

Provided by the author(s) and University of Galway in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite the published version when available.

Title	The pyrolysis of 2-methylfuran: a quantum chemical, statistical rate theory and kinetic modelling study
Author(s)	Somers, Kieran P.; Simmie, John M.; Metcalfe, Wayne K.; Curran, Henry J.
Publication Date	2014-01-27
Publication Information	Somers, Kieran P., Simmie, John M., Metcalfe, Wayne K., & Curran, Henry J. (2014). The pyrolysis of 2-methylfuran: a quantum chemical, statistical rate theory and kinetic modelling study. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 16(11), 5349- 5367. doi: 10.1039/C3CP54915A
Publisher	Royal Society of Chemistry
Link to publisher's version	http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C3CP54915A
Item record	http://hdl.handle.net/10379/6114
DOI	http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c3cp54915a

Downloaded 2024-05-23T17:40:18Z

Some rights reserved. For more information, please see the item record link above.



Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics



The Pyrolysis of 2-Methylfuran: A Quantum Chemical, Statistical Rate Theory and Kinetic Modelling Study

Journal:	Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics			
Manuscript ID:	CP-ART-11-2013-054915.R1			
Article Type:	Paper			
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a			
Complete List of Authors:	Somers, Kieran; NUI Galway, School of Chemistry Simmie, John; NUI Galway, School of Chemistry Curran, Henry; NUI Galway, School of Chemistry; National University of Ireland, Chemistry Department Metcalfe, Wayne; National University of Ireland, Chemistry Department			
Note: The following files were submitted by the author for peer review, but cannot be converted to PDF. You must view these files (e.g. movies) online.				
PDF. You must view these files (e.g. movies) online. 2MF_Ab_initio.tex All_Molecular_Data.dat NUIG_ALKYLFURANS.MECH NUIG_ALKYLFURANS.THERM NUIG_ALKYLFURANS.TRAN NUIG_ALKYLFURANS_FLAMES.MECH				

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

Foreword

We are very grateful for the input of those who have taken the time to review our work; their comments should serve to improve the quality and presentation of the manuscript.

Referee 1 expressed the opinion that the quality of the work is high and that they were in favour of publication, after addressing minor queries. Reviewer 2 said the manuscript was well-written, comprehensive and had no revisions other than aesthetic ones. Referee 3 insisted the study was an original, standalone piece of work which should be accepted with revisions so minor that they did not need to re-review the work.

We feel we have addressed all comments both in the revised manuscript and in this document. Below, reviewers' comments are in black font and our response is in blue. Lines directly quoted from the updated manuscript are underlined and italicized for the editors/referees convenience.

Referee:

This paper presents a computational study of the pyrolysis of 2-Methylfuran. The approach adopted combines quantum chemistry calculations with master equation/RRKM simulations and some kinetic modeling. On the whole, it is my opinion that the quality of this work is high and I am therefore favorable to its publication. I think however that there are a few points that need to be fixed before recommending this work for publication. I list them below.

1)The paper is long. The authors know that and have already done a good job in moving a lot of material to SI. However I think that 24 pages are still too much. I suggest the authors to move some more material to the SI.

We have taken the referees advice and adopted effectively all of their requests. The new manuscript has been shortened by ~4 pages in length to 22 pages (some reviewers asked for extra detail in some cases so this added another ~2 pages). A recent similar study on the unimolecular decomposition of 2,5-dimethylfuran published in PCCP (Sirjean and Fournet, Phys. Chem.

1

Chem. Phys, 2013, 15, 596–611) was 16 pages in length. Bearing in mind that 2-MF has twice as many reaction pathways as 25DMF, and that we include a detailed kinetic modelling section to validate our calculations, 22 pages is not an unreasonable length for an encompassing discussion on the pyrolysis of 2-MF.

Though I leave to them the final choice of which materials to move, I suggest the following: part of the method section, for example the canonical TST equation and its description as well as the detailed description of the treatment of torsional motions;

We have removed the description of canonical TST from pages 5—6 of the original manuscript and as well as the treatment of torsional modes from page 6 of the original manuscript, as requested.

the PES of Figure 1 and its description (if I understood correctly, the reactivity on this PES is not relevant to the global reactivity of 2-Methylfuran);

We have taken the referees advice and moved this PES and its description to ESI and added the following paragraph to the start of this section to guide the reader (page 5, column 1):

"Potential energy surfaces for the formation and decomposition of θ carbenes are depicted in Figures 1 and 2 with corresponding rate constants (k_5-k_{13}) reported in Table 1. Unimolecular decomposition of 2MF through α carbene intermediates was found to be uncompetitive with the θ -carbene pathways due to due to the higher energy barriers encountered on their potential energy surfaces. A detailed discussion on these pathways can be found in ESI with the rate constants computed for these pathways (k_1-k_4) reported in Table 1."

the least important of the H-addition reactions of section 3.3. I also suggest to decrease the level of description of the PESs in the text, as the PES figures are almost self explanatory.

Again, we move these potential energy surfaces, discussions, and corresponding tables of rate constants, to supplementary material. We keep section 3.3 in the main text but refer the reader to ESI (page 14, column 2):

"Despite the rate constants for the initial hydrogen atom addition reactions at C-3 and C-4 being competitive with addition at C-2 and C-5, subsequent ring opening reactions are less facile, and these addition pathways are thus uncompetitive. For brevity in the main text, potential energy surfaces for hydrogen atom addition at C-3 and C-4 are shown and described in detail in ESI, along with relevant rate constants, k_{41} - k_{52} and k_{54} - k_{59} ."

2)The author did not report how barrierless reactions were treated. Is it variational TST? Some comment is needed in the text (or in the SI).

Barrierless reactions were treated using an automatic feature of the ChemRate code where transition state properties are generated from the frequencies and rotational constants of the reactants and products. We did not use variational TST. The C-H fission reaction of 2MF was shown to be very minor based on our initial computations, and a full investigation of this reaction with high-level theory (Multi-reference quantum chemical calculations + variational TST) is probably not necessary as a result.

We include the following statement in the updated manuscript (page 8, column 1):

"Transition state properties (frequencies, rotational constants) for the C–H fission process have been generated automatically by the ChemRate code based on the properties of the reactants and products for the reaction and the microscopic rate constants, k(E), were then determined from the estimated transition state properties. k(E) were subsequently altered by an empirical fitting factor such that the high-pressure limiting rate constant described above was replicated at every temperature. The enthalpy of activation for the process was assumed equal to the the enthalpy of reaction at 0 K."

3)It is not clear why the authors have chosen a constant DEdown. There is now agreement that is should be temperature dependent. I think it would be useful if the authors could do some additional simulations on one of the most important PESs to check how this parameters affects the calculated rate constants.

 $<\Delta E_d>$ remains a rather empirical factor in RRKM models as energy transfer data is sparse in the literature and short of insightful kinetic experiments against which $<\Delta E_d>$ can be optimised, empirical estimates are still rather frequent. We have based our constant $<\Delta E_d>$ = 1000 cm⁻¹ on similar studies in the recent literature for other aromatic compounds where constant $<\Delta E_d>$ values have also been used ranging from 500—2000 cm⁻¹ and have given a brief discussion in the text (page 4, column 2):

"For all simulations, the average energy transferred in a deactivating collision, $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle$, was assumed to have a temperature-independent value of 1000 cm⁻¹. Many recent literature studies have assumed constant $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle$ values for similar systems (large aromatic molecules). $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle$ estimates have ranged from 2000 cm⁻¹ for the benzyl radical [50,51], 1000 cm⁻¹ for a C7 heterocyclic ring [53], 718 cm⁻¹ for a C10H10 biheterocycle [52], 500 cm⁻¹ for pyrazole [54], fulveneallene [55] and benzyl hydroperoxide [56], and 260 cm⁻¹ for 2,5-dimethylfuran [29–31] and furan [65]. Other recent studies have assumed temperature-dependent energy transfer parameters [57,58]. There is still remaining uncertainty in these energy transfer parameters but our empirical estimate of 1000 cm⁻¹ lies well within the range of values adopted in recent literature studies. Some test calculations were carried out with $<\Delta E_d>$ = 500 cm⁻¹ and $<\Delta E_d>$ = 2000 cm⁻¹ to illustrate the variation in computed rate constants. The results are found in ESI and they show less than a factor of 2 variation in the computed rate constants for unimolecular decomposition pathways, and a factor of 2-3 variation in computed rate constants for major chemically activated pathways."

We have also included test calculations on the 2MF+H system and a unimolecular decomposition pathway of 2MF where $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle$ was varied ± a factor of two (500 and 2000 cm⁻¹) with results presented in ESI.

4)Reactions passing through TS3 and TS4 are of the Diels-Alder type. In this case a single reference method such CBS-QB3 or G3 is usually not a good choice to calculate barriers. This should be mentioned in the text. Reporting T1 diagnostic for TS3 and TS4 would also be useful. Luckily, if I got it right, these reactions are not competitive with the other channels for the system under study.

The reviewer is correct in that these pathways are insignificant. We have computed the T1 diagnostics for TS3 and TS4 and the resulting values are <0.02, thus indicating that multi-reference effects are negligible. We include the following statement in this section of the supplementary material:

"TS3 and TS4 correspond to those for Diels-Alder type reactions and multireference effects may be of importance. T1 diagnostics were therefore computed and found to be 0.019 for TS3 and 0.018 for TS4, thus indicating that the single-reference methods used suffice."

5)I see that the authors claim that they are able to compute phenomenological rate constants from a stochastic simulation. I am curious to know how they are able to distinguish between reaction channels starting from the same well that lead to stabilization of intermediate wells and reaction channels that pass through the well and proceed directly to form the products (in other terms, with reference to SI Table 1, how do they distinguish between M1 -> M9 and M1 -> P10 + P16 (BTW, I think there is a misspelling M10/P10 in the table) and why not, M1 -> P10+P15, M1 -> M8, M1 -> P3 +P4 (why are they not included?)).

The referee makes a good point. For the "chemically activated" reactions 2MF+H -> wells/bimolecular products, phenomenological rate constants can be determined from the concentration-time histories computed using the Multiwell code via the thermal decay method described by Pinches and da Silva. In this sense, the rate constants we report for 2MF+H -> stabilised wells/formally direct bimolecular products are "phenomenological"/"apparent" (which is what we state in the paper).

For multiple-well "thermally-activated" rate constants (the ones which the reviewer is specifically referring to above), the extraction of these apparent rate constants is much more difficult (to the extent that we cannot do it). We wrote to Prof. John Barker (the developer of the Multiwell code) at the outset of the project to get the best advice on how to achieve what the reviewer is asking, as we too were curious on how to do so. John told us:

"I don't know if it is possible to extract all of the possible rate constants from a complicated stochastic simulation, but it might be. I'd like to find out. It will almost certainly require applying several different methods and carrying out multiple simulations under the same physical conditions of T,[M]."

He was clearly not quite sure on how and he is quite familiar with the state of the art in RRKM/ME methods. From what he told us, for a stochastic simulation of a multiple-well multiple-pathway thermally activated system, there is no ironclad description in the literature on how to extract phenomenological rate constants.

We fully understand why the reviewer is curious when we made this claim, but we did only make this claim for the reaction 2MF+H -> products, and not the reactions 2MF(+M)->intermediates(+M)->products(+M).

So, for the thermally activated systems we have investigated, we cannot seperate the reaction sequence M1->M9->P3+P4 into the two reactions (a) M1->M9, and (b) M1->P3+P4. We simply include pressure dependent rate constants from M1->M9 and in turn M9>M10 etc. based on calculations with the ChemRate code.

Ultimately our method for calculating the rate constants for 2MF+H->products are the same as a recent study by Sirjean and Fournet for 2,5dimethylfuran+H (Proc. Combust. Inst., 2013, 34, 241–249.). Rate constants for our thermally activated systems use the same procedure as two recent works by Sirjean and Fournet on the thermal reactions of 2,5-dimethylfuran (Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys, 2013, 15, 596–611) and the 5-methyl-2furanylmethyl radical (J. Phys. Chem. A, 2012, 116, 6675–6684.).

We hope that this clarifies the matter. With respect to other queries:

RE: The typo in the table in ESI (M10/P10), the reaction M1->M10+P17 is correct, it is the C-H fission process, so there is no typo.

RE: Why the reactions M1 -> P10 + P15 and M1 -> P10 + P16 do not have rate constants in the ESI? Even if we could extract these rate constants from our simulations (see previous discussion), they are not competitive with other product channels (P13+P14).

RE: The reaction M1->M8 (and the similar M1->M5), these are not included as we have simplified the potential energy surface for M1 decomposition as stated in the original manuscript (page 7, column 2)

"A number of simplifications are made to the potential energy surface for decomposition through 6-carbenes. Both M4 and M7 are omitted from the RRKM/ME calculations as preliminary calculations showed that collisional stabilisation is inefficient for these species, owing to their shallow well depth. Only a single rotamer of 2,3-pentadiene-1-al (M6) and of 3,4-pentadiene-2one (M9) is included in this analysis for simplicity."

6)I suggest to make the numbering of the reaction kx and that of the Tstates TSy coincide. It would help a lot the readability of the paper.

We have implemented the reviewers request and it certainly improves readability. We have added the following sentence at the start of the Results and Discussion section to guide the reader (page 5, column 1):

"In all subsequent sections, the subscript (x) used to enumerate tabulated rate constants (k_x) corresponds with the number assigned to the transition state (TSx) for that reaction on a given potential energy surface. If a reaction does not have an accompanying potential energy surface (abstraction reactions or literature rate constants), other shorthand notations are used."

Referee:

CommentstotheAuthorThis is a well written comprehenive manuscript. I suggest the 3D structures of
the transition structures to be displayed either on the PES or in the SI.

We have included the geometries (Cartesian co-ordinates) of all stationary points in the ESI, which the reader can readily copy and paste into graphical visualisation software if they wish to analyse and manipulate these structures in 3-D. The ESI is already quite large (>100 pages), so it is probably best not to add another 50—100 pages with 2-D structures.

2

Referee:

CommentstotheAuthorReview of Somers et al on 2-methylfuran

This paper presents theoretical kinetic and kinetic modeling studies of 2methylfuran, a potential biofuel. In the process of doing so the authors present a large number of results spanning mechanism, determination of rate constants and their pressure dependence, and Master Equation modeling, so it is certainly a stand-alone work. The work is very carefully done, original, and will be of interest to a number of investigators in physical chemistry. The paper is well written and provides detailed comparison with experiments. It conveys a number of insights about mechanism and the connection between kinetics and thermodynamics as applied to this system. This paper should be accepted with minor revision (no need to re-review).

I have some comments and corrections, which mostly range from minor to very minor. Page numbers start with the first page of the formatted manuscript.

Page 1, column 2, line 5: "re-think" seems colloquial

Changed to "re-consideration"

Page 2-3: The discussion of previous work provides more detail than necessary here. Much of the information presented is not directly referred to later on. This is especially true of the apparent 1st order rate constants for loss of 2MF. These might be interesting to compare in a graph later on (or in the ESI) but don't work so well as text.

The reviewer is probably correct, and given that reviewer 1 also wanted the paper be shortened we have removed the detailed description of the work of Grela et al. and Bruinsma et al. and their pseudo-first order rate constants. Their experiments are not useful in our validation work as their experimental conditions are not well-defined. Page 2, column 2, in discussing the work of Sendt "to energetic" should be "too endothermic"

Amended, page 2, column 1.

Page 3, column 1, in discussing the QRRK/MSC approach used in reference 32: It would be good to briefly comment on the differences in accuracy between the QRRK/MSC and RRKM/ME approaches.

We have added the following paragraphs (page 2, column 2—page 3, column 1) to highlight the two key differences between the QRRK/MSC and RRKM/ME approaches

"The QRRK/MSC approach varies from the RRKM/ME in two key respects. The first is that the former derives vibrational frequencies for reactants, and subsequent sums and densities of states, from a three-frequency fit to molecular heat capacities [34]. Microscopic rate constants, k(E), are in turn computed from the density of states of the reactant molecule together with an estimate of the high-pressure limiting rate constant for the reaction via the Inverse Laplace Transform (ILT) method. No knowledge of the transition state properties is required in this approach, although the high-pressure limiting rate constants can be computed from canonical transition state theory as was done in the work of Somers et al. [32] . The RRKM method derives sums and densities of states for reactants and transition states, and subsequently k(E), from more fundamental molecular ro-vibrational data typically obtained from quantum chemical calculations.

The second major difference lies in the approach to collisional energy transfer. Both the MSC and ME approaches assume that collisions occur based on the Lennard-Jones collision frequency. However, the MSC approximation assumes that every collision is completely activating or de-activating, and the Lennard-Jones collision frequency is simply altered by a weak-collision efficiency factor, B, which reduces the total rate of collisions in order to account for the fact that activation and de-activation require many collisions. The ME approach explicitly considers the transfer of energy between different energy levels and is thus a more physically realistic and accurate model for CET." Page 3 and elsewhere: "this work" is italicized for reasons that are unclear to me.

The emphasis indicates that this particular work is being referred to whereas "this work" can be referring to other studies; the English language is ambiguous in this regard and requires additional clarification by way of emphasis.

Page 3, Computational Methods: "6-31+G(d,P)" should be "6-31+G(d,p)"

Amended, page 3, column 2.

Page 4, column 1, the last full paragraph: the middle 50% of this paragraph seems to repeat itself a bit, and probably could be compressed somewhat without loss of clarity.

We have condensed this paragraph by using a scientific shorthand/symbology (page 4, column 1):

"Standard state enthalpies of formation ($\Delta_{f}H \ominus$) of all C5H6O species are computed based on the $\Delta_{f}H \ominus$ of 2-methylfuran²³, and $\Delta_{R}H^{0}$ (0 K) with subsequent extrapolation via enthalpy functions ($H_{T}-H_{0}$). $\Delta_{f}H \ominus$ of 'C5H7O radicals are derived from $\Delta_{f}H^{0}$ of 2MF and 'H atom and $\Delta_{R}H^{0}$. For the 2furanylmethyl radical, $\Delta_{f}H^{0}$ (78.07 kJ mol-1) is determined from $\Delta_{R}H^{0}$ (85.11 kJ mol-1) for the reaction 2MF -> 'H + 2-furanylmethyl and the known $\Delta_{f}H \ominus$ of 2MF and 'H atom. $\Delta_{f}H \ominus$ of all other 'C5H5O radicals are computed relative to 2- furanylmethyl radical" Page 4, column 2, 10 lines from the top: What was the test of numerical convergence?

A detailed description of the uncertainties associated with the stochastic method employed in the Barker Multiwell code is found in reference 47 of the manuscript and the manual of the Multiwell code, but to briefly demonstrate, below is a graph of two simulations for the reaction of 2MF+H (C2 addition) at 2.5 atm and 1250 K. The solid lines are simulations performed with 20,000,000 stochastic trials, the dashed lines were performed with 500,000 stochastic trials. With 20,000,000 trials the stochastic 'noise' is much reduced for minor reaction channels (M20 for instance)



Rate constants can be extracted through linear fitting of the thermal decay region found in concentration-time profiles as in the graph overleaf (lower noise = more accurate linear fits). The method is described in further detail in the paper of Pinches and da Silva, Int. J. Chem. Kin, 2013, 45, 387–396.

20,000,000 stochastic trials gives good linearity in our fitted rate constants (it is also tending to an upper limit of what is computationally feasible.)



In summary, numerical convergence may have been the wrong term to use, we have changed the manuscript to highlight this by replacing "<u>in order to</u> <u>achieve numerical convergence</u>" with "<u>in order to minimise stochastic</u> <u>uncertainty for minor reaction pathways</u>" (page 4, column 1)

Page 4, column 2, 6 lines from the bottom: the value of $\langle _Ed \rangle$ is higher than what I recall seeing in other work. Some justification for this choice (at least a reference) would be good.

Referee number 1 had a similar query and references have been included as requested, please refer to Page 4, column 2, second paragraph of the manuscript.

Page 4, column 2, 4 lines from the bottom, describes how LJ parameters were determined for "2MF and its derivatives", and the reader is left to assume the same approach was used for fragments and the products of H-atom addition to 2MF.

Sentence added on page 4 column 2, second paragraph:

"<u>All intermediates from 2MF decomposition, 2-furanylmethyl radical</u> <u>decomposition, and hydrogen atom addition pathways are assumed to have</u> <u>the same Lennard-Jones parameters.</u>"

A general comment: the three composite methods appear to agree very well with each other. This suggests an absence of multi-reference character/strong electron correlation, an issue which might be worth noting.

Line added on page 3 column 2:

"The three methods tend to give good agreement with each other, possibly indicating an absence of multi-reference character in the systems studied."

Page 5, the very last sentence before Section 3. I am not sure of the point of this sentence or the relevance of reference 53 here. Ref 53 does not mention the "Speed v0.72" code mentioned here.

The reviewer is correct, this is the recommended reference which ships with the code, but as they say, there is no reference to the code in the cited paper. We have removed this line and reference from the manuscript.

Page 5, Table 1 and the paragraph starting 3 lines below it: Specify that results are CBS-QB3

Amended, this section has been moved to ESI as requested by referee 1.

Page 5, column 2 gives an error bar for a reaction enthalpy. The authors should specify if this is 1 s.d. or 2.

Line added to computational methods section (page 3, column 2):

"Where uncertainties in quantum chemical calculations are reported in the text, it is to one standard deviation."

Page 5 column 2, in describing the failure of composite methods to find certain intermediates. It would be helpful to add the method used in the geometry optimizations at these points, since the failure is of a single method/basis set rather than of the composite method as a whole.

We have made a note of the model chemistry for the optimisation step in brackets at this point in the text (page 5, column 1).

Page 5 column 2, paragraph ending 11.6 •} 0.3 kJ/mol: It would be better to specify that this is _Hf

We assume the reviewer means $\Delta_r H$ (enthalpy of reaction), which is what we are reporting is equal to 11.6 +- 0.3 kJ/mol. We have re-computed our average and standard deviation (having found a minor error) and amended the text as follows (page 5, column 2)

<u>"...with Δ_rH = 11.9 +- 0.7 kJ/mol."</u>

Page 6, column 1, 6 lines from bottom: It would be good to specify _S# here, rather than at the end of the sentence.

Amended in manuscript (page 5, column 2).

Page 6, column 2, the paragraph that begins "Figure 4": It would be good to mention Tables 1 and 2 here.

Amended in manuscript (page 6, column 1)

Page 8, column 1, analogy with benzyl: I feel the authors should add (here rather than later) that they use Keq and the recombination rate constant to determine the addition rate constant.

Amended in manuscript, paragraph rearranged (page 7, column 2)

"From an estimated recombination rate constant of 9.25×10^{13} T^{-0.01} exp(-96.9/T) cm³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ and the equilibrium constant for the reaction 2furanylmethyl + 'H atom <-> 2MF, a high-pressure limiting rate constant of 3.37×10^{15} T^{-0.01} exp(-44026/T) s⁻¹ for the dissociation reaction is computed through microscopic reversibility"

Page 8, column 1, last sentence of the paragraph referred to above: "The barrierless association" should be "The rate constant of the barrierless association"

Amended in manuscript (page 8, column 1).

Page 8, column 2, the one-sentence paragraph:

a) "Figure 6(a)" should be "As shown in Figure 6(a)"

Amended in manuscript (page 8, column 1)

b) It might help the reader to specify that M3 is the α -carbene.

Amended in manuscript (page 8, column 1)

c) It may be easier for the reader to interpret the word "and" if

"the formation of CH2CO and CHCCH through M3, and the simple fission of a C–H bond" is

changed to:

"the simple fission of a C–H bond and the formation of CH2CO and CHCCH through M3"

Amended in manuscript (page 8, column 1)

Page 9, Figure 6:

a) This is the Temperature dependence, not the pressure dependence.

Amended in manuscript (page 8, column 2)

b) It would help the reader, here and elsewhere, to specify the nature of the products rather than the number. Where this approach takes up too much space, it may still be possible to label pathways as, for example, α - versus β -carbene.

Extra detail has been added to the figure caption to clarify this matter (page 8, column 2).

Page 9, 2 lines below the caption to Figure 6: this is the temperature, not the pressure dependence.

Amended in manuscript (page 8, column 2).

Page 9, column 2, line 3: the CH=CCH2• species is written as •CH=C=CH2 in Figure 3. It would be better to be consistent.

Amended in manuscript (page 8, column 2).

Page 9, column 2, start of Section 3.2: "Relevant computations" might be better as "Results of thermochemical and kinetic calculations" and "described" as "listed"

Amended in manuscript (page 9, column 1).

Page 9, column 2, 5th line of Section 3.2: There is an extra space before the period.

Amended in manuscript (page 9, column 1).

Page 9, column 2, 14th line of Section 3.2: "form" should be "from"

Amended in manuscript (page 9, column 1).

Page 10, column 2, last line: "implied from" should be "inferred from" or "implied by"

Amended in manuscript (page 9, column 2).

Page 11, column 1, 4 lines from the bottom: "resonant forms" is a potentially misleading description. Perhaps it would be better to write "resonance structures that lead to different configurational isomers" or some such.

Amended in manuscript (page 9, column 2).

Page 11, column 2, sentence starting on line 2: I suggest starting the sentence with "M15" and putting "M13" where "M15" is currently.

Amended in manuscript (page 11, column 1).

Page 13, column 2, middle: Figure 17 is listed prior to listing Figures 14-16.

Amended in manuscript, Figure 17 is now Figure 13 (page 15) and other Figures are re-numbered.

Page 13, column 2, 9 lines from bottom: "raidcal" should be "radical"

Amended in manuscript (page 14, column 2)

Page 13, column 2, last line: The issue of resonance stabilization was expressed previously, and does not need repeating (although it does not hurt).

We leave it as is, to jog the readers memory.

Page 14, Figure 12: Although not shown or discussed, M18 and M21 are conformers of each other, and M19 and M22 are conformers of each other. Their interconversion would have no effect on the kinetics, but it seems odd not to show the TS connecting each pair.

For isomerisation of M18<->M21 or M19<->M22, rotation about a double bond would have to occur. The double bond is highlighted as orange below (1-2) in a CBS-QB3 (B3LYP/CBSB7) optimisation of M21. The double bond character would make it very difficult for them to interconvert we believe. The influence of this on the kinetics as the reviewer says is probably quite minor also.



Page 14, Figure 12: M18, M19, M21, and M22 all have resonance structures with radical centers on the oxygen atom. Was there consideration of the possible reactions implied by that resonance structure? I do not see anything that looks likely to compete with the processes already described, but quantum chemistry sometimes challenges our intuition!

The reaction M18->M26 was considered as a possible reaction of the oxygen centered radical, but subsequent reactions of M26 were found to be uncompetitive.

Page 17, Start of Section 3.3.3: It would be good to point out similarities and contrasts to the reactions following H-addition to C-2. The pathways start out the same but then get very different, and while both produce CO, in one case the co-product is an allylic radical and in another case it is a vinylic radical.

We include a short paragraph at the end of Section 3.3.3 (page 16, column 1).

"In terms of the contrast between the addition of a hydrogen atom at the C-2 and C-5 positions of 2-methylfuran, both include a demethylation step with simultaneous formation of a C_4H_4O isomer. The relative stability of the furan ring is reflected in the fact that hydrogen atom addition forming a methyl radical and furan (C-2 addition), faces a much lower barrier than that forming a methyl radical and vinyl ketene (C-5 addition). Likewise, the elimination of CO is a common feature upon hydrogen atom addition at C-2/C-5. In both instances, the CO elimination process is quite rapid despite the differing nature of the co-products formed from these reactions (C-2 addition produces a vinylic radical, C-5 addition produces an allylic radical), and it is the hydrogen atom transfer reactions which precede the decarbonylation step, which will determine whether this process will ultimately occur."

Page 17, column 2, 3rd paragraph: The process M46 \rightarrow M47 is not described.

Amended, (page 15, column 2).

<u>"M46 can rearrange via β-scission (TS68) to form an aldehydic radical.."</u>

Page 17, column 2, start of the 5th paragraph: "An analysis using RRKM/ME analysis theory" is redundant.

Amended in manuscript (page 16, column 1).

Page 20, Figure 19: the most noteworthy discrepancy between experiment and the present model is the time history of formaldehyde, but this is not commented on.

Formaldehyde ($CH_2=O$) was not detected in the study of Lifshitz et al., and we assume the reviewer is referring to ketene ($CH_2=C=O$, perhaps they misread the figure legend in Figure 16(d)?), for which we have given a detailed discussion of possible reasons for the discrepancy (Figure 17 and page 19 column 1 second paragraph).



Pyrolysis of 2-methylfuran is initiated by hydrogen atom transfer reactions via β -carbene intermediates. 1357x1038mm (72 x 72 DPI)

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx XXX

The Pyrolysis of 2-Methylfuran: A Quantum Chemical, Statistical Rate Theory and Kinetic Modelling Study^{\dagger}

Kieran P. Somers,*^a John M. Simmie,^a Wayne K. Metcalfe^a and Henry J. Curran^a

Received Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX First published on the web Xth XXXXXXXX 200X DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

Due to the rapidly growing interest in the use of biomass derived furanic compounds as potential platform chemicals and fossil fuel replacements, there is a simultaneous need to understand the pyrolysis and combustion properties of such molecules. To this end, the potential energy surfaces for the pyrolysis relevant reactions of the biofuel candidate 2-methylfuran have been characterized using quantum chemical methods (CBS-QB3, CBS-APNO and G3). Canonical transition state theory is employed to determine the high-pressure limiting kinetics, k(T), of elementary reactions. Rice-Ramsperger-Kassel-Marcus theory with an energy grained master equation is used to compute pressure dependent rate constants, k(T, p), and product branching fractions for the multiple-well, multiple-channel reaction pathways which typify the pyrolysis reactions of the title species. The unimolecular decomposition of 2-methylfuran is shown to proceed via hydrogen atom transfer reactions through singlet carbene intermediates which readily undergo ring opening to form collisionally stabilised acyclic C_5H_6O isomers before further decomposition to C_1 - C_4 species. Rate constants for abstraction by the hydrogen atom and methyl radical are reported with abstraction from the alkyl side chain calculated to dominate. The fate of the primary abstraction product, 2-furanylmethyl radical, is shown to be thermal decomposition to the *n*-butadienyl radical and carbon monoxide through a series of ring opening and hydrogen atom transfer reactions. The dominant bimolecular products of hydrogen atom addition reactions are found to be furan and methyl radical, 1butene-1-yl radical and carbon monoxide and vinyl ketene and methyl radical. A kinetic mechanism is assembled with computer simulations in good agreement with shock tube speciation profiles taken from the literature. The kinetic mechanism developed herein can be used in future chemical kinetic modelling studies on the pyrolysis and oxidation of 2-methylfuran, or the larger molecular structures for which it is a known pyrolysis/combustion intermediate (e.g. cellulose, coals, 2,5-dimethylfuran).

1 Introduction

Dwindling energy reserves, increasing global energy demands and prices, and the threat of irreversible climate change have led to major research efforts focusing on the development of renewable energy sources, which can supplant fossil derived products and meet future demands in an economically, environmentally and socially sustainable manner.

Ethanol is currently the leading biofuel which offers an alternative to gasoline in the transportation sector, with 83.1 billion litres produced in 2012, primarily in Brazil and North America¹. However, first-generation production methods which compete with food for feedstocks coupled with a low energy density, high vapor pressure, and complete miscibility with water have led to a re-consideration of its viability as a fossil fuel replacement².

Román-Leshkov and co-workers³ reported a catalytic strategy for the conversion of fructose to 2,5-dimethylfuran (25DMF) *via* the platform chemical hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) in 2007, with a host of research since following on processes for the conversion of waste biomass into HMF and in turn furanic based fuels^{4–8}.

25DMF has an energy density³ of 31.5 MJ L^{-1} which is similar to a typical gasoline and a high boiling point, low water solubility, and the ability to use it in current internal combustion engines^{9,10} makes it compatible with current infrastructure. These properties make 25DMF desirable when compared with ethanol, whose lower energy density, boiling point, and greater water solubility prove problematic, although engine tests^{9,10} have shown that ethanol performs better than 25DMF in terms of knock resistance and hydrocarbon, nitrous oxide, carbon monoxide and particulate emissions.

This journal is @ The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

^a Combustion Chemistry Centre, National University of Ireland, Galway, Galway, Republic of Ireland. E-mail: k.somers1@nuigalway.ie

[†] Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [Tabulated pressureand temperature-dependent rate constants derived from RRKM/ME computations; Potential energy surfaces for minor reaction pathways; Tabulated electronic energies (0 K and 298.15 K) and geometries for all stationary points; Frequencies, rotational constants and other information used in TST/RRKM computations are provided as input files compatible with the Thermo module of the Multiwell Program Suite; A comparison of high-pressure limiting rate constants reported in the work of Davis and Sarathy³⁵ with *this work*. The Chemkin-PRO format kinetics, thermochemistry and transport properties files used in the numerical modelling of all experiments; Results of computational modelling of literature burning velocities ^{32,64}, ignition delay times ^{32,39} and flame speciation measurements ³⁶]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

Recently 2-methylfuran (2MF) has received similar attention to 25DMF as a possible alternative biofuel, with novel production methods under development⁸. Engine tests have ensued with Thewes et al.¹¹ and Wang et al.¹² studying the performance of 2MF in direct-injection spark-ignition engines. Thewes et al.¹¹ found that 2MF has excellent combustion stability, particularly in cold conditions, with hydrocarbon emission reductions of at least 61% compared to a conventional research octane number 95 fuel. Wang et al.¹² found that 2MF has a greater thermal efficiency than gasoline and 25DMF, that its overall regulated emissions are comparable with 25DMF, and that its aldehyde emissions are much lower than gasoline and ethanol.

Lifshitz and co-workers studied the decomposition of furan, 2MF and 25DMF^{13–15} behind reflected shock waves at temperatures of 1050–1460 K, pressure of 1.5–3.0 atmospheres and residence times of 2 ms. Gas chromatography and mass spectrometry were used to quantify reactant and product species in the post-shock mixtures. A total of 17 products were quantified in their 2MF experiments¹⁴ with CO found to be the major decomposition product. A range of C₁–C₄ species were also quantified with two oxygenated intermediates, ketene and furan. The order of reactivity of the series of furans was in line with previous studies (25DMF>2MF>furan)^{16,17}. The experiments of Lifshitz *et al.*¹⁴ will be discussed in detail in subsequent sections.

The theoretical work by Sendt¹⁸ ultimately rationalised the decomposition pathways of furan, which were originally proposed as being routed through biradical intermediates ^{13,16,19}. The authors used CASSCF, CASPT2 and G2(MP2) computations to investigate the potential energy surfaces and kinetics of the thermal decomposition of furan. Hydrogen atom migrations on a singlet potential energy surface with the ultimate formation of CO and propyne, and C₂H₂ and ketene, were highlighted as the likely decomposition pathways and a chemical kinetic model constructed by the authors was capable of replicating experimental speciation profiles¹⁹. They concluded that ring scission of the C-O bond in furan, on either singlet or triplet potential energy surfaces, was simply too endothermic to contribute to the decomposition of the molecule, thus discounting the numerous postulates ^{13,16,19} of biradical initiated decomposition pathways for furan. Contemporaneously, Liu et al.^{20,21} reached the same conclusion as Sendt et al.

Simmie and Curran²² used quantum chemical calculations and isodesmic reactions to determine gas-phase formation enthalpies ($\Delta_f H^{\circ}$) of a range of substituted furans and their radicals at 298.15 K, thus implying bond dissociation energies (BDEs). Their computed $\Delta_f H^{\circ}$ of -80.8 ± 0.5 kJ mol⁻¹ for 2MF is in good agreement with a calorimetric determination²⁴ of -76.4 ± 1.2 kJ mol⁻¹ and subsequent very high-level theoretical determination by Feller and Simmie²³ of -80.3 ± 5 $kJ mol^{-1}$.

Simmie and Metcalfe²⁵ used electronic structure calculations to study the potential energy surfaces of a range of unimolecular decomposition, hydrogen atom addition and hydrogen atom abstraction reactions of 25DMF. Transition state theory was used to determine high-pressure limiting rate constants. Abstraction from the alkyl side chain of 25DMF to form the 5-methyl-2-furanylmethyl radical was highlighted as the preferred pathway for metathesis reactions. They also rationalised the formation of 2MF from 25DMF *via* a hydrogenatom addition methyl-radical elimination sequence.

Quantum chemical calculations by Sirjean and Fournet²⁹⁻³¹ elaborated on the work of Simmie and Metcalfe²⁵ by further exploration of the potential energy surface for the decomposition reactions of 2,5-dimethylfuran, 5-methyl-2furanylmethyl radical and the reactions of hydrogen atom with 25DMF. Rice-Ramsperger-Kassel-Marcus theory calculations with master equation analysis was used to determine pressuredependent rate constants for each system. The decompion of the 5-methyl-2-furanylmethyl radical was found to be result in the formation of cyclohexadienone isomers and a hydrogen atom. Hydrogen atom addition to 25DMF was found to primarily lead to the formation of 2MF and a methyl radical, and to a lesser extent, 1,3-butadiene and acetyl radical. Their computations on the total rate of hydrogen atom termination with 25DMF are in excellent agreement with the shock tube studies of Friese et al.^{27,28}.

Recently, Somers et al.³² described experiments on the oxidation of 2MF, including measurements of ignition delay times and laminar burning velocities, with a chemical kinetic model developed which could adequately replicate these experiments. The model developed by Somers et al.³² was based on preliminary *ab initio* calculations which were not described in detail at the time. The aim of *this work* is to describe those preliminary calculations in a more complete fashion. Quantum-Rice-Ramsperger-Kassel (QRRK) theory with a Modified Strong Collision (MSC) approach, as described by Chang *et al.*³³, was utilised in the previous work³² to account for the influence of pressure on reaction rate constants. Here we utilize more rigorous Rice-Ramsperger-Kassel-Marcus (RRKM) theory calculations coupled with an energy grained master equation (ME) solution.

The QRRK/MSC approach varies from the RRKM/ME in two key respects. The first is that the former derives vibrational frequencies for reactants, and subsequent sums and densities of states, from a three-frequency fit to molecular heat capacities³⁴. Microscopic rate constants, k(E), are in turn computed from the density of states of the reactant molecule together with an estimate of the high-pressure limiting rate constant for the reaction *via* the Inverse Laplace Transform (ILT) method. No knowledge of the transition state properties is required in this approach, although the high-pressure limiting rate constants can be computed from canonical transition state theory as performed in the work of Somers et al.³². The RRKM method derives sums and densities of states for reactants and transition states, and subsequently k(E), from more molecular ro-vibrational data typically obtained from quantum chemical calculations.

The second major difference lies in the approach to collisional energy transfer (CET). Both the MSC and ME approaches assume that collisions occur based on the Lennard-Jones collision frequency. However, the MSC approximation assumes that every collision is completely activating or deactivating, and the Lennard-Jones collision frequency is simply altered by a weak-collision efficiency factor, β_c , which reduces the total rate of collisions in order to account for the fact that activation and de-activation require many collisions. The ME approach explicitly considers the transfer of energy between different energy levels and is thus a more physically realistic and accurate approximation for CET.

Since the development of the kinetic model by Somers et al.³² a number of noteworthy studies on 2MF oxidation have been carried out contemporaneously with this work. Davis and Sarathy³⁵ reported reaction enthalpies, barrier heights and rate constants for several of the combustion and atmospheric reactions of 2-methylfuran based on quantum chemistry (CBS-QB3, G4) and canonical rate theory. Hydroxyl radical addition to the furan ring and subsequent O₂ addition reactions to the nascent adduct were investigated as possible reactions of significance under atmospheric and low-temperature combustion conditions. They also investigated unimolecular decomposition reactions of 2MF and abstraction reactions by hydrogen atom, although hydrogen atom addition reactions and the influence of pressure on the thermal decomposition pathways rate constants were not investigated. A small number of these high-temperature reactions are described in detail in this work, with the high-pressure limiting rate constants determined here in good agreement with the results of Davis and Sarathy³⁵. A comparison of our results with Davis and Sarathy is presented in the ESI.

