in the presence of exogenous HG with either low or high DE. Specifically, we wanted to test whether exogenous HG with different DE values might be incorporated into the wall during expansion, as was observed with the

charophyte species *Chara corallina* (Prrseus and Boyer, 2008). Incubation with the low DE pectin fraction P16 (i.e., plant PME-deesterified pectin with 16% degree of esterification; Limberg et al., 2000; Ralet et al., 2001) resulted in loss of JIM5 binding from the middle section of the cell (Fig. 9A) and TEM imaging of the transition zone between the isthmus and the mature wall showed that this was due to a lack of HG projections (Fig. 9B). The small fibrils that formed instead did not label with JIM5, which only labeled the ML (Fig. 9C) and since JIM5 binds only weakly to P16 pectins (Verhertbruggen et al., 2009), this suggests that the new fibrils are composed of the exogenous P16 pectins. In contrast, incubation with the relatively highly methyl-esterified pectin fraction, P70 (70% degree of esterification, Limberg et al., 2000) in which shows strong JIM5 binding (Willats et al., 2000) in the form of dense punctae (Fig. 9D). The P70 incubation resulted in the appearance of numerous fibrillar masses in the OL (Fig. 9E) that were recognized by JIM5 (Fig. 9F).

Pectinase treatments suggest physical proximity of pectin polymer classes and a role for surface pectins in cellular adhesion

One of the technical challenges of detecting polysaccharide epitopes in intact cell walls is the potential for ‘masking’ effects, where the presence of one polymer blocks the binding of probes to other wall components (Marcus et al., 2008; Hervé et al., 2009). This can in some cases be addressed by treating tissue samples with glycanases to remove specific wall polysaccharides, thereby exposing previously inaccessible polymers. Such unmasking treatments can therefore also provide valuable information regarding the relative spatial distribution of epitopes within the wall architecture, and suggest close proximity or even indicate physical interactions between different polymers. We took this approach to investigate the distribution and the potential for such interactions between various classes of pectin polymers in living *Penium* cells.

The INRA-RU2 mAb, which binds to the RG-1 backbone, labeled the entire cell surface in the plane of the ML (Fig. 10A) although, unlike JIM5 labeling, no lattice-like pattern was observed. More intense labeling was seen at the isthmus and secondary lateral bands, while there was reduced labeling at the cell poles. A brief pre-treatment of the cells with PL resulted in a substantial reduction in labeling at the isthmus and lateral

bands (Fig. 10B) and labeling with the LM6 mAb, which recognizes 1,5-linked α -arabinan, present as side chains on RGI as well as in arabinogalactan proteins (AGPs; Lee et al., 2005), showed a similar pattern (Figure (10C, 10D)). A subsequent second treatment with arabinofuranosidase, which hydrolyzes arabinans, resulted in almost complete loss of LM6 signal (Fig. (10E)), confirming the nature of the epitope. The PL-based unmasking study thus suggests that the RGI and arabinan epitopes co-localize with HG in the mature wall ML and in the region adjacent to the isthmus and lateral bands, immediately after its deposition and de-methylesterification.

In previous analyses of desmid extracellular matrices, surface cell wall polymers were suggested to be important for adhesion to substrates (Domozych et al. 2007). Given our observations in this study that PME (Fig. 5) or PL (Fig. 6) treatments effectively alter or remove the outer HG-rich lattice, we wanted to determine whether this secreted cell wall layer might be involved in cellular adhesion. To test this, freshly washed cells were placed on the surface of a plastic Petri dish for 5 min, the dish was then flooded with fresh growth medium and the degree of adhesion was monitored. Untreated cells attached firmly to the plastic surface (Fig. (10F)), but when cells were first incubated with PME (Fig. 10G) or PL (Fig. (10H)) no cell adhesion occurred. When PL-treated cells were washed free of enzyme and the pectin lattice allowed to regenerate, adhesion was restored (Fig. 10I), indicating that the HG-rich lattice is indeed critical for cellular adhesion. We note that the adhesion assay took place in the dark, conditions under which EPS is not produced and so we can conclude that adhesion was not EPS-mediated.

Carbohydrate microarray profiling of sequentially extracted cell walls

Another approach that can provide insights into physical interactions between cell wall polymers is to evaluate their extractability, and particularly co-extraction, from walls using various solvents. To investigate the pattern and extractability of pectin polymers from *Penium* walls, we performed a carbohydrate microarray experiment (Fig. 11) using an extended set of mAbs that recognize different pectin associated epitopes to probe extracts that had been sequentially solubilized from *Penium* wall material with CDTA and sodium hydroxide (NaOH). For comparative purposes, we similarly evaluated wall

extracts from *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Physcomitrella patens*, to represent earlier and later diverging land plants, respectively.

Overall, while *Penium* and *Arabidopsis* walls contained larger amounts of HG than *Physcomitrella*, the patterns of mAb binding indicated a similar HG extractability with CDTA and NaOH, suggesting a common association within their respective wall structures. For example, the epitope for Ca²⁺ cross-linked HG (2F4) was present in extracts from all three species and was more abundant in the CDTA solubilized material. However, there were also some notable differences, and while RGI was more readily solubilized by CDTA than by NaOH from *Penium* and *Arabidopsis* walls, the converse was true for *Physcomitrella*. The LM6 and LM5 mAbs, which recognize arabinans or galactans, respectively, showed higher binding to extracts from the two land plant species than to those from *Penium*. However, in general, the mAb binding pattern indicated similarity in relative extractability of the pectin polymer sub-classes from *Penium* and *Arabidopsis*.

DISCUSSION

The current model of HG gelation and its importance in inter-cellular adhesion is primarily based on three lines of evidence (LeBoeuf et al., 2005): (i) unesterified HG is cross-linked by Ca²⁺ *in vitro* to form gel networks; (ii) low DE HG and Ca²⁺ have been reported to colocalize in the middle lamella, cell junction zones adjacent to intracellular spaces, where cell adhesion is presumed to be particularly important; and (iii) treatment of plant tissues with pectinases or Ca²⁺ chelating agents can induce cell separation. However, there is little direct evidence for this pectin assembly model occurring *in vivo*. In this study, we took advantage of the unusual wall architecture and exposed surface HG-rich lattice of *Penium* to test this current model of HG deposition.

A model for HG assembly at the *Penium* cell surface

Immunological analyses using mAbs that recognize a range of pectin associated epitopes were used to monitor the spatial and temporal patterns of different pectin structures in the mature wall and the isthmus, the site of active *Penium* cell expansion. This suggests a model (Fig. 12) wherein the inner cellulosic layer, which is first deposited

at the isthmus zone, serves as the foundation for the subsequent formation of the mature wall. Highly methylesterified HG is secreted at the isthmus (Fig. 12B) and is embedded in the cellulose domain where it forms the HG aggregates of the ML. At the isthmus, the outer layer of HG is not present and the ML contains considerably smaller HG fibrillar aggregates. As more HG enters the wall during expansion, HG fibrils emerge onto the cell surface. During this displacement through the wall, the HG is de-esterified, most likely by PME enzymes (Fig. 12C). The importance of demethylesterification for correct HG aggregation and lattice formation, in accordance with the model, was indicated by: (i) immunocytochemical analysis revealing demethylesterification at the point of lattice formation; (ii) *in vivo* perturbation of lattice formation by addition of exogenous pectins with a low DE, or precocious and abnormal lattice formation induced by pectins with a high DE (Fig. 9); and (iii) *in vivo* disruption of lattice formation by incubation with PME, including a ‘pulse-chase’ recovery experiment (Fig. 5) that showed the direct but transient consequences of demethylesterification on HG assembly. Importantly, two of these sets of experiments involved living cells and direct observation of HG-rich lattice polymerization.