Tran and co-workers³⁶ recently studied the flame structure of two laminar premixed low-pressure (20 and 40 mbar) 2MF/O₂/Ar flames, with electron-ionization molecular beam mass spectrometry (EI-MBMS) and GC used to quantify reactant, intermediate and product concentrations as a function of distance from the burner. They provided a chemical mechanism for 2MF oxidation under these conditions, constructed largely by taking analogies to rate constants from 2,5dimethylfuran³⁷ and furan³⁸ mechanisms available in the literature. Their mechanism was shown to satisfactorily reproduce their experimental results, as was the mechanism previously described by Somers et al.³², although the former was not compared with the ignition delay time or laminar burning velocities against which the latter was also validated. The mechanism developed as part of *this work* is compared with these recently published measurements in the ESI, with good quantitative predictions of the major species reported experimentally.

Wei *et al.*³⁹ recently used a shock tube facility to determine ignition delay times of $2MF/O_2/Ar$ mixtures from equivalence ratios of 0.25–2.0, at temperatures of 1120–1700 K and at pressures of 1.25–10.65 bar. Their measurements are consistent with those of Somers *et al.*³² at atmospheric pressure and provide valuable new data for kinetic modelling at elevated pressures. The kinetic model of Somers *et al.*³² was used to numerically model the experimental results with reasonable agreement observed. The updated mechanism described herein is compared with these new ignition measurements in the ESI, with good reproduction of experimental measurements.

2 Computational Methods

Minima and transition states have been initially optimised using the B3LYP^{40,41} functional coupled with the 6-31+G(d,p) basis set. Frequency analysis is employed to verify the nature of stationary points with the presence of a single imaginary frequency indicative of a transition structure, which is in turn connected to reactants and products *via* intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations⁴².

B3LYP optimised geometries are subject to further geometry optimisation, frequency analysis and single point energy calculations using the compound methods CBS-QB3⁴³, CBS-APNO⁴⁴ and G3⁴⁵ which have been used in numerous theoretical studies for the deter tion of thermochemistry and kinetics of furanic species of Mate^{25,28–31,35,46}. The three methods tend to give good agreement with each other, possibly indicating an absence of multi-reference character in the systems studied. Calculations have been carried out using the Gaussian 03 and 09 applications⁴⁷. Where uncertainties in quantum chemical calculations are reported in the text, it is to one standard deviation.

The Thermo application of the Multiwell program suite^{48,49} has been used to determine thermochemical parameters (S, C_p and $H_T - H_0$, 298–2500 K) and high-pressure limiting rate constants, from canonical transition state theory. Internal rotations corresponding to low frequency torsional modes are analysed *via* relaxed potential energy surface scans, where geometries and energies are calculated as a function of dihedral angle in 10 degree increments. Potential energy and rotational constants (computed using the LAMM module of Multiwell) as a function of dihedral angle are fit to a series of truncated sine and cosine Fourier series and are used as input for a 1-D internal rotation approximation. For hydrogen atom transfer, abstraction, and addition reactions, the contribution of quantum mechanical tunneling has been accounted for *via*

the inclusion of asymmetric Eckart tunneling as implemented in Multiwell.

Standard state enthalpies of formation $(\Delta_f H^{\oplus})$ of all C_5H_6O species are computed based on the $\Delta_f H^{\oplus}$ of 2methylfuran²³, and $\Delta_r H_0$ (0 K) with subsequent extrapolation *via* enthalpy functions $(H_T - H_0)$. $\Delta_f H^{\diamond}$ of $\dot{C}_5 H_7 O$ radicals are derived from $\Delta_t H_0$ of 2MF and \dot{H} atom and $\Delta_r H_0$. For the 2-furanylmethyl radical, $\Delta_f H_0$ (78.07 kJ mol⁻¹) is determined from $\Delta_r H_0$ (85.11 kJ mol⁻¹) for the reaction 2MF $\rightarrow \dot{H}$ + 2-furanylmethyl and the known $\Delta_f H^{\circ}$ of 2MF and \dot{H} atom. $\Delta_f H^{\circ}$ of all other $\dot{C}_5 H_5 O$ radicals are computed relative to 2furanylmethyl radical. Whilst isodesmic reactions could also be employed to determine the above parameters, the lack of well characterized companion species for error cancellation inhibits the development of suitable working reactions, particularly as the acyclic species which are formed upon opening of the 2MF ring are highly functionalised. The above method does ensure a consistent approach to thermochemical computations for inclusion in subsequent kinetic modelling work.

Many of the reactions investigated in *this work* correspond to those which are both temperature- and pressure-dependent. RRKM/ME calculations are used as a framework in order to evaluate the effect of the latter. RRKM/ME analyses of the chemically activated reactions of hydrogen atom with 2MF have been carried out using the stochastic method developed by Barker and co-workers implemented via the Multiwell program suite^{48,49}. Sums and densities of states of reactants and transition states are evaluated using a direct count method with an energy grain size of 10 cm^{-1} , up to a maximum energy of 10^5 cm⁻¹. In order to minimise stochastic uncertainties for minor reaction pathways, 20×10^6 trials were carried out for 160 collisions at temperatures of 600-2000 K from 1-100 atm; for sub-atmospheric pressures, $1-2 \times 10^6$ trials were employed to minimise computational expense. Ro-vibrationally excited adducts were found to have undergone deactivation within the 160 simulated collisions under these conditions, before thermal unimolecular decay of the intermediate isomers subsequently occurred. Phenomological rate constants for the reaction 2MF + $\dot{H} \rightarrow$ products were determined by monitoring concentration-time and vibrational energy-time profiles of the excited adducts using the Thermal Decay procedure recently described by Pinches and da Silva⁵⁰.

RRKM/ME analyses of the thermally activated unimolecular reactions of 2MF was carried out using the ChemRate code⁵¹ as Multiwell is not optimally designed for the computation of slow steady state unimolecular reactions where the number of collisions being simulated is greater than a few thousand. In this case, the thermal unimolecular reaction of 2MF is slow below 1750 K and $>10^4$ collisions are required to achieve adequate consumption of the reactant for the extraction of rate constants from concentration-time profiles. For calculations using ChemRate, an energy grain size of 40–70 cm⁻¹ was used up to a maximum of 10^{5} – 1.5×10^{5} cm⁻¹ (depending on the specific temperature being studied). Convergence was monitored by ensuring that solutions to the Master Equation became time-independent with the "Divide and Conquer" method employed. The same method is used for computations on the thermal decomposition of the 2-furanylmethyl radical, although as chemical reaction for this species is much faster than for 2MF, a comparison of pressure-dependent rate constants derived from both Multiwell and ChemRate is presented. All RRKM/ME calculations are carried out based on ro-vibrational and energetic properties from CBS-QB3 calculations.

For all simulations, the average energy transferred in a deactivating collision, $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle$, was assumed to have a temperatureindependent value of 1000 cm⁻¹. Many recent literature studies have assumed constant $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle$ values for similar systems (large aromatic molecules). $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle$ estimates have ranged from 2000 cm^{-1} for the benzyl radical^{52,53}, 1000 cm^{-1} for a C₇ heterocyclic ring 55 , 718 cm $^{-1}$ for a $C_{10}H_{10}$ biheterocycle 54 , 500 cm⁻¹ for pyrazole⁵⁶, fulveneallene⁵⁷ and benzyl hydroperoxide⁵⁸, and 260 cm⁻¹ for 2,5-dimethylfuran^{29–31} and furan³⁸. Other recent studies have assumed temperature-dependent energy transfer parameters^{59,60}. There is still remaining uncertainty in these energy transfer parameters but our empirical estimate of 1000 cm⁻¹ lies well within the range of values adopted in recent literature studies. Some test calculations were carried out with $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle = 500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle = 2000$ cm^{-1} to illustrate the variation in computed rate constants. The results are found in ESI and they show less than a factor of 2 variation in the computed rate constants for unimolecular decomposition pathways, and a factor of 23 variation in computed rate constants for major chemically activated pathways. All calculations are carried out in an argon bath gas with Lennard-Jones parameters for the third body taken as $\sigma = 3.47$ Å and $\varepsilon/k_B = 114^{61}$. For 2MF and its derivatives Lennard-Jones parameters were determined empirically from the correlations provided by Kee and co-workers⁶². Together with recommended critical constants for $2MF^{63}$, $\sigma = 5.36$ Å and $\varepsilon/k_B = 396$ are computed. All intermediates from 2MF decomposition, 2-furanylmethyl radical decomposition, and hydrogen atom addition pathways are assumed to have the same Lennard-Jones parameters.

Ultimately, the kinetics and thermochemistry produced as part of *this work* are used as input for a chemical kinetic model to describe the pyrolysis of 2MF, which is then compared with the shock tube data of Lifshitz et al.¹⁴. Shock tube simulations were carried out using the Aurora module (constant volume) of the Chemkin-Pro⁶⁵ software package with an average residence time of 2.05 ms and an average pressure of 2.5 atm.



Fig. 1 Potential energy surface for the formation and decomposition of β -carbenes formed from 3 \rightarrow 2 hydrogen shift. CBS-QB3, *CBS-APNO* and G3 energies in kJ mol⁻¹ at 0 K relative to 2-methylfuran.

3 Results and Discussion

In all subsequent sections, the subscript (x) used to enumerate tabulated rate constants (k_x) corresponds with the number assigned to the transition state (**TSx**) for that reaction on a given potential energy surface. If a reaction does not have an accompanying potential energy surface (abstraction reactions or literature rate constants), other shorthand notations are used.

3.1 Carbene Mediated Unimolecular Decomposition

Potential energy surfaces for the formation and decomposition of β -carbenes are depicted in Figures 1 and 2 with corresponding rate constants (k_5-k_{13}) reported in Table 1. Unimolecular decomposition of 2MF through α -carbene intermediates was found to be uncompetitive with the β -carbene pathways due to due to the higher energy barriers encountered on their potential energy surfaces. A detailed discussion on these pathways can be found in ESI with the rate constants computed for these pathways (k_1-k_4) reported in Table 1.

A $3 \rightarrow 2$ hydrogen atom transfer, Figure 1, which forms a β carbene intermediate (**M4**) has a computed barrier of 294.5 \pm 1.6 kJ mol⁻¹. This intermediate could not be located using the CBS-QB3 method (B3LYP/CBSB7 optimisation step), which

Table 1 Arrhenius coefficients of high-pressure limiting rate constants for the decomposition of 2-methylfuran *via* carbene intermediates. $k(s^{-1}) = AT^n \exp(-E_a/R)$, $AT^n (s^{-1})$, E_a/R (K).

No.	Reaction	Α	п	E_a/R
k_1	$M1 \to M2$	1.36×10^{11}	0.70	41593.
k_2	$M1 \to M3$	2.26×10^{10}	0.99	32486.
k_3	$M2 \rightarrow P1 + P2$	1.55×10^{12}	0.83	14343.
k_4	$M3 \rightarrow P3 + P4$	8.54×10^{12}	0.68	15881.
k_5	$M1 \to M4$	2.15×10^{10}	0.95	35145.
k_6	$M4 \to M5$	2.80×10^{12}	0.30	-72.
k_7	$M5 \to M6$	2.17×10^{13}	-0.24	2725.
k_8	$M6 \rightarrow P5 + P6$	7.30×10^{10}	0.69	21872.
k_9	$M6 \rightarrow P6 + P7$	3.40×10^{11}	1.00	30161.
<i>k</i> ₁₀	$M1 \to M7$	1.75×10^{10}	1.00	34174.
k_{11}	$M7 \to M8$	6.11×10^{12}	0.09	552.
k_{12}	$M8 \to M9 $	1.26×10^{13}	-0.13	2146.
<i>k</i> ₁₃	$M9 \rightarrow P3 + P4$	3.91×10^{08}	1.48	33817.



Fig. 2 Potential energy surface for the formation and decomposition of β -carbenes formed from 4 \rightarrow 5 hydrogen shift. CBS-QB3, *CBS-APNO* and G3 energies in kJ mol⁻¹ at 0 K relative to 2-methylfuran. Variational processes in greyscale.

instead led to the cis conformer of 2,3-pentadiene-1-al upon optimisation. The same observation was noted in a computational study for the analogous process in 25DMF²⁵.

The CBS-APNO and G3 methods successfully locate this carbene intermediate existing at 256.8 \pm 2.9 kJ mol⁻¹ above 2MF. The subsequent ring opening reaction of the cyclic carbene is found to be extremely facile, with a transition state (**TS6**) being located 2.8 and 2.5 kJ mol⁻¹ *below* the level of the reactants using the CBS-APNO and G3 methods respectively. The shallow nature of the β -carbene well likely contributes to the inability of the CBS-QB3 method to locate the reactant as a stationary point.

The product of ring opening exists in two rotameric forms (**M5** and **M6**) about the formyl group, which are connected by a rotational barrier of $21.4 \pm 0.3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. The trans conformer (**M6**) can undergo CO elimination with a concomitant $1 \rightarrow 4$ hydrogen shift to form 1-butyne (k_8) in a near thermoneutral reaction with $\Delta_r H_0 = 11.9 \pm 0.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$.

The barrier for the process is computed as $183.6 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ based CBS-QB3 calculations, and $180.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ via the CBS-APNO method, in good agreement with G2(MP2) calculations¹⁸ (175 kJ mol⁻¹) for the similar process in furan. A $1 \rightarrow 2$ hydrogen atom transfer to form 1,2-butadiene and CO is also possible, although the barrier is much greater at 252.2 kJ

 mol^{-1} .

Simple fission reactions are also possible for this acyclic species and BDEs of C—C bonds in the system have therefore been investigated, as they should coincide effectively with the barrier for these dissociation reactions. The formation of formyl radical (HĊO) and 1,2-butadiene-1-yl radical ($\dot{C}H=C=CH-CH_3$) from the trans conformer is found to be endothermic by 321.7 kJ mol⁻¹ based on standard state CBS-QB3 energetics, with fission to form a $\dot{C}H_3$ radical and a formyl allene radical ($\dot{C}H=C=CH-CH=O$) having a similar BDE of 327.9 kJ mol⁻¹. These homolytic processes face enthalpic barriers much greater than that computed for the lowest energy CO elimination process (circa 140 kJ mol⁻¹), and are therefore unlikely to contribute to the decomposition of the aldehyde.

 β -carbone formation (M7) *via* a 4 \rightarrow 5 hydrogen shift (Figure 2) proceeds through a barrier of 287.8 \pm 1.2 kJ mol⁻¹, similar to that observed for the 2 \rightarrow 3 hydrogen shift. The carbone can undergo ring opening through TS11, in an effectively barrierless process (1.69 \pm 1.7 kJ mol⁻¹) to form 3,4-pentadiene-2-one.

Like the formyl butadiene product formed from a $3\rightarrow 2$ hydrogen atom transfer, there exists cis and trans conformers of 3,4-pentadiene-2-one (**M8** and **M9**) with the trans conformer

(M9) capable of undergoing a $1\rightarrow 5$ hydrogen shift in a concerted process to form ketene and propyne. A barrier of 287.3 kJ mol⁻¹ is computed at the CBS-QB3 level of theory.

Given the tight nature of the transition state, we calculate a decrease in $\Delta^{\ddagger}S$ of -3.3 kJ mol⁻¹ at standard temperature and only a slight increase in $\Delta^{\ddagger}S$ to 1.0 kJ mol⁻¹ at 2000 K. C—C bond fission of the more stable trans conformer to acetyl radical (CH₃—Ċ=O) and the propargyl radical (CH=C—ĊH₂) is computed to be endothermic by 317.7 ± 1.8 kJ mol⁻¹, with the formation of a ĊH₃ radical and a formyl allene radical (CH₂=C=CH—Ċ=O) found to be endothermic by 359.1 ± 4.5 kJ mol⁻¹.

The formation of CH₃— \dot{C} =O and CH=C— \dot{C} H₂ radicals is energetically similar to the concerted elimination process and we therefore expect that simple fission is likely to be favoured over the elimination reaction at high temperatures, as the looser nature of the homolysis is likely to result in an increase in $\Delta^{\ddagger}S$ for the reaction.

Figure 3, and Tables 1 and 2, show calculated rate constants for the formation of carbenes in the homologous series furan, 2MF and 25DMF, with consistent rate constants emerging for the formation of α - and β -carbenes *via* hydrogen atom and methyl group shifts.

Table 2 Arrhenius coefficients of high-pressure limiting rate constants for -H and $-CH_3$ group shifts in 2,5-dimethylfuran and furan. $k(s^{-1}) = AT^n \exp(-E_a/R), AT^n (s^{-1}), E_a/R (K).$

No.	Reactant	Carbene, –R	Α	п	E_a/R
$k_{Furan-\alpha}$	Furan ^a	α, –Η	1.69×10^{12}	0.56	33782.
$k_{Furan-\beta}$	Furan ^b	β , –H	5.94×10^{13}	0.00	35372.
$k_{DMF-\alpha}$	25DMF ^c	α , –CH ₃	7.77×10^{13}	0.00	41483.
$k_{DMF-\beta}$	25DMF ^c	β , –H	9.48×10^{13}	0.00	35230.
,	^a this work	k, ^b Sendt et al.	¹⁸ , ^c Simmie a	nd Met	calfe ²⁵

Comparison is made with the computations of Simmie and Metcalfe²⁵ for the 25DMF system and Sendt *et al.*¹⁸ who reported Arrhenius parameters for this process in furan. Rate constants for furan and 25DMF decomposition are reduced by a factor of two in Figure 3 for comparison with 2MF, given the loss of symmetry for the mono-alkylated furan, but those reported in Table 2 have not undergone this treatment. There is a close agreement within the computed rate constants amongst the homologous series, which may be of interest to kinetic modellers.

RRKM/ME analysis has been applied to determine pressure- and temperature-dependent rate constants for the multi-step collisionally activated decomposition pathways of 2MF. A number of simplifications are made to the potential energy surface for decomposition through β -carbenes. Both M4 and M7 are omitted from the RRKM/ME calculations as preliminary calculations showed that collisional stabilisation



Fig. 3 A comparison of the high-pressure limiting rate constants for -H (black) and $-CH_3$ (grey) group transfer reactions in the homologous series furan (– –), 2-methylfuran (—) and 2,5-dimethylfuran (…). Furan and 2,5-dimethylfuran rate constants are reduced by a factor of two to account for the external symmetry number of 1 for 2-methylfuran.

is inefficient for these species, owing to their shallow welldepth. Only a single rotamer of 2,3-pentadiene-1-al (**M6**) and of 3,4-pentadiene-2-one (**M9**) is included in this analysis for simplicity.

Pressure-dependent rate constants for the β -carbene mediated decomposition of 2-methylfuran are shown in Figure 4. Under the experimental conditions of Lifshitz *et al.* (1150– 1500 K, 2.5 atm), both reaction pathways are approximately at the high-pressure limit, with only $\approx 20\%$ reduction in the computed high-pressure limiting rate constants at 1500 K and 2.5 atm. With increasing temperature and decreasing pressure however, the deviation of the rate constants from the highpressure limiting case becomes more pronounced and there may be a need to account for fall-off effects under these conditions.

As part of our RRKM/ME calculations, we also consider the simple fission of 2MF into 2-furanylmethyl radical and H atom. The high-pressure limiting rate constant for this barrierless process is estimated based on an analogy with a literature⁶⁶ rate constant for the recombination of a H atom with the resonantly stabilised benzyl radical. From an estimated recombination rate constant of $9.25 \times 10^{13}T^{-0.01} \exp(-96.9/T)$ cm³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ and the equilibrium constant for the reaction 2furanylmethyl + H atom $\Rightarrow 2$ MF, a high-pressure limiting rate constant of $3.37 \times 10^{15}T^{-0.01} \exp(-44026/T) \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the dissociation reaction is computed through microscopic reversibility.

For the alkyl side chains of $2MF^{22}$ and toluene⁶⁷ similar BDEs have previously been reported, 360.9 ± 5.2 and $375 \pm$



Fig. 4 Computed pressure-dependent rate constants (atm) for the decomposition of 2-methylfuran to (a) 2,3-pentadiene-1-al (**M6**) and (b) 3,4-pentadiene-2-one (**M9**).

5.0 kJ mol⁻¹ respectively. A BDE of 361.2 kJ mol⁻¹ for 2MF is determined in *this work*. The high-pressure limiting rate constant of the barrierless association of a H atom with the 2-furanylmethyl radical may be expected to be of a similar order as that for the recombination of H atom with benzyl radical, and the high-pressure limiting rate constant described above should therefore be of a reasonable order.

Transition state properties (frequencies, rotational constants) for the C–H fission process have been generated automatically by the ChemRate code based on the properties of the reactants and products for the reaction and the microscopic rate constants, k(E), were then determined from the estimated transition state properties. k(E) were subsequently altered by an empirical fitting factor such that the high-pressure limiting rate constant described above was replicated at every temperature. The enthalpy of activation for the process was assumed equal to the the enthalpy of reaction at 0 K.

Based on temperature- and pressure-dependent RRKM/ME calculations under the conditions of Lifshitz *et al.* study¹⁴, as shown in Figure 5 (a), decomposition *via* β -carbene intermediates is found to be dominant over the temperature range 1000–2000 K. $3\rightarrow 2$ and $4\rightarrow 5$ hydrogen atom shift reactions forming **M6** and **M9** are preferred to the simple fission of a C–H bond, and the formation of CH₂=C=O and CH≡C−CH through an α -carbene (**M3**).

Whilst a hydrogen atom transfer reaction to form an α carbene (**M3**) is initially competitive with β -carbene formation (Figure 3), unlike the β -carbenes which can readily undergo ring opening, the α -carbene faces subsequent and much more substantial barriers in order to decompose to bimolecular products. A steady state analysis is employed at each



Fig. 5 Temperature-dependent branching ratios for the thermal decomposition of 2-methylfuran under the conditions of the Lifshitz *et al.*¹⁴ study (2.5 atm). (a) 2-methylfuran \rightarrow products; **P3** + **P4** (ketene + propyne *via* α -carbene), **M6** (2,3-pentadiene-1-al *via* β -carbene), **M9** (3,4-pentadiene-2-one *via* β -carbene), **M10** + **P17** (C–H fission) (b) **M6** \rightarrow products; **P5** + **P6** (1-butyne + CO), **P6** + **P7** (1,2-butadiene + CO).

pressure to compute the fraction of 2MF which will form $CH_2=C=O$ and $CH\equiv C-CH$ via M3 and the results show that only above 1500 K and pressures of 1 atm will this pathway become competitive. C-H fission is found to be the least important unimolecular decomposition pathway of 2MF based on the present analysis.

The temperature-dependent branching ratios for the decomposition of M6 are shown in Figure 5 (b). M6 can decompose to form 1-butyne and CO or 1,2-butadiene and CO, with the former being favoured over the entire temstudy¹⁴. perature and pressure range of Lifshitz et al. The latter becomes competitive only at higher temperatures. M9 is found to decompose exclusively to $CH_3 - C = O$ and $CH \equiv C - \dot{C}H_2$ radicals under all conditions of temperature and pressure. The high-pressure limiting rate constant for this reaction has been estimated in a similar fashion to the C-H fission reaction of 2MF, with an assumed rate constant for the recombination of CH₃−Ċ=O and ĊH₂−C≡CH radicals of $5.2 \times 10^{12} T^{0.01} \exp(-114/T)$ cm³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹. This implies a forward decomposition rate constant of $1.52 \times$ $10^{25}T^{-2.48} \exp(-39621/T) \text{ s}^{-1}$, which is ≈ 200 times faster than the tighter process (k_{13}) forming ketene and propyne.

In conclusion, the unimolecular decomposition of 2methylfuran is shown to be initiated by $3\rightarrow 2$ and $4\rightarrow 5$ hydrogen atom shift reactions which are quite close to their highpressure limits under the experimental conditions of Lifshitz *et al.*¹⁴. Once sufficient free radical species are formed from the initial decomposition processes, abstraction of a hydrogen atom from the alkyl side chain should assume importance in the pyrolysis of this species. The unimolecular decomposition of 1-butyne and acetyl radical, which are formed as fragmentation products of 2MF, should result in the formation of methyl radicals, with the formation of hydrogen atoms from simple fission also possible but of lesser importance initially. Rate constants for abstraction processes by these radicals are therefore of interest, as is the fate of the primary fuel radical.

3.2 Abstraction Reactions and Thermal Decomposition of 2-Furanylmethyl Radical



Fig. 6 Rate constants for hydrogen atom abstraction from 2-methylfuran by (a) hydrogen atom and (b) methyl radical. k_{H-C3} , k_{H-C4} and k_{H-C5} are effectively superimposed.

Results of thermochemical and kinetic computations on hydrogen atom abstraction reactions by \dot{H} atom and $\dot{C}H_3$ radical are listed in Table 3.

Abstraction of a hydrogen atom from the methyl group of 2MF by a $\dot{C}H_3$ radical is calculated to be exothermic by -74.9 ± 4.0 kJ mol⁻¹, with a barrier of 39.3 ± 4.0 kJ mol⁻¹. Abstraction from the same site by a H atom faces lower barriers $(27.9 \pm 2.8$ kJ mol⁻¹) but the exothermicity $(-77.7 \pm 2.8$ kJ mol⁻¹) is of a similar order to that for abstraction by a $\dot{C}H_3$ radical.

Abstraction of any of the hydrogen atoms directly bonded to the furan ring is thought to be unlikely given their highly vinylic nature, with BDEs circa 505 kJ mol⁻¹ reported previously²². Consistent rate constants for hydrogen atom abstraction by a $\dot{C}H_3$ radical and a \dot{H} atom are reported for abstraction from these sites but it is evident that these processes are of little importance, Figure 6. As a result, the consumption reactions of the furyl radicals formed from these abstraction processes are not considered here.

Rate constants for abstraction from the alkyl group by a H atom, k_{H-C2} , are reported in Table 3 at three levels of theory, thus allowing for an assessment of the uncertainty in the computed rate constants. A factor of 2.5 variation is computed at temperatures of 1000–2000 K. In the same range of *T*, abstraction by methyl radical (k_{CH3-C2}) varies by a similar order.

The fate of the primary radical (**M10**) formed from abstraction from the alkyl side chain is depicted in Figure 7 with corresponding rate constant computations given in Table 4. Lifshitz included decomposition reactions for this radical in their proposed kinetic scheme with the formation of CO and the internal butadienyl radical (CH₂= \dot{C} -CH=CH₂) proposed as the major decomposition product. Decomposition to HCO radical and vinylacetylene (HC=C-CH=CH₂), ketene and propyne, or CH=CH, \dot{C}_2H_3 radical and CO was also included in their scheme, although no mechanistic proposals were made. In the temperature range 1100–1600 K, a total decomposition rate constant of $3.81 \times 10^{15}T^{0.11} \exp(-32149/T) \text{ s}^{-1}$ is inferred from their work.

Ring opening of **M10** proceeds *via* cleavage of the C–O bond through a barrier of 167.9 kJ mol⁻¹ from CBS-QB3 calculations with both CBS-APNO and G3 failing to locate the transition structure **TS14**. A high-pressure limiting rate constant of $1.38 \times 10^{13} T^{0.3} \exp(-20480/T) \text{ s}^{-1}$ is calculated for the ring opening process from CBS-QB3 calculations.

The ring opening product, 3,4-pentadiene-1-one-2-yl radical, has multiple conformers but only two are of interest mechanistically, **M11** and **M12**. The latter conformer can undergo a $1\rightarrow4$ intramolecular hydrogen abstraction reaction through a five membered ring transition state (**TS17**), in a relatively low barrier (99.5 ± 3.1 kJ mol⁻¹) exothermic (-58.7 ± 2.3 kJ mol⁻¹) process. This reaction faces the highest barrier on the \dot{C}_5H_5O potential energy surface, and reformation of **M12** from **M13** is unlikely due to the exothermicity of the reaction, and subsequent low energy exit channels which exist for the consumption of **M13**.

The β -scission of a C—H bond in M11 to form 1,3,4pentatriene-1-one (P18) was investigated as this species was detected experimentally in the flames of 25DMF²⁶ and 2MF⁶⁹. With an endothermicity of 202.2 ± 3.9 kJ mol⁻¹ and critical energy of 218.4 ± 1.5 kJ mol⁻¹, its formation is not competitive with the 1→4 intramolecular hydrogen abstraction reaction.

The product of the $1\rightarrow4$ hydrogen atom transfer reaction has two resonance structures that lead to different configurational isomers, **M13** and **M14**, connected by a transition state which lies 33.1 kJ mol⁻¹ above **M13** and 3.4 kJ mol⁻¹ above **M14**. IRC analysis (B3LYP/CBSB7) verifies the latter isomer undergoes decarbonylation (**TS19**) to form *n*-butadienyl radical ($\dot{C}H=CH=CH=CH_2$) and CO through a barrier of 99.4 kJ mol⁻¹. **M15**, a second conformer of **M13**, can undergo ring

This journal is @ The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

No.	Ż	Site	$\Delta^{\ddagger} H^0$	$\Delta_r H^0$	Α	п	E_a/R
k_{H-C2} (QB3)	Ĥ		26.6	-80.9	5.36×10^{4}	2.73	1785.
k_{H-C2} (APNO)	Ĥ	C-2	25.9	-76.4	8.71×10^{0}	3.88	57.
k_{H-C2} (G3)	Ĥ		31.1	-75.7	5.51×10^{-1}	4.20	216.
			± 2.8	± 2.8			
k_{H-C3}	Ĥ	C-3	85.1 ± 3.4	64.1 ± 3.3	1.53×10^{8}	1.86	9666.
k_{H-C4}	Ĥ	C-4	84.2 ± 3.3	63.4 ± 3.1	1.37×10^{8}	1.88	9700.
k_{H-C5}	Ĥ	C-5	87.3 ± 3.7	63.4 ± 3.8	1.03×10^{8}	1.94	9838.
<i>k</i> _{CH3-C2} (QB3)	ĊH3	C^{2}	40.0	-78.1	1.21×10^{-2}	4.29	2254.
k_{CH3-C2} (APNO)	ĊH3	C-2	38.6	-76.2	4.36×10^{-7}	5.58	50.
			± 1.0	± 4.0			
<i>k</i> _{CH3-C3}	ĊH3	C-3	82.0 ± 0.3	66.9 ± 4.5	1.04×10^{2}	3.18	8274.
<i>k</i> _{CH3-C4}	ĊH3	C-4	82.5 ± 0.4	66.2 ± 4.3	1.31×10^{2}	3.19	8336.
<i>k</i> _{CH3-C5}	ĊH3	C-5	82.9 ± 0.8	66.2 ± 5.1	1.54×10^{2}	3.20	8397.

Table 3 Computed barrier heights and enthalpies of reaction (kJ mol⁻¹, 0 K) and Arrhenius coefficients of high-pressure limiting rate constants for the reaction 2-methylfuran + $\dot{X} \rightarrow \dot{R}$ + XH. $k(cm^{3}mol^{-1}s^{-1}) = AT^{n}exp(-E_{a}/R), AT^{n}(cm^{3}mol^{-1}s^{-1}), E_{a}/R$ (K).



Fig. 7 Potential energy surface for the decomposition of the 2-furanylmethyl radical. CBS-QB3, *CBS-APNO* and G3 energies in kJ mol⁻¹ at 0 K relative to 2-furanylmethyl.



Fig. 8 Carbene intermediate in the isomerisation of 2,4-cyclopendadienone and 1,3,4-pentatrieneone. CBS-QB3, *CBS-APNO* and **G3** energies in kJ mol⁻¹ at 0 K relative to reactant.

closure *via* a barrier of $51.0 \pm 3.9 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ (**TS21**) to form a resonantly stabilised cyclopentenone radical (**M16**) which is is computed to be $27.7 \pm 1.6 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ more stable than the 2-furanylmethyl radical.

The β -scission of a C—H bond is the only plausible consumption channel envisaged for (**M16**), forming cyclopentadienone (**P20**) and a hydrogen atom. The barrier for the endothermic process (233.1 ± 4.8 kJ mol⁻¹) is calculated to be 234.8 ± 4.8 kJ mol⁻¹, although the CBS-QB3 method predicts a negative critical energy (-3.19 kJ mol⁻¹) if viewed from the perspective of hydrogen atom addition across the double bond of cyclopentadienone.



Fig. 9 Pressure- (atm) and temperature-dependent rate constants for the thermal decomposition of 2-furanylmethyl (**M10**) based on RRKM/ME calculations. ChemRate (—), Multiwell (symbols).

Cyclopentadienone is a known intermediate in the combustion of cyclopentadiene and it is interesting to see a common feature between this five-membered ring and 2MF, namely, the formation and consumption of β -carbenes through a 1 \rightarrow 2 hydrogen shift reaction on a singlet potential energy surface, Figure 8. The energetics and kinetics of the process were not considered in a previous analysis of cyclopentadienone decomposition⁶⁸. Here we find they are of a similar order to those found in the decomposition of 2MF, with the computed rate constant for the hydrogen transfer reaction (k_{23a}) within a factor of two of those calculated for 2MF (k_5 and k_{10}) in the high-temperature regime. The carbene intermediate (**INT1**) can undergo ring-opening to form 1,3,4-pentatriene-1-one, which was detected in low-pressure flames of 25DMF²⁶ and 2MF⁶⁹.

Table 4 Arrhenius coefficients of high-pressure limiting rate constants for the decomposition of 2-furanylmethyl radical (**M10**) and related intermediates. $k(s^{-1}) = AT^n \exp(-E_a/R), AT^n (s^{-1}), E_a/R$ (K).

No.	Reaction	Α	п	E_a/R
k_{14}	$M10 \rightarrow M11$	1.38×10^{13}	0.30	20480.
k_{15}	$M11 \rightarrow P17 + P18$	9.12×10^{10}	1.15	26634.
<i>k</i> ₁₆	$M11 \rightarrow M12$	4.01×10^{13}	-0.08	4541.
k_{17}	$M12 \rightarrow M13$	9.54×10^{06}	1.62	10071.
k_{18}	$M13 \rightarrow M14$	1.50×10^{13}	-0.19	4046.
k_{19}	$M14 \rightarrow P6 + P19$	8.61×10^{14}	0.19	12822.
k_{20}	$M13 \rightarrow M15$	2.31×10^{13}	-0.13	5663.
k_{21}	$M15 \rightarrow M16$	4.06×10^{12}	-0.15	5622.
<i>k</i> ₂₂	$M16 \rightarrow P17 + P20$	2.99×10^{11}	1.14	28149.
k _{23a}	$P20 \rightarrow INT1$	1.03×10^{10}	1.21	36232.
<i>k</i> _{23<i>b</i>}	$INT1 \rightarrow P18$	8.72×10^{12}	0.21	2987.

The formation of CO and *n*-butadienyl radical appears dominant in our scheme, once **M13** is formed the rate limiting steps for the formation of products are given by k_{19} and k_{22} , with k_{19} dominant in the temperature ranges we have studied.

Multiple-well, multiple-channel RRKM/ME calculations have been carried out to study the influence of pressure on the consumption of all C₅H₅O isomers. As a test of the uncertainty in the computed rate constants, both the Multiwell and ChemRate codes were employed in this analysis, with 10⁶ trials used in the former. The computed rate constants for the thermal decomposition of M10 (Figure 9) are within 30% of each other under all conditions studied. For all other intermediates, a factor of two difference was observed in the worst case scenario for the reaction $M15 \rightarrow M16$ at 0.01 atm and 2000 K. The computed rate constants from the two codes are therefore in excellent agreement and a comparison of computed rate constants is presented in the ESI. The fall-off in the rate constant k_{14} appears to be non-negligible under hightemperature, low-pressure conditions and this fall-off effect must be considered in kinetic modelling studies, for example

the low-pressure flames of Tran and co-workers³⁶ and Wei *et al.*⁶⁹. Rate constants computed using the ChemRate code from 0.01–100 atm and 800–2000 K are provided in the ESI for all reactions on the \dot{C}_5H_5O potential energy surface, and are incorporated into our kinetic model as pressure-dependent reactions (Chemkin-Pro PLOG format).

3.3 Hydrogen Atom Addition Reactions



Fig. 10 (a) Total high-pressure limiting rate constant for hydrogen atom addition to 2-methylfuran and (b) site-specific branching ratios at various levels of theory. Colour scheme for theoretical methods in (a) also applies to (b).

Computed barrier heights, enthalpies of reaction and rate constants for H atom addition to 2MF are presented in Table 5 and Figure 10. Consistent reaction barriers and enthalpies of reaction are calculated for these processes. H atom addition to carbons adjacent to the oxygen atom of the furan ring are much more exothermic ($\approx 45 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) than addition to C-3/C-4, and are thus favoured in terms of computed barriers heights. The difference in the computed $\Delta_r H_0$ upon addition at C-2 and C-5 versus C-3 or C-4 stems from the ability of the radicals to delocalise their unpaired electrons within the furan ring, with addition at sites removed from the oxygen atom resulting in radicals incapable of doing so. Rate constants are presented at three levels of theory for an assessment of their uncertainty. The total rate constant for hydrogen atom addition to 2MF varies by less than a factor of two across the three levels of theory applied, with site-specific branching ratios also predicting the following trend quite consistently amongst the methods: C-5 > C-2 > C-3 = C-4. This trend is in line with the reaction exothermicity upon addition at each site.

3.3.1 Addition at C-2. The potential energy surface for hydrogen atom addition to C-2 of 2MF is illustrated in Figures 11 and 12 with the corresponding rate constants provided in Table 6. The fate of the adduct formed from hydrogen atom

addition at C-2 (**M17**) was previously investigated by Simmie and Metcalfe²⁵. The aut cluster a rate constant of $2.232 \times 10^{14} \exp(-16292/T)$ for the demethylation process forming furan and a CH₃ radical *via* **TS24**.

However, the authors did not investigate the result of cleavage of the C—O bond which could open the furan ring. Two chiral transition states were identified for this process (**TS26** and **TS30**) which are verified by IRC analysis to form Z-and E- conformers (**M18** and **M21**) of 3-pentene-1-one-2-yl radical. A total rate constant for ring opening can be determined from the summation of k_{26} and k_{30} . A rate constant of $8.69 \times 10^{12} T^{0.16} \exp(-11042/T) \text{ s}^{-1}$ is thus computed, indicating that ring opening should be competitive with demethylation throughout the temperature range 1,000–2,000 K.

Both **M19** and **M22** can undergo a $1\rightarrow 4$ hydrogen atom shift reaction to form an aldehydic radical (**M20**) which can decarbonylate through **TS29** in a reasonably low barrier process (101.9 kJ mol⁻¹) forming 1-butene-1-yl radical and CO. Mechanistically, this process is similar to that observed in the decomposition of the 2-furanylmethyl radical, where an aldehydic-type radical is formed before subsequent α -scission to form CO and *n*-butadienyl radical.

Further reactions of **M18** which could lead to the formation of either 1,3-butadiene and HCO radical or cyclopentadiene and OH radical were also investigated, Figure 12. The formation of 1,3-butadiene and HCO radical is inhibited firstly by a 1 \rightarrow 4 hydrogen atom transfer from **M23** to form **M24** *via* **TS34**, for which a barrier of 171.0 \pm 0.6 kJ mol⁻¹ is calculated. On simple thermodynamic grounds the formation of these products was not envisaged to be competitive as the products are computed to lie 25.9 \pm 3.7 kJ mol⁻¹ above 2MF and hydrogen at 298.15 K, which is likely too endothermic too compete with the exothermic formation of furan and a CH₃ radical or the 1-butene-1-yl radical and CO.