The other key element of the model is the cross-linking of newly demethylesterified HG chains by Ca^{2+} at the cell surface (Fig. 12). This too was suggested by both immunocytochemical analysis, using the 2F4 mAb to reveal ubiquitous Ca^{2+} cross-linked HG in the OL, but its absence from the ML (Fig. 4D), and also by studies with living cells. A reduction in Ca^{2+} levels in the culture medium also prevented lattice formation in expanding areas of the wall. Addition of the chelator CDTA caused extensive lattice solubilization, confirming the essential role of Ca^{2+} in HG aggregation *in vivo*.

Taken together, our data provide strong evidence that conformational changes in the HG fibrils occur during cross-linking and result in lattice formation. When Ca^{2+} bridges align/organize assemblages of HG fibrils of a specific packing density, physical forces, such as an increase in hydrophobicity, may cause them to form the lattice ring units. Continued secretion of HG may then result in branches arising from these rings, which ultimately fuse to form the raised wall projections. Significant changes in the physical state of HG via Ca^{2+} cross-linking, like that reported here, have been documented in both the formation of ‘egg-box’ conformations (Jarvis, 1984) and rigid gels (Cabrera et al.,

2008). However, the biophysical constraints or other factors that give rise to the distinctive lattice structure are currently unknown. We note that the *Penium* wall also contains a range of hemicelluloses (Sørensen et al., 2011) and, while not the focus of this paper, they will be considered in future studies of wall micro-architecture.

The *Penium* cell wall shows organizational and structural conservation with those of land plants at multiple scales

In addition to HG distribution and dynamics, we also looked at the patterns of deposition and possible polymer-polymer interactions of RGI, the other pectin polysaccharide suggested to be present in the *Penium* wall, albeit at very low levels (Sørensen et al., 2011). Immunological analysis of live cells and TEM imaging using mAbs that recognize the RGI backbone (INRA-RU1 and INRA RU2) further indicated the presence of RGI. Moreover they revealed the co-localization of RGI with HG in the ML, but its absence from the cellulosic IL or the OL. The presence of RGI at the isthmus further suggests co-deposition with methylesterified HG and extrusion through the pores in the cellulose microfibrillar network of the IL (Fig. 3C) during initial wall formation, but exclusion from the OL lattice.

Interestingly, the layered *Penium* wall organization resembles that of *Arabidopsis* seed coat mucilage, and it was recently shown that the formation of cellulose rays deposited on the inside of the mucilage layer is necessary for proper attachment of the complex pectic layer to the seed surface (Harpaz-Saad et al., 2011). We previously reported that *Penium* exhibits an altered pattern of HG deposition after prolonged incubation with cellulases (Domozych et al., 2011). This further indicates that deposition of the pectic network depends on the cellulosic framework and that a structural connection between them is necessary for normal wall development. Indeed, recent studies of land plant walls have suggested physical associations between the arabinan and/or galactan side chains of RGI and cellulose microfibrils, and hence the pectin networks and cellulose, at least in some specialized cell types (Zykwinska et al., 2005, 2007; Harpaz-Saad et al., 2011, Geitmann et al., 2012). In the *Penium* wall, RGI may similarly function in connecting the pectin and cellulose domains, although the biochemical and carbohydrate microarray data suggest that any galactan or arabinan side

chains on *Penium* RGI polymers are less abundant than on RGI from land plants. An important caveat is that the LM6 mAb recognizes both the arabinogalactan side chains of RGI and AGPs. Therefore these immunological data by themselves do not demonstrate that *Penium* RGI has arabinan side chains, although glycan compositional analysis further suggests their presence (Sørensen et al., 2011).

We used the carbohydrate microarray analysis (Fig. 11) to compare the extractability of pectin polymers from the walls of *Penium* and both early (*Physcomitrella*) and later (*Arabidopsis*) diverging land plants. This allowed us to infer potential evolutionary conservation of molecular interactions within the wall. In general, similar patterns of epitope distribution were observed in all three species. However, RGI appeared to be more readily extracted from *Penium* and *Arabidopsis* walls with CDTA than NaOH, while *Physcomitrella* showed the opposite pattern, indicating a more extensive association by covalent linkages into the wall matrix. Pectinase treatments of live cells also gave insights into possible interpolymer connections, since PL treatment removed not only significant amounts of HG from the wall but also RGI and arabinan (Fig. 10), suggesting that they are physically associated.

In addition to demonstrating evolutionary conservation of the spatial distribution of different wall domains and polymer-polymer interactions within those domains, an important question is whether the individual polymers that form the microfibrillar structures of the lattice are also similar. Atomic force microscopy has been used to assess the structure and measure the size of individual pectin polymers/polymer complexes from land plants (Morris et al., 2009; Round et al., 2010). We developed a protocol using TEM to visualize CDTA-extracted HG. The dispersed HG polymers were shown to be extremely similar in both appearance and dimensions (Fig. 8B, C) to those of CDTA-extracted HG from land plants (Round et al., 2010) and they showed rapid Ca^{2+} mediated gelling (Fig. 8D). This suggests that the ‘fibrous network’ model of pectin long range gel structure (Morris et al., 2009) can be applied to all green plants that synthesize HG.

Functional significance of the HG-rich lattice: implications for plant multicellularity and middle lamella formation?

An examination of the walls of extant members of the charophytes, the lineage that gave rise to land plants, has the potential to reveal ancestral features that may have allowed the transition from unicellular aquatic plants to multicellular land species with complex body plans. *Penium* is of particular interest in this regard as it is unicellular but its complement of wall polymers has considerable overlap with those of embryophytes (Sørensen et al., 2011). As part of our studies of the deposition of the HG-rich lattice at the cell surface, we also determined that it has considerable adhesive properties (Fig. 10F–I) and as such may function, together with the EPS layer, in adhering to solid surfaces.

This observation has intriguing parallels with the Ca^{2+} -HG gels of the middle lamella of embryophytes, which also form at cell surfaces and are thought to be important for intracellular adhesion. While the middle lamella is generally reported as being formed at the cell plate during cell division, the amount of material laid down at the cell plate would be insufficient to accommodate the often dramatic increases in cell wall surface area during cell expansion. Typical changes in cell volume are from $100 \mu\text{m}^3$ to $10^7 \mu\text{m}^3$ (Geitmann, 2010) and without continued deposition of wall and middle lamella polymers, dramatic thinning and loss of wall or middle lamella integrity would occur. While not yet documented, we propose that development and expansion of the middle lamella in growing cells requires coordinated deposition of pectins that must first cross the primary wall. The mechanism for such a process is unknown, but it may involve the natural segregation, phase separation and self-assembly of polymers (McDougall et al., 1997; Matyjaszewski, 2011), as has been suggested for pectinaceous middle lamella formation (Morris et al., 2009). *Penium* provides an excellent opportunity to address this experimentally *in vivo* and our recent development of a stable genetic transformation protocol for *Penium* (Sørensen et al., 2014) may prove invaluable in this regard.