A 1 \rightarrow 6 hydrogen atom transfer from the methyl group of **M18** to its oxygen atom to form an alcohol (**M26**), was found to face a very low initial barrier (**TS36**) of 85.0 kJ mol⁻¹. However, the subsequent ring closing reaction *via* **TS38** is too energetic (155.6 \pm 0.9 kJ mol⁻¹ relative to **M26**) for this reaction channel to be important. The products were computed to lie 36.9 \pm 3.7 kJ mol⁻¹ above the reactants, and pre- and post- reaction complexes are probably found in the process, but these are not investigated.

RRKM/ME derived product branching ratios from the chemically activated recombination of hydrogen atom with 2MF at C-2 are presented in Figure 13. Branching ratios for the formation of \dot{C}_5H_7O isomers of the nascent adduct are lumped for clarity in Figure 13, but product specific rate constants are reported in the ESI. At high pressures (100 atm) the initially activated well (M17) is stabilised up to ≈ 1200 K, above this temperature the formation and stabilization of linear \dot{C}_5H_7O radicals becomes dominant, thus indicating that

No.	Site	$\Delta^{\ddagger} H^0$	$\Delta^{\!R} H^0$	Α	п	E_a/R
k ₂₄ (QB3)		12.2	-126.5	2.10×10^{8}	1.57	904.
k_{24} (APNO)	C-2	12.9	-128.0	2.67×10^{7}	1.89	776.
<i>k</i> ₂₄ (G3)		9.5	-127.3	3.33×10^{7}	1.88	421.
		11.54 ± 1.8	-127.3 ± 0.7			
k40 (QB3)		17.5	-80.9	3.72×10^{8}	1.54	1414.
<i>k</i> ₄₀ (APNO)	C-3	18.9	-82.4	3.48×10^{7}	1.87	1282.
<i>k</i> ₄₀ (G3)		18.7	-80.7	3.94×10^{7}	1.86	1281.
		18.4 ± 0.8	-81.3 ± 0.9			
k ₅₃ (QB3)		19.9	-84.6	2.01×10 ⁸	1.61	1672.
<i>k</i> ₅₃ (QB3) <i>k</i> ₅₃ (APNO)	C-4	19.9 20.7	-84.6 -85.9	2.01×10^{8} 1.88×10^{7}	1.61 1.95	1672. 1500.
k ₅₃ (QB3) k ₅₃ (APNO) k ₅₃ (G3)	C-4	19.9 20.7 20.1	-84.6 -85.9 -85.3	$\begin{array}{c} 2.01 \times 10^8 \\ 1.88 \times 10^7 \\ 2.10 \times 10^7 \end{array}$	1.61 1.95 1.94	1672. 1500. 1460.
k ₅₃ (QB3) k ₅₃ (APNO) k ₅₃ (G3)	C-4	$ 19.9 \\ 20.7 \\ 20.1 \\ 20.2 \pm 0.4 $	$-84.6 \\ -85.9 \\ -85.3 \\ -85.3 \pm 0.7$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.01 \times 10^8 \\ 1.88 \times 10^7 \\ 2.10 \times 10^7 \end{array}$	1.61 1.95 1.94	1672. 1500. 1460.
k ₅₃ (QB3) k ₅₃ (APNO) k ₅₃ (G3) k ₆₀ (QB3)	C-4		$ \begin{array}{r} -84.6 \\ -85.9 \\ -85.3 \\ -85.3 \pm 0.7 \\ \hline -133.2 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 2.01 \times 10^8 \\ 1.88 \times 10^7 \\ 2.10 \times 10^7 \end{array}$	1.61 1.95 1.94 1.48	1672. 1500. 1460. 468.
k ₅₃ (QB3) k ₅₃ (APNO) k ₅₃ (G3) k ₆₀ (QB3) k ₆₀ (APNO)	C-4 C-5	$ 19.9 \\ 20.7 \\ 20.1 \\ 20.2 \pm 0.4 \\ 7.0 \\ 9.6 $	$-84.6 \\ -85.9 \\ -85.3 \\ -85.3 \pm 0.7 \\ -133.2 \\ -133.9$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.01 \times 10^8 \\ 1.88 \times 10^7 \\ 2.10 \times 10^7 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 1.20 \times 10^9 \\ 9.06 \times 10^7 \end{array}$	1.61 1.95 1.94 1.48 1.80	1672. 1500. 1460. 468. 457.
	C-4 C-5	$ \begin{array}{r} 19.9 \\ 20.7 \\ 20.1 \\ 20.2 \pm 0.4 \\ \hline 7.0 \\ 9.6 \\ 6.2 \\ \end{array} $	$-84.6 \\ -85.9 \\ -85.3 \\ -85.3 \pm 0.7 \\ -133.2 \\ -133.9 \\ -132.3$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.01 \times 10^8 \\ 1.88 \times 10^7 \\ 2.10 \times 10^7 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 1.20 \times 10^9 \\ 9.06 \times 10^7 \\ 1.30 \times 10^8 \end{array}$	1.61 1.95 1.94 1.48 1.80 1.76	1672. 1500. 1460. 468. 457. 127.

Table 5 Computed barrier heights and enthalpies of reaction (kJ mol⁻¹, 0 K), and Arrhenius coefficients of high-pressure limiting rate constants for hydrogen atom addition reactions to 2-methylfuran. $k(\text{cm}^3\text{mol}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}) = AT^n \exp(-E_a/R)$, AT^n (cm³mol⁻¹s⁻¹), E_a/R (K).



Fig. 11 Potential energy surface for hydrogen atom addition at carbon 2 of 2-methylfuran. CBS-QB3, *CBS-APNO* and G3 energies in kJ mol^{-1} at 0 K relative to reactants.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]


Fig. 12 Potential energy surface for hydrogen atom transfer reactions of 3-pentene-1-al-3-yl radical. CBS-QB3, *CBS-APNO* and **G3** energies in kJ mol⁻¹ at 0 K relative to 2-methylfuran and hydrogen.

ring opening has become competitive with quenching of **M17**. Above 1600 K, furan and a methyl radical and 1-butene-1-yl radical and CO become major products, accounting for 40+% of the chemically activated yield.

These formally direct pathways become increasingly significant with decreasing pressure and in particular the formation of 1-butene-1-yl radical and CO, which must occur through multiple well-skipping reactions, starts to become the dominant bimolecular products of the reaction. The increasing branching ratio for 1-butene-1-yl radical and CO formation corresponds with the lack of quenching of linear \dot{C}_5H_7O radicals with decreasing pressure. On the other hand, the branching ratio for formation of furan and negative linear linear dependency on pressure on the other hand, owing to the fact it is formed directly from the the initial chemically activated species (M17).

3.3.2 Addition at C-3 and C-4. Despite the rate constants for the initial hydrogen atom addition reactions at C-3 and C-4 being competitive with addition at C-2 and C-5, subsequent ring opening reactions are less facile, and these pathways are thus uncompetitive with addition at C-2 and C-5. For

Table 6 Arrhenius coefficients of high-pressure limiting rate constants for for reactions relevant to hydrogen atom addition at C-2 of 2-methylfuran. $k(s^{-1}) = AT^n \exp(-E_a/R)$, $AT^n (s^{-1})$, E_a/R (K).

No.	Reaction	$A (s^{-1})$	п	E_a/R
k ₂₅	$M17 \rightarrow P10 + P22$	9.23×10 ¹²	0.38	15837.
k_{26}	$M17 \rightarrow M18$	3.85×10^{13}	-0.09	12216.
k ₂₇	$M18 \rightarrow M19$	2.61×10^{11}	0.58	2710.
k ₂₈	$M19 \rightarrow M20$	2.49×10^{03}	2.59	12236.
k ₂₉	$M20 \rightarrow P6 + P23$	1.19×10^{15}	0.26	13053.
<i>k</i> ₃₀	$M17 \rightarrow M21$	3.85×10^{13}	-0.09	11003.
<i>k</i> ₃₁	$M21 \rightarrow M22$	2.33×10^{12}	0.26	4422.
<i>k</i> ₃₂	$M22 \rightarrow M20$	3.77×10^{05}	2.03	13440.
<i>k</i> ₃₃	$M18 \rightarrow M23$	9.21×10^{10}	0.53	3834.
<i>k</i> ₃₄	$M23 \rightarrow M24$	1.83×10^{08}	1.41	19235.
k35	$M24 \rightarrow M25$	2.18×10^{10}	0.50	746.
k ₃₆	$M25 \rightarrow P9 + P24$	2.67×10^{10}	1.20	15994.
k37	$M18 \rightarrow M26$	7.50×10^{00}	3.10	6584.
<i>k</i> ₃₈	$M26 \rightarrow M27$	1.45×10^{11}	0.42	18323.



Fig. 13 Major product branching ratios as a function of temperature and pressure from RRKM/ME calculations on the chemically activated recombination of hydrogen atom with 2-methylfuran at C-2. — M17, $-\Sigma$ other \dot{C}_5H_7O , \cdots P10 + P22, $-\cdot -P6$ + P23.

brevity in the main text, potential energy surfaces for hydrogen atom addition at C-3 and C-4 are described in detail in ESI, with relevant rate constants also reported therein, k_{41} – k_{52} , and k_{54} – k_{59} .

3.3.3 Addition at C-5. Figure 14 displays the potential energy surface investigated upon hydrogen atom addition at C-5. Ring opening of the adduct occurs through **TS61** with a ring-opening rate constant of a similar order to that computed upon hydrogen atom addition at C-2 (k_{26} and k_{30}). Demethylation of the ring opening product occurs through **TS62** to form vinyl ketene and a methyl radical, in a reaction computed to be endothermic by 176.4 ± 4.7 kJ mol⁻¹. A barrier of 202.0 ± 5.3 kJ mol⁻¹ is computed for the process relative to the reactant and 61.7 ± 4.9 kJ mol⁻¹ relative to 2MF and a hydrogen atom.

A secondary conformer of the ring opening product (M43) can undergo a hydrogen atom transfer reaction with a barrier of 124.6 kJ mol⁻¹ (TS64) to form an acetonyl-like radical (M44), which can undergo β -scission to form ketene and a vinyl propene radical (k_{66}).

Ring closure of **M45** to form a cyclopropanone radical derivative (**M46**) is energetically more favourable than the formation of ketene and a vinyl propene radical, with the transi-

tion state for the process (**TS67**) computed to lie only 19.3 \pm 3.1 kJ mol⁻¹ above 2MF and hydrogen atom. **M46** can rearrange *via* β -scission (**TS68**) to form an aldehydic radical which is capable of eliminating CO through **TS70** to produce the resonantly stabilised butenyl radical (**P36**).

The potential energy surface investigated indicates that hydrogen atom addition at C-5 will proceed with competition between back dissociation to form 2MF and a hydrogen atom, the endothermic formation of vinyl ketene and a methyl radical in a relatively straightforward 3-step process, and exothermic formation of CO and CH₂=CH-CH-CH₃ which faces many possible bottlenecks before formation. In terms of the contrast between the addition of a hydrogen atom at the C-2 and C-5 positions of 2-methylfuran, both include a demethylation step with simultaneous formation of a C₄H₄O isomer. The relative stability of the furan ring is reflected in the fact that hydrogen atom addition forming a methyl radical and furan (C-2 addition), faces a much lower barrier than that forming a methyl radical and vinyl ketene (C-5 addition). Likewise, the elimination of CO is a common feature upon hydrogen atom addition at C-2/C-5. In both instances, the CO elimination process is quite rapid despite the differing nature of the co-products formed from these reactions (C-2 addition produces a vinylic radical, C-5 addition produces an allylic radical), and it is the hydrogen atom transfer reactions which precede the decarbonylation step, which will determine whether this process will ultimately occur.

An RRKM/ME analysis, Figure 15, shows similar trends to those observed for hydrogen atom addition at the C-2 position. At 100 atm collisional deactivation of chemically activated **M41** dominates the product branching fractions up to 1000 K, at which point linear \dot{C}_5H_7O radicals start to form and subsequently stabilize. As a result, the formation of bimolecular products is insignificant at temperatures up to \approx 1700 K. At 1 atm the furanyl radical does not persist above 1000 K and above 1300 K, the formation of bimolecular products (vinyl ketene and a methyl radical, 2MF and a hydrogen atom) are dominant.

The formation of vinyl ketene and a methyl radical shows a stronger pressure dependency than the formation of 2MF and a hydrogen atom. Again, this is the result of multiple well skipping reactions occurring at lower pressures to produce the latter. Negligible quantities of CO and CH_2 =CH- $\dot{C}H$ - CH_3 radical are produced as formally direct products of hydrogen atom addition to 2MF.

This journal is @ The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]



Fig. 14 Potential energy surface for hydrogen atom addition at carbon 5 of 2-methylfuran. CBS-QB3, *CBS-APNO* and **G3** energies in kJ mol^{-1} at 0 K relative to reactants.



Fig. 15 Major product branching ratios as a function of temperature and pressure from RRKM/ME calculations on the chemically activated recombination of hydrogen atom with 2-methylfuran at C-5. — M41, $-\Sigma$ other \dot{C}_5H_7O , \cdots M1 + P17, $-\cdot$ – P10 + P34.

Table 7 Arrhenius coefficients of high-pressure limiting rate constants for for reactions relevant to hydrogen atom addition at C-5 of 2-methylfuran. $k(s^{-1}) = AT^n \exp(-E_a/R)$, $AT^n (s^{-1})$, E_a/R (K).

No.	Reaction	Α	n	$E_a/R(\mathbf{K})$
<i>k</i> ₆₁	$M41 \rightarrow M42$	1.52×10^{12}	0.31	10931.
<i>k</i> ₆₂	$M42 \rightarrow P10 + P34$	6.01×10^{14}	0.16	25568.
<i>k</i> ₆₃	$M42 \rightarrow M43$	3.24×10^{13}	-0.28	3301.
<i>k</i> ₆₄	$M43 \rightarrow M44$	3.64×10^{04}	2.25	11977.
k_{65}	$M44 \rightarrow M45$	7.18×10^{12}	-0.16	900.
k ₆₆	$M45 \rightarrow P3 + P35$	4.29×10^{12}	0.56	23690.
k ₆₇	$M45 \rightarrow M46$	1.74×10^{13}	-0.42	13859.
k ₆₈	$M46 \rightarrow M47$	8.27×10^{07}	1.28	940.
<i>k</i> 69	$M47 \rightarrow M48$	5.08×10^{07}	1.25	-313.
<i>k</i> ₇₀	$M48 \rightarrow P6 + P36$	5.84×10^{10}	0.74	3377.

4 Kinetic Modelling

The preceding calculations have been assimilated into a previously published kinetic scheme for 2-methylfuran and 2,5dimethylfuran combustion by Somers and co-workers^{32,70} wherein details of the oxidative reactions are found. Where rate constants are determined at several levels of theory, we utilise the CBS-QB3 calculations in the current work. Furan^{18,38} and aromatic⁷¹ mechanisms are also incorporated. The C₀–C₄ sub-mechanism is based on the mechanism de-



Fig. 16 Experimental speciation profiles for the pyrolysis of 0.5% 2-methylfuran at 2.5 atm and \approx 2 ms residence time with modelling predictions (lines). Solid lines correspond with closed symbols and dashed lines with open symbols. T_c = corrected reflected shock temperatures, T_i = original reflected shock temperatures.

This journal is @ The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

scribed by Healy and co-workers^{72–76}. Further validation of this chemistry set is described in the work of Kochar and co-workers⁷⁷ and in a recent study of C_1 – C_2 hydrocarbon and oxygenated fuels from Metcalfe *et al.*⁷⁸. The H₂/O₂ sub-mechanism is adopted from the work of Kéromnès *et al.*⁷⁹.

Experimental profiles from Lifshitz *et al.*¹⁴ are presented in Figure 16 along with numerical modelling results based on the current kinetic mechanism. Some comments on the experimental results are now appropriate before a comparison of modelling predictions with experiment is presented in detail. Mole percents are calculated *via* equation 1 in accord with the experimental definition⁸⁰, where x_i is the computed mole fraction of a species.

$$Mole \% = x_i / \sum_i x_i \tag{1}$$

Only species which were quantified and included in this mole % calculation experimentally, are included in the corresponding computational results depicted in Figure 16.

The experimentalists used the chemical thermometer (1,1,1)-trifluoroethane) method to determine the temperatures behind the reflected shock wave according to equations 2 and 3:

$$T = -(E/R) / \left[\ln \left\{ -\frac{\ln(1-\chi)}{A t} \right\} \right]$$
(2)

where *E* and *A* are the activation energy and pre-exponential factor assumed for the molecular elimination reaction $(CH_3 - CF_3 \rightarrow CH_2 = CF_2 + H - F)$ of the chemical thermometer, *t* is the reaction dwell time and χ is given by:

$$\boldsymbol{\chi} = [CH_2 = CF_2]_t / ([CH_2 = CF_2]_t + [CH_3 - CF_3]_t)$$
(3)

This makes the determination of the temperature sensitive to both the rate constant used for the decomposition and the determination of the concentrations of the reactant and product of the chemical thermometer. The temperature behind the reflected shock wave was calculated by Lifshitz *et al.* based on a literature assignment of the rate constant⁸¹ for the decomposition of CH₃—CF₃ of $k = 10^{14.51} \exp(-36533/T) \text{ s}^{-1}$.

The same chemical thermometer method was employed in the Lifshitz *et al.*¹⁵ study on the thermal decomposition of 2,5-dimethylfuran, although a slightly different rate constant (within 10–20%) was estimated for the thermometer decomposition. Recent experimental and kinetic modelling studies^{37,70} have shown that the rate constant assumed by Lifshitz *et al.* in their 2,5-dimethylfuran work was likely in error. Somers *et al.*⁷⁰ carried out single pulse shock tube experiments under similar conditions to those of Lifshitz *et al.*¹⁵ where temperatures were determined independent of a chemical thermometer. The results showed that 25DMF underwent decomposition at temperatures up to \approx 90 K greater than those determined by Lifshitz *et al.*¹⁵. Sirjean *et al.*³⁷ carried out quantum chemical (CBS-QB3) and RRKM/ME calculations to determine the rate constant for the molecular elimination reaction, recommending a rate constant at 2.5 bar of $k = 6.17 \times 10^{13} \exp(-36060/T) \text{ s}^{-1}$. At 1200 K, their computed value is up to 3.8 times lower than that assumed by Lifshitz *et al.* in their 2,5-dimethylfuran work¹⁵, and 3.5 times lower than that assumed in the experiments we are aiming to model here. Sirjean *et al.*³⁷ corrected the temperature measurements of Lifshitz *et al.* accordingly, with the resultant measurements from Somers *et al.*⁷⁰ and the corrected ones of Sirjean *et al.*³⁷ being within 25 K of each other and in good agreement with kinetic modelling calculations.

We therefore correct the temperature reported by Lifshitz *et al.*¹⁴ for their 2-methylfuran experiments based on the rate constant recommended by Sirjean *et al.*³⁷ and equations 2 and 3. The result is a ≈ 50 K *increase* in the experimental temperature at the lowest conversions of 2-methylfuran, and up to 80 K at the highest temperatures studied. The corrected temperature can be described adequately by $T_c(K) = 1.12 \times T_i - 82.54$.

The experimental yields (Figure 16) of 2MF are presented with temperature uncertainty bars which assume a residual factor of two uncertainty in the recommended rate constant for the thermal decomposition of 1,1,1-TFE, which is reasonable based on the theoretical methods employed therein³⁷. Figure 16 (a) also shows the temperature uncorrected yields of 2MF, which clearly lie outside the uncertainty in this updated temperature profile.

In order to obtain better agreement with the corrected 2MF and methane profiles, we have reduced the rate constant for hydrogen atom abstraction by methyl radical from the alkyl side chain of 2MF, k_{CH3-C2} (QB3), by a factor of two, which is within the theoretical uncertainty. The rate constant for hydrogen atom abstraction by hydrogen atom, k_{H-C2} (QB3), has been increased by a factor of two in order to retain agreement with laminar burning velocity measurements³², as was carried out in the previous kinetic modelling study of Somers *et al.*³². The rate constant for this reaction shows little sensitivity to the pyrolysis results described here and our predictions of the fuel conversion in Figure 16 (a) are well within the experimental uncertainty.

Lifshitz *et al.*¹⁴ originally recommended a pseudo-first order rate constant of $9.71 \times 10^{13} \exp(-34, 400/T) \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the thermal decomposition of 2MF in this temperature and pressure regime. After correction of their data, we arrive at $8.41 \times 10^{13} \exp(-34, 450/T) \text{ s}^{-1}$ with our kinetic model predicting a rate constant of $1.97 \times 10^{14} \exp(-35, 071/T) \text{ s}^{-1}$. For all three derived rate constants, the activation energies are of the order of $\approx 286-291 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, which corresponds closely with the barrier heights calculated for the $3 \rightarrow 2$ and $4 \rightarrow 5$ hydrogen atom shift reactions shown to be the dominant unimolecular decomposition pathways for 2MF in previous sections. This is an interesting result, as free radical reactions are found to be important in the consumption of the reactant species. One might expect the activation energy to be smaller than the activation energies for the primary unimolecular initiation pathways but the thermal decomposition of 2MF seems to obey first order kinetics quite well in this temperature range.

The fate of the oxygen containing fragments of the fuel are of obvious interest and other than 2MF, only three species containing were experimentally measured which contained an oxygen atom; CO, ketene and furan. CO, which was found to be the primary decomposition product, was detected in yields of up to 40%, and is well-predicted by our kinetic model. Its formation is well rationalised within our quantum chemical calculations through multiple channels. Opening of the furan ring, followed by a hydrogen transfer reaction and CO elimination is common to the unimolecular decomposition reactions of the fuel and the primary fuel radical, and is also seen after hydrogen atom addition reactions. The reaction $CH_3 - \dot{C} = O \rightarrow CO + \dot{C}H_3$ is also an important source of CO, with the majority of the CH_3 — \dot{C} =O radical formed in a 1:1 ratio with $CH_2 = C = \dot{C}H$ radical from the decomposition of M9.

Ketene yields of up to 8% were implied from experiment but the determination of its concentrations proved difficult due to analytical issues. A series of isolated experiments were performed by Lifshitz *et al.*¹⁴ where small quantities of methanol were added to the post-shock mixture in order convert ketene to the more readily quantifiable methyl acetate. Methyl acetate was subsequently identified although its concentrations could not be quantitatively determined. It was therefore assumed that if ketene was formed in the same unimolecular process as propyne and allene, its concentrations should equal the sum of the C₃H₄ isomers. Despite multiple pathways (Figures 2 and 14, and Figures 1 and 2 of ESI) leading to the production of ketene within our assembled reaction scheme, its formation is consistently found to be non-competitive with alternate pathways.

We find vinyl ketene, which went experimentally undetected by Lifshitz¹⁴ but which was identified in 2MF flames⁶⁹, to be a major product upon hydrogen atom addition at C-5 of the furan ring. Computed vinyl ketene yields are in good agreement with the experimentally estimated ketene concentrations if this undetected species is incorporated into our mole % calculation, Figure 17. Vinyl ketene may therefore account for the missing carbon and oxygen which the experimentalists assumed would be found in ketene.

Furan yields are well-predicted and its formation pathway was clearly rationalised in a previous work by Simmie and Metcalfe²⁵. However, the ring opening step presented in Figure 11 is central to the accurate prediction of its yields shown in Figure 16 (d), with the exclusion of this process resulting in over-prediction of the experimental yield.



Fig. 17 Experimentally estimated yields ¹⁴ (see text) of ketene (symbols) with computational yields of ketene and vinyl ketene.

The yield of methane, which is primarily formed by hydrogen atom abstraction from the alkyl side chain by methyl radical, is also well-predicted although yields of ethane, which is formed from methyl radical self-recombination, is overpredicted by the mechanism. Ethylene is formed from the decomposition of ethyl radical which is in turn formed from the decomposition of **P23** and from the reaction $C_2H_6 + \dot{C}H_3 =$ $\dot{C}_2H_5 + CH_4$. Yields of the C₄H₆ isomers 1-butyne, 2-butyne, 1,2-butadiene and 1,3-butadiene are all reasonably-well estimated by our kinetic mechanism.

Like ketene, many pathways leading to the formation of propyne were identified in our quantum calculations (k_4 , k_{13} , k_{47} , k_{66}) but none were found to be competitive. Nonetheless, propyne, allene, and benzene yields are all accounted for. The former are produced *via* abstraction by propargyl radical from the alkyl side chain of 2MF, and the latter *via* the recombination of propargyl radicals. It is worth noting that propargyl radical was identified by Grela *et al.*¹⁶ in the thermal decomposition of 2MF, based on the presence of its ion at m/z 39 in their MS analysis. Our work proposes two clear channels to its formation from 2MF *via* the reactions sequences (a) 2MF \rightarrow M6 \rightarrow CO + CH \equiv C-CH₂-CH₃ \rightarrow ĊH₃ + CH₂=C=ĊH and (b) 2MF \rightarrow M9 \rightarrow CH₃-Ċ=O + CH₂=C=ĊH.

The decomposition of *n*-butadienyl radical, which is the primary product of the decomposition of the 2-furanylmethyl radical, Figure 7, accounts for the acetylene and vinylacetylene concentrations detected experimentally. Vinylacetylene predictions are in excellent agreement with experiment, although acetylene yields are consistently over-estimated, possibly indicating refinements to the kinetics of *n*-butadienyl radical decomposition are necessary.

This journal is @ The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

5 Conclusions

This work presents a comprehensive investigation of the potential energy surfaces for the pyrolysis reactions of the biofuel candidate 2-methylfuran. Kinetics for the thermal unimolecular reactions of 2-methylfuran and the 2-furanylmethyl radical, the chemically activated recombination of hydrogen atoms with 2-methylfuran and several hydrogen atom abstraction processes have been investigated. Canonical and microcanonical rate theories have been employed to determine rate constants as a function of temperature and pressure (if applicable) for each reaction pathway.

Unimolecular decomposition is initiated primarily by hydrogen shift reactions routed through β -carbene intermediates to form stable acyclic intermediates which further decompose to either 1-butyne and CO, or acetyl and propargyl radicals. As temperatures approach 2000 K, the formation of 1,2-butadiene and CO, ketene and propyne, and 2-furanylmethyl radical and a hydrogen atom become competitive. The decomposition of the 2-furanylmethyl radical is shown to proceed through C-O bond cleavage which opens the furan ring. Subsequent hydrogen atom transfer and α -scission leads to the formation of the dominant products, CO and the *n*-butadienyl radical. Hydrogen atom addition to carbon atoms adjacent to the oxygen of the furan ring are kinetically favoured over addition to atoms remote from the oxygen moiety. In terms of bimolecular products, hydrogen atom addition results predominantly in the formation of furan and a methyl radical, 1-butene-1-yl radical and CO, and vinyl ketene and a methyl radical.

The calculated rate constants are incorporated into a previously published oxidative mechanism for 2-methylfuran³² and 2,5-dimethylfuran⁷⁰ which is then compared with experimental speciation profiles¹⁴ recorded for the pyrolysis of 2methylfuran. Once the experimental measurements are corrected for an erroneous temperature determination the agreement between theory and experimental is overall quite good, thus giving some credibility to the proposed pyrolysis mechanism and kinetics. The mechanism is also compared to literature oxidation experiments of 2MF in the ESI, with good performance observed against all experimental targets.

The fundamental molecular data provided in this study should be of interest to those who wish to carry out RRKM/ME calculations on 2-methylfuran in the future with the chemical mechanism being of utility to those modelling the combustion behavior of 2-methylfuran under pyrolysis and oxidative conditions. Furan, 2-methylfuran and 2,5-dimethylfuran are also know intermediates and model compounds considered in the pyrolysis of biomass^{82,83} and coals¹⁷, and the kinetic scheme developed herein is likely to be useful in kinetic modelling work in these areas.

6 Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the support of Science Foundation Ireland under grant number [08/IN1./I2055] as part of their Principal Investigator Awards. We acknowledge the provision of computational resources from the e-Irish National Infrastructure programme, e-INIS, and the Irish Centre for High-End Computing, ICHEC. We thank Prof. John Barker and Dr. Gabriel da Silva for useful discussions on the Multiwell code and the latter again for sharing with us the Speed v0.72 code for automatic generation of potential energy surfaces.

References

- 1 Renewable Energy Policy Network for the 21st Centry. Renewables 2013 Global Status Report. http://www.ren21.net/REN21Activities/GlobalStatus-Report.aspx (accessed October 21, 2013).
- 2 J.R. Regalbuto, Science, 2009, 325, 822-824.
- 3 Y. Román-Leshkov, C.J. Barrett, Z.Y. Liu, J.A. Dumesic, Nature, 2007, 447, 982–985.
- 4 H. Zhao, J.E. Holladay, H. Brown, Z.C. Zhang, Science 2007, 316, 1597–1599.
- 5 J.B. Binder, R.T. Raines, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 1979–1985
- 6 Y. Su, H.M. Brown, X. Huang, X. Zhou, J.E. Amonette, Z.C. Zhang, Applied Catalysis A: General 2009, 361, 117–122.
- 7 A.A. Rosatella, S.P. Simeonov, R.F.M. Frade, C.M. Afonso, Green Chemistry, 2011, 13, 754–793.
- 8 F. Geilen, T. vom Stein, B. Engendahl, S. Winterle, M. Liauw, J. Klankermayer, W. Leitner, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6831–6834.
- 9 S. Zhong, R. Daniel, H. Xu, J. Zhang, D. Turner, M.L. Wyszynski, P. Richards, Energy Fuels, 2010, 24, 2891– 2899.
- 10 R. Daniel, G. Tian, H. Xu, M.L. Wyszynski, X. Wu, Z. Huang, Fuel, 2011, 90, 449–458.
- 11 M. Thewes, M.Muether, S. Pischinger, M. Budde, A. Sehr, P. Adomeit, J. Klankermayer, Energy Fuels, 2011, 25, 5549–5561.
- 12 C. Wang, H. Xu, R. Daniel, A. Ghafourian, J.M. Herreros, S. Shuai, X. Ma, Fuel, 2013, 103, 200–211.
- 13 A. Lifshitz, M. Bidani, S. Bidani, J. Phys. Chem., 1986, 90, 5373–5377.
- 14 A. Lifshitz, C. Tamburu, R. Shashua, J. Phys. Chem. A, 1997, 101, 1018–1029.
- 15 A. Lifshitz, C. Tamburu, R. Shashua, J. Phys. Chem. A, 1998, 102, 10655–10670.

- 16 M.A. Grela, V.T. Amorebieta, A.J. Colussi, J. Phys. Chem., 1985, 89, 38–41.
- 17 O.S.L. Bruinsma, P.J.J. Tromp, H.J.J. de Sauvage Nolting, J.A. Moulijn, Fuel, 1988, 67, 334–340.
- 18 K. Sendt, G.B. Backsay, J.C. Mackie, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2000, 104, 1861–1875.
- 19 P.P. Organ, J.C. Mackie, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans., 1991, 87, 815–823.
- 20 R. Liu, X. Zhou, L. Zhai, J. Comput. Chem., 1998, 19, 240–249.
- 21 R. Liu, X. Zhou, T. Zuo, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2000, 325, 457–464.
- 22 J.M. Simmie, H.J. Curran, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2009, 113, 5128–5137.
- 23 D. Feller, J.M. Simmie, J. Phys. Chem. A., 2013, 116, 11768–11775.
- 24 Ribeiro da Silva, M.A.V, Amaral, L.M.P.F., J. Therm. Anal. Calorim, 2010, 100, 375–380.
- 25 J.M. Simmie, W.K. Metcalfe, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2011, 115, 8877–8888.
- 26 X. Wu, Z. Huang, T. Yuan, K. Zhang, L. Wei, Combust. Flame., 2009, 156, 365–376.
- 27 P. Friese, T. Bentz, M. Olzmann, J. Simmie, Proceedings of the European Combustion Meeting, 2011.
- 28 P. Friese, J.M. Simmie, M. Olzmann, Proc. Combust. Inst., 2013, 34, 233–239.
- 29 B. Sirjean, R. Fournet, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys, 2013, 15, 596–611.
- 30 B. Sirjean, R. Fournet, Proc. Combust. Inst., 2013, 34, 241–249.
- 31 B. Sirjean, R. Fournet, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2012, 116, 6675– 6684.
- 32 K.P. Somers, J.M. Simmie, F. Gillespie, U. Burke, J. Connolly, W.K. Metcalfe, F. Battin-Leclerc, P. Dirrenberger, O. Herbinet, P.-A. Glaude, H.J. Curran, Proc. Combust. Inst., 2013, 34, 225–232.
- 33 A.Y. Chang, J.W. Bozzelli, A.M. Dean, Zeitschrift fur Physikalische Chemie, 2000, 214, 1533–1568.
- 34 J.W. Bozzelli, A.M Chang, A.M. Dean, Int. J. Chem. Kin., 1997, 29, 161–170.
- 35 A.C. Davis, S. M. Sarathy, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2013, 117, 7670–7685.
- 36 L.-S. Tran, C. Togbé, D. Liu, D. Felsmann, P. Oßwald, P.-A. Glaude, R. Fournet, B. Sirjean, F. Battin-Leclerc, K. Kohse-Höinghaus, Combust. Flame, 2013, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.combustflame.2013.05.027
- 37 B. Sirjean, R. Fournet, P.-A Glaude, F. Battin-Leclerc, W. Wang, M.A. Oehlschlaeger, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2013, 117, 1371–1392.
- 38 Z. Tian, T. Yuan, R. Fournet, P.-A. Glaude, B. Sirjean, R.

Battin-Leclerc, K. Zhang, F. Qi, Combust. Flame, 2011, 158, 756–773.

- 39 L. Wei, C. Tang, X. Man, Z. Huang, Energy Fuels, 2013, Just Accepted Manuscript. DOI: 10.1021/ef401809y
- 40 A.D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 98, 5648–5652.
- 41 C. Lee, W. Yang, R.G. Parr, Phys. Rev. B, 1988, 37, 785– 789.
- 42 H.P. Hratchian, H.B. Schlegel, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2005, 1, 61-69.
- 43 J.A. Montgomery, M.J. Frisch, J.W. Ochterski, G.A. Petersson, J. Chem. Phys., 2000, 112, 6532–6542.
- 44 J.W. Ochterski, G.A. Petersson, J.A. Montgomery, J. Chem. Phys., 1996, 104, 2598–2619.
- 45 L.A. Curtiss, K. Raghavachari, P.C. Redfern, V. Rassolov, J.A. Pople, J. Chem. Phys., 1998, 109, 7764–7776.
- 46 J.M. Simmie, K.P. Somers, W.K. Metcalfe, H.J. Curran, J. Chem. Thermo., 2013, 58, 117–128.
- 47 Gaussian 03, Revision E.01 and Gaussian 09, Revision C.01, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., T. Vreven, K. N. Kudin, J. C. Burant, J. M. Millam, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, M. Klene, X. Li, J. E. Knox, H. P. Hratchian, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, P. Y. Ayala, K. Morokuma, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, V. G. Zakrzewski, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, D. K. Malick, A. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, Q. Cui, A. G. Baboul, S. Clifford, J. Cioslowski, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, C. Gonzalez, and J. A. Pople, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2004.
- 48 MultiWell-2013 Software, Jan 2013, designed and maintained by J.R. Barker with contributors N.F. Ortiz, J.M. Preses, L.L. Lohr, A. Maranzana, P.J. Stimac, T. L. Nguyen, and T. J. Dhilip Kumar, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI; http://aoss.engin.umich.edu/multiwell/
- 49 J.R Barker, (a) Int. J. Chem. Kinetics 2001, 33, 232–245;
 (b) Int. J. Chem. Kinetics 2001, 33, 246–261;
 (c) Int. J. Chem. Kinetics 2009, 41, 748–763.
- 50 S.J. Pinches, G. da Silva, Int. J. Chem. Kin, 2013, 45, 387– 396.
- 51 Mokrushin, V.; Tsang, W. ChemRate version 1.5.8, NIST, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, http://mokrushin.com/ChemRate/chemrate.html (ac-

This journal is @ The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

cessed June 21 2013).

- 52 D. Polino, C. Cavalotti, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2011, 115, 10281–10289.
- 53 G. da Silva, J.A. Cole, J.W. Bozzelli, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2009, 113, 6111–6120.
- 54 C. Cavallotti, D. Polino, Proc. Combust. Inst., 2013, 34, 557–564.
- 55 G. da Silva, A.J. Trevitt, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2011, 13, 8940-8952.
- 56 G. da Silva, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2009, 474, 13–17.
- 57 G. da Silva, J.A. Cole, J.W. Bozzelli, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2010, 114, 2275-2283.
- 58 G. da Silva, M. Rafiq Hamdan, J.W. Bozzelli, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2009, 5, 3185-3194.
- 59 J.A. Miller, S.J. Klippenstein, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2013, 117, 2718–2727.
- 60 A.W. Jasper, J.A. Miller, S.J. Klippenstein, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2013, 117, 12243–12255.
- 61 H. Hippler, J. Troe, and H. J. Wendelken, J. Chem. Phys., 1983, 78, 6709–6717.
- 62 R.J. Kee, M.E. Coltrin, P. Glarborg, Chemically Reacting Flow Theory and Practice, 1st ed.; John Wiley & Son, Inc.; New Jersey, 2003; pp 496–499.
- 63 W.M Haynes, Critical Constants of Organic Compounds. In CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 91st ed; CRC Press; Boca Raton, Florida; p 67.
- 64 X. Ma, C. Jian, H. Xu, H. Ding, S. Shuai, H. Ding, Energy Fuels, 2013, 27, 6212–6221.
- 65 Chemkin-Pro, Reaction Design Inc., San Diego, Calif. 2010.
- 66 L.B. Harding, S.J. Klippenstein, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2007, 111, 3789–3801.
- 67 Y.-R. Luo. Comprehensive Handbook of Chemical Bond Energies, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2007, p. 41.
- 68 H. Wang, K. Brenzinsky, J. Phys. Chem. A, 1998, 102, 1530-1541.
- 69 L. Wei, Z. Li, L. Tong, Z. Wang, H. Jin, M. Yao, Z. Zheng, C. Wang, H. Xu, Energy Fuels, 2012, 26, 6651–6660.
- 70 K.P. Somers, J.M. Simmie, F. Gillespie, C. Conroy, G. Black, W.K. Metcalfe, F. Bttin-Leclerc, P. Dirrenberger, O. Herbinet, P.-A Glaude, P. Dagaut, C. Togbé, K. Yasunaga, R.X. Fernandes, C. Lee, R. Tripathi, H.J. Curran, Combust. Flame, 2013, 160, 2291–2318.
- 71 W.K. Metcalfe, S. Dooley, F.L. Dryer, J. Phys. Chem. A., 2011, 25, 4915–4936.
- 72 D. Healy, N.S. Donato, C.J. Aul, E.L. Petersen, C.M. Zinner, G. Bourque, H.J. Curran, Combust. Flame, 2010, 157, 1526–1539.
- 73 N. Donato, C. Aul, E. Petersen, C. Zinner, H. Curran, G. Bourque, J. Eng. Gas Turb. Power, 2010, 132, 051502.