CONCLUSIONS

P. margaritaceum represents a valuable unicellular model organism for the study of pectin metabolism in plant cells. Its distinct outer pectin lattice offers a unique system for elucidating the nanostructure and developmental dynamics of HG. In addition the amenability of live *Penium* cells to various experimental treatments with subsequent

changes in its pectin domain can be exploited to investigate the roles of pectin and cellulose in the maintenance of cell expansion and shape. Moreover, we suggest that the importance of *Penium* pectin in substrate adhesion offers the potential to investigate the evolution, formation and function of the middle lamella.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials and culture conditions

P. margaritaceum (clonal strain #8; Skidmore Algal Culture Facility) was maintained in sterile liquid cultures of Woods Hole Medium (WHM; Nichols, 1973) and cultured at 5400 lux, 16 h light/8 h dark photoperiod, 18°C ± 1°C. Cultures were harvested as previously described (Domozych *et al.*, 2007a). *A. thaliana* (Col-0 ecotype) and *P. patens* were similarly grown under using 16 h light/8 h dark photoperiod. *Arabidopsis* was grown on 1.5% agarose-solidified MS medium (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) while *Physcomitrella* was maintained on 1.2% agarose-solidified Waris medium (Nichols, 1973).

Live cell labeling and treatments

Live cell labeling of cells with mAbs followed the protocol of Domozych *et al.* (2009, 2011). The antibody labeling buffer, except for 2F4, consisted of WHM (pH 7.2; contains 250 µM CaCl₂) and the blocking buffer was WHM with 0.5% w/v bovine serum albumin (Sigma-Aldrich). For 2F4 labeling, the pH of the labeling and blocking buffer was maintained at 8.2. Enzymatic un-masking was performed by washing cells with WHM and incubating them with 3 U/ml pectate lyase (PL) (Megazyme, www.megazyme.com; cat. number: E-PLYCJ) in WHM for up to 72 h at room temperature in the dark with continuous shaking. To confirm the presence of particular epitopes, cells were incubated with 1U/mL arabinofuranosidase (E-AFASE, Megazyme). In longer-term studies with PL, enzyme concentrations were reduced to 1 U/mL PL. Cells were treated with PME (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. number: P5400) for 12 h at 250 µg/ml in WHM. After enzyme treatments, cells were washed with WHM and labeled with primary mAbs and secondary mAbs (TRITC-conjugated anti-rat, Sigma-Aldrich for JIM and LM mAbs; TRITC-conjugated anti-mouse, Sigma-Aldrich for INRA-RU2). For exogenous

pectin treatments, cells were incubated in 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ P16 or P70 (courtesy W.G.T. Willats) in WHM (pH 7.2) for up to 72 h and then sampled, washed, labeled and observed as above. Cells were viewed with an Olympus BH-61 LM equipped with a Fluoview 300 confocal unit or an Olympus BH-60 light microscope equipped with a DP-71 digital camera. (Olympus America Inc., www.olympusamerica.com). An FM1-U2B93 PLANAPO 60x oil lens with a NA of 1.42 and working distance of 0.15 mm was used for acquisition of images. Cells were dispersed in WHM before preparing the slides.

Cell adhesion assays

Aliquots of thoroughly washed cells (e.g. 3X with WHM, shaking and centrifugation) were applied to the surface of a plastic Petri dish and allowed to settle for 5 min in the dark. The dish was then gently flooded with WHM and cell adhesion was imaged with a flatbed digital scanner. For the experimental treatment, freshly washed cells were incubated in PL (3U/ml) or PME (250 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$) in WHM for 24 h. Cells were then washed and aliquots were similarly spotted on a Petri dish and flooded. For the recovery experiment, PL treated cells were washed three times with fresh WHM and placed back in culture for 24 h. At this time, cells were collected, washed and assayed for adhesion as above.

Extraction of cell wall material for carbohydrate microarray profiling or microscopic analysis

For the carbohydrate microarray analysis, cell wall material was obtained from the alcohol insoluble residue of freeze-dried *Penium* cells, *P. patens* leafy gametophytes and *A. thaliana* rosette leaves, sequentially extracted and subjected to microarray analysis using a range of mAbs, as in Sørensen et al. (2011). Error bars represent the standard error mean of the values of three independent extractions and prints.

To obtain the CDTA extractable fraction for microscopic imaging, *Penium* walls were extracted as in Domozych et al. (2007a), freeze dried, suspended in 50 mM CDTA (pH 6.5) and shaken at 22°C for 6 h. Insoluble material was collected by centrifugation at 5,000 g for 5 min, the supernatant was collected and the wall pellet was washed with deionized water and re-centrifuged. This procedure was repeated 5 times and all

supernatants were pooled. This CDTA extracted fraction was then extensively dialyzed against deionized water (dialysis tubing: 3,500 MWCO; Fisher Scientific, Pittsburg, Pa, USA; see also Domozych et al. 2007a) and freeze dried. 5 mg of the CDTA extract was dissolved in deionized water and 50 μ l was placed on a plastic coverslip and mixed with 50 μ l of 50 mM CaCl₂. The coverslip was then plunge frozen in liquid propane, cooled with liquid nitrogen (LN₂), then freeze substituted at -80°C in ethanol for 48 h, and warmed to -20°C over a 6 h period). The gel was transferred to the bottom of a Beem capsule and then infiltrated with London Resin at -20 °C. The capsule was then polymerized at -20 °C with UV light. 120 nm sections were collected using a Leica ultramicrotome and collected on Formvar-coated nickel grids. The sections were either first immunogold labeled with JIM5 and stained with conventional uranyl acetate/lead citrate, or stained without immunogold labeling. Sections were viewed on a Zeiss Libra 120 TEM at 120 kv.

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

For variable pressure scanning electron microscopy (VPSEM), cells were collected, washed and applied in a thin liquid layer onto 1 cm diameter circular sheets of nitrocellulose paper. The cells were allowed to settle for 1 min and excess fluid removed. The circular sheets were flash frozen in LN₂ and quickly transferred to a cryostub (JEOL, www.jeolusa.com) and cooled in LN₂. The cryostub was placed in a 6480 JEOL VP-SEM and viewed under the following conditions: Pa, 10 kV, 30 Pa, spot size = 60. For Field Emission SEM (FESEM), frozen cells or isolated walls were placed on a Cambridge stub and viewed with a Zeiss Ultra 55 FE-SEM (Zeiss, <http://www.zeiss.com>).

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)

For TEM, cells were harvested, washed and cryofixed and freeze substituted as previously described (Domozych et al., 2005, 2007a). For immunogold labeling, 60 nm sections were obtained using a Reichert Ultracut ultramicrotome, collected on Formvar-coated nickel grids and immunolabeled using the protocol of Domozych et al. (2007a). For high resolution analysis of the outer wall layer, cell walls were isolated by sonication,

washed with deionized water and then cryofixed and freeze substituted (Domozych et al., 2007a). 60 nm sections were collected and viewed using a Zeiss Libra 120 TEM (Zeiss).

Acknowledgements

We thank Alicia Britton for technical assistance. mAbs were obtained from Plant Probes (Leeds, U.K.). INRA-RU1 and RU2 were kindly provided by M-C. Ralet (Nantes, France). This work was supported by grants from the National Science Foundation to DSD (NSF-MCB 0919925 and NSF-DBI 0922805) and to JKCR (Plant Genome Program; DBI-0606595). IS was supported by an individual postdoc stipend from the VKR Foundation, Denmark.