- 74 D. Healy, N.S. Donato, C.J. Aul, E.L. Petersen, C.M. Zinner, G. Bourque, H.J. Curran, Combust. Flame, 2010, 157, 1540–1551.
- 75 D. Healy, D.M. Kalitan, C.J. Aul, E.L. Petersen, G. Bourque, H.J. Curran, Energy Fuels, 2010, 24, 1521– 1528.
- 76 D. Healy, M.M. Kopp, N.L. Polley, E.L. Petersen, G. Bourque, H.J. Curran, Energy Fuels, 2010, 24, 1617– 1627.
- 77 Y. Kochar, J. Seitzman, T. Lieuwen, W.K. Metcalfe, S.M. Burke, H.J. Curran, M. Krejci, W. Lowry, E. Petersen, G. Bourque, 2011, ASME Paper GT2011-45122, 56th ASME Turbo Expo.
- 78 W.K. Metcalfe, S.M. Burke, S.S. Ahmed, H.J. Curran, Int. J. Chem. Kin, 2013, 45, 638–675.
- 79 A. Kéromnès, W.K. Metcalfe, K.A. Heufer, N. Donohoe, A.K. Das, C.J. Sung, J. Herzler, C. Naumann, P. Griebel, O. Mathieu, M.C. Krejci, E.L. Petersen, W.J. Pitz, H.J. Curran, Combust. Flame, 2013, 160, 995–1011.
- 80 A. Lifshitz, M. Bidani, S. Bidani, J. Phys. Chem., 1986, 90, 3422-3429.
- 81 A.S. Rodgers, W.G.F. Ford, Int. J. Chem. Kin., 1973, 5, 965–975.
- 82 E. Ranzi, A. Cuoci, T. Faravelli, A. Frassoldati, G. Migliavacca, S. Pierucci, S. Sommariva, Energy Fuels, 2008, 22, 4292–4300.
- 83 K. Norinaga, T. Shoji, S. Kudo, J. Hayashia, Fuel, 2013, 103, 141–150.



































ARTICLE TYPE

The Pyrolysis of 2-Methylfuran: A Quantum Chemical, Statistical Rate Theory and Kinetic Modelling Study[†]

Kieran P. Somers,*^a John M. Simmie,^a Wayne K. Metcalfe^a and Henry J. Curran^a

Received Xth XXXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX First published on the web Xth XXXXXXXX 200X DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

Due to the rapidly growing interest in the use of biomass derived furanic compounds as potential platform chemicals and fossil fuel replacements, there is a simultaneous need to understand the pyrolysis and combustion properties of such molecules. To this end, the potential energy surfaces for the pyrolysis relevant reactions of the biofuel candidate 2-methylfuran have been characterized using quantum chemical methods (CBS-QB3, CBS-APNO and G3). Canonical transition state theory is employed to determine the high-pressure limiting kinetics, k(T), of elementary reactions. Rice-Ramsperger-Kassel-Marcus theory with an energy grained master equation is used to compute pressure dependent rate constants, k(T, p), and product branching fractions for the multiple-well, multiple-channel reaction pathways which typify the pyrolysis reactions of the title species. The unimolecular decomposition of 2-methylfuran is shown to proceed via hydrogen atom transfer reactions through singlet carbene intermediates which readily undergo ring opening to form collisionally stabilised acyclic C_5H_6O isomers before further decomposition to C_1 - C_4 species. Rate constants for abstraction by the hydrogen atom and methyl radical are reported with abstraction from the alkyl side chain calculated to dominate. The fate of the primary abstraction product, 2-furanylmethyl radical, is shown to be thermal decomposition to the *n*-butadienyl radical and carbon monoxide through a series of ring opening and hydrogen atom transfer reactions. The dominant bimolecular products of hydrogen atom addition reactions are found to be furan and methyl radical, 1butene-1-yl radical and carbon monoxide and vinyl ketene and methyl radical. A kinetic mechanism is assembled with computer simulations in good agreement with shock tube speciation profiles taken from the literature. The kinetic mechanism developed herein can be used in future chemical kinetic modelling studies on the pyrolysis and oxidation of 2-methylfuran, or the larger molecular structures for which it is a known pyrolysis/combustion intermediate (e.g. cellulose, coals, 2,5-dimethylfuran).

1 Introduction

Dwindling energy reserves, increasing global energy demands and prices, and the threat of irreversible climate change have led to major research efforts focusing on the development of renewable energy sources, which can supplant fossil derived products and meet future demands in an economically, environmentally and socially sustainable manner.

Ethanol is currently the leading biofuel which offers an alternative to gasoline in the transportation sector, with 83.1 billion litres produced in 2012, primarily in Brazil and North America¹. However, first-generation production methods which compete with food for feedstocks coupled with a low energy density, high vapor pressure, and complete miscibility with water have led to a re-consideration of its viability as a fossil fuel replacement².

Román-Leshkov and co-workers³ reported a catalytic strategy for the conversion of fructose to 2,5-dimethylfuran (25DMF) *via* the platform chemical hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) in 2007, with a host of research since following on processes for the conversion of waste biomass into HMF and in turn furanic based fuels^{4–8}.

25DMF has an energy density³ of 31.5 MJ L^{-1} which is similar to a typical gasoline and a high boiling point, low water solubility, and the ability to use it in current internal combustion engines^{9,10} makes it compatible with current infrastructure. These properties make 25DMF desirable when compared with ethanol, whose lower energy density, boiling point, and greater water solubility prove problematic, although engine tests^{9,10} have shown that ethanol performs better than 25DMF in terms of knock resistance and hydrocarbon, nitrous oxide, carbon monoxide and particulate emissions.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

^a Combustion Chemistry Centre, National University of Ireland, Galway, Galway, Republic of Ireland. E-mail: k.somers1@nuigalway.ie

[†] Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [Tabulated pressureand temperature-dependent rate constants derived from RRKM/ME computations; Potential energy surfaces for minor reaction pathways; Tabulated electronic energies (0 K and 298.15 K) and geometries for all stationary points; Frequencies, rotational constants and other information used in TST/RRKM computations are provided as input files compatible with the Thermo module of the Multiwell Program Suite; A comparison of high-pressure limiting rate constants reported in the work of Davis and Sarathy³⁵ with *this work*. The Chemkin-PRO format kinetics, thermochemistry and transport properties files used in the numerical modelling of all experiments; Results of computational modelling of literature burning velocities ^{32,64}, ignition delay times ^{32,39} and flame speciation measurements ³⁶]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

Recently 2-methylfuran (2MF) has received similar attention to 25DMF as a possible alternative biofuel, with novel production methods under development⁸. Engine tests have ensued with Thewes et al.¹¹ and Wang et al.¹² studying the performance of 2MF in direct-injection spark-ignition engines. Thewes et al.¹¹ found that 2MF has excellent combustion stability, particularly in cold conditions, with hydrocarbon emission reductions of at least 61% compared to a conventional research octane number 95 fuel. Wang et al.¹² found that 2MF has a greater thermal efficiency than gasoline and 25DMF, that its overall regulated emissions are comparable with 25DMF, and that its aldehyde emissions are much lower than gasoline and ethanol.

Lifshitz and co-workers studied the decomposition of furan, 2MF and 25DMF^{13–15} behind reflected shock waves at temperatures of 1050–1460 K, pressure of 1.5–3.0 atmospheres and residence times of 2 ms. Gas chromatography and mass spectrometry were used to quantify reactant and product species in the post-shock mixtures. A total of 17 products were quantified in their 2MF experiments¹⁴ with CO found to be the major decomposition product. A range of C₁–C₄ species were also quantified with two oxygenated intermediates, ketene and furan. The order of reactivity of the series of furans was in line with previous studies (25DMF>2MF>furan)^{16,17}. The experiments of Lifshitz *et al.*¹⁴ will be discussed in detail in subsequent sections.

The theoretical work by Sendt¹⁸ ultimately rationalised the decomposition pathways of furan, which were originally proposed as being routed through biradical intermediates^{13,16,19}. The authors used CASSCF, CASPT2 and G2(MP2) computations to investigate the potential energy surfaces and kinetics of the thermal decomposition of furan. Hydrogen atom migrations on a singlet potential energy surface with the ultimate formation of CO and propyne, and C₂H₂ and ketene, were highlighted as the likely decomposition pathways and a chemical kinetic model constructed by the authors was capable of replicating experimental speciation profiles¹⁹. They concluded that ring scission of the C-O bond in furan, on either singlet or triplet potential energy surfaces, was simply too endothermic to contribute to the decomposition of the molecule, thus discounting the numerous postulates ^{13,16,19} of biradical initiated decomposition pathways for furan. Contemporaneously, Liu et al.^{20,21} reached the same conclusion as Sendt et al.

Simmie and Curran²² used quantum chemical calculations and isodesmic reactions to determine gas-phase formation enthalpies ($\Delta_f H^{\circ}$) of a range of substituted furans and their radicals at 298.15 K, thus implying bond dissociation energies (BDEs). Their computed $\Delta_f H^{\circ}$ of -80.8 ± 0.5 kJ mol⁻¹ for 2MF is in good agreement with a calorimetric determination²⁴ of -76.4 ± 1.2 kJ mol⁻¹ and subsequent very high-level theoretical determination by Feller and Simmie²³ of -80.3 ± 5 kJ mol⁻¹.

Simmie and Metcalfe²⁵ used electronic structure calculations to study the potential energy surfaces of a range of unimolecular decomposition, hydrogen atom addition and hydrogen atom abstraction reactions of 25DMF. Transition state theory was used to determine high-pressure limiting rate constants. Abstraction from the alkyl side chain of 25DMF to form the 5-methyl-2-furanylmethyl radical was highlighted as the preferred pathway for metathesis reactions. They also rationalised the formation of 2MF from 25DMF *via* a hydrogenatom addition methyl-radical elimination sequence.

Quantum chemical calculations by Sirjean and Fournet²⁹⁻³¹ elaborated on the work of Simmie and Metcalfe²⁵ by further exploration of the potential energy surface for the decomposition reactions of 2,5-dimethylfuran, 5-methyl-2furanylmethyl radical and the reactions of hydrogen atom with 25DMF. Rice-Ramsperger-Kassel-Marcus theory calculations with master equation analysis was used to determine pressuredependent rate constants for each system. The decomposition of the 5-methyl-2-furanylmethyl radical was found to be result in the formation of cyclohexadienone isomers and a hydrogen atom. Hydrogen atom addition to 25DMF was found to primarily lead to the formation of 2MF and a methyl radical, and to a lesser extent, 1,3-butadiene and acetyl radical. Their computations on the total rate of hydrogen atom termination with 25DMF are in excellent agreement with the shock tube studies of Friese et al.^{27,28}.

Recently, Somers et al.³² described experiments on the oxidation of 2MF, including measurements of ignition delay times and laminar burning velocities, with a chemical kinetic model developed which could adequately replicate these experiments. The model developed by Somers et al.³² was based on preliminary *ab initio* calculations which were not described in detail at the time. The aim of *this work* is to describe those preliminary calculations in a more complete fashion. Quantum-Rice-Ramsperger-Kassel (QRRK) theory with a Modified Strong Collision (MSC) approach, as described by Chang *et al.*³³, was utilised in the previous work³² to account for the influence of pressure on reaction rate constants. Here we utilize more rigorous Rice-Ramsperger-Kassel-Marcus (RRKM) theory calculations coupled with an energy grained master equation (ME) solution.

The QRRK/MSC approach varies from the RRKM/ME in two key respects. The first is that the former derives vibrational frequencies for reactants, and subsequent sums and densities of states, from a three-frequency fit to molecular heat capacities³⁴. Microscopic rate constants, k(E), are in turn computed from the density of states of the reactant molecule together with an estimate of the high-pressure limiting rate constant for the reaction *via* the Inverse Laplace Transform (ILT) method. No knowledge of the transition state properties is required in this approach, although the high-pressure limiting rate constants can be computed from canonical transition state theory as performed in the work of Somers et al.³². The RRKM method derives sums and densities of states for reactants and transition states, and subsequently k(E), from more molecular ro-vibrational data typically obtained from quantum chemical calculations.

-The second major difference lies in the approach to collisional energy transfer (CET). Both the MSC and ME approaches assume that collisions occur based on the Lennard-Jones collision frequency. However, the MSC approximation assumes that every collision is completely activating or deactivating, and the Lennard-Jones collision frequency is simply altered by a weak-collision efficiency factor, β_c , which reduces the total rate of collisions in order to account for the fact that activation and de-activation require many collisions. The ME approach explicitly considers the transfer of energy between different energy levels and is thus a more physically realistic and accurate approximation for CET.

Since the development of the kinetic model by Somers et al.³² a number of noteworthy studies on 2MF oxidation have been carried out contemporaneously with this work. Davis and Sarathy³⁵ reported reaction enthalpies, barrier heights and rate constants for several of the combustion and atmospheric reactions of 2-methylfuran based on quantum chemistry (CBS-QB3, G4) and canonical rate theory. Hydroxyl radical addition to the furan ring and subsequent O₂ addition reactions to the nascent adduct were investigated as possible reactions of significance under atmospheric and low-temperature combustion conditions. They also investigated unimolecular decomposition reactions of 2MF and abstraction reactions by hydrogen atom, although hydrogen atom addition reactions and the influence of pressure on the thermal decomposition pathways rate constants were not investigated. A small number of these high-temperature reactions are described in detail in this work, with the high-pressure limiting rate constants determined here in good agreement with the results of Davis and Sarathy³⁵. A comparison of our results with Davis and Sarathy is presented in the ESI.

Tran and co-workers³⁶ recently studied the flame structure of two laminar premixed low-pressure (20 and 40 mbar) 2MF/O₂/Ar flames, with electron-ionization molecular beam mass spectrometry (EI-MBMS) and GC used to quantify reactant, intermediate and product concentrations as a function of distance from the burner. They provided a chemical mechanism for 2MF oxidation under these conditions, constructed largely by taking analogies to rate constants from 2,5dimethylfuran³⁷ and furan³⁸ mechanisms available in the literature. Their mechanism was shown to satisfactorily reproduce their experimental results, as was the mechanism previously described by Somers et al.³², although the former was not compared with the ignition delay time or laminar burning velocities against which the latter was also validated. The mechanism developed as part of *this work* is compared with these recently published measurements in the ESI, with good quantitative predictions of the major species reported experimentally.

Wei *et al.*³⁹ recently used a shock tube facility to determine ignition delay times of 2MF/O₂/Ar mixtures from equivalence ratios of 0.25–2.0, at temperatures of 1120–1700 K and at pressures of 1.25–10.65 bar. Their measurements are consistent with those of Somers *et al.*³² at atmospheric pressure and provide valuable new data for kinetic modelling at elevated pressures. The kinetic model of Somers *et al.*³² was used to numerically model the experimental results with reasonable agreement observed. The updated mechanism described herein is compared with these new ignition measurements in the ESI, with good reproduction of experimental measurements.

2 Computational Methods

Minima and transition states have been initially optimised using the B3LYP^{40,41} functional coupled with the 6-31+G(d,p)basis set. Frequency analysis is employed to verify the nature of stationary points with the presence of a single imaginary frequency indicative of a transition structure, which is in turn connected to reactants and products *via* intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations⁴².

B3LYP optimised geometries are subject to further geometry optimisation, frequency analysis and single point energy calculations using the compound methods CBS-QB3⁴³, CBS-APNO⁴⁴ and G3⁴⁵ which have been used in numerous theoretical studies for the determination of thermochemistry and kinetics of furanic species of late^{25,28–31,35,46}. The three methods tend to give good agreement with each other, possibly indicating an absence of multi-reference character in the systems studied. Calculations have been carried out using the Gaussian 03 and 09 applications⁴⁷. Where uncertainties in quantum chemical calculations are reported in the text, it is to one standard deviation.

The Thermo application of the Multiwell program suite^{48,49} has been used to determine thermochemical parameters (S, C_p and $H_T - H_0$, 298–2500 K) and high-pressure limiting rate constants, from canonical transition state theory. Internal rotations corresponding to low frequency torsional modes are analysed *via* relaxed potential energy surface scans, where geometries and energies are calculated as a function of dihedral angle in 10 degree increments. Potential energy and rotational constants (computed using the LAMM module of Multiwell) as a function of dihedral angle are fit to a series of truncated sine and cosine Fourier series and are used as input for a 1-D internal rotation approximation. For hydrogen atom

transfer, abstraction, and addition reactions, the contribution of quantum mechanical tunneling has been accounted for *via* the inclusion of asymmetric Eckart tunneling as implemented in Multiwell.

Standard state enthalpies of formation $(\Delta_f H^{\diamond})$ of all C_5H_6O species are computed based on the $\Delta_f H^{\circ}$ of 2-methylfuran²³, and $\Delta_r H_0$ (0 K) with subsequent extrapolation via enthalpy functions $(H_T - H_0)$. $\Delta_f H^{\circ}$ of $\dot{C}_5 H_7 O$ radicals are derived from $\Delta_f H_0$ of 2MF and \dot{H} atom and $\Delta_r H_0$. For the 2furanylmethyl radical, $\Delta_f H_0$ (78.07 kJ mol⁻¹) is determined from $\Delta_r H_0$ (85.11 kJ mol⁻¹) for the reaction 2MF $\rightarrow \dot{H}$ + 2-furanylmethyl and the known $\Delta_f H^{\circ}$ of 2MF and \dot{H} atom. $\Delta_f H^{\diamond}$ of all other C₅H₅O radicals are computed relative to 2furanylmethyl radical. Whilst isodesmic reactions could also be employed to determine the above parameters, the lack of well characterized companion species for error cancellation inhibits the development of suitable working reactions, particularly as the acyclic species which are formed upon opening of the 2MF ring are highly functionalised. The above method does ensure a consistent approach to thermochemical computations for inclusion in subsequent kinetic modelling work.

Many of the reactions investigated in this work correspond to those which are both temperature- and pressure-dependent. RRKM/ME calculations are used as a framework in order to evaluate the effect of the latter. RRKM/ME analyses of the chemically activated reactions of hydrogen atom with 2MF have been carried out using the stochastic method developed by Barker and co-workers implemented via the Multiwell program suite^{48,49}. Sums and densities of states of reactants and transition states are evaluated using a direct count method with an energy grain size of 10 cm^{-1} , up to a maximum energy of 10^5 cm⁻¹. In order to minimise stochastic uncertainties for minor reaction pathways, 20×10^6 trials were carried out for 160 collisions at temperatures of 600-2000 K from 1-100 atm; for sub-atmospheric pressures, $1-2 \times 10^6$ trials were employed to minimise computational expense. Ro-vibrationally excited adducts were found to have undergone deactivation within the 160 simulated collisions under these conditions, before thermal unimolecular decay of the intermediate isomers subsequently occurred. Phenomological rate constants for the reaction $2MF + H \rightarrow$ products were determined by monitoring concentration-time and vibrational energy-time profiles of the excited adducts using the Thermal Decay procedure recently described by Pinches and da Silva⁵⁰.

RRKM/ME analyses of the thermally activated unimolecular reactions of 2MF was carried out using the ChemRate code⁵¹ as Multiwell is not optimally designed for the computation of slow steady state unimolecular reactions where the number of collisions being simulated is greater than a few thousand. In this case, the thermal unimolecular reaction of 2MF is slow below 1750 K and $>10^4$ collisions are required to

achieve adequate consumption of the reactant for the extraction of rate constants from concentration-time profiles. For calculations using ChemRate, an energy grain size of 40–70 cm⁻¹ was used up to a maximum of 10^5 – 1.5×10^5 cm⁻¹ (depending on the specific temperature being studied). Convergence was monitored by ensuring that solutions to the Master Equation became time-independent with the "Divide and Conquer" method employed. The same method is used for computations on the thermal decomposition of the 2-furanylmethyl radical, although as chemical reaction for this species is much faster than for 2MF, a comparison of pressure-dependent rate constants derived from both Multiwell and ChemRate is presented. All RRKM/ME calculations are carried out based on ro-vibrational and energetic properties from CBS-QB3 calculations.

For all simulations, the average energy transferred in a deactivating collision, $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle$, was assumed to have a temperature-independent value of 1000 cm⁻¹. Many recent literature studies have assumed constant $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle$ values for similar systems (large aromatic molecules). $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle$ estimates have ranged from 2000 cm⁻¹ for the benzyl radical^{52,53}, 1000 cm⁻¹ for a C₇ heterocyclic ring⁵⁵, 718 cm⁻¹ for a C₁₀H₁₀ biheterocycle⁵⁴, 500 cm⁻¹ for pyrazole⁵⁶, fulveneallene⁵⁷ and benzyl hydroperoxide⁵⁸, and 260 cm⁻¹ for 2,5-dimethylfuran^{29–31} and furan³⁸. Other recent studies have assumed temperaturedependent energy transfer parameters^{59,60}. There is still remaining uncertainty in these energy transfer parameters but our empirical estimate of 1000 cm^{-1} lies well within the range of values adopted in recent literature studies. Some test calculations were carried out with $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle = 500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle$ = 2000 cm^{-1} to illustrate the variation in computed rate constants. The results are found in ESI and they show less than a factor of 2 variation in the computed rate constants for unimolecular decomposition pathways, and a factor of 23 variation in computed rate constants for major chemically activated pathways. All calculations are carried out in an argon bath gas with Lennard-Jones parameters for the third body taken as $\sigma = 3.47$ Å and $\varepsilon/k_B = 114^{61}$. For 2MF and its derivatives Lennard-Jones parameters were determined empirically from the correlations provided by Kee and co-workers⁶². Together with recommended critical constants for 2MF⁶³, $\sigma = 5.36$ Å and $\varepsilon/k_B = 396$ are computed. All intermediates from 2MF decomposition, 2-furanylmethyl radical decomposition, and hydrogen atom addition pathways are assumed to have the same Lennard-Jones parameters.

Ultimately, the kinetics and thermochemistry produced as part of *this work* are used as input for a chemical kinetic model to describe the pyrolysis of 2MF, which is then compared with the shock tube data of Lifshitz et al. ¹⁴. Shock tube simulations were carried out using the Aurora module (constant volume) of the Chemkin-Pro⁶⁵ software package with an average resi-

dence time of 2.05 ms and an average pressure of 2.5 atm.

3 Results and Discussion

In all subsequent sections, the subscript (x) used to enumerate tabulated rate constants (k_x) corresponds with the number assigned to the transition state (**TSx**) for that reaction on a given potential energy surface. If a reaction does not have an accompanying potential energy surface (abstraction reactions or literature rate constants), other shorthand notations are used.

3.1 Carbene Mediated Unimolecular Decomposition

Table 1 Arrhenius coefficients of high-pressure limiting rate constants for the decomposition of 2-methylfuran *via* carbene intermediates. $k(s^{-1}) = AT^n \exp(-E_a/R)$, $AT^n (s^{-1})$, E_a/R (K).

No.	Reaction	Α	п	E_a/R
k_1	$M1 \to M2$	1.36×10^{11}	0.70	41593.
k_2	$M1 \to M3$	2.26×10^{10}	0.99	32486.
k_3	$M2 \rightarrow P1 + P2$	1.55×10^{12}	0.83	14343.
k_4	$M3 \rightarrow P3 + P4$	8.54×10^{12}	0.68	15881.
k_5	$M1 \to M4$	2.15×10^{10}	0.95	35145.
k_6	$M4 \to M5$	2.80×10^{12}	0.30	-72.
k_7	$M5 \to M6$	2.17×10^{13}	-0.24	2725.
k_8	$M6 \rightarrow P5 + P6$	7.30×10^{10}	0.69	21872.
k_9	$M6 \rightarrow P6 + P7$	3.40×10^{11}	1.00	30161.
<i>k</i> ₁₀	$M1 \to M7$	1.75×10^{10}	1.00	34174.
k_{11}	$M7 \to M8$	6.11×10^{12}	0.09	552.
k_{12}	$M8 \to M9 $	1.26×10^{13}	-0.13	2146.
<i>k</i> ₁₃	$M9 \rightarrow P3 + P4$	3.91×10^{08}	1.48	33817.

Potential energy surfaces for the formation and decomposition of β -carbenes are depicted in Figures 1 and 2 with corresponding rate constants (k_5-k_{13}) reported in Table 1. Unimolecular decomposition of 2MF through α -carbene intermediates was found to be uncompetitive with the β -carbene pathways due to due to the higher energy barriers encountered on their potential energy surfaces. A detailed discussion on these pathways can be found in ESI with the rate constants computed for these pathways (k_1 - k_4) reported in Table 1.

A $3 \rightarrow 2$ hydrogen atom transfer, Figure 1, which forms a β -carbene intermediate (**M4**) has a computed barrier of 294.5 \pm 1.6 kJ mol⁻¹. This intermediate could not be located using the CBS-QB3 method (B3LYP/CBSB7 optimisation step), which instead led to the cis conformer of 2,3-pentadiene-1-al upon optimisation. The same observation was noted in a computational study for the analogous process in 25DMF²⁵.

The CBS-APNO and G3 methods successfully locate this carbene intermediate existing at 256.8 \pm 2.9 kJ mol⁻¹ above

2MF. The subsequent ring opening reaction of the cyclic carbene is found to be extremely facile, with a transition state (**TS6**) being located 2.8 and 2.5 kJ mol⁻¹ *below* the level of the reactants using the CBS-APNO and G3 methods respectively. The shallow nature of the β -carbene well likely contributes to the inability of the CBS-QB3 method to locate the reactant as a stationary point.

The product of ring opening exists in two rotameric forms (**M5** and **M6**) about the formyl group, which are connected by a rotational barrier of 21.4 \pm 0.3 kJ mol⁻¹. The trans conformer (**M6**) can undergo CO elimination with a concomitant 1 \rightarrow 4 hydrogen shift to form 1-butyne (k_8) in a near thermoneutral reaction with $\Delta_r H_0 = 11.9 \pm 0.7$ kJ mol⁻¹.

The barrier for the process is computed as $183.6 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ based CBS-QB3 calculations, and $180.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ via the CBS-APNO method, in good agreement with G2(MP2) calculations¹⁸ (175 kJ mol⁻¹) for the similar process in furan. A $1 \rightarrow 2$ hydrogen atom transfer to form 1,2-butadiene and CO is also possible, although the barrier is much greater at 252.2 kJ mol⁻¹.

Simple fission reactions are also possible for this acyclic species and BDEs of C—C bonds in the system have therefore been investigated, as they should coincide effectively with the barrier for these dissociation reactions. The formation of formyl radical (HĊO) and 1,2-butadiene-1-yl radical ($\dot{C}H=C=CH-CH_3$) from the trans conformer is found to be endothermic by 321.7 kJ mol⁻¹ based on standard state CBS-QB3 energetics, with fission to form a $\dot{C}H_3$ radical and a formyl allene radical ($\dot{C}H=C=CH-CH=O$) having a similar BDE of 327.9 kJ mol⁻¹. These homolytic processes face enthalpic barriers much greater than that computed for the lowest energy CO elimination process (circa 140 kJ mol⁻¹), and are therefore unlikely to contribute to the decomposition of the aldehyde.

 β -carbone formation (M7) *via* a 4 \rightarrow 5 hydrogen shift (Figure 2) proceeds through a barrier of 287.8 \pm 1.2 kJ mol⁻¹, similar to that observed for the 2 \rightarrow 3 hydrogen shift. The carbone can undergo ring opening through **TS11**, in an effectively barrierless process (1.69 \pm 1.7 kJ mol⁻¹) to form 3,4-pentadiene-2-one.

Like the formyl butadiene product formed from a $3\rightarrow 2$ hydrogen atom transfer, there exists cis and trans conformers of 3,4-pentadiene-2-one (**M8** and **M9**) with the trans conformer (**M9**) capable of undergoing a $1\rightarrow 5$ hydrogen shift in a concerted process to form ketene and propyne. A barrier of 287.3 kJ mol⁻¹ is computed at the CBS-QB3 level of theory.

Given the tight nature of the transition state, we calculate a decrease in $\Delta^{\ddagger}S$ of -3.3 kJ mol⁻¹ at standard temperature and only a slight increase in $\Delta^{\ddagger}S$ to 1.0 kJ mol⁻¹ at 2000 K. C–C bond fission of the more stable trans conformer to acetyl radical (CH₃–Ċ=O) and the propargyl radical (CH=C–ĊH₂) is computed to be endothermic by 317.7 ± 1.8 kJ mol⁻¹, with



Fig. 1 Potential energy surface for the formation and decomposition of β -carbenes formed from 3 \rightarrow 2 hydrogen shift. CBS-QB3, *CBS-APNO* and G3 energies in kJ mol⁻¹ at 0 K relative to 2-methylfuran.

the formation of a $\dot{C}H_3$ radical and a formyl allene radical ($CH_2=C=CH-\dot{C}=O$) found to be endothermic by 359.1 \pm 4.5 kJ mol⁻¹.

The formation of CH₃— $\dot{C}=O$ and CH \equiv C— $\dot{C}H_2$ radicals is energetically similar to the concerted elimination process and we therefore expect that simple fission is likely to be favoured over the elimination reaction at high temperatures, as the looser nature of the homolysis is likely to result in an increase in $\Delta^{\ddagger}S$ for the reaction.

Figure 3, and Tables 1 and 2, show calculated rate constants for the formation of carbenes in the homologous series furan, 2MF and 25DMF, with consistent rate constants emerging for the formation of α - and β -carbenes *via* hydrogen atom and methyl group shifts.

Comparison is made with the computations of Simmie and Metcalfe²⁵ for the 25DMF system and Sendt *et al.*¹⁸ who reported Arrhenius parameters for this process in furan. Rate constants for furan and 25DMF decomposition are reduced by a factor of two in Figure 3 for comparison with 2MF, given the loss of symmetry for the mono-alkylated furan, but those reported in Table 2 have not undergone this treatment. There is a close agreement within the computed rate constants amongst the homologous series, which may be of interest to kinetic modellers.



Fig. 3 A comparison of the high-pressure limiting rate constants for -H (black) and $-CH_3$ (grey) group transfer reactions in the homologous series furan (- -), 2-methylfuran (—) and 2,5-dimethylfuran (…). Furan and 2,5-dimethylfuran rate constants are reduced by a factor of two to account for the external symmetry number of 1 for 2-methylfuran.



Fig. 2 Potential energy surface for the formation and decomposition of β -carbenes formed from 4 \rightarrow 5 hydrogen shift. CBS-QB3, *CBS-APNO* and G3 energies in kJ mol⁻¹ at 0 K relative to 2-methylfuran. Variational processes in greyscale.

Table 2 Arrhenius coefficients of high-pressure limiting rate constants for –H and –CH₃ group shifts in 2,5-dimethylfuran and furan. $k(s^{-1}) = AT^n \exp(-E_a/R), AT^n (s^{-1}), E_a/R$ (K).

No.	Reactant	Carbene, –R	Α	п	E_a/R	
$k_{Furan-\alpha}$	Furan ^a	α, –H	1.69×10^{12}	0.56	33782.	
$k_{Furan-\beta}$	Furan ^b	β, –H	5.94×10^{13}	0.00	35372.	
$k_{DMF-\alpha}$	25DMF ^c	α , –CH ₃	7.77×10^{13}	0.00	41483.	
$k_{DMF-\beta}$	25DMF ^c	β, –H	9.48×10^{13}	0.00	35230.	
,	^{<i>a</i>} this work, ^{<i>b</i>} Sendt et al. ¹⁸ , ^{<i>c</i>} Simmie and Metcalfe ²⁵					

RRKM/ME analysis has been applied to determine pressure- and temperature-dependent rate constants for the multi-step collisionally activated decomposition pathways of 2MF. A number of simplifications are made to the potential energy surface for decomposition through β -carbenes. Both **M4** and **M7** are omitted from the RRKM/ME calculations as preliminary calculations showed that collisional stabilisation is inefficient for these species, owing to their shallow welldepth. Only a single rotamer of 2,3-pentadiene-1-al (**M6**) and of 3,4-pentadiene-2-one (**M9**) is included in this analysis for simplicity.

Pressure-dependent rate constants for the β -carbene medi-

ated decomposition of 2-methylfuran are shown in Figure 4. Under the experimental conditions of Lifshitz *et al.* (1150–1500 K, 2.5 atm), both reaction pathways are approximately at the high-pressure limit, with only $\approx 20\%$ reduction in the computed high-pressure limiting rate constants at 1500 K and 2.5 atm. With increasing temperature and decreasing pressure however, the deviation of the rate constants from the high-pressure limiting case becomes more pronounced and there may be a need to account for fall-off effects under these conditions.

As part of our RRKM/ME calculations, we also consider the simple fission of 2MF into 2-furanylmethyl radical and \dot{H} atom. The high-pressure limiting rate constant for this barrierless process is estimated based on an analogy with a literature⁶⁶ rate constant for the recombination of a \dot{H} atom with the resonantly stabilised benzyl radical. From an estimated recombination rate constant of $9.25 \times 10^{13}T^{-0.01} \exp(-96.9/T) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and the equilibrium constant for the reaction 2-furanylmethyl + \dot{H} atom ≈ 2 MF, a high-pressure limiting rate constant of $3.37 \times 10^{15}T^{-0.01} \exp(-44026/T) \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the dissociation reaction is computed through microscopic reversibility.

For the alkyl side chains of 2MF²² and toluene⁶⁷ similar



Fig. 4 Computed pressure-dependent rate constants (atm) for the decomposition of 2-methylfuran to (a) 2,3-pentadiene-1-al (**M6**) and (b) 3,4-pentadiene-2-one (**M9**).

BDEs have previously been reported, 360.9 ± 5.2 and 375 ± 5.0 kJ mol⁻¹ respectively. A BDE of 361.2 kJ mol⁻¹ for 2MF is determined in *this work*. The high-pressure limiting rate constant of the barrierless association of a H atom with the 2-furanylmethyl radical may be expected to be of a similar order as that for the recombination of H atom with benzyl radical, and the high-pressure limiting rate constant described above should therefore be of a reasonable order.

Transition state properties (frequencies, rotational constants) for the C–H fission process have been generated automatically by the ChemRate code based on the properties of the reactants and products for the reaction and the microscopic rate constants, k(E), were then determined from the estimated transition state properties. k(E) were subsequently altered by an empirical fitting factor such that the high-pressure limiting rate constant described above was replicated at every temperature. The enthalpy of activation for the process was assumed equal to the the enthalpy of reaction at 0 K.

Based on temperature- and pressure-dependent RRKM/ME calculations under the conditions of Lifshitz *et al.* study ¹⁴, as shown in Figure 5 (a), decomposition *via* β -carbene intermediates is found to be dominant over the temperature range 1000–2000 K. $3\rightarrow 2$ and $4\rightarrow 5$ hydrogen atom shift reactions forming **M6** and **M9** are preferred to the simple fission of a C–H bond, and the formation of CH₂=C=O and CH=C-CH through an α -carbene (**M3**).

Whilst a hydrogen atom transfer reaction to form an α carbene (M3) is initially competitive with β -carbene formation (Figure 3), unlike the β -carbenes which can readily undergo ring opening, the α -carbene faces subsequent and much more substantial barriers in order to decompose to bimolec-



Fig. 5 Temperature-dependent branching ratios for the thermal decomposition of 2-methylfuran under the conditions of the Lifshitz *et al.*¹⁴ study (2.5 atm). (a) 2-methylfuran \rightarrow products; **P3 + P4** (ketene + propyne *via* α -carbene), **M6** (2,3-pentadiene-1-al *via* β -carbene), **M9** (3,4-pentadiene-2-one *via* β -carbene), **M10 + P17** (C–H fission) (b) **M6** \rightarrow products; **P5 + P6** (1-butyne + CO), **P6 + P7** (1,2-butadiene + CO).

ular products. A steady state analysis is employed at each pressure to compute the fraction of 2MF which will form $CH_2=C=O$ and $CH\equiv C-CH$ via M3 and the results show that only above 1500 K and pressures of 1 atm will this pathway become competitive. C-H fission is found to be the least important unimolecular decomposition pathway of 2MF based on the present analysis.

The temperature-dependent branching ratios for the decomposition of M6 are shown in Figure 5 (b). M6 can decompose to form 1-butyne and CO or 1,2-butadiene and CO, with the former being favoured over the entire temperature and pressure range of Lifshitz et al. study¹⁴. The latter becomes competitive only at higher temperatures. M9 is found to decompose exclusively to $CH_3 - C = O$ and $CH \equiv C - \dot{C}H_2$ radicals under all conditions of temperature and pressure. The high-pressure limiting rate constant for this reaction has been estimated in a similar fashion to the C-H fission reaction of 2MF, with an assumed rate constant for the recombination of CH_3 - $\dot{C}=O$ and $\dot{C}H_2$ - $C\equiv CH$ radicals of $5.2 \times 10^{12} T^{0.01} \exp(-114/T)$ cm³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹. This implies a forward decomposition rate constant of $1.52 \times$ $10^{25}T^{-2.48} \exp(-39621/T)$ s⁻¹, which is ≈ 200 times faster than the tighter process (k_{13}) forming ketene and propyne.

In conclusion, the unimolecular decomposition of 2methylfuran is shown to be initiated by $3\rightarrow 2$ and $4\rightarrow 5$ hydrogen atom shift reactions which are quite close to their highpressure limits under the experimental conditions of Lifshitz *et al.*¹⁴. Once sufficient free radical species are formed from the initial decomposition processes, abstraction of a hydrogen atom from the alkyl side chain should assume importance in the pyrolysis of this species. The unimolecular decomposition of 1-butyne and acetyl radical, which are formed as fragmentation products of 2MF, should result in the formation of methyl radicals, with the formation of hydrogen atoms from simple fission also possible but of lesser importance initially. Rate constants for abstraction processes by these radicals are therefore of interest, as is the fate of the primary fuel radical.

3.2 Abstraction Reactions and Thermal Decomposition of 2-Furanylmethyl Radical



Fig. 6 Rate constants for hydrogen atom abstraction from 2-methylfuran by (a) hydrogen atom and (b) methyl radical. k_{H-C3} , k_{H-C4} and k_{H-C5} are effectively superimposed.

Results of thermochemical and kinetic computations on hydrogen atom abstraction reactions by \dot{H} atom and $\dot{C}H_3$ radical are listed in Table 3.

Abstraction of a hydrogen atom from the methyl group of 2MF by a $\dot{C}H_3$ radical is calculated to be exothermic by -74.9 ± 4.0 kJ mol $^{-1}$, with a barrier of 39.3 \pm 4.0 kJ mol $^{-1}$. Abstraction from the same site by a H atom faces lower barriers $(27.9 \pm 2.8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})$ but the exothermicity $(-77.7 \pm 2.8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})$ is of a similar order to that for abstraction by a $\dot{C}H_3$ radical.

Abstraction of any of the hydrogen atoms directly bonded to the furan ring is thought to be unlikely given their highly vinylic nature, with BDEs circa 505 kJ mol⁻¹ reported previously²². Consistent rate constants for hydrogen atom abstraction by a $\dot{C}H_3$ radical and a \dot{H} atom are reported for abstraction from these sites but it is evident that these processes are of little importance, Figure 6. As a result, the consumption reactions of the furyl radicals formed from these abstraction processes are not considered here.

Rate constants for abstraction from the alkyl group by a \dot{H} atom, k_{H-C2} , are reported in Table 3 at three levels of theory, thus allowing for an assessment of the uncertainty in the computed rate constants. A factor of 2.5 variation is computed at temperatures of 1000–2000 K. In the same range of *T*, abstraction by methyl radical (k_{CH3-C2}) varies by a similar order.

The fate of the primary radical (**M10**) formed from abstraction from the alkyl side chain is depicted in Figure 7 with corresponding rate constant computations given in Table 4. Lifshitz included decomposition reactions for this radical in their proposed kinetic scheme with the formation of CO and the internal butadienyl radical (CH₂= \dot{C} -CH=CH₂) proposed as the major decomposition product. Decomposition to H $\dot{C}O$ radical and vinylacetylene (HC=C-CH=CH₂), ketene and propyne, or CH=CH, \dot{C}_2H_3 radical and CO was also included in their scheme, although no mechanistic proposals were made. In the temperature range 1100–1600 K, a total decomposition rate constant of $3.81 \times 10^{15}T^{0.11} \exp(-32149/T) \text{ s}^{-1}$ is inferred from their work.

Ring opening of **M10** proceeds *via* cleavage of the C—O bond through a barrier of 167.9 kJ mol⁻¹ from CBS-QB3 calculations with both CBS-APNO and G3 failing to locate the transition structure **TS14**. A high-pressure limiting rate constant of $1.38 \times 10^{13} T^{0.3} \exp(-20480/T) \text{ s}^{-1}$ is calculated for the ring opening process from CBS-QB3 calculations.

The ring opening product, 3,4-pentadiene-1-one-2-yl radical, has multiple conformers but only two are of interest mechanistically, **M11** and **M12**. The latter conformer can undergo a 1 \rightarrow 4 intramolecular hydrogen abstraction reaction through a five membered ring transition state (**TS17**), in a relatively low barrier (99.5 ± 3.1 kJ mol⁻¹) exothermic (-58.7 ± 2.3 kJ mol⁻¹) process. This reaction faces the highest barrier on the \dot{C}_5H_5O potential energy surface, and reformation of **M12** from **M13** is unlikely due to the exothermicity of the reaction, and subsequent low energy exit channels which exist for the consumption of **M13**.

The β -scission of a C—H bond in M11 to form 1,3,4pentatriene-1-one (P18) was investigated as this species was detected experimentally in the flames of 25DMF²⁶ and 2MF⁶⁹. With an endothermicity of 202.2 ± 3.9 kJ mol⁻¹ and critical energy of 218.4 ± 1.5 kJ mol⁻¹, its formation is not competitive with the 1→4 intramolecular hydrogen abstraction reaction.

The product of the $1\rightarrow 4$ hydrogen atom transfer reaction has two resonance structures that lead to different configurational isomers, M13 and M14, connected by a transition state which lies 33.1 kJ mol⁻¹ above M13 and 3.4 kJ mol⁻¹ above M14. IRC analysis (B3LYP/CBSB7) verifies the latter isomer undergoes decarbonylation (TS19) to form *n*-butadienyl radical (CH=CH-CH=CH₂) and CO through a barrier of 99.4

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

No.	Ż	Site	$\Delta^{\ddagger} H^0$	$\Delta_r H^0$	Α	п	E_a/R
<i>k</i> _{<i>H</i>-<i>C</i>2} (QB3)	Ĥ		26.6	-80.9	5.36×10^{4}	2.73	1785.
k_{H-C2} (APNO)	Ĥ	C-2	25.9	-76.4	8.71×10^{0}	3.88	57.
k_{H-C2} (G3)	Ĥ		31.1	-75.7	5.51×10^{-1}	4.20	216.
			± 2.8	± 2.8			
k_{H-C3}	Ĥ	C-3	85.1 ± 3.4	64.1 ± 3.3	1.53×10^{8}	1.86	9666.
k_{H-C4}	Ĥ	C-4	84.2 ± 3.3	63.4 ± 3.1	1.37×10^{8}	1.88	9700.
k_{H-C5}	Ĥ	C-5	87.3 ± 3.7	63.4 ± 3.8	1.03×10^{8}	1.94	9838.
<i>k</i> _{CH3-C2} (QB3)	ĊH3	C^{2}	40.0	-78.1	1.21×10^{-2}	4.29	2254.
k_{CH3-C2} (APNO)	ĊH3	C-2	38.6	-76.2	4.36×10^{-7}	5.58	50.
			± 1.0	± 4.0			
<i>k</i> _{CH3-C3}	ĊH3	C-3	82.0 ± 0.3	66.9 ± 4.5	1.04×10^{2}	3.18	8274.
<i>k</i> _{CH3-C4}	ĊH3	C-4	82.5 ± 0.4	66.2 ± 4.3	1.31×10^{2}	3.19	8336.
<i>k</i> _{CH3-C5}	ĊH3	C-5	82.9 ± 0.8	66.2 ± 5.1	1.54×10^{2}	3.20	8397.

Table 3 Computed barrier heights and enthalpies of reaction (kJ mol⁻¹, 0 K) and Arrhenius coefficients of high-pressure limiting rate constants for the reaction 2-methylfuran + $\dot{X} \rightarrow \dot{R}$ + XH. $k(cm^{3}mol^{-1}s^{-1}) = AT^{n} exp(-E_{a}/R), AT^{n} (cm^{3}mol^{-1}s^{-1}), E_{a}/R$ (K).



Fig. 7 Potential energy surface for the decomposition of the 2-furanylmethyl radical. CBS-QB3, *CBS-APNO* and G3 energies in kJ mol⁻¹ at 0 K relative to 2-furanylmethyl.

8 | Journal Name, 2010, [vol],1–20


Fig. 8 Carbene intermediate in the isomerisation of 2,4-cyclopendadienone and 1,3,4-pentatrieneone. CBS-QB3, *CBS-APNO* and **G3** energies in kJ mol⁻¹ at 0 K relative to reactant.

kJ mol⁻¹. **M15**, a second conformer of **M13**, can undergo ring closure *via* a barrier of 51.0 ± 3.9 kJ mol⁻¹ (**TS21**) to form a resonantly stabilised cyclopentenone radical (**M16**) which is is computed to be 27.7 ± 1.6 kJ mol⁻¹ more stable than the 2-furanylmethyl radical.

The β -scission of a C—H bond is the only plausible consumption channel envisaged for (M16), forming cyclopentadienone (P20) and a hydrogen atom. The barrier for the endothermic process (233.1 ± 4.8 kJ mol⁻¹) is calculated to be 234.8 ± 4.8 kJ mol⁻¹, although the CBS-QB3 method predicts a negative critical energy (-3.19 kJ mol⁻¹) if viewed from the perspective of hydrogen atom addition across the double bond of cyclopentadienone.

Cyclopentadienone is a known intermediate in the combustion of cyclopentadiene and it is interesting to see a common feature between this five-membered ring and 2MF, namely, the formation and consumption of β -carbenes through a 1 \rightarrow 2 hydrogen shift reaction on a singlet potential energy surface, Figure 8. The energetics and kinetics of the process were not considered in a previous analysis of cyclopentadienone decomposition⁶⁸. Here we find they are of a similar order to those found in the decomposition of 2MF, with the computed rate constant for the hydrogen transfer reaction (k_{23a}) within a factor of two of those calculated for 2MF (k_5 and k_{10}) in the hightemperature regime. The carbene intermediate (**INT1**) can undergo ring-opening to form 1,3,4-pentatriene-1-one, which was detected in low-pressure flames of 25DMF²⁶ and 2MF⁶⁹.

The formation of CO and *n*-butadienyl radical appears dominant in our scheme, once **M13** is formed the rate limiting steps for the formation of products are given by k_{19} and k_{22} , with k_{19} dominant in the temperature ranges we have studied.

Multiple-well, multiple-channel RRKM/ME calculations have been carried out to study the influence of pressure on the consumption of all \dot{C}_5H_5O isomers. As a test of the uncer-

Table 4 Arrhenius coefficients of high-pressure limiting rate constants for the decomposition of 2-furanylmethyl radical (**M10**) and related intermediates. $k(s^{-1}) = AT^n \exp(-E_a/R)$, AT^n (s⁻¹), E_a/R (K).

No.	Reaction	Α	п	E_a/R
<i>k</i> ₁₄	$M10 \rightarrow M11$	1.38×10^{13}	0.30	20480.
k_{15}	$M11 \rightarrow P17 + P18$	9.12×10^{10}	1.15	26634.
k_{16}	$M11 \rightarrow M12$	4.01×10^{13}	-0.08	4541.
k_{17}	$M12 \rightarrow M13$	9.54×10^{06}	1.62	10071.
k_{18}	$M13 \rightarrow M14$	1.50×10^{13}	-0.19	4046.
k_{19}	$M14 \rightarrow P6 + P19$	8.61×10^{14}	0.19	12822.
<i>k</i> ₂₀	$M13 \rightarrow M15$	2.31×10^{13}	-0.13	5663.
k_{21}	$M15 \rightarrow M16$	4.06×10^{12}	-0.15	5622.
<i>k</i> ₂₂	$M16 \rightarrow P17 + P20$	2.99×10^{11}	1.14	28149.
k _{23a}	$P20 \rightarrow INT1$	1.03×10^{10}	1.21	36232.
<i>k</i> _{23<i>b</i>}	$INT1 \rightarrow P18$	8.72×10^{12}	0.21	2987.

tainty in the computed rate constants, both the Multiwell and ChemRate codes were employed in this analysis, with 10⁶ trials used in the former. The computed rate constants for the thermal decomposition of M10 (Figure 9) are within 30% of each other under all conditions studied. For all other intermediates, a factor of two difference was observed in the worst case scenario for the reaction $M15 \rightarrow M16$ at 0.01 atm and 2000 K. The computed rate constants from the two codes are therefore in excellent agreement and a comparison of computed rate constants is presented in the ESI. The fall-off in the rate constant k_{14} appears to be non-negligible under hightemperature, low-pressure conditions and this fall-off effect must be considered in kinetic modelling studies, for example the low-pressure flames of Tran and co-workers³⁶ and Wei et al.⁶⁹. Rate constants computed using the ChemRate code from 0.01-100 atm and 800-2000 K are provided in the ESI for all reactions on the \dot{C}_5H_5O potential energy surface, and are incorporated into our kinetic model as pressure-dependent reactions (Chemkin-Pro PLOG format).

3.3 Hydrogen Atom Addition Reactions

Computed barrier heights, enthalpies of reaction and rate constants for \dot{H} atom addition to 2MF are presented in Table 5 and Figure 10. Consistent reaction barriers and enthalpies of reaction are calculated for these processes. \dot{H} atom addition to carbons adjacent to the oxygen atom of the furan ring are much more exothermic ($\approx 45 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$) than addition to C-3/C-4, and are thus favoured in terms of computed barriers heights. The difference in the computed $\Delta_r H_0$ upon addition at C-2 and C-5 versus C-3 or C-4 stems from the ability of the radicals to delocalise their unpaired electrons within the furan ring, with



Fig. 9 Pressure- (atm) and temperature-dependent rate constants for the thermal decomposition of 2-furanylmethyl (**M10**) based on RRKM/ME calculations. ChemRate (—) , Multiwell (symbols).



Fig. 10 (a) Total high-pressure limiting rate constant for hydrogen atom addition to 2-methylfuran and (b) site-specific branching ratios at various levels of theory. Colour scheme for theoretical methods in (a) also applies to (b).

addition at sites removed from the oxygen atom resulting in radicals incapable of doing so. Rate constants are presented at three levels of theory for an assessment of their uncertainty. The total rate constant for hydrogen atom addition to 2MF varies by less than a factor of two across the three levels of theory applied, with site-specific branching ratios also predicting the following trend quite consistently amongst the methods: C-5 > C-2 > C-3 = C-4. This trend is in line with the reaction exothermicity upon addition at each site.

3.3.1 Addition at C-2. The potential energy surface for hydrogen atom addition to C-2 of 2MF is illustrated in Figures 11 and 12 with the corresponding rate constants provided

in Table 6. The fate of the adduct formed from hydrogen atom addition at C-2 (**M17**) was previously investigated by Simmie and Metcalfe²⁵. The authors calculated a rate constant of $2.232 \times 10^{14} \exp(-16292/T)$ for the demethylation process forming furan and a CH₃ radical *via* **TS24**.

Table 6 Arrhenius coefficients of high-pressure limiting rate constants for for reactions relevant to hydrogen atom addition at C-2 of 2-methylfuran. $k(s^{-1}) = AT^n \exp(-E_a/R)$, $AT^n (s^{-1})$, E_a/R (K).

No.	Reaction	$A (s^{-1})$	п	E_a/R
k ₂₅	$M17 \rightarrow P10 + P22$	9.23×10^{12}	0.38	15837.
k ₂₆	$M17 \rightarrow M18$	3.85×10^{13}	-0.09	12216.
k ₂₇	$M18 \rightarrow M19$	2.61×10^{11}	0.58	2710.
k_{28}	$M19 \rightarrow M20$	2.49×10^{03}	2.59	12236.
k ₂₉	$M20 \rightarrow P6 + P23$	1.19×10^{15}	0.26	13053.
<i>k</i> ₃₀	$M17 \rightarrow M21$	3.85×10^{13}	-0.09	11003.
<i>k</i> ₃₁	$M21 \rightarrow M22$	2.33×10^{12}	0.26	4422.
<i>k</i> ₃₂	$M22 \rightarrow M20$	3.77×10^{05}	2.03	13440.
<i>k</i> ₃₃	$M18 \rightarrow M23$	9.21×10^{10}	0.53	3834.
k ₃₄	$M23 \rightarrow M24$	1.83×10^{08}	1.41	19235.
k35	$M24 \rightarrow M25$	2.18×10^{10}	0.50	746.
k ₃₆	$M25 \rightarrow P9 + P24$	2.67×10^{10}	1.20	15994.
k37	$M18 \rightarrow M26$	7.50×10^{00}	3.10	6584.
<i>k</i> ₃₈	$M26 \rightarrow M27$	1.45×10^{11}	0.42	18323.

However, the authors did not investigate the result of cleavage of the C—O bond which could open the furan ring. Two chiral transition states were identified for this process (**TS26** and **TS30**) which are verified by IRC analysis to form Zand E- conformers (**M18** and **M21**) of 3-pentene-1-one-2-yl radical. A total rate constant for ring opening can be determined from the summation of k_{26} and k_{30} . A rate constant of $8.69 \times 10^{12} T^{0.16} \exp(-11042/T) \text{ s}^{-1}$ is thus computed, indicating that ring opening should be competitive with demethylation throughout the temperature range 1,000–2,000 K.

Both **M19** and **M22** can undergo a $1\rightarrow 4$ hydrogen atom shift reaction to form an aldehydic radical (**M20**) which can decarbonylate through **TS29** in a reasonably low barrier process (101.9 kJ mol⁻¹) forming 1-butene-1-yl radical and CO. Mechanistically, this process is similar to that observed in the decomposition of the 2-furanylmethyl radical, where an aldehydic-type radical is formed before subsequent α -scission to form CO and *n*-butadienyl radical.

Further reactions of **M18** which could lead to the formation of either 1,3-butadiene and HCO radical or cyclopentadiene and OH radical were also investigated, Figure 12. The formation of 1,3-butadiene and HCO radical is inhibited firstly by a 1 \rightarrow 4 hydrogen atom transfer from **M23** to form **M24** *via* **TS34**, for which a barrier of 171.0 \pm 0.6 kJ mol⁻¹ is calculated. On simple thermodynamic grounds the formation

No.	Site	$\Delta^{\ddagger} H^0$	$\Delta^{\!R} H^0$	Α	п	E_a/R
k ₂₄ (QB3)		12.2	-126.5	2.10×10^{8}	1.57	904.
<i>k</i> ₂₄ (APNO)	C-2	12.9	-128.0	2.67×10^{7}	1.89	776.
<i>k</i> ₂₄ (G3)		9.5	-127.3	3.33×10^{7}	1.88	421.
		11.54 ± 1.8	-127.3 ± 0.7			
k40 (QB3)		17.5	-80.9	3.72×10^{8}	1.54	1414.
k_{40} (APNO)	C-3	18.9	-82.4	3.48×10^{7}	1.87	1282.
<i>k</i> ₄₀ (G3)		18.7	-80.7	3.94×10^{7}	1.86	1281.
		18.4 ± 0.8	-81.3 ± 0.9			
k ₅₃ (QB3)		19.9	-84.6	2.01×10^{8}	1.61	1672.
k ₅₃ (APNO)	C-4	20.7	-85.9	1.88×10^{7}	1.95	1500.
<i>k</i> ₅₃ (G3)		20.1	-85.3	2.10×10^{7}	1.94	1460.
		20.2 ± 0.4	-85.3 ± 0.7			
<i>k</i> ₆₀ (QB3)		7.0	-133.2	1.20×10 ⁹	1.48	468.
<i>k</i> ₆₀ (APNO)	C-5	9.6	-133.9	9.06×10^{7}	1.80	457.
<i>k</i> ₆₀ (G3)		6.2	-132.3	1.30×10^{8}	1.76	127.
		7.59 ± 1.8	-133.1 ± 0.8			

Table 5 Computed barrier heights and enthalpies of reaction (kJ mol⁻¹, 0 K), and Arrhenius coefficients of high-pressure limiting rate constants for hydrogen atom addition reactions to 2-methylfuran. $k(\text{cm}^3\text{mol}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}) = AT^n \exp(-E_a/R)$, AT^n (cm³mol⁻¹s⁻¹), E_a/R (K).



Fig. 11 Potential energy surface for hydrogen atom addition at carbon 2 of 2-methylfuran. CBS-QB3, *CBS-APNO* and G3 energies in kJ mol^{-1} at 0 K relative to reactants.



Fig. 12 Potential energy surface for hydrogen atom transfer reactions of 3-pentene-1-al-3-yl radical. CBS-QB3, *CBS-APNO* and **G3** energies in kJ mol⁻¹ at 0 K relative to 2-methylfuran and hydrogen.

of these products was not envisaged to be competitive as the products are computed to lie $25.9 \pm 3.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ above 2MF and hydrogen at 298.15 K, which is likely too endothermic too compete with the exothermic formation of furan and a $\dot{C}H_3$ radical or the 1-butene-1-yl radical and CO.

A 1 \rightarrow 6 hydrogen atom transfer from the methyl group of **M18** to its oxygen atom to form an alcohol (**M26**), was found to face a very low initial barrier (**TS36**) of 85.0 kJ mol⁻¹. However, the subsequent ring closing reaction *via* **TS38** is too energetic (155.6 \pm 0.9 kJ mol⁻¹ relative to **M26**) for this reaction channel to be important. The products were computed to lie 36.9 \pm 3.7 kJ mol⁻¹ above the reactants, and pre- and post- reaction complexes are probably found in the process, but these are not investigated.

RRKM/ME derived product branching ratios from the chemically activated recombination of hydrogen atom with 2MF at C-2 are presented in Figure 13. Branching ratios for the formation of \dot{C}_5H_7O isomers of the nascent adduct are lumped for clarity in Figure 13, but product specific rate constants are reported in the ESI. At high pressures (100 atm) the initially activated well (**M17**) is stabilised up to ≈ 1200 K, above this temperature the formation and stabilization of

linear \dot{C}_5H_7O radicals becomes dominant, thus indicating that ring opening has become competitive with quenching of **M17**. Above 1600 K, furan and a methyl radical and 1-butene-1-yl radical and CO become major products, accounting for 40+% of the chemically activated yield.

These formally direct pathways become increasingly significant with decreasing pressure and in particular the formation of 1-butene-1-yl radical and CO, which must occur through multiple well-skipping reactions, starts to become the dominant bimolecular products of the reaction. The increasing branching ratio for 1-butene-1-yl radical and CO formation corresponds with the lack of quenching of linear \dot{C}_5H_7O radicals with decreasing pressure. On the other hand, the branching ratio for formation of furan and methyl radical shows a lesser dependency on pressure on the other hand, owing to the fact it is formed directly from the the initial chemically activated species (**M17**).

3.3.2 Addition at C-3 and C-4. Despite the rate constants for the initial hydrogen atom addition reactions at C-3 and C-4 being competitive with addition at C-2 and C-5, subsequent ring opening reactions are less facile, and these path-



Fig. 13 Major product branching ratios as a function of temperature and pressure from RRKM/ME calculations on the chemically activated recombination of hydrogen atom with 2-methylfuran at C-2. — M17, $-\Sigma$ other \dot{C}_5H_7O , \cdots P10 + P22, $-\cdot -$ P6 + P23.

ways are thus uncompetitive with addition at C-2 and C-5. For brevity in the main text, potential energy surfaces for hydrogen atom addition at C-3 and C-4 are described in detail in ESI, with relevant rate constants also reported therein, k_{41} – k_{52} , and k_{54} – k_{59} .

Table 7 Arrhenius coefficients of high-pressure limiting rate constants for for reactions relevant to hydrogen atom addition at C-5 of 2-methylfuran. $k(s^{-1}) = AT^n \exp(-E_a/R), AT^n (s^{-1}), E_a/R (K).$

No.	Reaction	Α	п	$E_a/R(\mathbf{K})$
<i>k</i> ₆₁	$M41 \rightarrow M42$	1.52×10^{12}	0.31	10931.
<i>k</i> ₆₂	$M42 \rightarrow P10 + P34$	6.01×10^{14}	0.16	25568.
<i>k</i> ₆₃	$M42 \rightarrow M43$	3.24×10^{13}	-0.28	3301.
<i>k</i> ₆₄	$M43 \rightarrow M44$	3.64×10^{04}	2.25	11977.
k_{65}	$M44 \rightarrow M45$	7.18×10^{12}	-0.16	900.
k ₆₆	$M45 \rightarrow P3 + P35$	4.29×10^{12}	0.56	23690.
k ₆₇	$M45 \rightarrow M46$	1.74×10^{13}	-0.42	13859.
k ₆₈	$M46 \rightarrow M47$	8.27×10^{07}	1.28	940.
k ₆₉	$M47 \rightarrow M48$	5.08×10^{07}	1.25	-313.
<i>k</i> ₇₀	$M48 \rightarrow P6 + P36$	5.84×10^{10}	0.74	3377.



Fig. 15 Major product branching ratios as a function of temperature and pressure from RRKM/ME calculations on the chemically activated recombination of hydrogen atom with 2-methylfuran at C-5. — M41, $-\Sigma$ other \dot{C}_5H_7O , \cdots M1 + P17, $-\cdot$ – P10 + P34.

3.3.3 Addition at C-5. Figure 14 displays the potential energy surface investigated upon hydrogen atom addition at C-5. Ring opening of the adduct occurs through TS61 with a ring-opening rate constant of a similar order to that computed upon hydrogen atom addition at C-2 (k_{26} and k_{30}). Demethylation of the ring opening product occurs through TS62 to form vinyl ketene and a methyl radical, in a reaction computed to be endothermic by 176.4 ± 4.7 kJ mol⁻¹. A barrier of 202.0 ± 5.3 kJ mol⁻¹ is computed for the process relative to the reactant and 61.7 ± 4.9 kJ mol⁻¹ relative to 2MF and a hydrogen atom.

A secondary conformer of the ring opening product (M43) can undergo a hydrogen atom transfer reaction with a barrier of 124.6 kJ mol⁻¹ (TS64) to form an acetonyl-like radical (M44), which can undergo β -scission to form ketene and a vinyl propene radical (k_{66}).

Ring closure of **M45** to form a cyclopropanone radical derivative (**M46**) is energetically more favourable than the formation of ketene and a vinyl propene radical, with the transition state for the process (**TS67**) computed to lie only 19.3 \pm 3.1 kJ mol⁻¹ above 2MF and hydrogen atom. **M46** can rearrange *via* β -scission (**TS68**) to form an aldehydic radical which is now capable of eliminating CO through **TS70** to produce the resonantly stabilised butenyl radical (**P36**).

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]



Fig. 14 Potential energy surface for hydrogen atom addition at carbon 5 of 2-methylfuran. CBS-QB3, *CBS-APNO* and G3 energies in kJ mol^{-1} at 0 K relative to reactants.

The potential energy surface investigated indicates that hvdrogen atom addition at C-5 will proceed with competition between back dissociation to form 2MF and a hydrogen atom, the endothermic formation of vinyl ketene and a methyl radical in a relatively straightforward 3-step process, and the exothermic formation of CO and CH₂=CH-ĊH-CH₃ which faces many possible bottlenecks before formation. In terms of the contrast between the addition of a hydrogen atom at the C-2 and C-5 positions of 2-methylfuran, both include a demethylation step with simultaneous formation of a C₄H₄O isomer. The relative stability of the furan ring is reflected in the fact that hydrogen atom addition forming a methyl radical and furan (C-2 addition), faces a much lower barrier than that forming a methyl radical and vinyl ketene (C-5 addition). Likewise, the elimination of CO is a common feature upon hydrogen atom addition at C-2/C-5. In both instances, the CO elimination process is quite rapid despite the differing nature of the co-products formed from these reactions (C-2 addition produces a vinylic radical, C-5 addition produces an allylic radical), and it is the hydrogen atom transfer reactions which precede the decarbonylation step, which will determine whether this process will ultimately occur.

An RRKM/ME analysis, Figure 15, shows similar trends to those observed for hydrogen atom addition at the C-2 position. At 100 atm collisional deactivation of chemically activated **M41** dominates the product branching fractions up to 1000 K, at which point linear \dot{C}_5H_7O radicals start to form and subsequently stabilize. As a result, the formation of bimolecular products is insignificant at temperatures up to \approx 1700 K. At 1 atm the furanyl radical does not persist above 1000 K and above 1300 K, the formation of bimolecular products (vinyl ketene and a methyl radical, 2MF and a hydrogen atom) are dominant.

The formation of vinyl ketene and a methyl radical shows a stronger pressure dependency than the formation of 2MF and a hydrogen atom. Again, this is the result of multiple well skipping reactions occurring at lower pressures to produce the latter. Negligible quantities of CO and CH_2 =CH- $\dot{C}H$ - CH_3 radical are produced as formally direct products of hydrogen atom addition to 2MF.

4 Kinetic Modelling

The preceding calculations have been assimilated into a previously published kinetic scheme for 2-methylfuran and 2,5dimethylfuran combustion by Somers and co-workers^{32,70} wherein details of the oxidative reactions are found. Where rate constants are determined at several levels of theory, we utilise the CBS-QB3 calculations in the current work. Furan^{18,38} and aromatic⁷¹ mechanisms are also incorporated. The C₀–C₄ sub-mechanism is based on the mechanism described by Healy and co-workers^{72–76}. Further validation of this chemistry set is described in the work of Kochar and coworkers⁷⁷ and in a recent study of C₁–C₂ hydrocarbon and



Fig. 16 Experimental speciation profiles for the pyrolysis of 0.5% 2-methylfuran at 2.5 atm and \approx 2 ms residence time with modelling predictions (lines). Solid lines correspond with closed symbols and dashed lines with open symbols. T_c = corrected reflected shock temperatures, T_i = original reflected shock temperatures.

This journal is @ The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

oxygenated fuels from Metcalfe *et al.*⁷⁸. The H_2/O_2 submechanism is adopted from the work of Kéromnès *et al.*⁷⁹.

Experimental profiles from Lifshitz *et al.*¹⁴ are presented in Figure 16 along with numerical modelling results based on the current kinetic mechanism. Some comments on the experimental results are now appropriate before a comparison of modelling predictions with experiment is presented in detail. Mole percents are calculated *via* equation 1 in accord with the experimental definition⁸⁰, where x_i is the computed mole fraction of a species.

$$Mole \% = x_i / \sum_i x_i \tag{1}$$

Only species which were quantified and included in this mole % calculation experimentally, are included in the corresponding computational results depicted in Figure 16.

The experimentalists used the chemical thermometer (1,1,1)-trifluoroethane) method to determine the temperatures behind the reflected shock wave according to equations 2 and 3:

$$T = -(E/R) / \left[\ln \left\{ -\frac{\ln(1-\chi)}{A t} \right\} \right]$$
(2)

where *E* and *A* are the activation energy and pre-exponential factor assumed for the molecular elimination reaction $(CH_3 - CF_3 \rightarrow CH_2 = CF_2 + H - F)$ of the chemical thermometer, *t* is the reaction dwell time and χ is given by:

$$\chi = [CH_2 = CF_2]_t / ([CH_2 = CF_2]_t + [CH_3 - CF_3]_t)$$
(3)

This makes the determination of the temperature sensitive to both the rate constant used for the decomposition and the determination of the concentrations of the reactant and product of the chemical thermometer. The temperature behind the reflected shock wave was calculated by Lifshitz *et al.* based on a literature assignment of the rate constant⁸¹ for the decomposition of CH₃—CF₃ of $k = 10^{14.51} \exp(-36533/T) \text{ s}^{-1}$.

The same chemical thermometer method was employed in the Lifshitz *et al.*¹⁵ study on the thermal decomposition of 2,5-dimethylfuran, although a slightly different rate constant (within 10–20%) was estimated for the thermometer decomposition. Recent experimental and kinetic modelling studies^{37,70} have shown that the rate constant assumed by Lifshitz *et al.* in their 2,5-dimethylfuran work was likely in error. Somers *et al.*⁷⁰ carried out single pulse shock tube experiments under similar conditions to those of Lifshitz *et al.*¹⁵ where temperatures were determined independent of a chemical thermometer. The results showed that 25DMF underwent decomposition at temperatures up to \approx 90 K greater than those determined by Lifshitz *et al.*¹⁵.

Sirjean *et al.*³⁷ carried out quantum chemical (CBS-QB3) and RRKM/ME calculations to determine the rate constant for

the molecular elimination reaction, recommending a rate constant at 2.5 bar of $k = 6.17 \times 10^{13} \exp(-36060/T) \text{ s}^{-1}$. At 1200 K, their computed value is up to 3.8 times lower than that assumed by Lifshitz *et al.* in their 2,5-dimethylfuran work¹⁵, and 3.5 times lower than that assumed in the experiments we are aiming to model here. Sirjean *et al.*³⁷ corrected the temperature measurements of Lifshitz *et al.* accordingly, with the resultant measurements from Somers *et al.*⁷⁰ and the corrected ones of Sirjean *et al.*³⁷ being within 25 K of each other and in good agreement with kinetic modelling calculations.

We therefore correct the temperature reported by Lifshitz *et al.*¹⁴ for their 2-methylfuran experiments based on the rate constant recommended by Sirjean *et al.*³⁷ and equations 2 and 3. The result is a ≈ 50 K *increase* in the experimental temperature at the lowest conversions of 2-methylfuran, and up to 80 K at the highest temperatures studied. The corrected temperature can be described adequately by $T_c(K) = 1.12 \times T_i - 82.54$.

The experimental yields (Figure 16) of 2MF are presented with temperature uncertainty bars which assume a residual factor of two uncertainty in the recommended rate constant for the thermal decomposition of 1,1,1-TFE, which is reasonable based on the theoretical methods employed therein³⁷. Figure 16 (a) also shows the temperature uncorrected yields of 2MF, which clearly lie outside the uncertainty in this updated temperature profile.

In order to obtain better agreement with the corrected 2MF and methane profiles, we have reduced the rate constant for hydrogen atom abstraction by methyl radical from the alkyl side chain of 2MF, k_{CH3-C2} (QB3), by a factor of two, which is within the theoretical uncertainty. The rate constant for hydrogen atom abstraction by hydrogen atom, k_{H-C2} (QB3), has been increased by a factor of two in order to retain agreement with laminar burning velocity measurements³², as was carried out in the previous kinetic modelling study of Somers *et al.*³². The rate constant for this reaction shows little sensitivity to the pyrolysis results described here and our predictions of the fuel conversion in Figure 16 (a) are well within the experimental uncertainty.

Lifshitz *et al.*¹⁴ originally recommended a pseudo-first order rate constant of $9.71 \times 10^{13} \exp(-34,400/T) \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the thermal decomposition of 2MF in this temperature and pressure regime. After correction of their data, we arrive at $8.41 \times 10^{13} \exp(-34,450/T) \text{ s}^{-1}$ with our kinetic model predicting a rate constant of $1.97 \times 10^{14} \exp(-35,071/T) \text{ s}^{-1}$. For all three derived rate constants, the activation energies are of the order of $\approx 286-291 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, which corresponds closely with the barrier heights calculated for the $3 \rightarrow 2$ and $4 \rightarrow 5$ hydrogen atom shift reactions shown to be the dominant unimolecular decomposition pathways for 2MF in previous sections. This is an interesting result, as free radical reactions are found to be important in the consumption of the reactant species. One might expect the activation energy to be smaller than the activation energies for the primary unimolecular initiation pathways but the thermal decomposition of 2MF seems to obey first order kinetics quite well in this temperature range.

The fate of the oxygen containing fragments of the fuel are of obvious interest and other than 2MF, only three species containing were experimentally measured which contained an oxygen atom; CO, ketene and furan. CO, which was found to be the primary decomposition product, was detected in yields of up to 40%, and is well-predicted by our kinetic model. Its formation is well rationalised within our quantum chemical calculations through multiple channels. Opening of the furan ring, followed by a hydrogen transfer reaction and CO elimination is common to the unimolecular decomposition reactions of the fuel and the primary fuel radical, and is also seen after hydrogen atom addition reactions. The reaction $CH_3 - \dot{C} = O \rightarrow CO + \dot{C}H_3$ is also an important source of CO, with the majority of the CH_3 — \dot{C} =O radical formed in a 1:1 ratio with $CH_2 = C = \dot{C}H$ radical from the decomposition of M9.

Ketene yields of up to 8% were implied from experiment but the determination of its concentrations proved difficult due to analytical issues. A series of isolated experiments were performed by Lifshitz *et al.*¹⁴ where small quantities of methanol were added to the post-shock mixture in order convert ketene to the more readily quantifiable methyl acetate. Methyl acetate was subsequently identified although its concentrations could not be quantitatively determined. It was therefore assumed that if ketene was formed in the same unimolecular process as propyne and allene, its concentrations should equal the sum of the C₃H₄ isomers. Despite multiple pathways (Figures 2 and 14, and Figures 1 and 2 of ESI) leading to the production of ketene within our assembled reaction scheme, its formation is consistently found to be non-competitive with alternate pathways.

We find vinyl ketene, which went experimentally undetected by Lifshitz¹⁴ but which was identified in 2MF flames⁶⁹, to be a major product upon hydrogen atom addition at C-5 of the furan ring. Computed vinyl ketene yields are in good agreement with the experimentally estimated ketene concentrations if this undetected species is incorporated into our mole % calculation, Figure 17. Vinyl ketene may therefore account for the missing carbon and oxygen which the experimentalists assumed would be found in ketene.

Furan yields are well-predicted and its formation pathway was clearly rationalised in a previous work by Simmie and Metcalfe²⁵. However, the ring opening step presented in Figure 11 is central to the accurate prediction of its yields shown in Figure 16 (d), with the exclusion of this process resulting in over-prediction of the experimental yield.

The yield of methane, which is primarily formed by hydrogen atom abstraction from the alkyl side chain by methyl rad-



Fig. 17 Experimentally estimated yields ¹⁴ (see text) of ketene (symbols) with computational yields of ketene and vinyl ketene.

ical, is also well-predicted although yields of ethane, which is formed from methyl radical self-recombination, is overpredicted by the mechanism. Ethylene is formed from the decomposition of ethyl radical which is in turn formed from the decomposition of **P23** and from the reaction $C_2H_6 + \dot{C}H_3 =$ $\dot{C}_2H_5 + CH_4$. Yields of the C_4H_6 isomers 1-butyne, 2-butyne, 1,2-butadiene and 1,3-butadiene are all reasonably-well estimated by our kinetic mechanism.

Like ketene, many pathways leading to the formation of propyne were identified in our quantum calculations (k_4 , k_{13} , k_{47} , k_{66}) but none were found to be competitive. Nonetheless, propyne, allene, and benzene yields are all accounted for. The former are produced *via* abstraction by propargyl radical from the alkyl side chain of 2MF, and the latter *via* the recombination of propargyl radicals. It is worth noting that propargyl radical was identified by Grela *et al.*¹⁶ in the thermal decomposition of 2MF, based on the presence of its ion at m/z 39 in their MS analysis. Our work proposes two clear channels to its formation from 2MF *via* the reactions sequences (a) 2MF \rightarrow M6 \rightarrow CO + CH \equiv C-CH₂-CH₃ \rightarrow ĊH₃ + CH₂=C=ĊH and (b) 2MF \rightarrow M9 \rightarrow CH₃-Ċ=O + CH₂=C=ĊH.

The decomposition of *n*-butadienyl radical, which is the primary product of the decomposition of the 2-furanylmethyl radical, Figure 7, accounts for the acetylene and vinylacetylene concentrations detected experimentally. Vinylacetylene predictions are in excellent agreement with experiment, although acetylene yields are consistently over-estimated, possibly indicating refinements to the kinetics of *n*-butadienyl radical decomposition are necessary.

5 Conclusions

This work presents a comprehensive investigation of the potential energy surfaces for the pyrolysis reactions of the bio-

This journal is @ The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

fuel candidate 2-methylfuran. Kinetics for the thermal unimolecular reactions of 2-methylfuran and the 2-furanylmethyl radical, the chemically activated recombination of hydrogen atoms with 2-methylfuran and several hydrogen atom abstraction processes have been investigated. Canonical and microcanonical rate theories have been employed to determine rate constants as a function of temperature and pressure (if applicable) for each reaction pathway.

Unimolecular decomposition is initiated primarily by hydrogen shift reactions routed through β -carbene intermediates to form stable acyclic intermediates which further decompose to either 1-butyne and CO, or acetyl and propargyl radicals. As temperatures approach 2000 K, the formation of 1,2-butadiene and CO, ketene and propyne, and 2-furanylmethyl radical and a hydrogen atom become competitive. The decomposition of the 2-furanylmethyl radical is shown to proceed through C–O bond cleavage which opens the furan ring. Subsequent hydrogen atom transfer and α -scission leads to the formation of the dominant products, CO and the *n*-butadienyl radical. Hydrogen atom addition to carbon atoms adjacent to the oxygen of the furan ring are kinetically favoured over addition to atoms remote from the oxygen moiety. In terms of bimolecular products, hydrogen atom addition results predominantly in the formation of furan and a methyl radical, 1-butene-1-yl radical and CO, and vinyl ketene and a methyl radical.

The calculated rate constants are incorporated into a previously published oxidative mechanism for 2-methylfuran³² and 2,5-dimethylfuran⁷⁰ which is then compared with experimental speciation profiles¹⁴ recorded for the pyrolysis of 2methylfuran. Once the experimental measurements are corrected for an erroneous temperature determination the agreement between theory and experimental is overall quite good, thus giving some credibility to the proposed pyrolysis mechanism and kinetics. The mechanism is also compared to literature oxidation experiments of 2MF in the ESI, with good performance observed against all experimental targets.

The fundamental molecular data provided in this study should be of interest to those who wish to carry out RRKM/ME calculations on 2-methylfuran in the future with the chemical mechanism being of utility to those modelling the combustion behavior of 2-methylfuran under pyrolysis and oxidative conditions. Furan, 2-methylfuran and 2,5-dimethylfuran are also know intermediates and model compounds considered in the pyrolysis of biomass^{82,83} and coals¹⁷, and the kinetic scheme developed herein is likely to be useful in kinetic modelling work in these areas.

6 Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the support of Science Foundation Ireland under grant number [08/IN1./I2055] as part of their Principal Investigator Awards. We acknowledge the provision of computational resources from the e-Irish National Infrastructure programme, e-INIS, and the Irish Centre for High-End Computing, ICHEC. We thank Prof. John Barker and Dr. Gabriel da Silva for useful discussions on the Multiwell code and the latter again for sharing with us the Speed v0.72 code for automatic generation of potential energy surfaces.