LITERATURE CITED

- Bouton S, Leboeuf E, Mouille G, Leydecker M-T, Talbotec J, Granier F, Lahaye M, Höfte H, Truong H-N** (2002) *QUASIMODO1* encodes a putative membrane-bound glycosyltransferase required for normal pectin synthesis and cell adhesion in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **14**: 2577-2590
- Bush MS, Marry M, Huxham IM, Jarvis MC, McCann MC** (2001) Developmental regulation of pectic epitopes during potato tuberisation, *Planta* **213**: 869-880
- Caffall K, Mohnen D** (2009) The structure, function, and biosynthesis of plant cell wall pectic polysaccharides. *Carbohydr Res* **344**: 1879-1900
- Cabrera JC, Boland A, Messiaen J, Cambier P, Van Cutsem P** (2008) Egg box conformation of oligogalacturonides: The time dependent stabilization of the elicitor-active conformation increases its biological activity. *Glycobiol* **18**: 473-482
- Clausen MH, Willats WGT, Knox JP** (2003) Synthetic methyl hexagalacturonate hapten inhibitors of anti-homogalacturonan monoclonal antibodies LM7, JIM5 and JIM7. *Carbohydr Res* **338**: 1797-1800
- Cosgrove DJ, Jarvis MC** (2012) Comparative structure and biomechanics of plant primary and secondary cell walls. *Front Plant Sci* **3**: 204
http://www.frontiersin.org/Plant_Physiology/10.3389/fpls.2012.00204/full
- Doblin MS, Pettolino F, Bacic A** (2010) Plant cell walls: the skeleton of the plant world. *Funct Plant Bio* **37**: 357-381
- Domozych DS, Kort S, Benton S, Yu T** (2005) The extracellular polymeric substance of the green alga *Penium margaritaceum* and its role in biofilm formation. *Biofilms* **2**: 129-144
- Domozych DS, Serfis A, Kiemle SN, Gretz MR** (2007a) The structure and biochemistry of charophycean cell walls: I. Pectins of *Penium margaritaceum*. *Protoplasma* **230**: 99-115

- Domozych DS, Elliott L, Kiemle S, Gretz MR** (2007b) *Pleurotaenium trabecula*, a desmid of wetland biofilms: The extracellular matrix and adhesion mechanism. *J Phycol* **43**: 1022-1038
- Domozych DS, Lambiasse L, Kiemle SN, Gretz MR** (2009) Cell-wall development and bipolar growth in the desmid *Penium margaritaceum* (Zygnematophyceae, Streptophyta). Asymmetry in a symmetric world. *J Phycol* **45**: 879-893
- Domozych DS, Brechka H, Britton A, Toso M** (2011) Cell wall growth and modulation dynamics in a model unicellular green alga- *Penium margaritaceum*: Live cell labeling with monoclonal antibodies. *J Bot* **2011**, <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jb/2011/632165/>
- Eder M, Lütz-Meindl U** (2008) Pectin-like carbohydrates in the green alga *Micrasterias* characterized by cytochemical analysis and energy filtering TEM. *J Microsc* **231**: 201-214
- Eder M, Lütz-Meindl U** (2010) Analyses and localization of pectin-like carbohydrates in cell wall and mucilage of the green alga *Netrium digitus*. *Protoplasma* **243**: 25-38
- Fry SC** (1988) *The Growing Plant Cell Wall: Chemical and Metabolic Analysis*. H Longman, London. pp. 333
- Geitmann A** (2010) Mechanical modeling and structural analysis of the primary plant cell wall. *Curr Opin Plant Biol.* **13**: 693-699
- Geitmann A** (2012) The cell wall of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* pollen tube – spatial distribution, recycling and network formation of polysaccharides. *Plant Physiol* **160**: 1940-55
- Guillemin F, Guillon F, Bonnin E, Devaux M-F, Chevalier T, Knox JP, Liners F, Thibault J-F** (2005) Distribution of pectic epitopes in cell walls of the sugar beet root. *Planta* **222**: 355-371
- Harpaz-Saad S, McFarlane HE, Xu S, Divi UK, Forward B, Western TL, Kieber JJ** (2011) Cellulose synthesis via the FEI2 RLK/SOS5 pathway and CELLULOSE SYNTHASE 5 is required for the structure of seed coat mucilage in Arabidopsis. *Plant J* **68**: 941-953

- Hervé C, Rogowski A, Gilbert HJ, Knox JP** (2009) Enzymatic treatments reveal differential capacities for xylan recognition and degradation in primary and secondary plant cell walls. *Plant J* **58**: 413-422
- Jarvis MC** (1984) Structure and properties of pectin gels in plant cell walls. *Plant Cell Environ* **7**: 153-164
- Jarvis MC, Apperley DC** (1995) Chain conformation in concentrated pectin gels: Evidence from ¹³C NMR. *Carbohydr Res* **276**: 131-145
- Jarvis MC, Briggs SPH, Knox JP** (2003) Intercellular adhesion and cell separation in plants. *Plant Cell Environ* **26**: 977-989
- Jones L, Seymour GB, Knox JP** (1997) Localization of pectic galactan in tomato cell walls using a monoclonal antibody specific to (1→4)-D-galactan. *Plant Physiol* **113**: 1405-1412
- Leboeuf E, Guillon F, Thoiron S, Lahaye M** (2005) Biochemical and immunohistochemical analysis of pectic polysaccharides in the cell walls of *Arabidopsis* mutant *QUASIMODO 1* suspension-cultured cells: implications for cell adhesion. *J Exp Bot* **56**: 3171-3182
- Lee KJD, Sakata Y, Mau S-L, Pettolino F, Bacic A, Quatrano RS, Knight CD, Knox JP** (2005) Arabinogalactan proteins are required for apical cell extension in the moss *Physcomitrella patens*. *Plant Cell* **17**: 3051-3065
- Leliaert F, Smith DR, Moreau H, Herron MD, Verbruggen H, Delwiche CF, De Clerck O** (2012) Phylogeny and molecular evolution of the green algae. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences* **31**: 1-46
- Limberg G, Korner R, Bucholt HC, Christensen TMIE, Roepstorff P, Mikkelsen JD** (2000) Quantification of the amount of galacturonic acid residues in block sequences in pectin homogalacturonan by enzymatic fingerprinting with exo- and endopolygalacturonase II from *Aspergillus niger*. *Carbohydr Res* **327**: 321-332
- Liners F, Letesson JJ, Didembourg C, Van Cutsem P** (1989) Monoclonal antibodies against pectin: recognition of a conformation induced by calcium. *Plant Physiol* **91**: 1419-1424

- Liners F, Thibault J-F, Van Cutsem P** (1992) Influence of the degree of polymerization of oligogalacturonates and of esterification pattern of pectin on their recognition by monoclonal antibodies. *Plant Physiol* **99**: 1099-1104
- Lütz-Meindl U, Brosch-Salomon S** (2000) Cell wall secretion in the green alga *Micrasterias*. *J Microsc* **198**: 208-217
- Manfield IW, Bernal AJ, Møller I, McCartney L, Riess NP, Knox JP, Willats, WGT** (2005). Re-engineering of the PAM1 phage display monoclonal antibody to produce a soluble, versatile anti-homogalacturonan scFv. *Plant Sci* **169**: 1090-1095
- Marcus SE, Verhertbruggen Y, Hervé C, Ordaz-Ortiz JJ, Farkas V, Pedersen HL, Willats WGT, Knox JP** (2008) Pectic homogalacturonan masks abundant sets of xyloglucan epitopes in plant cell walls. *BMC Plant Biol* **8**: 60
- Marry M, Roberts K, Jopson SJ, Huxham M, Jarvis MC, Corsar J, Robertson E, McCann MC** (2006) Cell-cell adhesion in fresh sugar-beet root parenchyma requires both pectin esters and calcium cross-links. *Physiol Plant* **126**: 243-256
- Matyjaszewski K** (2011) Architecturally complex polymers with controlled heterogeneity. *Science* **333**: 1104-1105
- McCartney L, Knox JP** (2002) Regulation of pectic polysaccharides domains in relation to cell development and cell properties in the pea testa. *J Exp Bot* **53**: 707-713
- McDougall AJ, Rigby NM, Ring SG** (1997) Phase separation of plant cell wall polysaccharides and its implications for cell wall assembly. *Plant Physiol* **114**: 353-362
- Morris VJ, Gromer A, Kirby AR** (2009) Architecture of intracellular networks in plant matrices. *Struct Chem* **20**: 255-261
- Ng, A, Parker, ML, Parr, AJ, Saunders, PK, Smith, AC, Waldron, KW** (2000) Physicochemical characteristics of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) tissues. *J Agric Food Chem* **48**: 5612-5617
- Nichols HW** (1973) Growth media-Freshwater. In JR Stein Ed, *Handbook of Phycological Methods*, Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, pp. 16–17

- Orfila C, Seymour GB, Willats WGT, Huxham IM, Jarvis MC, Dover CJ, Thompson AJ, Knox JP** (2001) Altered middle lamella homogalacturonan and disrupted deposition of (1→5)- α -L-arabinan in the pericarp of *Cnr*, a ripening mutant of tomato. *Plant Physiol* **126**: 210-22
- Parker CC, Parker ML, Smith AC, Waldron KW** (2001) Pectin distribution at the surface of potato parenchyma cells in relation to cell-cell adhesion. *J Agric Food Chem* **49**: 4364-4371
- Peaucelle A, Braybrook SA, Le Guillou L, Bron E, Kuhlemeier C, Höfte H** (2011) Pectin-induced changes in cell wall mechanics underlie organ initiation in *Arabidopsis*. *Curr Biol* **21**: 1720-1726
- Popper ZA Tuohy MG** (2010) Beyond the green: Understanding the evolutionary puzzle of plant and algal cell walls. *Plant Physiol* **153**: 373-383
- Proseus TE, Boyer JS** (2008) Calcium pectate chemistry causes growth to be stored in *Chara corallina*: a test of the pectate cycle. *Plant Cell Physiol* **31**: 1147-1155.
- Ralet M-C, Tranquet O, Poulain D, Moïse A, Guillon F** (2010) Monoclonal antibodies to rhamnogalacturonan I backbone. *Planta* **231**: 1373–1383
- Ralet M-C, Dronnet V O, Buchholt HC, Thibault J-F** (2001) Enzymatically and chemically de-esterified lime pectins: characterisation, polyelectrolyte behaviour and calcium binding properties *Carbohydr Res* **336**: 117-125
- Rihouey C, Jauneau A, Cabin-Flaman A, Demarty M, Lefebvre F, Morvan MC** (1995) Calcium and acidic pectin distribution in flax cell walls- evidence for different kinds of linkages in the cell junction and middle lamella of the cortical parenchyma of flax hypocotyl. *Plant Physiol Biochem* **33**: 497-508
- Round AN, Rigby NM, MacDougall AJ, Morris VJ** (2010) A new view of pectin structure revealed by acid hydrolysis and atomic force microscopy. *Carbohydr Res* **345**: 487-497
- Sørensen I, Domozych DS, Willats WGT** (2010) How have plant cell walls evolved? *Plant Physiol* **153**: 366-372

- Sørensen I, Pettolino FA, Bacic A, Ralph J, Lu F, O'Neill MA, Fei Z, Rose JKC, Domozych DS, Willats WGT** (2011) The Charophycean green algae provide insights into the early origins of plant cell walls. *Plant J* **68**: 201-211
- Sørensen I, Fei Z, Andreas A, Willats, WGT, Domozych DS, Rose JKC** (2014) Stable transformation and reverse genetic analysis of the charophyte green alga *Penium margaritaceum*: A new platform for studies of the immediate ancestors of land plants. In press, *Plant J* DOI: 10.1111/tpj.12375
- Thompson AJ, Tor M, Barry CS, Vrebalov J, Orfila C, Jarvis MC, Giovannoni JJ, Grierson D, Seymour GB** (1999) Molecular and genetic characterization of a novel pleiotropic tomato-ripening mutant. *Plant Physiol* **120**: 383-389
- Verhertbruggen, Y, Marcus, SE, Haeger A, Ordaz-Ortiz JJ, Knox JP** (2009) An extended set of monoclonal antibodies to pectic homogalacturonan. *Carbohydr Res* **344**: 1858-1862
- Willats WGT, Marcus SE, Knox JP** (1998) Generation of a monoclonal antibody specific to (1→5)- α -arabinan. *Carbohydr Res* **308**: 149–152
- Willats WGT, Limberg G, Buchholt HC, van Alebeek G-J, Benen J, Tove MIEC, Visser J, Voragen A, Mikkelsen JD, Knox JP** (2000) Analysis of pectic epitopes recognised by hybridoma and phage display monoclonal antibodies using defined oligosaccharides, polysaccharides, and enzymatic degradation. *Carbohydr Res* **327**: 309-320
- Willats WG, Orfila C, Limburg G, Buchholt HC, van Alebeek GJ, Voragen, AG, Marcus SE, Christensen TM, Mikkelsen JD, Murray BS, Knox JP** (2001) Modulation of the degree and pattern of methyl-esterification of pectic homogalacturonan in plant cell walls. Implications for pectin methyl esterase action, matrix properties, and cell adhesion. *J Biol Chem* **276**: 19404–19413
- Wolf S, Mouille H, Pelloux J** (2009) Homogalacturonan methylesterification and plant development. *Mol Plant* **2**: 851-860
- Wolf S, Greiner S** (2012) Growth control by cell wall pectins. *Protoplasma* **249**: S169-S175

- Wolf S, Hématy K, Höfte H** (2012) Growth control and cell wall signaling in plants. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* **63**: 381-407
- Xu C, Zhao L, Pan X, Šamaj J** (2011) Developmental localization and methylesterification of pectin epitopes during somatic embryogenesis of banana (*Musa* spp. AAA). *PLoS One* **6**: e22992
- Zykwinska AW, Ralet M-C, Garnier CD,, Thibault J-FJ** (2005) Evidence for *in vitro* labeling of pectin side chains of cellulose. *Plant Physiol* **139**: 397-407
- Zykwinska A, Thibault J-F, Ralet M-C** (2007). Organization of pectic arabinan and galactan side chains in association with cellulose microfibrils in primary cell walls and related models envisaged. *J Exp Bot* **58**: 1795-1802

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. *Penium* cell wall surface topology. A, VPSEM image of a *Penium* cell showing the lattice of projections made of Ca^{2+} -complexed-HG on the outer surface. The central isthmus zone (white arrow) and secondary lateral bands (black arrows) are indicated. B, FESEM image showing the isthmus zone (bracketed). Arrows highlight examples of fibrils emerging from the isthmus and fusing with the adjacent lattice. C, FESEM image of a lateral band. The arrow indicates the globular edge of the HG-rich lattice with no adjacent fibrils. Scale bars: A= 12 μm ; B= 1.5 μm ; C= 150 nm.