References

- 1 Renewable Energy Policy Network for the 21st Centry. Renewables 2013 Global Status Report. http://www.ren21.net/REN21Activities/GlobalStatus-Report.aspx (accessed October 21, 2013).
- 2 J.R. Regalbuto, Science, 2009, 325, 822-824.
- 3 Y. Román-Leshkov, C.J. Barrett, Z.Y. Liu, J.A. Dumesic, Nature, 2007, 447, 982–985.
- 4 H. Zhao, J.E. Holladay, H. Brown, Z.C. Zhang, Science 2007, 316, 1597–1599.
- 5 J.B. Binder, R.T. Raines, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 1979–1985
- 6 Y. Su, H.M. Brown, X. Huang, X. Zhou, J.E. Amonette, Z.C. Zhang, Applied Catalysis A: General 2009, 361, 117–122.
- 7 A.A. Rosatella, S.P. Simeonov, R.F.M. Frade, C.M. Afonso, Green Chemistry, 2011, 13, 754–793.
- 8 F. Geilen, T. vom Stein, B. Engendahl, S. Winterle, M. Liauw, J. Klankermayer, W. Leitner, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6831–6834.
- 9 S. Zhong, R. Daniel, H. Xu, J. Zhang, D. Turner, M.L. Wyszynski, P. Richards, Energy Fuels, 2010, 24, 2891– 2899.
- 10 R. Daniel, G. Tian, H. Xu, M.L. Wyszynski, X. Wu, Z. Huang, Fuel, 2011, 90, 449–458.
- 11 M. Thewes, M.Muether, S. Pischinger, M. Budde, A. Sehr, P. Adomeit, J. Klankermayer, Energy Fuels, 2011, 25, 5549–5561.
- 12 C. Wang, H. Xu, R. Daniel, A. Ghafourian, J.M. Herreros, S. Shuai, X. Ma, Fuel, 2013, 103, 200–211.
- 13 A. Lifshitz, M. Bidani, S. Bidani, J. Phys. Chem., 1986, 90, 5373–5377.
- 14 A. Lifshitz, C. Tamburu, R. Shashua, J. Phys. Chem. A, 1997, 101, 1018–1029.
- 15 A. Lifshitz, C. Tamburu, R. Shashua, J. Phys. Chem. A, 1998, 102, 10655–10670.
- 16 M.A. Grela, V.T. Amorebieta, A.J. Colussi, J. Phys. Chem., 1985, 89, 38–41.
- 17 O.S.L. Bruinsma, P.J.J. Tromp, H.J.J. de Sauvage Nolting, J.A. Moulijn, Fuel, 1988, 67, 334–340.
- 18 K. Sendt, G.B. Backsay, J.C. Mackie, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2000, 104, 1861–1875.

- 19 P.P. Organ, J.C. Mackie, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans., 1991, 87, 815–823.
- 20 R. Liu, X. Zhou, L. Zhai, J. Comput. Chem., 1998, 19, 240–249.
- 21 R. Liu, X. Zhou, T. Zuo, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2000, 325, 457–464.
- 22 J.M. Simmie, H.J. Curran, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2009, 113, 5128–5137.
- 23 D. Feller, J.M. Simmie, J. Phys. Chem. A., 2013, 116, 11768–11775.
- 24 Ribeiro da Silva, M.A.V, Amaral, L.M.P.F., J. Therm. Anal. Calorim, 2010, 100, 375–380.
- 25 J.M. Simmie, W.K. Metcalfe, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2011, 115, 8877–8888.
- 26 X. Wu, Z. Huang, T. Yuan, K. Zhang, L. Wei, Combust. Flame., 2009, 156, 365–376.
- 27 P. Friese, T. Bentz, M. Olzmann, J. Simmie, Proceedings of the European Combustion Meeting, 2011.
- 28 P. Friese, J.M. Simmie, M. Olzmann, Proc. Combust. Inst., 2013, 34, 233–239.
- 29 B. Sirjean, R. Fournet, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys, 2013, 15, 596–611.
- 30 B. Sirjean, R. Fournet, Proc. Combust. Inst., 2013, 34, 241–249.
- 31 B. Sirjean, R. Fournet, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2012, 116, 6675– 6684.
- 32 K.P. Somers, J.M. Simmie, F. Gillespie, U. Burke, J. Connolly, W.K. Metcalfe, F. Battin-Leclerc, P. Dirrenberger, O. Herbinet, P.-A. Glaude, H.J. Curran, Proc. Combust. Inst., 2013, 34, 225–232.
- 33 A.Y. Chang, J.W. Bozzelli, A.M. Dean, Zeitschrift fur Physikalische Chemie, 2000, 214, 1533–1568.
- 34 J.W. Bozzelli, A.M Chang, A.M. Dean, Int. J. Chem. Kin., 1997, 29, 161–170.
- 35 A.C. Davis, S. M. Sarathy, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2013, 117, 7670–7685.
- 36 L.-S. Tran, C. Togbé, D. Liu, D. Felsmann, P. Oßwald, P.-A. Glaude, R. Fournet, B. Sirjean, F. Battin-Leclerc, K. Kohse-Höinghaus, Combust. Flame, 2013, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.combustflame.2013.05.027
- 37 B. Sirjean, R. Fournet, P.-A Glaude, F. Battin-Leclerc, W. Wang, M.A. Oehlschlaeger, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2013, 117, 1371–1392.
- 38 Z. Tian, T. Yuan, R. Fournet, P.-A. Glaude, B. Sirjean, R. Battin-Leclerc, K. Zhang, F. Qi, Combust. Flame, 2011, 158, 756–773.
- 39 L. Wei, C. Tang, X. Man, Z. Huang, Energy Fuels, 2013, Just Accepted Manuscript. DOI: 10.1021/ef401809y
- 40 A.D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 98, 5648-5652.
- 41 C. Lee, W. Yang, R.G. Parr, Phys. Rev. B, 1988, 37, 785-

789.

- 42 H.P. Hratchian, H.B. Schlegel, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2005, 1, 61-69.
- 43 J.A. Montgomery, M.J. Frisch, J.W. Ochterski, G.A. Petersson, J. Chem. Phys., 2000, 112, 6532–6542.
- 44 J.W. Ochterski, G.A. Petersson, J.A. Montgomery, J. Chem. Phys., 1996, 104, 2598–2619.
- 45 L.A. Curtiss, K. Raghavachari, P.C. Redfern, V. Rassolov, J.A. Pople, J. Chem. Phys., 1998, 109, 7764–7776.
- 46 J.M. Simmie, K.P. Somers, W.K. Metcalfe, H.J. Curran, J. Chem. Thermo., 2013, 58, 117–128.
- 47 Gaussian 03, Revision E.01 and Gaussian 09, Revision C.01, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., T. Vreven, K. N. Kudin, J. C. Burant, J. M. Millam, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, M. Klene, X. Li, J. E. Knox, H. P. Hratchian, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, P. Y. Ayala, K. Morokuma, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, V. G. Zakrzewski, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, D. K. Malick, A. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, O. Cui, A. G. Baboul, S. Clifford, J. Cioslowski, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, C. Gonzalez, and J. A. Pople, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2004.
- 48 MultiWell-2013 Software, Jan 2013, designed and maintained by J.R. Barker with contributors N.F. Ortiz, J.M. Preses, L.L. Lohr, A. Maranzana, P.J. Stimac, T. L. Nguyen, and T. J. Dhilip Kumar, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI; http://aoss.engin.umich.edu/multiwell/
- 49 J.R Barker, (a) Int. J. Chem. Kinetics 2001, 33, 232–245;
 (b) Int. J. Chem. Kinetics 2001, 33, 246–261;
 (c) Int. J. Chem. Kinetics 2009, 41, 748–763.
- 50 S.J. Pinches, G. da Silva, Int. J. Chem. Kin, 2013, 45, 387– 396.
- 51 Mokrushin, V.; Tsang, W. ChemRate version 1.5.8, NIST, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, http://mokrushin.com/ChemRate/chemrate.html (accessed June 21 2013).
- 52 D. Polino, C. Cavalotti, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2011, 115, 10281–10289.
- 53 G. da Silva, J.A. Cole, J.W. Bozzelli, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2009, 113, 6111–6120.
- 54 C. Cavallotti, D. Polino, Proc. Combust. Inst., 2013, 34,

This journal is @ The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

<u>557–564.</u>

- 55 G. da Silva, A.J. Trevitt, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2011, 13, 8940-8952.
- 56 G. da Silva, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2009, 474, 13–17.
- 57 G. da Silva, J.A. Cole, J.W. Bozzelli, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2010, 114, 2275-2283.
- 58 G. da Silva, M. Rafiq Hamdan, J.W. Bozzelli, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2009, 5, 3185-3194.
- 59 J.A. Miller, S.J. Klippenstein, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2013, 117, 2718–2727.
- 60 A.W. Jasper, J.A. Miller, S.J. Klippenstein, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2013, 117, 12243–12255.
- 61 H. Hippler, J. Troe, and H. J. Wendelken, J. Chem. Phys., 1983, 78, 6709–6717.
- 62 R.J. Kee, M.E. Coltrin, P. Glarborg, Chemically Reacting Flow Theory and Practice, 1st ed.; John Wiley & Son, Inc.; New Jersey, 2003; pp 496–499.
- 63 W.M Haynes, Critical Constants of Organic Compounds. In CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 91st ed; CRC Press; Boca Raton, Florida; p 67.
- 64 X. Ma, C. Jian, H. Xu, H. Ding, S. Shuai, H. Ding, Energy Fuels, 2013, 27, 6212–6221.
- 65 Chemkin-Pro, Reaction Design Inc., San Diego, Calif. 2010.
- 66 L.B. Harding, S.J. Klippenstein, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2007, 111, 3789–3801.
- 67 Y.-R. Luo. Comprehensive Handbook of Chemical Bond Energies, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2007, p. 41.
- 68 H. Wang, K. Brenzinsky, J. Phys. Chem. A, 1998, 102, 1530-1541.
- 69 L. Wei, Z. Li, L. Tong, Z. Wang, H. Jin, M. Yao, Z. Zheng, C. Wang, H. Xu, Energy Fuels, 2012, 26, 6651–6660.
- 70 K.P. Somers, J.M. Simmie, F. Gillespie, C. Conroy, G. Black, W.K. Metcalfe, F. Bttin-Leclerc, P. Dirrenberger, O. Herbinet, P.-A Glaude, P. Dagaut, C. Togbé, K. Yasunaga, R.X. Fernandes, C. Lee, R. Tripathi, H.J. Curran, Combust. Flame, 2013, 160, 2291–2318.
- 71 W.K. Metcalfe, S. Dooley, F.L. Dryer, J. Phys. Chem. A., 2011, 25, 4915–4936.
- 72 D. Healy, N.S. Donato, C.J. Aul, E.L. Petersen, C.M. Zinner, G. Bourque, H.J. Curran, Combust. Flame, 2010, 157, 1526–1539.
- 73 N. Donato, C. Aul, E. Petersen, C. Zinner, H. Curran, G. Bourque, J. Eng. Gas Turb. Power, 2010, 132, 051502.
- 74 D. Healy, N.S. Donato, C.J. Aul, E.L. Petersen, C.M. Zinner, G. Bourque, H.J. Curran, Combust. Flame, 2010, 157, 1540–1551.
- 75 D. Healy, D.M. Kalitan, C.J. Aul, E.L. Petersen, G. Bourque, H.J. Curran, Energy Fuels, 2010, 24, 1521–1528.

- 76 D. Healy, M.M. Kopp, N.L. Polley, E.L. Petersen, G. Bourque, H.J. Curran, Energy Fuels, 2010, 24, 1617– 1627.
- 77 Y. Kochar, J. Seitzman, T. Lieuwen, W.K. Metcalfe, S.M. Burke, H.J. Curran, M. Krejci, W. Lowry, E. Petersen, G. Bourque, 2011, ASME Paper GT2011-45122, 56th ASME Turbo Expo.
- 78 W.K. Metcalfe, S.M. Burke, S.S. Ahmed, H.J. Curran, Int. J. Chem. Kin, 2013, 45, 638–675.
- 79 A. Kéromnès, W.K. Metcalfe, K.A. Heufer, N. Donohoe, A.K. Das, C.J. Sung, J. Herzler, C. Naumann, P. Griebel, O. Mathieu, M.C. Krejci, E.L. Petersen, W.J. Pitz, H.J. Curran, Combust. Flame, 2013, 160, 995–1011.
- 80 A. Lifshitz, M. Bidani, S. Bidani, J. Phys. Chem., 1986, 90, 3422-3429.
- 81 A.S. Rodgers, W.G.F. Ford, Int. J. Chem. Kin., 1973, 5, 965–975.
- 82 E. Ranzi, A. Cuoci, T. Faravelli, A. Frassoldati, G. Migliavacca, S. Pierucci, S. Sommariva, Energy Fuels, 2008, 22, 4292–4300.
- 83 K. Norinaga, T. Shoji, S. Kudo, J. Hayashia, Fuel, 2013, 103, 141–150.

Supplementary Material for "The Pyrolysis of 2-Methylfuran: A Quantum Chemical, Statistical Rate Theory and Kinetic Modelling Study"

Kieran P. Somers¹, John M. Simmie¹, Wayne K. Metcalfe¹, and Henry J. Curran¹

¹Combustion Chemistry Centre, National University of Ireland, University Road, Galway, Ireland

December 31, 2013

Contents

1	Potential Energy Surfaces for α -Carbene mediated Unimolecular Decomposition	3
2	Potential Energy Surfaces for Hydrogen Atom Addition at C-3 and C-4.	3
3	Influence of $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle$ on RRKM/ME Derived $k(T, p)$ 3.1Unimolecular Decomposition of 2-Methlyfuran3.2Hydrogen Atom Addition at Carbon 2 of 2-Methylfuran	6 6 9
4	 Pressure Dependent Rate Constants from RRKM/ME Calculations 4.1 Chemkin-PRO Compatible PLOG Rate Constants	12 12 19
5	Comparison of High Pressure Limiting Rate Constants with Literature	27
6	Comparison of Mechanism with Literature Oxidation Experiments6.1Atmospheric Pressure Ignition Delay Times of 1% 2-Methylfuran/Argon Mixtures6.2Ignition Delay Times of 2-Methylfuran/O ₂ /Argon Mixtures at Elevated Pressures6.3Atmospheric Pressure Laminar Burning Velocities of 2-Methylfuran-Synthetic Air Mixtures6.4Speciation in Low-Pressure 2-Methylfuran/O ₂ /Ar Flames $6.4.1$ $\phi = 1.0$ $6.4.2$ $\phi = 1.7$	29 30 34 35 35 45
7	Electronic Energies of Stationary Points	55
8	Geometries of Stationary Points	59



1 Potential Energy Surfaces for α -Carbene mediated Unimolecular Decomposition

Figure 1: Potential energy surface for the formation and decomposition of α -carbenes. CBS-QB3, *CBS-APNO* and **G3** Energies in kJ mol⁻¹ at 0 K relative to 2-methylfuran.

Figure 1 delineates the potential energy surfaces for the decomposition of 2-methylfuran through α -carbene intermediates. Based on CBS-QB3 calculations, a 2 \rightarrow 3 methyl shift to form a singlet α -carbene (**M2**) occurs with a transition state lying 346.3 kJ mol⁻¹ above the reactant in a reaction which is endothermic by 256.0 kJ mol⁻¹, in good agreement with values computed for the analagous 25DMF [1] and those of Davis for 2MF [2]. The carbene subsequently decomposes in a mildly exothermic (-16.2 kJ mol⁻¹) reaction forming methyl ketene (CH₃-CH=C=O) and acetylene (HC≡CH) with the transition structure lying 114.5 kJ mol⁻¹ above the carbene intermediate and 370.4 kJ mol⁻¹ above 2MF.

The formation of an α -carbene (M3) from a 5 \rightarrow 4 hydrogen transfer proceeds through a barrier of only 274.5 kJ mol⁻¹ based on a CBS-QB3 computation with a reaction enthalpy of 230 kJ mol⁻¹. Decomposition to CH₂=C=O and HC≡C−CH follows through a barrier 127.0 kJ mol⁻¹ above the carbene and 357.0 kJ mol⁻¹ above 2MF. The energetics of this multistep process are in excellent agreement with G3(MP2), CASPT2 and CBS-QB3 calculations carried out for the similar process in furan [3,4].**TS3** and **TS4** correspond to those for Diels-Alder type reactions and multi-reference effects may be of importance. T1 diagnostics were therefore computed and found to be 0.019 for **TS3** and 0.018 for **TS4**, thus indicating that single-reference methods used suffice. The computed decomposition rate constants for the α -carbenes (k_3 and k_4) are in excellent agreement as one might expect, given their similar decomposition mechanism and products.

Methyl ketene was identified in the low pressure flames of 25DMF, which is formed along with $HC \equiv C - CH$, in an effectively identical process to the above [1]. Based on the computed energetics and kinetics of this process however, its production from 2MF and 25DMF is insignificant.

2 Potential Energy Surfaces for Hydrogen Atom Addition at C-3 and C-4.

Figure 2 describes the potential energy surface for hydrogen atom addition at C-3 of 2MF. Despite the rate constant for addition at C-3 being competitive with addition at C-2, the addition forming **M28** is much less exothermic than that forming **M17** *via* H atom addition at C-2, with the latter capable of undergoing resonance stabilisation to delocalise the radical formed.



Figure 2: Potential energy surface for hydrogen atom addition at carbon 3 of 2-methylfuran. CBS-QB3, *CBS-APNO* and **G3** energies in kJ mol⁻¹ at 0 K relative to reactants.

In turn the ring opening reaction of **M28** through a barrier of 141.1 ± 0.9 kJ mol⁻¹ (**TS41**) is much less facile than those of **M17** (k_{26} and k_{30}). This is clearly a result of the vinylic nature of **M28**, with the process being endothermic by 70.9 ± 3.5 kJ mol⁻¹. The ring opening of **M17** is shown to be exothermic (-2.90 ± 1.3 kJ mol⁻¹), with the radical formed being allylic in nature.

Table 1: Arrhenius coefficients of high-pressure limiting rate constants for for reactions relevant to hydrogen atom addition at C-3 of 2-methylfuran. $k(s^{-1}) = AT^n \exp(-E_a/R)$, $AT^n (s^{-1})$, E_a/R (K).

No.	Reaction	Α	п	E_a/R (K)
<i>k</i> ₄₁	$M28 \rightarrow M29$	2.92×10^{11}	0.66	16915.
k_{42}	$M29 \rightarrow M30$	1.50×10^{09}	0.90	-65.
<i>k</i> ₄₃	$M30 \rightarrow M31$	2.28×10^{09}	1.22	1524.
k_{44}	$M31 \rightarrow P2 + P27$	8.98×10^{10}	1.00	12619.
k_{45}	$M30 \rightarrow M32$	6.08×10^{02}	2.58	2663.
k_{46}	$M32 \rightarrow M33$	6.36×10^{09}	0.64	626.
k_{47}	$M33 \rightarrow P3 + P28$	1.38×10^{09}	1.31	17053.
k_{48}	$M32 \rightarrow M34$	2.66×10^{08}	0.82	8272.
k_{49}	$M34 \rightarrow P17 + P29$	1.55×10^{10}	1.02	17412.
k_{50}	$M34 \rightarrow P17 + P30$	1.74×10^{10}	0.98	15751.
k_{51}	$M34 \rightarrow M35$	1.94×10^{12}	0.26	13712.
k_{52}	$M35 \rightarrow P6 + P31$	9.41×10^{12}	0.42	7590.

A similar scenario arises upon \dot{H} atom addition at C-4, Figure 3, where ring opening of the adduct proceeds through a barrier of 145.7 ± 2.2 kJ mol⁻¹ with the formation of a secondary vinylic radical (**M37**) being endothermic by 80.1 ± 2.5 kJ mol⁻¹. Computed rate constants for ring opening reactions of C-3 and C-4 \dot{H} atom addition adducts are in excellent agreement, k_{41} and k_{54} respectively.

The fate of these acyclic intermediates is of a lesser importance than those formed from addition at C-2, as β -scission of a C–H bond to reform 2MF and hydrogen atom dominates over ring opening based on our computed

Table 2: Arrhenius coefficients of high-pressure limiting rate constants for for reactions relevant to hydrogen atom addition at C-4 of 2-methylfuran. $k(s^{-1}) = AT^n \exp(-E_a/R)$, $AT^n (s^{-1})$, E_a/R (K).

-			u/ //	<i>), u)</i>	()
	No.	Reaction	Α	п	E_a/R (K)
	<i>k</i> 54	$M36 \rightarrow M37$	2.98×10^{12}	0.39	17520.
	k55	$M37 \rightarrow M38$	5.81×10^{08}	0.93	-334.
	k56	$M38 \rightarrow P4 + P32$	4.63×10^{10}	0.98	11385.
	k ₅₇	$M37 \rightarrow M39$	4.08×10^{12}	-0.01	615.
	k_{58}	$M39 \rightarrow M40$	1.41×10^{09}	0.92	183.
	k59	$M40 \rightarrow P6 + P33$	1.11×10^{11}	0.64	3744.



Figure 3: Potential energy surface for hydrogen atom addition at carbon 4 of 2-methylfuran. CBS-QB3, *CBS-APNO* and **G3** energies in kJ mol⁻¹ at 0 K relative to reactants.

kinetics and thermochemistry. As a result, RRKM/ME analysis has not been applied to addition at C-3 and C-4, although the fate of the ring opening products has nontheless been investigated to gain further mechanistic information into the decomposition pathways of furanic species.

M29 can undergo isomerisation *via* a 1 \rightarrow 5 hydrogen shift to form a more stable primary radical (M32) with the ultimate formation of ketene and an allyl radical through a transition state (TS47) at 61.7 ± 4.9 kJ mol⁻¹ above 2MF and hydrogen atom. The formation of acetylene and the acetonyl radical is also possible *via* TS44, and the rate constant for its formation from M31 is seen to become competitive with that of the hydrogen transfer reaction (k_{47}) at temperatures above 1600 K. Ring closing to form a cyclopenteneone radical (M34), which can occur with a computed barrier of 113.8 ± 1.1 kJ mol⁻¹, is unlikely despite the modest activation energy for the process.

M37 can form a more stable aldehydic radical (M40) *via* hydrogen transfer through TS58. The subsequent decarbonylation reaction forming CO and $\dot{C}H_2$ —CH=CH—CH₃ through TS59 is quite quite rapid. The endothermic formation of CH=C—CH and $\dot{C}H_2$ —CH=O radicals can also occur and despite the large barrier (95.4 ± 2.7 kJ mol⁻¹) when compared with the hydrogen transfer reaction, its formation should become competitive above 1500 K.

3 Influence of $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle$ on RRKM/ME Derived k(T, p)

In order to evaluate the influence of $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle$ on the computed temperature- and pressure-dependent rate constants, test calculations with $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle = 500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and 2000 cm⁻¹ were carried out on some potential energy surfaces. The pressure chosen was 2.5 atm., equivalent to the average pressure in the shock tube pyrolysis study of Lifshitz *et al.*.

The results show a small variation in the computed rate constants for the unimolecular decomposition reactions of 2-methylfuran (Figures 4–7), with the largest variations observed at the highest temperatures studied (2000 K) where fall-off in the high-pressure limiting rate constants is greatest. Relative to the $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle = 1000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ computations, the rate constants computed with $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle = 500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and 2000 cm⁻¹ vary by \approx a factor of two at 2000 K. Under the conditions of Lifshitz *et al.* study (1200–1500 K, once their temperature profile is corrected), the variation in the computed rate constants with the various values of $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle$ chosen is minimal, and the rate constants are close to the high-pressure limiting rate constants in all cases.

Test calculations were also carried out on the reaction 2-methylfuran + hydrogen atom \rightarrow products (C-2 addition, Figures 8–11). The largest variation observed in the computed rate constants was \approx a factor of 7 at 600 K for the reaction 2-methylfuran + hydrogen atom \rightarrow **P9** (formyl radical) + **P24** (1,3-butadiene) with $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle = 500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. However, it should be noted that this is a very minor reaction pathway whose branching ratio is $\approx 5 \times 10^{-08}$ under these conditions (600 K, 2.5 atm, $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle = 1000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$). The uncertainty in this reaction pathway due to the choice of $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle$ is of little concern with respect to kinetic modelling studies. For all other reactions pathways, reducing $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle$ to 500 cm⁻¹ led to a variation of \approx a factor of 2–3 from the rate constants computed with $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle = 1000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Increasing $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle$ to 2000 cm⁻¹ resulted in a variation in the computed rate constants of \approx a factor of 2.

3.1 Unimolecular Decomposition of 2-Methlyfuran



Figure 4: Influence of altering $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle \pm$ a factor of 2 on the computed rate constant for the reaction 2-methylfuran \rightarrow **P3** + **P4** (ketene + propyne) at a pressure of 2.5 atm.



Figure 5: Influence of altering $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle \pm$ a factor of 2 on the computed rate constant for the reaction 2-methylfuran \rightarrow M6 (2,3-pentadiene-1-al) at a pressure of 2.5 atm.



Figure 6: Influence of altering $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle \pm$ a factor of 2 on the computed rate constant for the reaction 2-methylfuran \rightarrow M9 (3,4-pentadiene-2-one) at a pressure of 2.5 atm.



Figure 7: Influence of altering $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle \pm$ a factor of 2 on the computed rate constant for the reaction 2-methylfuran \rightarrow M10 + P17 (2-furanylmethyl + hydrogen atom) at a pressure of 2.5 atm.



3.2 Hydrogen Atom Addition at Carbon 2 of 2-Methylfuran

Figure 8: Computed product branching ratios at 2.5 atm. for the reaction 2-methylfuran + hydrogen atom (C-2 addition) \rightarrow products. $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle = 2000 \text{ cm}^{-1} (--); \langle \Delta E_d \rangle = 500 \text{ cm}^{-1} (--).$



Figure 9: Ratio of computed rate constants for the reaction 2-methylfuran + hydrogen atom \rightarrow products at 2.5 atm., with $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle = 500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle = 1000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.



Figure 10: Computed product branching ratios at 2.5 atm. for the reaction 2-methylfuran + hydrogen atom (C-2 addition) \rightarrow products. $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle = 2000 \text{ cm}^{-1} (--); \langle \Delta E_d \rangle = 2000 \text{ cm}^{-1} (--).$



Figure 11: Ratio of computed rate constants for the reaction 2-methylfuran + hydrogen atom \rightarrow products at 2.5 atm., with $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle = 2000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $\langle \Delta E_d \rangle = 1000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

4 Pressure Dependent Rate Constants from RRKM/ME Calculations

4.1 Chemkin-PRO Compatible PLOG Rate Constants

Table 3: Chemkin-PRO PLOG format pressure- (atm) and temperature-dependent rate constants for the unimolecular decomposition of 2-methylfuran and related intermediates. $k(s^{-1}) = AT^n \exp(-E_a/RT)$.

Reaction	p (atm)	Α	п	E_a (cal/mol)
$M1 \rightarrow M3$	0.01	5.12E+66	-15.39	97801
	0.1	1.25E+57	-12.47	93815
	1	4.11E+38	-7.10	82916
	2.5	9.13E+31	-5.19	78799
	10	4.49E+23	-2.80	73549
	100	3.41E+15	-0.48	68305
$M3 \rightarrow P3 + P4$	0.01	3.51E+48	-10.26	45743
	0.1	4.87E+54	-11.66	53545
	1	4.56E+48	-9.71	52461
	2.5	9.25E+43	-8.31	50120
	10	1.15E+36	-6.01	45637
	100	3.68E+25	-2.98	39180
$M1 \rightarrow M6$	0.01	2.17E+72	-17.14	105418
	0.1	2.77E+63	-14.37	102515
	1	3.81E+43	-8.58	91138
	2.5	1.10E+36	-6.41	86543
	10	1.98E+26	-3.60	80450
	100	1.95E+16	-0.74	74027
$M1 \rightarrow M9$	0.01	4.07E+70	-16.58	102965
	0.1	2.59E+61	-13.75	99646
	1	9.73E+41	-8.09	88398
	2.5	5.63E+34	-6.01	83964
	10	3.26E+25	-3.35	78165
	100	1.55E+16	-0.68	72168
$M1 \rightarrow M10 + P17$	0.01	2.89E+92	-22.81	127594
	0.1	1.06E+87	-20.85	128912
	1	1.42E+65	-14.34	117910
	2.5	2.15E+55	-11.48	112302
	10	1.63E+41	-7.39	103833
	100	1.97E+24	-2.53	93217
$M6 \rightarrow P5 + P6$	0.01	7.52E+57	-13.07	70107
	0.1	2.45E+45	-9.31	64145
	1	2.26E+34	-6.06	58261
	2.5	1.52E+30	-4.84	55869
	10	9.55E+24	-3.32	52837
	100	3.45E+18	-1.46	48961
$M6 \rightarrow P6 + P7$	0.01	1.82E+82	-19.88	99104
	0.1	1.35E+66	-14.92	92199
	1	5.20E+48	-9.73	83042
	2.5	3.19E+42	-7.90	79592
	10	4.14E+35	-5.86	75903
	100	3.60E+27	-3.48	71654

Reaction	p (atm)	Α	п	E_a (cal/mol)
$M9 \rightarrow P3 + P4$	0.01	4.63E+98	-24.44	115044
	0.1	5.48E+87	-20.97	111832
	1	2.57E+73	-16.59	105899
	2.5	1.72E+67	-14.74	102980
	10	7.68E+57	-11.97	98229
	100	2.61E+43	-7.73	90132
$M9 \rightarrow P13 + P14$	0.01	9.56E+85	-21.57	106694
	0.1	2.05E+76	-18.47	104322
	1	2.69E+62	-14.22	98759
	2.5	1.40E+56	-12.33	95815
	10	2.65E+46	-9.44	90900
	100	1.02E+31	-4.93	82324

Table 3: Chemkin-PRO PLOG format pressure- (atm) and temperature-dependent rate constants for the unimolecular decomposition of 2-methylfuran and related intermediates. $k(s^{-1}) = AT^n \exp(-E_a/RT)$.

Reaction	p (atm)	Α	п	E_a (cal/mol)
$M10 \rightarrow M11$	0.01	4.49E+61	-14.18	64492
	0.1	5.05E+59	-13.42	65593
	1	4.62E+50	-10.65	61945
	2.5	4.98E+45	-9.17	59475
	10	1.88E+37	-6.70	54959
	100	7.14E+23	-2.79	47253
$M11 \rightarrow P17 + P18$	0.01	3.18E+29	-7.07	46866
	0.1	4.47E+47	-11.61	58081
		7.77E+62	-15.19	71711
	2.5	1.31E+66	-15.81	76149
	10	3.54E+65	-15.23	/9663
	100	5.91E+47	-9.66	13512
$M11 \rightarrow M12$	0.01	1.92E+23	-3.09	12414
	0.1	1.36E+24	-3.27	13699
	1	9.86E+22	-2.88	13663
	2.5	1.01E+22	-2.58	13262
	10	1.11E+20	-2.00	12301
	100	3.10E+16	-0.96	10330
$M12 \rightarrow M13$	0.01	2 29E+35	-7 31	30103
	0.1	7.37E+40	-8.62	35996
	1	1.60E+41	-8.48	38761
	2.5	2.25E+39	-7.87	38506
	10	2.28E+34	-6.34	36515
	100	1.01E+23	-2.98	30549
$M12 \rightarrow M14$	0.01	5 275 - 27	1 26	17157
$M113 \rightarrow M114$	0.01	3.27E+27 4.79E+24	-4.30	15507
	1	2.97E+20	-2.25	13109
	25	3.12E+18	-1.68	11914
	10	3.55E+15	-0.83	10112
	100	3.02E+11	0.33	7517
	0.01	0.705 50	10.60	20251
$M14 \rightarrow P6 + P19$	0.01	2.72E+50	-10.60	38351
	0.1	2.76E+56	-12.00	45564
	1	0.20E+33	-11.03	48704
	2.5	1.11E+40 2.05E + 42	-0.02 7.60	42072
	100	2.93E + 42 1 33E + 27	-7.09	33671
	100	1.556727	-5.25	55071
$M13 \rightarrow M15$	0.01	8.53E+34	-6.33	24268
	0.1	9.35E+30	-5.17	22282
	1	1.15E+25	-3.45	19007
	2.5	1.33E+22	-2.60	17242
	10	6.13E+17	-1.35	14614
	100	3.37E+11	0.44	10639
$M15 \rightarrow M16$	0.01	1.30E+34	-6.30	24079
-	0.1	1.62E+30	-5.15	22128
	1	2.36E+24	-3.45	18897
	2.5	2.95E+21	-2.61	17154

Table 4: Chemkin-PRO PLOG format pressure- (atm) and temperature-dependent rate constants for the unimolecular decomposition of 2-furanylmethyl radical and related intermediates. $k(s^{-1}) = AT^n \exp(-E_a/RT)$.

Table 4: Chemkin-PRO PLOG format pressure- (atm) and temperature-dependent rate constants for the unimolec-
ular decomposition of 2-furanylmethyl radical and related intermediates. $k(s^{-1}) = AT^n \exp(-E_a/RT)$.

Reaction	p (atm)	Α	n	E_a (cal/mol)
	10	1.55E+17	-1.38	14559
	100	1.10E+11	0.38	10649
$M16 \rightarrow P17 + P20$	0.01	2.21E+77	-18.51	85730
	0.1	6.80E+81	-19.49	92440
	1	3.18E+73	-16.76	91956
	2.5	7.85E+58	-12.53	83194
	10	4.40E+50	-9.98	80861
	100	3.51E+23	-2.13	65606

Reaction	p (atm)	Α	п	E_a (cal/mol)
$M1 + P17 \rightarrow P10 + P22$	0.01	5.93E+17	-1.13	10160
	0.1	6.31E+15	-0.49	10818
	1	6.25E+15	-0.44	12156
	2.5	6.28E+15	-0.43	12614
	10	7.55E+15	-0.43	13614
	100	2.47E+21	-1.92	19575
$M1 + P17 \rightarrow P6 + P23$	0.01	3.28E+24	-3.07	13756
1011 1 11, 10 1120	0.1	1.22E+31	-4.83	20365
	1	3.52E+33	-5 39	25717
	25	6 30E+31	-4.83	26504
	10	1.69E+27	-3.43	27006
	100	1.27E+17	-0.43	26869
M1 + D17 = D0 + D24	0.01	4.005.24	(02	26267
$MI + PI / \rightarrow P9 + P24$	0.01	4.08E+34	-6.02	26367
	0.1	2.42E+34	-5.81	29573
		9.29E+52	-10.88	46873
	2.5	4.08E+52	-10.63	50324
	10	1.52E+52	-10.34	55297
	100	3.16E+21	-1.47	43388
$\rm M1 + P17 \rightarrow M17$	0.01	9.42E+64	-16.86	22009
	0.1	4.08E+65	-16.74	23378
	1	1.91E+39	-8.86	8696
	2.5	1.53E+38	-8.43	8247
	10	6.02E+43	-9.88	11916
	100	2.32E+61	-14.59	24263
$M1 + P17 \rightarrow M18$	0.01	2.70E+78	-20.34	33228
	0.1	6.04E+77	-19.70	36451
	1	1.25E+55	-12.72	27383
	2.5	3.26E+53	-12.08	28627
	10	6.16E+44	-9.38	25899
	100	3.31E+30	-5.02	21526
$M1 + P17 \rightarrow M19$	0.01	1.39E+75	-19.25	30503
	0.1	6.80E+76	-19.31	34924
	1	6.02E+54	-12.51	26305
	2.5	9.25E+49	-10.96	25364
	10	9.05E+41	-8.47	22964
	100	1.32E+29	-4.53	19323
$M1 + P17 \rightarrow M21$	0.01	2 78E+68	-17 43	23706
	0.01	2.14E+73	-18 47	28937
	1	6.73E±58	-13.83	26737
	25	2.87E+55	-12.65	24563
	10	1.01E+55	-11 17	24850
	100	1.98E+39	-7.45	23483
M1 + D17 × M22	0.01	4 20E + 67	17 15	72407
$1VII + \Gamma I / \rightarrow 1VIZZ$	0.01	4.27E+0/	-1/.1J 18.06	23402 28620
	1	1.30E+72 2.15E+59	-10.00	20030
	1	2.13E+38	-13.03	24023
	<i>∠.</i> J	∠.∠1E+JJ	-12.00	∠471/

Table 5: Chemkin-PRO PLOG format pressure- (atm) and temperature-dependent rate constants for the chemically activated recombination of hydrogen atom with 2-methylfuran at carbon 2. $k(\text{cm}^3\text{mol}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}) = AT^n \exp(-E_a/RT)$.

Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics

Table 5: Chemkin-PRO PLOG format pressure	\cdot (atm) and temperature-	dependent rate constar	nts for the chemically
activated recombination of hydrogen atom with	2-methylfuran at carbor	n 2. $k(cm^3mol^{-1}s^{-1}) =$	$AT^n \exp(-E_a/RT).$

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Reaction	p (atm)	A	n	E_a (cal/mol)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		10	9.14E+50	-11.10	25255
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		100	9.96E+38	-7.30	23686
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$M1 + P17 \rightarrow M23$	0.01	7.53E+72	-18.62	26476
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.1	1.17E+77	-19.39	32694
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1	7.07E+58	-13.68	26972
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2.5	4.14E+51	-11.46	24431
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		10	1.98E+45	-9.45	23414
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		100	3.59E+38	-7.20	24843
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$M1 + P17 \rightarrow M24$	0.01	3.01E+70	-18.18	32181
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.1	1.20E+91	-23.67	48986
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1	1.58E+77	-19.09	48486
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2.5	4.57E+69	-16.73	46964
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		10	4.01E+51	-11.33	39795
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		100	4.54E+48	-10.01	48245
0.16.30E+92-24.284938811.90E+73-18.04459342.51.94E+69-16.7046393107.29E+58-13.45446511005.86E+13-0.2623754	$M1 + P17 \rightarrow M25$	0.01	9.09E+78	-20.71	37095
11.90E+73-18.04459342.51.94E+69-16.7046393107.29E+58-13.45446511005.86E+13-0.2623754		0.1	6.30E+92	-24.28	49388
2.51.94E+69-16.7046393107.29E+58-13.45446511005.86E+13-0.2623754		1	1.90E+73	-18.04	45934
107.29E+58-13.45446511005.86E+13-0.2623754		2.5	1.94E+69	-16.70	46393
100 5.86E+13 -0.26 23754		10	7.29E+58	-13.45	44651
		100	5.86E+13	-0.26	23754

Reaction	p (atm)	Α	п	E_a (cal/mol)
$M1 + P17 \rightarrow P10 + P34$	0.01	1.07E+39	-6.67	30846
	0.01	1.07E+37 1 50E+47	-8.88	38568
	1	5.03E+47	-8.89	42758
	2.5	8.28E+44	-8.04	42837
	10	6.18E+36	-5.65	40637
	100	1.44E+32	-4 18	44351
	100	1.1112132	1.10	11551
$M1 + P17 \rightarrow P6 + P36$	0.01	1.07E+47	-9.58	29917
	0.1	1.48E+51	-10.63	36022
	1	5.31E+47	-9.49	38643
	2.5	1.28E+43	-8.11	37934
	10	4.80E+41	-7.57	41809
	100	7.91E+39	-6.79	51714
$M1 + P17 \rightarrow M41$	0.01	4.76E+68	-17.25	26292
	0.1	9.15E+51	-12.17	18071
	1	9.18E+32	-6.58	6260
	2.5	5.44E+32	-6.47	5802
	10	2.88E+39	-8.30	9788
	100	1.09E+60	-13.93	23557
$M1 + P17 \rightarrow M42$	0.01	2.33E+68	-16.69	25474
	0.1	9.96E+60	-14.22	24242
	1	2.28E+51	-11.16	21670
	2.5	6.26E+48	-10.32	21476
	10	6.08E+44	-8.99	21593
	100	5.60E+37	-6.72	22140
$M1 + P17 \rightarrow M43$	0.01	1 17E+72	-17 75	29767
	0.01	3.88E+62	-14 68	27048
	1	1.83E+53	-11.60	24764
	2.5	5.42E+51	-11.14	25267
	10	9.73E+47	-9.89	25627
	100	1.39E+41	-7.65	26453
$M1 + P17 \rightarrow M44$	0.01	5.30E+58	-14.38	24546
	0.1	2.52E+58	-13.88	27696
	1	1.07E+56	-12.76	31267
	2.5	3.18E+57	-13.02	34452
	10	1.27E+55	-12.07	37352
	100	1.53E+40	-7.38	37071
	_			
$M1 + P17 \rightarrow M45$	0.01	2.97E+55	-13.40	21399
	0.1	9.84E+56	-13.41	25744
	1	1.13E+55	-12.43	29560
	2.5	5.76E+55	-12.48	32226
	10	5.12E+54	-11.91	36035
	100	4.91E+40	-7.48	36344

Table 6: Chemkin-PRO PLOG format pressure- (atm) and temperature-dependent rate constants for the chemically activated recombination of hydrogen atom with 2-methylfuran at carbon 5. $k(\text{cm}^3\text{mol}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}) = AT^n \exp(-E_a/RT)$.