Figure 2. *Penium* cell wall ultrastructure. A, The layers of the *Penium* cell wall (TEM image): the outer layer (OL) consists of the HG-rich lattice, a medial layer (ML) anchors the outer layer to the inner layer (IL). The extracellular polymeric substance (EPS) is present outside the OL. B, The outer lattice of the cell wall after mild treatment with CDTA (50 mM, 4 h). This layer consists of aggregates of tightly packed fibrils (arrow) that form the lattice (arrow; image taken with Darkfield-TEM). Scale bars: A= 250 nm; B= 175 nm.

Figure 3. The inner cellulosic layer of *P. margaritaceum*. A, Fractured cell showing microfibrils on the innermost layer that are mostly aligned perpendicular to the long axis of the cell. B, Network of cellulose microfibrils showing considerable cross-hatching. C, Pores shown distributed throughout the inner wall layer (arrows). All images taken with FESEM. Scale bars: A= 2.8 μm ; B= 100 nm; C= 120 nm.

Figure 4. Immunogold localization of pectin epitopes in the mature wall and isthmus zone. A, JIM5 labeling of the OL and ML. B, LM18 labeling of the OL and ML. C, PAM1 labeling of the OL (black arrow) and ML (white arrow). D, 2F4 labeling of the OL (arrows) but not the ML. E, INRA-RU2 labeling of the ML (arrows). F, Cross section of the isthmus zone (bracketed) showing ML aggregates embedded in the IL that traverse the wall and an absence of the OL (white arrow). G, 2F4 labels the OL (arrows) but is absent in the isthmus (bracket). H, LM18 labeling of the OL and IL of pre-existing wall (black arrows) and the outer part of the isthmus wall (white arrow). I, JIM7 labeling

(arrows) of the isthmus wall (bracket). J, INRA-RU2 labeling (arrows) of the isthmus wall (bracket). All images were taken with TEM. Scale bars: A= 250nm; B= 200 nm; C= 150 nm; D= 175 nm; E= 110 nm; F= 225 nm; G= 225 nm; H= 100 nm; I= 200 nm; J= 200nm.

Figure 5. The effect of PME treatment on the pectin network. A, Confocal image of post-PME treated cell labeled with JIM5 showing the altered lattice structure around the isthmus (bracket). B, Post-PME treated cell labeled with 2F4 showing similar pattern (arrow) to that of JIM5 labeling. C, FESEM image of cell surface with contrasting topology of the pre-existing wall (Pre) and after PME treatment (PME). D, Higher magnification FESEM image of the abnormal normal HG-rich lattice after PME incubation. E, TEM image of altered wall of a cell treated with PME for 12 h. The outer layer (OL) no longer has the HG-rich lattice while the inner layer (IL) and medial layer (ML) appear unchanged. F, JIM5 immunogold labeling after treatment with PME for 12 h. Inset shows 2F4 labeling of the OL. G, JIM5 labeling after PME treatment followed by recovery (Rec) in fresh WHM. Area of newly synthesized HG-rich lattice is bracketed. Scale bars: A=15 μm ; B= 5 μm ; C= 4 μm ; D= 400 nm; E= 120 nm; F= 100 nm, inset= 200 nm; G= 6 μm .

Figure 6. Effects of pectate lyase on lattice formation. (A) Confocal image of a cell incubated with pectate lyase (PL) for 4 h and then labeled with JIM5. Arrows indicate areas of wall digestion. B, TEM image of the cell wall showing the degradation of the outer layer (OL) at its intersection with the medial layer (ML; arrow). C, JIM5 immunogold labeling of the interface zone of PL digested wall and undigested wall of a cell treated for 12 h. The OL (black arrow) of the undigested zone and ML of both digested and undigested zones (white arrow) are labeled. D, VPSEM image of PL treated cells. Initial digestion of the lattice occurs at lattice-free zones of the cell such as the isthmus and lateral bands (small arrows). Further digestion removes large segments of the outer lattice (large arrow). E, JIM5 labeled cell after 36 h of PL digestion. Only remnants of the lattice remain (arrow). F, JIM5 labeling after the cell is allowed to recover for 8 h

after 24 h of PL treatment. The area of new lattice formation at the isthmus is bracketed. Scale bars: A= 5 μm ; B= 500 nm; C= 550 nm; D= 16 μm ; E= 25 μm ; F= 5 μm .

Figure 7. Effects of Ca^{2+} on HG-rich lattice architecture. A, Confocal image of JIM5 labeled cell grown in a low Ca^{2+} medium. The swollen zone around the isthmus is bracketed. B, 2F4 labeling of a cell grown in low Ca^{2+} . C, TEM image of the thin, irregular lattice (arrow) in the outer layer (OL) deposited under low Ca^{2+} conditions (ML, medial layer). D, FESEM image showing abnormal OL deposited under low Ca^{2+} conditions (left) and the preexisting lattice (Pre, right) deposited under normal conditions. The arrow indicates the boundary between the two. E, Elongated ridges in the OL lattice deposited under high Ca^{2+} conditions (FESEM). F, JIM5 labeled cell grown in high Ca^{2+} medium. Elongated ridges are indicated with arrows. G, 2F4 labeled cell grown under high Ca^{2+} conditions showing elongated ridges of the OL (arrows). Scale bars: A= 17 μm ; B= 12 μm ; C= 500nm; D= 4 μm ; E= 1.5 μm ; F= 6 μm ; G= 10 μm .

Figure 8. High resolution imaging of CDTA extracted *Penium* HG polymers and their gelation by Ca^{2+} . A, Confocal image of a JIM5 labeled cell incubated for 2 h with CDTA. B, TEM image of CDTA extracted pectin. Arrows indicates branched structures. Inset shows magnification of the red boxed area. C, Immunogold labeling of CDTA extracted pectin with JIM5 (arrows). D, Light microscope (LM) image of the 5 mg/mL solution of *Penium* CDTA extract. E, LM image of the gel formed after mixing the *Penium* CDTA extract with equal volume of 50 mM CaCl_2 . Scale bars: A= 15 μm ; B= 200 nm, inset box 50 nm; C= 160 nm; D= 2 mm; E= 2mm.

Figure 9. The effect of exogenous pectins on the pectin network. A, JIM5 immunolabeling after a 36 h incubation with a low degree of esterification (DE) pectin fraction (P16). The area where the lattice is absent is bracketed. B, TEM image of the transition zone (pre-and post-P16 incubation) showing outer (OL), medial (ML) and inner (IL) layers of a cell incubated with P16. Pre-existing (Pre) wall is bracketed. C, JIM5-labeled wall after P16 incubation highlighting the label in the ML. D, JIM5 labeling after 36 h of incubation with a high DE pectin fraction (P70). The area where the lattice is

altered is bracketed. E, Transition zone in the wall of a cell incubated with P70. Pre-existing (Pre) wall is bracketed. Arrow indicates fibrillar masses. F, JIM5 labeling after P70 incubation, highlighting the fibrillar masses of the OL and ML. Scale bars: A= 6 μm ; B= 450 nm; C=125 nm; D= 6 μm ; E=500 nm; F= 400 nm.

Figure 10. Pectinase unmasking treatments and to examine RGI distribution and evaluate HG-mediated adhesion. A, Immunolabeling of RGI with the INRA-RU2. Arrows indicate more intense labeling at the isthmus and lateral bands. B, INRA-RU2 labeling after treatment with pectate lyase (PL) for 2 h highlighting non-labeled gaps (arrows). C, Labeling of arabinan with LM6; D, Labeling with LM6 after PL treatment highlighting the non-labeled gaps (arrows). E, LM6 labeling after 2 h of arabinofuranosidase treatment. F, Adhesion assay, showing cells adhering to the surface of a plastic Petri dish, and (G) after pre-treatment with pectin methylesterase (PME) or (H) PL, and (I) after a PL treatment followed by a recovery period in normal growth medium (Rec). Scale bars: A= 12 μm ; B= 8 μm ; C= 7 μm ; D= 9.5 μm ; E= 9.5 μm ; F-I= 5 mm.

Figure 11. Carbohydrate microarray analysis of pectin epitopes from sequentially extracted cell wall material. Extracts sequentially solubilized by CDTA and NaOH from walls of *Penium margaritaceum*, *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Physcomitrella patens* were printed on microarrays and probed with the indicated mAbs. Spot intensities were quantified and the highest value in the dataset was set to 1.0 and others shown as relative values. Standard error bars reflect three individual experiments. RGI, rhamnogalacturonan I.

Figure 12. *Penium* cell wall and wall development model. A, The cell wall comprises three distinct layers: an outer layer (OL) consisting of the homogalacturonan (HG)-rich lattice; 2) an inner (IL) of cellulose; and 3) a medial layer (ML) containing HG and rhamnogalacturonan I (RGI) that traverses the IL. The isthmus zone at the cell center represents the focal point of cell expansion and division, and consists of the IL and ML but not HG-rich OL. B, During development, the inner cellulosic zone forms at the isthmus and highly methyl-esterified HG is secreted through pores in the cellulosic

network of the IL to form the ML. C, HG fibrils ultimately emerge from the ML onto the surface of the isthmus wall where they are demethylesterified, presumably by pectin methylesterase (PME) enzymes. This allows calcium (Ca^{2+}) complexation of HG and the formation of the gel lattice.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure S1. Effects of Ca^{2+} on HG-rich lattice architecture. A, Confocal image of JIM5 labeling of cell grown under low Ca^{2+} conditions. Wall formed prior to incubation (white arrow) maintains the typical lattice versus zones formed after treatment (black arrow). B, JIM5 labeling of lattice after incubation in low Ca^{2+} medium followed by recovery in normal medium. The outer lattice (bracketed) reforms at the isthmus (white arrow) displacing the altered lattice (black arrow) toward the pole. C, TEM image of JIM5 labeled outer cell wall layer (OL) of cell incubated in low Ca^{2+} medium. D, 2F4 labeled OL of cell incubated in low Ca^{2+} medium. E, TEM image of elongated ridges (bracket) of the OL formed when cells are incubated for 24h or more in high Ca^{2+} medium. F, JIM5 labeled wall of cell incubated in high Ca^{2+} medium. G, 2F4 labeled wall of cell incubated in high Ca^{2+} medium. H, JIM5 labeling showing recovery of lattice after incubation in high Ca^{2+} medium followed by recovery in normal medium. The outer lattice (bracketed) reforms in the isthmus (white arrow) displacing the altered lattice (black arrows) toward the poles. A= 6 μm ; B= 6 μm ; C= 250 nm; D= 250 nm; E= 250 nm; F= 300 nm; G= 300 nm; H= 7 μm .

Figure S2. Gelation of *Penium* CDTA-extracted pectin with various cations. 5mg/mL of *Penium* CDTA extract prior to gelation (Control) and gels formed by mixing 5 mg/mL of the CDTA extract with an equal volume of a 50 mM solution of CaCl_2 , SrCl_2 , BaCl_2 , RuCl_2 , CdCl_2 , AlCl_3 , GdCl_3 , LaCl_3 and KCl . Total volume in each drop was 20 μL .

Figure S3. Putative evolutionary tree of the Charophyte green algae. *Penium* is a unicellular member of the Zygnematales, one of the late divergent clades of extant Charophytes (see Leliaert et al., 2012 for more details).

Tables

Table 1. Percentage of cell surface (as surface area; SA) covered by new HG. JIM5 labeled cells were incubated for 48 h in the conditions listed below. After this time non-labeled areas, (the zones of newly deposited HG) in the wall were measured, surface areas determined (Domozych et al., 2011) and % values calculated per whole cell surface area. At least 3 independent sets of 100 cells per treatment were calculated and averaged.

Treatment	% SA of new wall per cell
Control (250 μ M CaCl ₂)	48.2 (+/- 2.5%)
10X Ca ²⁺ (2.5 mM CaCl ₂)	51.3 (+/- 2.7%)
1/10 Ca ²⁺ (25 μ M CaCl ₂)	52.9 (+/- 3.5%)
3U/mL pectate lyase	63.8 (+/- 3.0%)
250 μ g/mL pectin methylesterase	32.0 (+/- 3.2%)
1U arabinase	55.1 (+/- 3.2%)
P16 100 μ g/mL	42.5 (+/- 2.6%)
P70 100 μ g/mL	60.4 (+/- 3.0%)




Figure 1. *Penium* cell wall surface topology. A, VPSEM image of a *Penium* cell showing the lattice of projections made of Ca^{2+} -complexed-HG on the outer surface. The central isthmus zone (white arrow) and secondary lateral bands (black arrows) are indicated. B, FESEM image showing the isthmus zone (bracketed). Arrows highlight examples of fibrils emerging from the isthmus and fusing with the adjacent lattice. C, FESEM image of a lateral band. The arrow indicates the globular edge of the HG lattice with no adjacent fibrils. Scale bars: A= 12 μm ; B= 1.5 μm ; C= 150 nm.




Figure 2. *Penium* cell wall ultrastructure. A, The layers of the *Penium* cell wall (TEM image): the outer layer (OL) consists of the HG lattice, a medial layer (ML) anchors the outer layer to the inner layer (IL). The extracellular polymeric substance (EPS) is present outside the OL. B, The outer lattice of the cell wall after mild treatment with CDTA (50 mM, 4 h). This layer consists of aggregates of tightly packed fibrils (arrow) that form the lattice (arrow; image taken with Darkfield-TEM). Scale bars: A= 250 nm; B= 175 nm.




Figure 3. The inner cellulosic layer of *P. margaritaceum*. A, Fractured cell showing microfibrils on the innermost layer that are mostly aligned perpendicular to the long axis of the cell. B, Network of cellulose microfibrils showing considerable cross-hatching. C, Pores shown distributed throughout the inner wall layer (arrows). All images taken with FESEM. Scale bars: A= 2.8 μm ; B= 100 nm; C= 120 nm.




Figure 4. Immunogold localization of pectin epitopes in the mature wall and isthmus zone. A, JIM5 labeling of the OL and ML. B, LM18 labeling of the OL and ML. C, PAM1 labeling of the OL (black arrow) and ML (white arrow). D, 2F4 labeling of the OL (arrows) but not the ML. E, INRA-RU2 labeling of the ML (arrows). F, Cross section of the isthmus zone (bracketed) showing ML aggregates embedded in the IL that traverse the wall and an absence of the OL (white arrows). G, 2F4 labels the OL (arrows) but is absent in the isthmus (bracket). H, LM18 labeling of the OL and IL of pre-existing wall (black arrows) and the outer part of the isthmus wall (white arrow). I, JIM7 labeling (arrows) of the isthmus wall (bracket). J, INRA-RU2 labeling (arrows) of the isthmus wall (bracket). All images were taken with TEM. Scale bars: A= 250nm; B= 200 nm; C= 150 nm; D= 175 nm; E= 110 nm; F= 225 nm; G= 225 nm; H= 100 nm; I= 200 nm; J= 200nm.