4.2 Comparison of RRKM/ME Results from the ChemRate and Multiwell Codes for the Thermal Decomposition of 2-furanylmethyl and related intermediates



Figure 12: Computed rate constants (p (atm)) for the reaction M10 \rightarrow M11 from the ChemRate (lines) and Multiwell (symbols) codes.



Figure 13: Computed rate constants (p (atm)) for the reaction M11 \rightarrow M12 from the ChemRate (lines) and Multiwell (symbols) codes.



Figure 14: Computed rate constants (*p* (atm)) for the reaction M12 \rightarrow M13 from the ChemRate (lines) and Multiwell (symbols) codes.



Figure 15: Computed rate constants (*p* (atm)) for the reaction M13 \rightarrow M14 from the ChemRate (lines) and Multiwell (symbols) codes.



Figure 16: Computed rate constants (p (atm)) for the reaction M14 \rightarrow P6 + P19 from the ChemRate (lines) and Multiwell (symbols) codes.



Figure 17: Computed rate constants (p (atm)) for the reaction M13 \rightarrow M15 from the ChemRate (lines) and Multiwell (symbols) codes.


Figure 18: Computed rate constants (*p* (atm)) for the reaction M15 \rightarrow M16 from the ChemRate (lines) and Multiwell (symbols) codes.



Figure 19: Computed rate constants (*p* (atm)) for the reaction M16 \rightarrow P17 + P20 from the ChemRate (lines) and Multiwell (symbols) codes.

5 Comparison of High Pressure Limiting Rate Constants with Literature



Figure 20: Calculated high-pressure limiting rate constants for hydrogen and methyl group shifts in 2-methylfuran. — Davis and Sarathy [2], - - this study. Legend refers to notation in main text. Rate Constants from [2] are multipled by 2 for direct comparison with the results of *this study*, as optical isomer corrections were not accounted for therein.



Figure 21: Calculated high pressure limiting rate constants for hydrogen atom abstraction from 2-methylfuran by hydrogen atom. — Davis and Sarathy [2], - - this study. Legend refers to notation in main text.

6 Comparison of Mechanism with Literature Oxidation Experiments

6.1 Atmospheric Pressure Ignition Delay Times of 1% 2-Methylfuran/Argon Mixtures



Figure 22: Atmospheric pressures experimental ignition delay times (symbols, [5]) as a function of temperature and O_2 concentration with 20% uncertainty bars and current model predictions (lines).



6.2 Ignition Delay Times of 2-Methylfuran/O₂/Argon Mixtures at Elevated Pressures

Figure 23: Experimental ignition delay times with 15% uncertainty bars (symbols, [6]) for 0.752% 2MF, 4.511% O_2 and 94.737% Ar ($\phi = 1.0$) with modelling calculations (lines).



Figure 24: Experimental ignition delay times with 15% uncertainty bars (symbols, [6]) for 1.98% 2MF, 5.941% O₂ and 92.079% Ar ($\phi = 2.0$) with modelling calculations (lines).



Figure 25: Experimental ignition delay times with 15% uncertainty bars (symbols, [6]) for 1.0% 2MF, 6.0% O₂ and 93.0% Ar ($\phi = 1.0$) with modelling calculations (lines).



Figure 26: Experimental ignition delay times with 15% uncertainty bars (symbols, [6]) for 0.503% 2MF, 6.030% O₂ and 93.467% Ar ($\phi = 0.5$) with modelling calculations (lines).



Figure 27: Experimental ignition delay times with 15% uncertainty bars (symbols, [6]) for 0.252% 2MF, 6.045% O₂ and 93.703% ($\phi = 0.25$) Ar with modelling calculations (lines).



Figure 28: Experimental ignition delay times with 15% uncertainty bars (symbols, [6]) for 1.506% 2MF, 9.036% O₂ and 89.448% Ar ($\phi = 1.0$) with modelling calculations (lines).



Figure 29: Experimental ignition delay times with 15% uncertainty bars (symbols, [6]) for 3.383% 2MF, 20.298% O₂ and 76.319% Ar ($\phi = 1.0$) with modelling calculations (lines).



6.3 Atmospheric Pressure Laminar Burning Velocities of 2-Methylfuran-Synthetic Air Mixtures

Figure 30: Experimental laminar burning velocities (symbols) as a function of unburnt gas temperature and equivalence ratio with current model predictions (lines). Closed symbols [5] (—), open symbols [7] (––).

6.4 Speciation in Low-Pressure 2-Methylfuran/O₂/Ar Flames

6.4.1 $\phi = 1.0$



Figure 31: Experimental profiles [8] for main species measured in $\phi = 1.0$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (– –).



Figure 32: Experimental profiles [8] for methane, formaldehyde and methanol measured in $\phi = 1.0$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (––).



Figure 33: Experimental profile [8] for methyl radical measured in $\phi = 1.0$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (– –). Refer to [8] for a discussion on the uncertainties (up to a factor of 4) in the determination of concentrations of small radicals.



Figure 34: Experimental profiles [8] for formyl and methoxy radicals measured in $\phi = 1.0$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (– –).



Figure 35: Experimental profiles [8] for acetylene, ethylene and ethane measured in $\phi = 1.0$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (– –).



Figure 36: Experimental profiles [8] for ketene, acetaldehyde and dimethyl ether measured in $\phi = 1.0$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (––).



Figure 37: Experimental profiles [8] for vinyl, ethyl and vinoxy radicals measured in $\phi = 1.0$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (– –).



Figure 38: Experimental profiles [8] for propargyl radical, propyne, propene and acrolein measured in $\phi = 1.0$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (– –).



Figure 39: Experimental profiles [8] for allyl and acrolein radicals and propanal measured in $\phi = 1.0$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (––).



Figure 40: Experimental profiles [8] for *n*-propyl and allyloxy radicals measured in $\phi = 1.0$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (––).



Figure 41: Experimental profiles [8] for diacetylene, vinylacetylene and 1,3-butadiene measured in $\phi = 1.0$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (––).



Figure 42: Experimental profiles [8] for 1-butene, methyl vinyl ketone and *butanal* measured in $\phi = 1.0$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (––).



Figure 43: Experimental profiles [8] for furan measured in $\phi = 1.0$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (– –). Vinyl ketene yields, which were not quantified experimentally, are included for comparison.



Figure 44: Experimental profiles [8] for acetylenylketene, *n*-butenyl and *n*-butadienyl measured in $\phi = 1.0$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (––).



Figure 45: Experimental profiles [8] for 1,3-cyclopentadiene, 1,3-pentadiene and 3-pentene-2-one measured in $\phi = 1.0$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (––).



Figure 46: Experimental profiles [8] for 3-pentene-2-one-1-al, furfural and 2-furanylmethyl measured in $\phi = 1.0$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (––).



Figure 47: Experimental profiles [8] for 1,3,4-pentatriene-1-one and cyclopenteneyl radical measured in $\phi = 1.0$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (––).



Figure 48: Experimental profiles [8] for triacetylene, benzyne, benzene and phenol measured in $\phi = 1.0$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (––).

6.4.2 $\phi = 1.7$



Figure 49: Experimental profiles [8] for main species measured in $\phi = 1.7$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (– –).



Figure 50: Experimental profiles [8] for methane, formaldehyde and methanol measured in $\phi = 1.7$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (––).



Figure 51: Experimental profile [8] for methyl radical measured in $\phi = 1.7$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (– –). Refer to [8] for a discussion on the uncertainties (up to a factor of 4) in the determination of concentrations of small radicals.



Figure 52: Experimental profiles [8] for formyl and methoxy radicals measured in $\phi = 1.7$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (– –).



Figure 53: Experimental profiles [8] for acetylene, ethylene and ethane measured in $\phi = 1.7$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (– –).



Figure 54: Experimental profiles [8] for ketene, acetaldehyde and dimethyl ether measured in $\phi = 1.7$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (––).



Figure 55: Experimental profiles [8] for vinyl, ethyl and vinoxy radicals measured in $\phi = 1.7$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (– –).



Figure 56: Experimental profiles [8] for propargyl radical, propyne, propene and acrolein measured in $\phi = 1.7$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (– –).



Figure 57: Experimental profiles [8] for allyl and acrolein radicals and propanal measured in $\phi = 1.7$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (––).



Figure 58: Experimental profiles [8] for *n*-propyl and allyloxy radicals measured in $\phi = 1.7$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (––).



Figure 59: Experimental profiles [8] for diacetylene, vinylacetylene and 1,3-butadiene measured in $\phi = 1.7$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (––).



Figure 60: Experimental profiles [8] for 1-butene, methyl vinyl ketone and *i*-butanal measured in $\phi = 1.7$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (––).



Figure 61: Experimental profile [8] for furan measured in $\phi = 1.7$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (– –). Vinyl ketene yields, which were not quantified experimentally, are included for comparison.



Figure 62: Experimental profiles [8] for acetylenylketene, *n*-butenyl and *n*-butadienyl measured in $\phi = 1.7$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (––).



Figure 63: Experimental profiles [8] for 1,3-cyclopentadiene, 1,3-pentadiene and 3-pentene-2-one measured in $\phi = 1.7$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (––).



Figure 64: Experimental profiles [8] for 3-pentene-2-one-1-al, furfural and 2-furanylmethyl measured in $\phi = 1.7$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (– –).



Figure 65: Experimental profiles [8] for 1,3,4-pentatriene-1-one and cyclopenteneyl radical measured in $\phi = 1.7$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (– –).



Figure 66: Experimental profiles [8] for triacetylene, benzyne, benzene and phenol measured in $\phi = 1.7$ 2-methylfuran/O₂/Ar flame with model calculations from *this work* (—) and the study of Tran *et al.* [8] (––).

_

Electronic Energies of Stationary Points 7

Table 7: Electronic energies of potential energy surface minima (hartrees) at 0 and 298.15 K, at the CBS-QB3, G3 and CBS-APNO levels of theory.

		0 K		298 K			
SPECIES KEY	CBS-QB3	CBS-APNO	G3	CBS-QB3	CBS-APNO	G3	
M1	-268.878010	-269.239467	-269.140183	-268.871675	-269.233241	-269.133826	
M2	-268.780525	-269.141719	-269.043882	-268.774038	-269.135313	-269.037350	
M3	-268.790419	-269.151663	-269.053756	-268.783748	-269.145113	-269.047076	
M4		-269.140879	-269.043143		-269.134552	-269.036692	
M5	-268.828555	-269.189009	-269.092552	-268.820712	-269.181216	-269.084637	
M6	-268.833058	-269.193615	-269.097062	-268.825259	-269.185891	-269.089199	
M7	-268.787268	-269.148816	-269.050872	-268.780430	-269.142226	-269.044166	
M8	-268.837026	-269.197901	-269.101105	-268.829150	-269.190105	-269.093191	
M9	-268.840399	-269.201196	-269.104571	-268.832544	-269.193440	-269.096683	
M10	-268.242558	-268.603230	-268.502648	-268.236633	-268.597206	-268.496472	
M11	-268.196518	-268.556205	-268.457185	-268.189076	-268.548544	-268.449384	
M12	-268.198158	-268.557780	-268.458999	-268.190710	-268.550103	-268.451174	
M13	-268.219529	-268.580434	-268.482047	-268.212108	-268.572919	-268.474365	
M14	-268.208236			-268.200944			
M15	-268.218942	-268.580115	-268.481620	-268.211640	-268.572689	-268.474032	
M16	-268.252517	-268.613698	-268.513834	-268.246553	-268.607680	-268.507688	
INT1	-267.571448	-267.932155	-267.834361	-267.565545	-267.926013	-267.828070	
M17	-269.426024	-269.788171	-269.689663	-269.419313	-269.781369	-269.682704	
M18	-269.419453	-269.780791	-269.683088	-269.411631	-269.772651	-269.674835	
M19	-269.422043	-269.783263	-269.685168	-269.414092	-269.775271	-269.677043	
M20	-269.413783	-269.776428		-269.405853	-269.768529		
M21	-269.427416	-269.788712	-269.691038	-269.419638	-269.780759	-269.682943	
M22	-269.426484	-269.787669	-269.689808	-269.418482	-269.779554	-269.681562	
M23	-269.428124	-269.790975	-269.691527	-269.420239	-269.782884	-269.683333	
M24	-269.415684	-269.777058	-269.680532	-269.407798	-269.769087	-269.672401	
M25	-269.415089	-269.776869	-269.680052	-269.407249	-269.768921	-269.671971	
M26	-269.413862	-269.775588	-269.676084	-269.406485	-269.767901	-269.668250	
M27	-269.425835	-269.787791	-269.689875	-269.419137	-269.780990	-269.682923	
M28	-269.408650	-269.770785	-269.671905	-269.401728	-269.763978	-269.664959	
M29	-269.381422	-269.742612	-269.646344	-269.372996	-269.734233	-269.637806	
M30	-269.383034	-269.744449	-269.648290	-269.374692	-269.736172	-269.639862	
M31	-269.382326	-269.743711	-269.647581	-269.373964	-269.735414	-269.639119	
M32	-269.407525	-269.769347	-269.671789	-269.399593	-269.761489	-269.663765	
M33	-269.407433	-269.769275		-269.399482	-269.761382		
M34	-269.428082	-269.790832	-269.692642	-269.421092	-269.783930	-269.685582	
M35	-269.407639	-269.770444	-269.672986	-269.399634	-269.762501	-269.664909	
M36	-269.410042	-269.772122	-269.673673	-269.403112	-269.765325	-269.666743	
M37	-269.379642	-269.740604	-269.644070	-269.371172	-269.732068	-269.635386	
M38	-269.380945	-269.742131	-269.645575	-269.372547	-269.733722	-269.637029	
M39	-269.382060	-269.743034	-269.646592	-269.373606	-269.734593	-269.638004	
M40	-269.412609	-269.775079	-269.677128	-269.404353	-269.766854	-269.668761	
M41	-269.428563	-269.790408	-269.691568	-269.421611	-269.783345	-269.684359	
M42	-269.431395	-269.792770	-269.695758	-269.423471	-269.784789	-269.687634	
M43	-269.428054	-269.789416	-269.692063	-269.420328	-269.781622	-269.684128	
M44	-269.410349	-269.771902	-269.672273	-269.402454	-269.763992	-269.664221	
M45	-269.412705	-269.774042	-269.674618	-269.404677	-269.765995	-269.666431	
M46	-269.371297	-269.733934	-269.635435	-269.363147	-269.725758	-269.627143	
M47	-269.409124	-269.772176		-269.400918	-269.763967		
M48	-269.410381	-269.772863		-269.402134	-269.764632		

SPECIES KEY	CBS-QB3	CBS-APNO	G3	CBS-QB3	CBS-APNO	G3
TS1	-268.746128	-269.107874	-269.008275	-268.740121	-269.101944	-269.002235
TS2	-268.773442	-269.136209	-269.036282	-268.767167	-269.130023	-269.029972
TS3	-268.736922	-269.097899	-268.999123	-268.729195	-269.090488	-268.991566
TS4	-268.742039	-269.103398	-269.004371	-268.734061	-269.095741	-268.996592
TS5	-268.765437	-269.127648	-269.028449	-268.759340	-269.121616	-269.022294
TS6		-269.141949	-269.044112		-269.135611	-269.037656
TS7	-268.820518	-269.180773	-269.084361	-268.813204	-269.173574	-269.077045
TS8	-268.763132	-269.124961		-268.755571	-269.117871	
TS9	-268.733495		-268.999152	-268.725922		-268.990439
TS10	-268.767849	-269.130172	-269.030746	-268.761602	-269.124020	-269.024476
TS11	-268.785869	-269.148513	-269.050644	-268.779309	-269.142682	-269.043801
TS12	-268.830516	-269.190787	-269.094588	-268.823329	-269.183716	-269.087389
TS13	-268.730957	-269.095001	-268.995346	-268.723270	-269.087148	-268.987478
TS14	-268.178692			-268.172433		
TS15	-268.112920	-268.473401		-268.104830	-268.465089	
TS16	-268.182698	-268.542470	-268.445277	-268.175565	-268.535099	-268.437750
TS17	-268.159951	-268.521173	-268.420075	-268.153223	-268.514191	-268.412942
TS18	-268.206930			-268.199944		
TS19	-268.170360			-268.161919		
TS20	-268.202003	-268.563008	-268.466357	-268.194987	-268.555959	-268.459123
TS21	-268.200554	-268.559642		-268.194340	-268.553295	
TS22	-268.164829	-268.522351	-268.424624	-268.157818	-268.515558	-268.417652
TS23a	-267.546464	-267.907822	-267.807266	-267.540672	-267.902207	-267.801553
TS23b	-267.562621	-267.925368	-267.826986	-267.556788	-267.919413	-267.820903
TS24	-269.373163	-269.734491	-269.637586	-269.366305	-269.727653	-269.630582
TS25	-269.376918	-269.742674	-269.639333	-269.369585	-269.735143	-269.631822
TS26	-269.387892		-269.653768	-269.381358		-269.647004
TS27	-269.410890			-269.403242		
TS28	-269.374059	-269.738351		-269.366838	-269.730931	
TS29	-269.374986			-269.365906		
TS30	-269.391722		-269.656570	-269.384961		-269.649555
TS31	-269.413417	-269.774823	-269.678314	-269.405852	-269.767189	-269.670551
TS32	-269.375835	-269.738354		-269.368472	-269.730935	
TS33	-269.407053			-269.399739		
TS34	-269.363161		-269.626267	-269.355898		-269.618977
TS35	-269.412277	-269.773763	-269.676817	-269.405105	-269.766518	-269.669444
1836	-269.364913			-269.356503		
TS37	-269.387089	2(0.71(0(0		-269.380657	260 200002	
TS38	-269.354847	-269.716069		-269.347955	-269.708892	
1839	-269.367642	-269.732494	-269.634278	-269.360573	-269.725327	-269.626942
TS40	-269.371151	-269.732199	-269.634047	-269.364094	-269.725194	-269.626882
1841	-269.354972	-269.717347	-269.617813	-269.347845	-269.710280	-269.610590
TS42	-269.380722	-269.741929	-269.645722	-269.373264	-269.734504	-269.638155
TS43	-269.377260	-269.739114	-269.643047	-269.369064	-269.730871	-269.634623
1844	-269.343865	-269.705182	-269.607/80	-269.334910	-269.696102	-269.598493
1845	-269.367034	-269.730287	-269.631275	-269.360109	-269.723315	-269.624150
1546	-269.404123	-269.765792		-269.396928	-269.758647	
1547	-269.353030	-269.717239	260 642710	-269.343925	-269.707691	260 625746
1548	-209.3/8690	-209./41084	-209.642/10	-209.3/1989	-209.134258	-209.035/40
1849	-269.373026	-269./3388	-269.63722	-269.36597	-269.727	-269.6302

Table 8: Electronic energies of transition states (hartrees) at 0 and 298.15 K, at the CBS-QB3, G3 and CBS-APNO levels of theory.

298 K

0 K

		0 K		298 K			
SPECIES KEY	CBS-QB3	CBS-APNO	G3	CBS-QB3	CBS-APNO	G3	
TS50	-269.37811	-269.73877	-269.64237	-269.37108	-269.73188	-269.63536	
TS51	-269.385097	-269.74764	-269.64883	-269.37827	-269.74076	-269.64181	
TS52	-269.384934	-269.747059	-269.649809	-269.376235	-269.738711	-269.641320	
TS53	-269.370262	-269.73152	-269.63352	-269.36327	-269.72456	-269.6264	
TS54	-269.354953	-269.71717	-269.61723	-269.34776	-269.70998	-269.60989	
TS55	-269.379522	-269.74044	-269.64393	-269.37186	-269.73275	-269.6361	
TS56	-269.345266	-269.70628	-269.60805	-269.33647	-269.69728	-269.59888	
TS57	-269.378011	-269.7389	-269.64236	-269.37019	-269.73111	-269.63445	
TS58	-269.365541	-269.72791	-269.62847	-269.35782	-269.72024	-269.62065	
TS59	-269.400480	-269.762863	-269.664487	-269.392072	-269.754421	-269.655913	
TS60	-269.37516	-269.73576	-269.63883	-269.36789	-269.72868	-269.63158	
TS61	-269.393982			-269.38721			
TS62	-269.35303	-269.71724		-269.34393	-269.70769		
TS63	-269.421257	-269.78309	-269.68674	-269.41391	-269.77571	-269.67921	
TS64	-269.380615			-269.3735			
TS65	-269.407735			-269.40046			
TS66	-269.338546	-269.70256	-269.60613	-269.32958	-269.694	-269.59737	
TS67	-269.369328	-269.73206	-269.63502	-269.36156	-269.72418	-269.62701	
TS68	-269.366156	-269.72932	-269.63061	-269.3586	-269.72164	-269.62278	
TS69	-269.408708			-269.4012			
TS70	-269.399381	-269.76199	-269.66354	-269.39098	-269.75359	-269.65499	

Table 8: Electronic energies of transition states (hartrees) at 0 and 298.15 K, at the CBS-QB3, G3 and CBS-APNO levels of theory.

Table 9: Electronic energies of fragmentation products (hartrees) at 0 and 298.15 K, at the CBS-QB3, G3 and CBS-APNO levels of theory.

		0 K		298 K			
SPECIES KEY	CBS-QB3	CBS-APNO	G3	CBS-QB3	CBS-APNO	G3	
P1	-191.599268	-191.845578	-191.775326	-191.593607	-191.839978	-191.769643	
P2	-77.187419	-77.301546	-77.275962	-77.183653	-77.297904	-77.272274	
P3	-152.375854	-152.563747	-152.506868	-152.371390	-152.559357	-152.502428	
P4	-116.421682	-116.595023	-116.555356	-116.416763	-116.590234	-116.550501	
P5	-155.646207	-155.877658	-155.825190	-155.640225	-155.871804	-155.819230	
P6	-113.182008	-113.311629	-113.267369	-113.178703	-113.308324	-113.264064	
P7	-155.648350	-155.879729	-155.827386	-155.642329	-155.873765	-155.821333	
P8	-155.008157	-155.239852	-155.185648	-155.001788	-155.233378	-155.179038	
P9	-113.704761	-113.835367	-113.791540	-113.700959	-113.831568	-113.787739	
P10	-39.744800	-39.803679	-39.793293	-39.740785	-39.799517	-39.789047	
P11	-228.963076	-229.265558	-229.179231	-228.956860	-229.259312	-229.172852	
P12	-228.965879	-229.268213	-229.182184	-228.959574	-229.261867	-229.175706	
P13	-152.942049	-153.131169	-153.073738	-152.937133	-153.126240	-153.068768	
P14	-115.778670	-115.951756	-115.911633	-115.773628	-115.946653	-115.906398	
P15	-228.958465	-229.260954		-228.951912	-229.254681		
P16	-228.960097	-229.262597		-228.953791	-229.256365		
P17	-0.499818	-0.499946	-0.501003	-0.497457	-0.497585	-0.498642	
P18	-267.618427	-267.978869	-267.880816	-267.611059	-267.971636	-267.873455	
P19	-154.991766	-155.223308	-155.168855	-154.986126	-155.217479	-155.163060	
P20	-267.663797	-268.024160	-267.925018	-267.658107	-268.018546	-267.919292	
P21	-151.708757	-151.897602	-151.840730	-151.704285	-151.892633	-151.835687	

		0 K		298 K			
SPECIES KEY	CBS-QB3	CBS-APNO	G3	CBS-QB3	CBS-APNO	G3	
P22	-229.643105	-229.946256	-229.859940	-229.638424	-229.941643	-229.855244	
P23	-156.196597	-156.428403	-156.377094	-156.190323	-156.422134	-156.370723	
P24	-155.662340	-155.893410	-155.841379	-155.656670	-155.887776	-155.835664	
P25	-75.649720	-75.723054	-75.694904	-75.646415	-75.719750	-75.691600	
P26	-193.712772	-194.002045	-193.933769	-193.707641	-193.996961	-193.928586	
P27	-192.168433	-192.414918	-192.344792	-192.162382	-192.408912	-192.338706	
P28	-117.009514	-117.183147	-117.144897	-117.004726	-117.178269	-117.139937	
P29	-268.876456	-269.238313	-269.139753	-268.870251	-269.232171	-269.133501	
P30	-268.882498	-269.244390	-269.145813	-268.876332	-269.238235	-269.139558	
P31	-156.211316	-156.443511		-156.204705	-156.436817		
P32	-152.932833	-153.120583	-153.063707	-152.928348	-153.116108	-153.059187	
P33	-156.239817	-156.471904	-156.419916	-156.233541	-156.465498	-156.413404	
P34	-229.618062	-229.921239	-229.837341	-229.611692	-229.914963	-229.830972	
P35	-116.971430	-117.144744	-117.106687	-116.966319	-117.139622	-117.101499	
P36	-156.238812	-156.471114	-156.418959	-156.232480	-156.464603	-156.412360	

Table 9: Electronic energies of fragmentation products (hartrees) at 0 and 298.15 K, at the CBS-QB3, G3 and CBS-APNO levels of theory.

8 Geometries of Stationary Points

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Ζ
	M1 C	CBS-QB3		M1 CBS-APNO M1 G3					11 G3		
6	-1.3972860	-0.7320440	-0.0003310	6	1.3927340	-0.7385560	0.0000170	6	1.3850100	-0.7244670	0.0002030
6	-1.5470180	0.6156580	0.0000750	6	1.5467530	0.6161820	-0.0000140	6	1.5442920	0.6037180	-0.0000830
6	-0.2201260	1.1624850	-0.0002060	6	0.2301130	1.1648740	0.0000110	6	0.2133730	1.1545860	0.0003150
6	0.6385010	0.1064790	-0.0000320	6	-0.6394200	0.1094460	-0.0000160	6	-0.6260720	0.1065660	0.0000970
8	-0.0724600	-1.0625710	0.0004010	1	2.0790690	-1.5719760	0.0000040	1	2.0691420	-1.5445490	0.0002030
1	-2.0866490	-1.5590600	-0.0005010	1	2.4846490	1.1541030	0.0000200	1	2.4719150	1.1378390	-0.0002960
1	-2.4790830	1.1581260	0.0001350	1	-0.0435490	2.2113760	-0.0000100	1	-0.0610060	2.1896860	0.0004070
1	0.0551460	2.2054040	-0.0003490	8	0.0660410	-1.0659870	-0.0000080	8	0.0770890	-1.0428640	0.0003130
6	2.1200270	-0.0142950	0.0000460	6	-2.1193260	-0.0110440	0.0000050	6	-2.1165790	-0.0193690	0.0001650
1	2.4752220	-0.5550800	0.8829750	1	-2.4740500	-0.5483110	0.8845330	1	-2.5025150	-0.1257360	1.0083890
1	2.4752730	-0.5555600	-0.8825670	1	-2.5655000	0.9857600	-0.0000740	1	-2.5851070	0.8513660	-0.4460060
1	2.5751800	0.9770390	-0.0002120	1	-2.4740680	-0.5484650	-0.8844210	1	-2.4092830	-0.8919000	-0.5693880
	M2 C	CBS-QB3		M2 CBS-APNO M2 G3				12 G3			
6	-0.1492760	-1.2416440	0.1830600	6	-0.1574110	-1.2280120	0.1900220	6	-0.1551530	-1.2325270	0.1951300
6	0.6815710	0.0063920	0.4390310	6	0.6836120	0.0079400	0.4547540	6	0.6835270	0.0092230	0.4594380
6	-0.2536920	1.1552460	0.1549810	6	-0.2577760	1.1469470	0.1576080	6	-0.2573180	1.1481060	0.1606530
6	-1.4188330	0.6287560	-0.1820400	6	-1.3989870	0.6079270	-0.1860600	6	-1.3982930	0.6087610	-0.1881440
8	-1.3452150	-0.8022600	-0.1550200	8	-1.3322350	-0.7853810	-0.1583960	8	-1.3317560	-0.7849960	-0.1603980
1	0.9110130	-0.0409230	1.5166180	1	0.9236360	-0.0320510	1.5188300	1	0.9331640	-0.0250440	1.5209740
1	-0.0227870	2.2077000	0.2191990	1	-0.0445030	2.1948110	0.2175250	1	-0.0399470	2.1950730	0.2216700
6	2.0118060	-0.0057190	-0.3358600	6	1.9925310	-0.0095000	-0.3440820	6	1.9883090	-0.0096400	-0.3486250
1	2.5737770	-0.9099290	-0.0978140	1	2.5696150	-0.8930010	-0.0984830	1	2.5664580	-0.8934350	-0.1081970
1	1.8314750	0.0108900	-1.4128800	1	1.7953280	-0.0163360	-1.4112330	1	1.7851730	-0.0146730	-1.4140530
1	2.6187630	0.8644300	-0.0763860	1	2.5883520	0.8683030	-0.1167430	1	2.5876000	0.8660980	-0.1250460
1	-2.3799750	1.0277260	-0.4636120	1	-2.3463620	1.0095070	-0.4761880	1	-2.3448350	1.0084140	-0.4828710
	M3 C	CBS-QB3			M3 Cl	BS-APNO			Ν	13 G3	
6	0.6439790	0.1579560	0.0024620	6	0.6439790	0.1579560	0.0024620	6	0.6439790	0.1579560	0.0024620
6	-0.2642690	1.1345250	0.0043940	6	-0.2642690	1.1345250	0.0043940	6	-0.2642690	1.1345250	0.0043940
6	-1.3527320	-1.0005910	-0.0097340	6	-1.3527320	-1.0005910	-0.0097340	6	-1.3527320	-1.0005910	-0.0097340
8	-0.0358480	-1.1155640	-0.0012160	8	-0.0358480	-1.1155640	-0.0012160	8	-0.0358480	-1.1155640	-0.0012160
1	-0.0520920	2.1960150	0.0043090	1	-0.0520920	2.1960150	0.0043090	1	-0.0520920	2.1960150	0.0043090
6	2.1212890	0.0588870	-0.0002950	6	2.1212890	0.0588870	-0.0002950	6	2.1212890	0.0588870	-0.0002950
1	2.4726270	-0.4797900	0.8843310	1	2.4726270	-0.4797900	0.8843310	1	2.4726270	-0.4797900	0.8843310

Table 10: Cartesian coordinates for minima (M1–M9) on the C_5H_6O potential energy surface.
Table 10: Cartesian coordinates for minima (M1–M9) on the C_5H_6O potential energy surface.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
1	2.4678030	-0.4870240	-0.8824530	1	2.4678030	-0.4870240	-0.8824530	1	2.4678030	-0.4870240	-0.8824530
1	2.5650550	1.0562690	-0.0054050	1	2.5650550	1.0562690	-0.0054050	1	2.5650550	1.0562690	-0.0054050
6	-1.6168070	0.4953470	-0.0077500	6	-1.6168070	0.4953470	-0.0077500	6	-1.6168070	0.4953470	-0.0077500
1	-2.1697440	0.6988660	0.9232880	1	-2.1697440	0.6988660	0.9232880	1	-2.1697440	0.6988660	0.9232880
1	-2.1856170	0.8634390	-0.8487990	1	-2.1856170	0.8634390	-0.8487990	1	-2.1856170	0.8634390	-0.8487990
	M4 Cl	BS-APNO			Ν	14 G3					
6	0.6573740	0.1104670	0.4573750	6	0.6568900	0.1088130	0.4618080				
6	-0.2090140	1.2888490	0.1939490	6	-0.2068130	1.2916710	0.2001730				
6	-1.4316920	0.6976830	-0.1856940	6	-1.4283190	0.6985240	-0.1877020				
6	-1.2988450	-0.6637620	-0.1646530	6	-1.3000660	-0.6619700	-0.1675370				
8	-0.1373900	-1.0963620	0.1862150	8	-0.1365920	-1.0994860	0.1895520				
1	0.8785540	0.0704110	1.5188170	1	0.8861040	0.0613780	1.5202840				
1	-2.3345090	1.2064250	-0.4609980	1	-2.3298130	1.2084060	-0.4664030				
6	1.9273750	0.0388620	-0.3716220	6	1.9227290	0.0395900	-0.3763490				
1	2.5597510	0.8833120	-0.1289190	1	2.5538970	0.8871790	-0.1434640				
1	2.4620900	-0.8811160	-0.1657860	1	2.4646310	-0.8756760	-0.1713280				
1	1.6922830	0.0772350	-1.4298020	1	1.6798420	0.0709870	-1.4323290				
1	-2.0302340	-1.4179680	-0.3991580	1	-2.0284550	-1.4161480	-0.4055390				
	M5 C	CBS-QB3			M5 C	BS-APNO			Ν	I5 G3	
6	1.5424880	0.1460880	0.5420400	6	1.5288190	0.1496570	0.5433010	6	1.5295850	0.1485540	0.5446340
6	0.3640730	0.5686210	0.1949000	6	0.3580180	0.5616530	0.1930950	6	0.3561110	0.5605850	0.1953100
6	-0.8385120	0.9690990	-0.1515840	6	-0.8314760	0.9650180	-0.1561800	6	-0.8342880	0.9661800	-0.1552400
6	-2.0172880	0.0644720	-0.1621670	6	-2.0059590	0.0550690	-0.1608510	6	-2.0068160	0.0579010	-0.1624360
8	-2.0079780	-1.1067240	0.1314010	8	-1.9863410	-1.0909530	0.1332740	8	-1.9851350	-1.0942690	0.1331310
1	1.8108530	0.2111130	1.5958380	1	1.7878120	0.2256820	1.5879310	1	1.7933080	0.2213870	1.5876870
1	-1.0118410	2.0024640	-0.4446110	1	-0.9927700	1.9878020	-0.4534910	1	-0.9949380	1.9892090	-0.4513340
6	2.5680510	-0.4395150	-0.3968000	6	2.5502830	-0.4395180	-0.3954420	6	2.5516140	-0.4373840	-0.3965590
1	3.4926860	0.1450350	-0.3679680	1	3.4644680	0.1459290	-0.3724150	1	3.4648600	0.1489150	-0.3767970
1	2.8162730	-1.4614550	-0.0951590	1	2.7954990	-1.4516570	-0.0894130	1	2.8020870	-1.4488140	-0.0939460
1	2.2015940	-0.4618490	-1.4231670	1	2.1796630	-0.4663530	-1.4119660	1	2.1802840	-0.4651100	-1.4126430
1	-2.9586210	0.5658890	-0.4744730	1	-2.9420500	0.5349420	-0.4703650	1	-2.9417570	0.5335440	-0.4722650
	M6 C	CBS-QB3			M6 C	BS-APNO			Ν	I6 G3	
6	-1.7442360	-0.3319760	0.4333050	6	-1.7292250	-0.3340990	0.4339550	6	-1.7315030	-0.3356490	0.4339930
6	-0.5051300	-0.4734280	0.0622870	6	-0.4982040	-0.4651480	0.0648060	6	-0.4992680	-0.4696920	0.0629780

Table 10: Cartesian coordinates for minima (M1–M9) on the C_5H_6O potential energy surface.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
6	0.7542570	-0.5860430	-0.2940800	6	0.7473990	-0.5855490	-0.2982960	6	0.7489110	-0.5866010	-0.2990220
6	1.7859190	0.3428240	0.2141530	6	1.7803340	0.3409380	0.2131850	6	1.7783140	0.3408650	0.2143100
8	2.9544920	0.2777440	-0.0871870	8	2.9241950	0.2767620	-0.0862680	8	2.9282830	0.2790080	-0.0855690
1	-2.0686320	-0.8651700	1.3262500	1	-2.0431810	-0.8714520	1.3156420	1	-2.0492420	-0.8695310	1.3156260
1	1.0910280	-1.3655710	-0.9727850	1	1.0654780	-1.3589050	-0.9764200	1	1.0714860	-1.3575800	-0.9769910
6	-2.7765530	0.5049200	-0.2811250	6	-2.7587270	0.5049170	-0.2792620	6	-2.7596110	0.5068870	-0.2785810
1	-3.6171130	-0.1181290	-0.6016320	1	-3.5892800	-0.1160860	-0.6010000	1	-3.5924140	-0.1091250	-0.6024680
1	-3.1764490	1.2718600	0.3890680	1	-3.1532570	1.2635400	0.3899020	1	-3.1539330	1.2665090	0.3888730
1	-2.3546080	0.9960410	-1.1581920	1	-2.3348390	0.9937850	-1.1470780	1	-2.3353800	0.9960490	-1.1457820
1	1.4042910	1.1212330	0.9075460	1	1.4120570	1.1086760	0.9027730	1	1.4121650	1.1067540	0.9032200
	M7 C	CBS-QB3			M7 C	BS-APNO			Ν	17 G3	
6	-1.5501020	0.8521630	0.0041880	6	-1.5501020	0.8521630	0.0041880	6	-1.5501020	0.8521630	0.0041880
6	-0.1994300	1.1927880	0.0053090	6	-0.1994300	1.1927880	0.0053090	6	-0.1994300	1.1927880	0.0053090
6	0.6184300	0.0510780	-0.0028530	6	0.6184300	0.0510780	-0.0028530	6	0.6184300	0.0510780	-0.0028530
8	-0.0439250	-1.0754660	-0.0081910	8	-0.0439250	-1.0754660	-0.0081910	8	-0.0439250	-1.0754660	-0.0081910
1	0.2158820	2.1962770	0.0050550	1	0.2158820	2.1962770	0.0050550	1	0.2158820	2.1962770	0.0050550
6	2.1024020	-0.0494040	-0.0024690	6	2.1024020	-0.0494040	-0.0024690	6	2.1024020	-0.0494040	-0.0024690
1	2.4372130	-0.5801770	0.8930390	1	2.4372130	-0.5801770	0.8930390	1	2.4372130	-0.5801770	0.8930390
1	2.4375530	-0.6224150	-0.8710450	1	2.4375530	-0.6224150	-0.8710450	1	2.4375530	-0.6224150	-0.8710450
1	2.5558060	0.9422340	-0.0245310	1	2.5558060	0.9422340	-0.0245310	1	2.5558060	0.9422340	-0.0245310
6	-1.5206660	-0.6101310	-0.0064930	6	-1.5206660	-0.6101310	-0.0064930	6	-1.5206660	-0.6101310	-0.0064930
1	-1.8952870	-1.0259690	0.9362640	1	-1.8952870	-1.0259690	0.9362640	1	-1.8952870	-1.0259690	0.9362640
1	-2.1035740	-0.9251890	-0.8593450	1	-2.1035740	-0.9251890	-0.8593450	1	-2.1035740	-0.9251890	-0.8593450
	M8 C	CBS-QB3			M8 C	BS-APNO			N	18 G3	
6	-2.2823030	-0.4727510	0.0000310	6	-2.2735410	-0.4639860	0.0002960	6	-2.2758370	-0.4642080	0.0003010
1	-2.3957270	-1.1127940	-0.8810010	1	-2.3832040	-1.0967410	-0.8764100	1	-2.3915150	-1.0967120	-0.8754130
1	-2.3959080	-1.1134660	0.8805430	1	-2.3825170	-1.0972860	0.8766870	1	-2.3915410	-1.0975010	0.8754290
1	-3.0557810	0.2933710	0.0002140	1	-3.0402760	0.2976740	0.0008090	1	-3.0411030	0.2989010	0.0006010
6	-0.9150690	0.1861580	0.0004050	6	-0.9100720	0.1874170	-0.0000920	6	-0.9099140	0.1845260	0.0006530
8	-0.7781950	1.3890190	-0.0001170	8	-0.7718660	1.3659350	-0.0001380	8	-0.7720500	1.3692040	-0.0003500
6	0.2477200	-0.7614490	-0.0002420	6	0.2505650	-0.7587480	-0.0003710	6	0.2493370	-0.7587870	-0.0008690
1	0.0366750	-1.8279650	-0.0003160	1	0.0529060	-1.8172370	-0.0008430	1	0.0506710	-1.8170020	-0.0007150
6	1.4912890	-0.3480210	-0.0001140	6	1.4814930	-0.3380100	-0.0000810	6	1.4823240	-0.3370330	-0.0002590
6	2.7160660	0.0803830	0.0000980	6	2.7002120	0.0820840	0.0002550	6	2.7039620	0.0803730	0.0004090

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Ζ
1	3.2450660	0.2707790	0.9287330	1	3.2178990	0.2663780	0.9249960	1	3.2251140	0.2637060	0.9229730
1	3.2450160	0.2720010	-0.9283040	1	3.2181690	0.2671940	-0.9241740	1	3.2255410	0.2657570	-0.9214840
	M9 C	CBS-QB3			M9 Cl	BS-APNO			Ν	I9 G3	
6	-0.9753250	1.4063990	0.0000250	6	-0.9883380	1.3966540	-0.0000180	6	-0.9812710	1.3982520	-0.0000220
1	-0.4334720	1.7639680	0.8798620	1	-0.4566130	1.7541040	0.8757140	1	-0.4495030	1.7573600	0.8746800
1	-0.4328720	1.7639010	-0.8794660	1	-0.4563370	1.7541250	-0.8755720	1	-0.4493170	1.7573670	-0.8746060
1	-1.9861330	1.8107460	-0.0003200	1	-1.9971640	1.7845220	-0.0001600	1	-1.9880040	1.7911620	-0.0001170
6	-1.0520410	-0.1072470	0.0000820	6	-1.0476490	-0.1129180	-0.0000130	6	-1.0459190	-0.1122960	-0.0000130
8	-2.1105370	-0.7000130	-0.0001290	8	-2.0794290	-0.7028190	0.0000490	8	-2.0862960	-0.6983710	0.0000610
6	0.2248670	-0.8837880	0.0002770	6	0.2323270	-0.8829280	-0.0000520	6	0.2291340	-0.8864350	-0.0000660
1	0.0979920	-1.9629920	-0.0006260	1	0.1260080	-1.9539640	-0.0000810	1	0.1186560	-1.9568100	-0.0001140
6	1.4230960	-0.3545520	0.0000130	6	1.4145910	-0.3426790	-0.0000190	6	1.4144950	-0.3477260	-0.0000170
6	2.6104840	0.1754220	-0.0000980	6	2.5932440	0.1856980	0.0000340	6	2.5934360	0.1841700	0.0000420
1	3.1263170	0.4032130	0.9277820	1	3.0972180	0.4103530	0.9237850	1	3.0996200	0.4109910	0.9216330
1	3.1259800	0.4038660	-0.9279930	1	3.0972680	0.4104470	-0.9236690	1	3.0996680	0.4111070	-0.9215000

Table 10: Cartesian coordinates for minima (M1–M9) on the C_5H_6O potential energy surface.