Figure 5. The effect of PME treatment on the pectin network. A, Confocal image of post-PME treated cell labeled with JIM5 showing the altered lattice structure around the isthmus (bracket). B, Post-PME treated cell labeled with 2F4 showing similar pattern (arrow) to that of JIM5 labeling. C, FESEM image of cell surface with contrasting topology of the pre-existing wall (Pre) and after PME treatment (PME). D, Higher magnification FESEM image of the abnormal normal HG lattice after PME incubation. E, TEM image of altered wall of a cell treated with PME for 12 h. The outer layer (OL) no longer has the HG lattice while the inner layer (IL) and medial layer (ML) appear unchanged. F, JIM5 immunogold labeling after treatment with PME for 12 h. Inset shows 2F4 labeling of the OL. G, JIM5 labeling after PME treatment followed by recovery (Rec) in fresh WHM. Area of newly synthesized HG lattice is bracketed. Scale bars: A=15 μm ; B= 5 μm ; C= 4 μm ; D= 400 nm; E= 120 nm; F= 100 nm, inset= 200 nm; G= 6 μm .




Figure 6. Effects of pectate lyase on lattice formation. (A) Confocal image of a cell incubated with pectate lyase (PL) for 4 h and then labeled with JIM5. Arrows indicate areas of wall digestion. B, TEM image of the cell wall showing the degradation of the outer layer (OL) at its intersection with the medial layer (ML; arrow). C, JIM5 immunogold labeling of the interface zone of PL digested wall and undigested wall of a cell treated for 12h. The OL (black arrow) of the undigested zone and ML of both digested and undigested zones (white arrow) are labeled. D, VPSEM image of PL treated cells. Initial digestion of the lattice occurs at lattice-free zones of the cell such as the isthmus and lateral bands (small arrows). Further digestion removes large segments of the outer lattice (large arrow). E, JIM5 labeled cell after 36 h of PL digestion. Only remnants of the lattice remain (arrow). F, JIM5 labeling after the cell is allowed to recover for 8h after 24h of PL treatment. The area of new lattice formation at the isthmus is bracketed. Scale bars: A= 5 μ m; B= 500 nm; C= 550 nm; D= 16 μ m; E= 25 μ m; F= 5 μ m.




Figure 7. Effects of Ca^{2+} on HG lattice architecture. A, Confocal image of JIM5 labeled cell grown in a low Ca^{2+} medium. The swollen zone around the isthmus is bracketed. B, 2F4 labeling of a cell grown in low Ca^{2+} . C, TEM image of the thin, irregular lattice (arrow) in the outer layer (OL) deposited under low Ca^{2+} conditions (ML, medial layer). D, FESEM image showing abnormal OL deposited under low Ca^{2+} conditions (left) and the preexisting lattice (Pre, right) deposited under normal conditions. The arrow indicates the boundary between the two. E, Elongated ridges in the OL lattice deposited under high Ca^{2+} conditions (FESEM). F, JIM5 labeled cell grown in high Ca^{2+} medium. Elongated ridges are indicated with arrows. G, 2F4 labeled cell grown under high Ca^{2+} conditions showing elongated ridges of the OL (arrows). Scale bars: A= 17 μm ; B= 12 μm ; C= 500nm; D= 4 μm ; E= 1.5 μm ; F= 6 μm ; G= 10 μm .




Figure 8. High resolution imaging of CDTA extracted *Penium* HG polymers and their gelation by Ca^{2+} . A, Confocal image of a JIM5 labeled cell incubated for 2h with CDTA. B, TEM image of CDTA extracted pectin. Arrows indicates branched structures. Inset shows magnification of the red boxed area. C, Immunogold labeling of CDTA extracted pectin with JIM5 (arrows). D, Light microscope (LM) image of the 5 mg/mL solution of *Penium* CDTA extract. E, LM image of the gel formed after mixing the *Penium* CDTA extract with equal volume of 50 mM CaCl_2 . Scale bars: A= 15 μm ; B= 200 nm, inset box 50 nm;(C= 160 nm; D= 2 mm; E= 2mm).




Figure 9. The effect of exogenous pectins on the pectin network. A, JIM5 immunolabeling after a 36 h incubation with a low degree of esterification (DE) pectin fraction (P16). The area where the lattice is absent is bracketed. B, TEM image of the transition zone (pre- and post-P16 incubation) showing outer (OL), medial (ML) and inner (IL) layers of a cell incubated with P16. Pre-existing (Pre) wall is bracketed. C, JIM5-labeled wall after P16 incubation highlighting the label in the ML. D, JIM5 labeling after 36 h of incubation with a high DE pectin fraction (P70). The area where the lattice is altered is bracketed. E, Transition zone in the wall of a cell incubated with P70. Pre-existing (Pre) wall is bracketed. Arrow indicates fibrillar masses. F, JIM5 labeling after P70 incubation, highlighting the fibrillar masses of the OL and ML. Scale bars: A= 6 μ m; B= 450 nm; C=125 nm; D= 6 μ m; E=500 nm; F= 400 nm.




Figure 10. Pectinase unmasking treatments and to examine RGI distribution and evaluate HG-mediated adhesion. A, Immunolabeling of RGI with the INRA-RU2. Arrows indicate more intense labeling at the isthmus and lateral bands. B, INRA-RU2 labeling after treatment with pectate lyase (PL) for 2 h highlighting non-labeled gaps (arrows). C, Labeling of arabinan with LM6; D, Labeling with LM6 after PL treatment highlighting the non-labeled gaps (arrows). E, LM6 labeling after 2 h of arabinofuranosidase treatment. F, Adhesion assay, showing cells adhering to the surface of a plastic petri dish, and (G) after pre-treatment with pectin methylesterase (PME) or (H) PL, and (I) after a PL treatment followed by a recovery period in normal growth medium (Rec). Scale bars: A= 12 μm; B= 8 μm; C= 7 μm; D= 9.5 μm; E= 9.5 μm; F-I= 5 mm.




Figure 11. Carbohydrate microarray analysis of pectin epitopes from sequentially extracted cell wall material. Extracts sequentially solubilized by CDTA and NaOH from walls of *Penium margaritaceum*, *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Physcomitrella patens* were printed on microarrays and probed with the indicated mAbs. Spot intensities were quantified and the highest value in the dataset was set to 1.0 and others shown as relative values. Standard error bars reflect three individual experiments. RGI, rhamnogalacturonan I.




Figure 12. *Penium* cell wall and wall development model. A, The cell wall comprises three distinct layers: an outer layer (OL) consisting of homogalacturonan (HG) lattice; 2) an inner (IL) of cellulose; and 3) a medial layer (ML) containing HG and rhamnogalacturonan I (RGI) that traverses the IL. The isthmus zone at the cell center represents the focal point of cell expansion and division, and consists of the IL and ML but not HG-rich OL. B, During development, the inner cellulosic zone forms at the isthmus and highly methyl-esterified HG is secreted through pores in the cellulosic network of the IL to form the ML. C, HG fibrils ultimately emerge from the ML onto the surface of the isthmus wall where they are demethylated, presumably by pectin methylesterase (PME) enzymes. This allows calcium (Ca^{2+}) complexation of HG and the formation of the gel lattice.