Table 11: Cartesian coordinates for minima (M10–M16) on the C_5H_5O potential energy surface.

At. No.	X	Y	Ζ	At. No.	X	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Ζ
	M10	CBS-QB3			M10 C	BS-APNO			М	10 G3	
6	-1.3324220	-0.7272260	0.0005610	6	-1.3273830	-0.7233340	0.0004400	6	-1.3287010	-0.7246340	0.0004940
6	-1.4676890	0.6362810	-0.0003270	6	-1.4647080	0.6353550	-0.0000310	6	-1.4666490	0.6350560	-0.0000630
6	-0.1635750	1.1697930	-0.0000010	6	-0.1564570	1.1654880	-0.0001510	6	-0.1584730	1.1661000	-0.0001330
6	0.7295670	0.0804240	-0.0002570	6	0.7217530	0.0659990	-0.0000750	6	0.7227530	0.0679490	-0.0000860
8	-0.0215460	-1.0868460	-0.0003390	8	-0.0246150	-1.0759610	-0.0004230	8	-0.0235530	-1.0771200	-0.0004650
1	-2.0432010	-1.5365440	0.0008710	1	-2.0379940	-1.5206550	0.0005480	1	-2.0364390	-1.5242330	0.0006100
1	-2.3991490	1.1803090	-0.0000620	1	-2.3888520	1.1754670	0.0000370	1	-2.3904620	1.1761030	0.0000170
1	0.1232930	2.2092020	0.0005130	1	0.1367500	2.1948130	-0.0002890	1	0.1321550	2.1964380	-0.0002850
6	2.1008390	-0.0065140	-0.0000410	6	2.0958340	-0.0067430	0.0002200	6	2.0980010	-0.0059690	0.0002260
1	2.5930190	-0.9683850	0.0002300	1	2.5944370	-0.9565750	-0.0001670	1	2.5976950	-0.9547830	-0.0001200
1	2.6980770	0.8936340	0.0015420	1	2.6783410	0.8940540	0.0008360	1	2.6838850	0.8924310	0.0008700
	M11 0	CBS-QB3			M11 C	BS-APNO			Μ	11 G3	
6	1.0458270	0.9794320	0.0000230	6	1.0363760	0.9756390	0.0002600	6	1.0421120	0.9746780	0.0000120
6	-0.3502970	1.1878600	-0.0000420	6	-0.3644170	1.1868540	-0.0003380	6	-0.3590260	1.1869810	0.0000610
6	1.6998950	-0.3114300	0.0000280	6	1.7153310	-0.2996480	0.0002400	6	1.7186470	-0.2997160	0.0000900
6	-1.3119050	0.2545460	0.0000100	6	-1.3340720	0.2535700	-0.0002260	6	-1.3317590	0.2526390	-0.0003200
6	-2.3065020	-0.5748460	0.0000150	6	-2.3219820	-0.5951750	0.0002900	6	-2.3310860	-0.5886280	0.0001550
8	1.1236850	-1.3892690	-0.0000280	8	1.1635440	-1.3753970	-0.0003360	8	1.1595060	-1.3799980	-0.0001290
1	2.8085010	-0.2659230	0.0001020	1	2.8058990	-0.2490850	0.0008100	1	2.8072580	-0.2567090	0.0005300
1	1.6855050	1.8560540	0.0000170	1	1.6634380	1.8503450	0.0005170	1	1.6695240	1.8487180	-0.0000470
1	-2.7278310	-0.9561020	-0.9271160	1	-2.7359220	-0.9707580	-0.9213430	1	-2.7511390	-0.9617730	-0.9192580
1	-2.7281040	-0.9557420	0.9271710	1	-2.7364080	-0.9690710	0.9223920	1	-2.7507420	-0.9612290	0.9199670
1	-0.6896490	2.2224950	-0.0001550	1	-0.6927790	2.2142990	-0.0010370	1	-0.6842830	2.2152550	-0.0001470
	M12	CBS-QB3			M12 C	BS-APNO			Μ	12 G3	
6	0.7508650	0.8407160	0.0000020	6	-0.7591190	0.8340430	0.0000020	6	0.7604260	0.8355370	0.0000000
6	-0.6449070	1.0584230	-0.0000030	6	0.6365160	1.0699380	-0.0000010	6	-0.6353040	1.0749530	-0.0000010
6	1.3829680	-0.4580290	-0.0000050	6	-1.3752070	-0.4689330	0.0000020	6	1.3704700	-0.4687950	-0.0000020
6	-1.6002290	0.1182860	-0.0000010	6	1.6007170	0.1269170	-0.0000010	6	-1.6020690	0.1304510	0.0000000
6	-2.5497260	-0.7655430	0.0000030	6	2.5481490	-0.7686170	0.0000000	6	-2.5473990	-0.7723120	0.0000010
8	2.5957660	-0.6134040	0.0000040	8	-2.5791350	-0.6106810	-0.0000020	8	2.5806450	-0.6147030	0.0000020
1	0.6996370	-1.3304920	-0.0000200	1	-0.7209560	-1.3398310	0.0000070	1	0.7171600	-1.3378110	-0.0000080
1	1.4160230	1.6970750	0.0000130	1	-1.4276680	1.6760630	0.0000000	1	1.4306000	1.6755570	0.0000040
1	-2.9646500	-1.1573080	-0.9266060	1	2.9554100	-1.1538250	0.9213970	1	-2.9549730	-1.1608810	-0.9191830

Table 11: Cartesian coordinates for minima (M10–M16) on the C₅H₅O potential energy surface.

At. No.	X	Y	Z	At. No.	X	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
1	-2.9646550	-1.1572930	0.9266170	1	2.9554060	-1.1538310	-0.9213970	1	-2.9549730	-1.1608800	0.9191850
1	-0.9863070	2.0921320	-0.0000070	1	0.9645480	2.0967820	-0.0000010	1	-0.9597170	2.1026410	-0.0000020
	M13 0	CBS-QB3			M13 C	BS-APNO			М	13 G3	
6	0.8084350	0.9761030	-0.0002270	6	0.8261090	0.9746950	-0.0002540	6	-0.8228150	0.9790970	0.0003050
6	-0.6180350	0.8355780	-0.0000100	6	-0.6119170	0.8343820	0.0000520	6	0.6145460	0.8351330	-0.0000440
6	1.6990600	-0.0120340	0.0007130	6	1.6977400	-0.0182690	0.0001690	6	-1.6984950	-0.0152440	-0.0003110
6	-1.3265630	-0.3788430	0.0001040	6	-1.3145360	-0.3813990	-0.0001890	6	1.3154610	-0.3830680	0.0002360
6	-2.6875460	-0.4937010	-0.0000710	6	-2.6888880	-0.4818240	0.0001000	6	2.6912420	-0.4851190	-0.0001280
8	2.4893550	-0.8640170	-0.0003670	8	2.4595140	-0.8657760	0.0000790	8	-2.4682570	-0.8680170	-0.0000450
1	-0.7437290	-1.2996580	0.0003770	1	-0.7452840	-1.2988140	-0.0006200	1	0.7443960	-1.2987090	0.0007640
1	1.2542730	1.9654780	-0.0000800	1	1.2764010	1.9502780	-0.0001730	1	-1.2684420	1.9569800	0.0002950
1	-3.3274660	0.3821230	-0.0003820	1	-3.3137920	0.3944240	0.0005240	1	3.3179110	0.3891730	-0.0006460
1	-3.1710920	-1.4617510	0.0000690	1	-3.1759890	-1.4392990	-0.0001040	1	3.1793990	-1.4415590	0.0001140
1	-1.1789340	1.7633300	-0.0001030	1	-1.1684970	1.7541130	0.0004700	1	1.1731500	1.7534660	-0.0005200
	M14 0	CBS-QB3									
6	-0.7524310	0.8180140	-0.0000060								
6	0.5965560	0.7823360	-0.0000240								
6	-1.5707220	-0.3969840	-0.0000030								
6	1.4159970	-0.4136160	-0.0000160								
6	2.7537090	-0.3738060	0.0000770								
8	-2.7552170	-0.4895590	-0.0000430								
1	0.8944500	-1.3652250	-0.0000210								
1	-1.2846510	1.7680530	-0.0000390								
1	3.2938760	0.5677790	0.0001400								
1	3.3481710	-1.2792960	0.0001920								
1	1.1312430	1.7294960	-0.0000920								
	M15 (CBS-QB3			M15 C	BS-APNO			Μ	15 G3	
6	0.5821270	1.1031570	-0.0000600	6	-0.6140650	1.0959450	0.0003460	6	-0.6094410	1.1022370	0.0002090
6	-0.8497870	1.1072100	0.0001670	6	0.8310510	1.1124850	-0.0001060	6	0.8356580	1.1127720	-0.0001370
6	1.4148130	0.0660920	-0.0001530	6	-1.4237550	0.0530870	0.0000520	6	-1.4229350	0.0578930	0.0000290
6	-1.7274950	0.0056730	0.0002530	6	1.7276740	0.0303580	-0.0002650	6	1.7290380	0.0261670	-0.0002500
6	-1.4139900	-1.3244250	0.0000660	6	1.4346210	-1.3172480	0.0001560	6	1.4315930	-1.3219360	0.0001350
8	2.1901550	-0.7990160	-0.0002650	8	-2.1592370	-0.8166780	-0.0001750	8	-2.1667480	-0.8172000	-0.0000380
1	-2.7829990	0.2656040	0.0004930	1	2.7699800	0.3032440	-0.0007600	1	2.7721100	0.2946160	-0.0006760

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
1	1.1076320	2.0539120	-0.0002440	1	-1.1457540	2.0308100	0.0007280	1	-1.1355280	2.0402500	0.0007610
1	-0.3957960	-1.6943850	-0.0002120	1	0.4330930	-1.7055680	0.0007360	1	0.4296310	-1.7076320	0.0006540
1	-2.1934730	-2.0754300	0.0001730	1	2.2278550	-2.0420610	-0.0000410	1	2.2208240	-2.0504140	-0.0000330
1	-1.2906100	2.0961900	0.0002720	1	1.2555650	2.0992340	-0.0003510	1	1.2634730	2.0979820	-0.0003160
	M16 0	CBS-QB3			M16 C	BS-APNO			Μ	16 G3	
6	0.0508700	1.1958500	-0.0000240	6	0.0489500	1.1958930	-0.0000540	6	0.0481890	1.1963190	-0.0000530
6	1.3786630	0.7524340	-0.0002170	6	1.3773760	0.7515260	-0.0001520	6	1.3783260	0.7531590	-0.0001630
6	-0.8460370	0.0426790	0.0001160	6	-0.8402900	0.0378080	0.0001050	6	-0.8385310	0.0378510	0.0000770
6	1.4414030	-0.6304100	-0.0000500	6	1.4340840	-0.6357750	-0.0001450	6	1.4358800	-0.6352450	-0.0001430
6	0.0596540	-1.2072060	-0.0000050	6	0.0426520	-1.2086610	0.0000490	6	0.0442550	-1.2099470	0.0000470
8	-2.0638150	0.0408940	0.0001950	8	-2.0392940	0.0502240	0.0001930	8	-2.0437070	0.0496640	0.0002180
1	2.3516130	-1.2148340	-0.0001710	1	2.3326680	-1.2217860	-0.0002460	1	2.3337140	-1.2220240	-0.0002250
1	-0.2936610	2.2205110	-0.0000270	1	-0.2957250	2.2114640	-0.0000630	1	-0.2973240	2.2112480	-0.0000510
1	-0.1498910	-1.8277050	-0.8784900	1	-0.1681520	-1.8158930	-0.8756980	1	-0.1662080	-1.8187580	-0.8745220
1	-0.1497250	-1.8275990	0.8785970	1	-0.1679420	-1.8157990	0.8759140	1	-0.1660100	-1.8186610	0.8747330
1	2.2448560	1.4023870	-0.0003820	1	2.2368650	1.3954780	-0.0002620	1	2.2367720	1.3980570	-0.0002680

Table 11: Cartesian coordinates for minima (M10–M16) on the C₅H₅O potential energy surface.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
	M17 C	CBS-QB3			M17 C	BS-APNO			M	17 G3	
6	0.694148	0.063394	0.463505	6	0.690391	0.049158	0.463494	6	0.691269	0.049414	0.466486
6	-0.247967	1.196513	0.172534	6	-0.250048	1.190761	0.171930	6	-0.250555	1.191043	0.176299
6	-1.352862	-0.725611	-0.166626	6	-1.341770	-0.730710	-0.165511	6	-1.341800	-0.730899	-0.168059
8	-0.110917	-1.132565	0.214200	8	-0.099322	-1.113380	0.212620	8	-0.098253	-1.114474	0.216589
1	0.013679	2.240029	0.258207	1	0.009082	2.227102	0.259276	1	0.009801	2.227130	0.262696
6	1.955091	0.002605	-0.397924	6	1.944226	0.009632	-0.396748	6	1.941763	0.010488	-0.400686
1	2.523542	-0.904113	-0.178365	1	2.519467	-0.884164	-0.180159	1	2.519632	-0.881964	-0.188011
1	1.690370	0.007862	-1.457269	1	1.681198	0.007103	-1.449239	1	1.673079	0.007441	-1.451046
1	2.589436	0.869178	-0.191637	1	2.565750	0.877797	-0.194977	1	2.564656	0.878081	-0.204111
6	-1.464414	0.653252	-0.203676	6	-1.468963	0.645503	-0.203775	6	-1.468911	0.645870	-0.205870
1	-2.356697	1.192835	-0.485870	1	-2.358415	1.175280	-0.480869	1	-2.356732	1.177065	-0.485829
1	-2.059750	-1.508351	-0.390535	1	-2.039259	-1.510374	-0.385594	1	-2.035068	-1.513442	-0.390189
1	0.982784	0.022163	1.524994	1	0.973743	0.028224	1.514262	1	0.980070	0.025979	1.514758
	M18 C	CBS-QB3			M18 C	BS-APNO			M	l8 G3	
6	1.726596	-0.103753	0.000004	6	1.745185	-0.129551	-0.000046	6	1.746193	-0.128608	-0.000031
6	0.882559	1.075962	0.000014	6	0.912605	1.057046	-0.000078	6	0.915576	1.057033	-0.000049
6	-0.514366	1.260337	-0.000008	6	-0.487674	1.259043	0.000050	6	-0.485219	1.260663	0.000033
6	-1.593123	0.401671	-0.000008	6	-1.590851	0.426368	0.000066	6	-1.591012	0.428784	0.000043
8	1.392001	-1.280607	-0.000015	8	1.386686	-1.281279	0.000127	8	1.382886	-1.286068	0.000083
1	1.459353	1.997601	0.000029	1	1.490836	1.966710	-0.000162	1	1.494104	1.965867	-0.000105
1	-0.795583	2.311650	-0.000024	1	-0.743279	2.307012	0.000135	1	-0.738813	2.308512	0.000087
6	-1.655861	-1.084952	0.000016	6	-1.712562	-1.067134	-0.000101	6	-1.714417	-1.065314	-0.000065
1	-2.223257	-1.428926	0.874816	1	-2.279645	-1.383946	0.873283	1	-2.280843	-1.384673	0.872330
1	-2.223097	-1.428957	-0.874878	1	-2.280339	-1.383682	-0.873127	1	-2.281252	-1.384503	-0.872255
1	-0.673964	-1.548787	0.000097	1	-0.762304	-1.570100	-0.000526	1	-0.764172	-1.568364	-0.000320
1	-2.561640	0.898470	-0.000032	1	-2.534557	0.949598	0.000221	1	-2.534044	0.951673	0.000139
1	2.807344	0.148214	0.000011	1	2.815580	0.090003	-0.000182	1	2.815202	0.084684	-0.000122
	M19 C	CBS-QB3			M19 C	BS-APNO			M	l9 G3	
6	-1.362396	-0.397532	0.000000	6	-1.358266	-0.406627	0.000000	6	1.355693	-0.406355	0.000000
6	-0.686288	0.884432	0.000000	6	-0.700845	0.878312	0.000000	6	0.701486	0.878323	0.000000
6	0.686260	1.171020	0.000000	6	0.676136	1.176077	0.000000	6	-0.676560	1.177171	0.000000
6	1.791626	0.342982	0.000000	6	1.790364	0.351842	0.000000	6	-1.791673	0.352170	0.000000
8	-2.582817	-0.489620	0.000000	8	-2.569306	-0.491702	0.000000	8	2.573434	-0.492179	0.000000

Table 12: Cartesian coordinates for minima (M17–M27) on the C_5H_7O potential energy surface.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Ζ
1	-1.373234	1.725857	0.000000	1	-1.384630	1.709802	0.000000	1	1.385009	1.709222	0.000000
1	0.918794	2.233304	0.000000	1	0.896492	2.231057	0.000000	1	-0.896330	2.231787	0.000000
6	1.833733	-1.153485	0.000000	6	1.839773	-1.152210	0.000000	6	-1.840792	-1.152410	0.000000
1	2.863516	-1.513267	0.000001	1	2.868579	-1.491547	0.000001	1	-2.868867	-1.493478	-0.000001
1	1.333157	-1.574054	0.879402	1	1.356341	-1.572125	0.877985	1	-1.357869	-1.573616	-0.877193
1	1.333157	-1.574055	-0.879401	1	1.356342	-1.572125	-0.877985	1	-1.357870	-1.573616	0.877193
1	2.753713	0.846176	0.000000	1	2.740701	0.857579	0.000000	1	-2.742377	0.856029	0.000000
1	-0.744176	-1.311502	0.000000	1	-0.762348	-1.313385	0.000000	1	0.761903	-1.312285	0.000000
	M20 C	CBS-QB3			M20 Cl	BS-APNO					
6	-1.607064	-0.402419	0.058716	6	-1.620222	-0.401056	0.064440				
6	-0.835404	0.833152	-0.133135	6	-0.829677	0.832191	-0.134320				
6	0.483614	0.901161	0.099296	6	0.477739	0.896573	0.096338				
6	1.371438	-0.208974	0.568996	6	1.379328	-0.204436	0.576015				
8	-2.771572	-0.573980	-0.097690	8	-2.756726	-0.573330	-0.098897				
1	-1.391173	1.704279	-0.478869	1	-1.378017	1.692472	-0.482440				
1	0.976203	1.856661	-0.076517	1	0.962717	1.844383	-0.084457				
6	2.517468	-0.495020	-0.417042	6	2.505280	-0.496523	-0.421884				
1	3.128884	0.395649	-0.589011	1	3.103896	0.389882	-0.612654				
1	3.170812	-1.278836	-0.027009	1	3.164240	-1.266503	-0.034557				
1	2.128173	-0.827631	-1.382275	1	2.103845	-0.840939	-1.369651				
1	1.801318	0.083943	1.535573	1	1.814845	0.109777	1.522568				
1	0.778033	-1.109624	0.738644	1	0.807595	-1.102924	0.768829				
	M21 C	CBS-QB3			M21 Cl	BS-APNO			M2	21 G3	
6	-1.953476	-0.023528	0.000008	6	-1.973461	-0.014542	0.000003	6	-1.974875	-0.011758	0.000003
6	-0.974808	1.046139	-0.000182	6	-0.974731	1.034202	-0.000182	6	-0.977059	1.035043	-0.000188
6	0.423110	0.909204	-0.000161	6	0.428271	0.887592	-0.000157	6	0.426729	0.887746	-0.000162
6	1.130817	-0.269360	0.000044	6	1.147810	-0.287532	0.000047	6	1.147879	-0.288423	0.000052
8	-1.711650	-1.223995	0.000218	8	-1.749153	-1.201215	0.000214	8	-1.747169	-1.204969	0.000222
1	-1.384144	2.052012	-0.000358	1	-1.365329	2.037871	-0.000358	1	-1.366560	2.038741	-0.000371
1	0.995686	1.835678	-0.000326	1	0.987841	1.810286	-0.000320	1	0.986112	1.809897	-0.000330
1	-3.006934	0.325108	-0.000051	1	-3.008614	0.334852	-0.000059	1	-3.009235	0.332159	-0.000060
6	2.619286	-0.342267	0.000056	6	2.646473	-0.333120	0.000058	6	2.647537	-0.331726	0.000058
1	2.981289	-0.894776	0.875862	1	3.015191	-0.865174	0.874136	1	3.019875	-0.861826	0.873295
1	2.981288	-0.895130	-0.875526	1	3.015193	-0.865540	-0.873795	1	3.019874	-0.862144	-0.872986

Table 12: Cartesian coordinates for minima (M17–M27) on the C₅H₇O potential energy surface.

At. No.	X	Y	Z	At. No.	X	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
1	3.083658	0.646574	-0.000142	1	3.081479	0.660750	-0.000149	1	3.081375	0.662476	-0.000123
1	0.572788	-1.198634	0.000208	1	0.621295	-1.222922	0.000212	1	0.624642	-1.224842	0.000223
	M22 C	CBS-QB3			M22 C	BS-APNO			M	22 G3	
6	-1.701467	-0.405909	-0.000059	6	-1.701115	-0.413821	-0.000050	6	-1.700169	-0.414677	-0.000051
6	-0.831706	0.750007	-0.000020	6	-0.841276	0.744298	-0.000025	6	-0.842097	0.742824	-0.000024
6	0.572561	0.739602	0.000012	6	0.568596	0.739123	0.000015	6	0.568880	0.738858	0.000015
6	1.404223	-0.355677	0.000053	6	1.402759	-0.360400	0.000042	6	1.404928	-0.361073	0.000043
8	-2.921276	-0.324965	-0.000076	8	-2.908945	-0.317101	-0.000083	8	-2.914709	-0.315499	-0.000083
1	-1.348789	1.704149	-0.000021	1	-1.354729	1.689775	-0.000039	1	-1.355092	1.687948	-0.000037
1	1.055169	1.715488	0.000009	1	1.039376	1.709369	0.000025	1	1.039062	1.708772	0.000024
1	-1.213989	-1.401465	-0.000083	1	-1.242780	-1.401984	-0.000040	1	-1.246365	-1.402591	-0.000045
6	2.893846	-0.272702	0.000078	6	2.899810	-0.267663	0.000084	6	2.902713	-0.266838	0.000084
1	3.315945	-0.778992	0.876823	1	3.318419	-0.760210	0.874674	1	3.323915	-0.757660	0.873859
1	3.315975	-0.779021	-0.876637	1	3.318468	-0.760220	-0.874478	1	3.323962	-0.757673	-0.873662
1	3.244566	0.761507	0.000066	1	3.235280	0.763609	0.000087	1	3.237662	0.764394	0.000085
1	0.976596	-1.353871	0.000070	1	0.984882	-1.352758	0.000034	1	0.988995	-1.353762	0.000038
	M23 C	CBS-QB3			M23 C	BS-APNO			M	23 G3	
6	-1.936611	0.544046	0.000082	6	-1.940558	0.544370	-0.000079	6	-1.939663	0.548146	-0.000090
6	-0.486302	0.583157	0.000083	6	-0.494620	0.573280	0.000002	6	-0.495554	0.575588	-0.000007
6	0.276098	-0.590553	0.000041	6	0.275252	-0.603115	-0.000015	6	0.273734	-0.602362	-0.000021
6	1.647267	-0.683398	0.000052	6	1.651876	-0.684002	0.000047	6	1.652018	-0.685172	0.000047
8	-2.603201	-0.481603	-0.000341	8	-2.598198	-0.471513	-0.000160	8	-2.599411	-0.474669	-0.000142
1	-0.016464	1.561068	0.000127	1	-0.028266	1.541889	0.000078	1	-0.026894	1.542754	0.000071
1	-0.296893	-1.513472	0.000005	1	-0.277487	-1.526174	-0.000079	1	-0.279270	-1.524717	-0.000087
6	2.628566	0.441901	0.000126	6	2.632181	0.452607	0.000158	6	2.634090	0.450923	0.000160
1	3.283970	0.379142	0.876994	1	3.275834	0.396274	0.874770	1	3.278437	0.396713	0.873929
1	3.284523	0.378780	-0.876296	1	3.276523	0.395833	-0.873913	1	3.279139	0.396262	-0.873057
1	2.157174	1.424713	-0.000217	1	2.155412	1.424246	-0.000278	1	2.158648	1.423060	-0.000284
1	2.075784	-1.682210	0.000037	1	2.081924	-1.672140	0.000059	1	2.082339	-1.672558	0.000060
1	-2.436597	1.533880	-0.000228	1	-2.443146	1.513343	-0.000038	1	-2.444857	1.513109	-0.000026
	M24 C	CBS-QB3			M24 C	BS-APNO			M2	24 G3	
6	1.915903	0.478725	0.000217	6	1.915226	0.471064	0.000076	6	1.914635	0.474571	0.000039
6	0.414878	0.674455	0.000358	6	0.419555	0.671708	0.000156	6	0.418330	0.674913	0.000162
6	-0.378043	-0.591705	0.000269	6	-0.375409	-0.601273	0.000129	6	-0.373105	-0.601190	0.000134

Table 12: Cartesian coordinates for minima (M17–M27) on the C_5H_7O potential energy surface.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Ζ	At. No.	Х	Y	Ζ
6	-1.767186	-0.646929	-0.000078	6	-1.768776	-0.649802	-0.000091	6	-1.767449	-0.651492	-0.000088
8	2.476453	-0.586450	-0.000296	8	2.466710	-0.573805	0.000046	8	2.466893	-0.576586	0.000050
1	0.176924	1.311729	-0.866748	1	0.186292	1.292948	-0.865903	1	0.181132	1.295649	-0.864853
1	0.194366	-1.511263	0.000490	1	0.181058	-1.519310	0.000310	1	0.185027	-1.517829	0.000319
6	-2.630816	0.428706	-0.000388	6	-2.625810	0.440815	-0.000312	6	-2.626632	0.438957	-0.000309
1	-2.276086	1.452817	-0.000376	1	-2.264426	1.453660	-0.000328	1	-2.267551	1.452077	-0.000322
1	-3.703344	0.283025	-0.000671	1	-3.691403	0.302435	-0.000474	1	-3.691958	0.301993	-0.000475
1	-2.209324	-1.640373	-0.000116	1	-2.215676	-1.630646	-0.000082	1	-2.213774	-1.632246	-0.000081
1	2.500314	1.424662	-0.000176	1	2.495372	1.403436	0.000042	1	2.496036	1.402968	0.000068
1	0.177109	1.311488	0.867699	1	0.186386	1.292845	0.866319	1	0.181274	1.295517	0.865317
	M25 C	CBS-QB3			M25 Cl	BS-APNO			M2	25 G3	
6	1.554961	-0.106496	-0.305356	6	1.520630	-0.093199	-0.354365	6	1.504298	-0.091369	-0.363666
6	0.584749	-0.871568	0.589720	6	0.570490	-0.835555	0.561717	6	0.570474	-0.859317	0.547743
6	-0.717329	-1.103779	-0.126930	6	-0.766515	-1.092466	-0.090720	6	-0.778335	-1.097497	-0.089087
6	-1.711245	-0.138668	-0.270081	6	-1.761380	-0.126942	-0.244165	6	-1.758017	-0.114106	-0.236513
8	1.884119	1.036644	-0.123586	8	2.058298	0.921323	-0.073895	8	2.048164	0.920341	-0.060898
1	0.448564	-0.290521	1.505364	1	0.473771	-0.265805	1.478409	1	0.488931	-0.312417	1.479767
1	-0.875329	-2.076258	-0.579746	1	-0.956911	-2.081237	-0.469473	1	-0.987732	-2.084579	-0.460803
6	-1.663693	1.160460	0.190719	6	-1.683440	1.198648	0.160841	6	-1.651127	1.212313	0.163288
1	-0.792392	1.560497	0.694379	1	-0.804491	1.605202	0.626722	1	-0.761034	1.604081	0.619206
1	-2.497248	1.833172	0.034515	1	-2.510118	1.866320	0.001878	1	-2.465015	1.896305	0.011078
1	-2.610086	-0.450413	-0.797206	1	-2.673628	-0.447370	-0.720911	1	-2.680537	-0.418812	-0.702744
1	1.927349	-0.676311	-1.184126	1	1.689492	-0.563569	-1.332031	1	1.657830	-0.530919	-1.354694
1	1.041532	-1.833007	0.847073	1	1.036799	-1.787043	0.806720	1	1.038495	-1.816528	0.764795
	M26 C	CBS-QB3			M26 Cl	BS-APNO			M2	26 G3	
6	-1.616681	0.007683	0.121307	6	-1.623473	0.031808	0.159440	6	-1.623094	0.027820	0.157885
6	-0.792690	1.097151	0.208097	6	-0.772057	1.089344	0.228276	6	-0.775851	1.091589	0.227530
6	0.579264	1.226623	-0.122275	6	0.602680	1.198163	-0.166291	6	0.599452	1.203088	-0.162608
6	1.588218	0.226847	-0.211644	6	1.622279	0.210994	-0.206369	6	1.618100	0.213091	-0.208322
8	-1.319315	-1.227307	-0.323796	8	-1.381375	-1.189936	-0.345734	8	-1.371425	-1.195172	-0.346572
1	-1.308023	2.012742	0.482567	1	-1.235724	2.004531	0.555825	1	-1.243508	2.006101	0.550534
1	0.911393	2.239485	-0.330844	1	0.919884	2.193994	-0.427862	1	0.916756	2.199038	-0.422747
6	1.610125	-1.014487	0.355830	6	1.623833	-1.035510	0.375820	6	1.621467	-1.033141	0.377437
1	2460879	-1 668935	0 213313	1	2488881	-1 668045	0 292288	1	2 482218	-1 670053	0.288479

Table 12: Cartesian coordinates for minima (M17–M27) on the C_5H_7O potential energy surface.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
1	0.841818	-1.358627	1.038438	1	0.804285	-1.400472	0.966363	1	0.809284	-1.392269	0.980699
1	-0.376466	-1.280765	-0.548418	1	-0.543078	-1.217235	-0.774090	1	-0.521073	-1.218573	-0.765202
1	2.479410	0.525832	-0.760641	1	2.531750	0.515842	-0.699146	1	2.523759	0.513896	-0.709685
1	-2.663906	0.085824	0.388063	1	-2.634574	0.122076	0.507233	1	-2.636478	0.108553	0.498966
	M27 C	CBS-QB3			M27 C	BS-APNO			M2	27 G3	
6	0.782089	0.002682	0.443721	6	0.784309	-0.008014	0.434208	6	0.784970	-0.008684	0.435124
6	-0.120199	-1.186992	0.236486	6	-0.130027	-1.190319	0.213637	6	-0.130378	-1.191075	0.215695
6	-1.388179	-0.777402	-0.150607	6	-1.403052	-0.765980	-0.146259	6	-1.403851	-0.766519	-0.146683
6	-1.475193	0.607567	-0.203118	6	-1.469100	0.623876	-0.188319	6	-1.469027	0.624396	-0.190107
8	1.950993	-0.030747	-0.385432	8	1.938320	-0.045563	-0.367616	8	1.938565	-0.046172	-0.369547
1	0.218592	-2.207260	0.357752	1	0.195051	-2.208165	0.322010	1	0.194726	-2.208585	0.324885
1	-2.207144	-1.449235	-0.379672	1	-2.227799	-1.421063	-0.360803	1	-2.228114	-1.421524	-0.362298
6	-0.153953	1.223036	0.169901	6	-0.127512	1.218966	0.162064	6	-0.127949	1.219835	0.164293
1	-0.241922	1.876703	1.045688	1	-0.192099	1.872351	1.028016	1	-0.192814	1.870998	1.031705
1	0.271642	1.839578	-0.630421	1	0.284902	1.814134	-0.648870	1	0.286390	1.818501	-0.642707
1	1.656003	-0.238514	-1.279399	1	1.684591	-0.174457	-1.264999	1	1.680187	-0.171220	-1.273251
1	-2.358169	1.173736	-0.468515	1	-2.339906	1.201029	-0.436054	1	-2.337911	1.203171	-0.439846
1	1.185667	0.037623	1.459724	1	1.160998	0.009505	1.449633	1	1.166427	0.010313	1.447956

Table 12: Cartesian coordinates for minima (M17–M27) on the C₅H₇O potential energy surface.

At. No.	X	Y	Z	At. No.	X	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
	M28 C	CBS-QB3			M28 Cl	BS-APNO			M2	28 G3	
6	-0.676700	0.052177	-0.231132	6	-0.672554	0.045880	-0.281354	6	-0.673156	0.047103	-0.282951
6	0.243995	1.226897	-0.040132	6	0.242515	1.227290	-0.051000	6	0.244811	1.228336	-0.054865
6	1.368914	-0.783457	0.053404	6	1.349728	-0.776044	0.062854	6	1.350172	-0.778038	0.064893
8	0.043188	-1.130443	-0.064627	8	0.045118	-1.118901	-0.080989	8	0.044024	-1.120334	-0.086055
1	0.194864	1.945397	-0.871091	1	0.213140	1.933094	-0.878352	1	0.221042	1.929644	-0.886418
6	-2.120132	-0.023611	0.099908	6	-2.104398	-0.024237	0.125608	6	-2.104799	-0.023320	0.129540
1	-2.561227	-0.940599	-0.298738	1	-2.575729	-0.908049	-0.290029	1	-2.577420	-0.907976	-0.282048
1	-2.301318	-0.016851	1.188691	1	-2.205273	-0.068678	1.211588	1	-2.206218	-0.064273	1.214567
1	-2.657473	0.827868	-0.325474	1	-2.640147	0.850973	-0.227019	1	-2.643618	0.849066	-0.224233
6	1.581247	0.531113	0.065402	6	1.570175	0.521102	0.084722	6	1.570454	0.520651	0.089777
1	2.540336	1.017560	0.153099	1	2.525552	0.992234	0.194812	1	2.523894	0.995093	0.203289
1	2.051083	-1.617531	0.115548	1	2.032481	-1.597388	0.141589	1	2.028730	-1.602133	0.145311
1	0.004287	1.808989	0.870281	1	-0.023760	1.785078	0.850344	1	-0.023495	1.794868	0.839612
	M29 C	CBS-QB3			M29 Cl	BS-APNO			M2	29 G3	
6	0.793325	0.181622	-0.031208	6	0.802679	0.187293	-0.052225	6	0.801326	0.184902	-0.050540
6	-0.208657	-0.966492	-0.076408	6	-0.211858	-0.941552	-0.116216	6	-0.211410	-0.946786	-0.110115
6	-1.667507	-0.599221	0.019711	6	-1.673054	-0.589248	-0.013616	6	-1.672959	-0.591067	-0.017931
6	-2.182913	0.602353	0.066487	6	-2.209979	0.606656	0.174977	6	-2.206811	0.609788	0.176357
8	0.466914	1.342885	-0.072598	8	0.515237	1.331090	-0.161806	8	0.509411	1.333132	-0.161741
1	-0.024850	-1.516517	-1.011291	1	-0.032280	-1.461364	-1.058277	1	-0.027947	-1.478207	-1.044629
1	-2.341135	-1.464768	0.047598	1	-2.340327	-1.439475	-0.098480	1	-2.339409	-1.439717	-0.112890
1	0.053599	-1.683394	0.713389	1	0.040408	-1.668135	0.654676	1	0.036694	-1.666369	0.668658
6	2.252895	-0.231025	0.077685	6	2.238099	-0.251620	0.153314	6	2.240133	-0.247671	0.150198
1	2.490904	-1.055125	-0.600311	1	2.486178	-1.099565	-0.477644	1	2.502784	-1.066291	-0.512588
1	2.890996	0.625883	-0.133750	1	2.903437	0.574500	-0.056109	1	2.898924	0.591519	-0.024001
1	2.454653	-0.580340	1.096061	1	2.370672	-0.562399	1.186698	1	2.375181	-0.599184	1.169562
1	-3.182342	1.007758	0.131481	1	-3.225302	0.938554	0.266177	1	-3.223188	0.938197	0.261998
	M30 C	CBS-QB3			M30 Cl	BS-APNO			Ma	30 G3	
6	-1.292050	1.207644	0.381865	6	-1.395692	1.156076	0.322749	6	-1.391202	1.161340	0.314752
6	-0.875782	-0.194859	-0.017768	6	-0.856020	-0.214350	-0.021467	6	-0.854749	-0.214156	-0.016809
6	0.345428	-0.784004	0.690204	6	0.350145	-0.700746	0.767974	6	0.351015	-0.697456	0.775204
6	1.670914	-0.205655	0.234506	6	1.663277	-0.110932	0.301102	6	1.661855	-0.100469	0.308001
6	1.868858	0.645439	-0.737132	6	1.854201	0.578662	-0.812474	6	1.851243	0.559691	-0.828606

Table 13: Cartesian coordinates for minima (M28–M35) on the C_5H_7O potential energy surface.

At. No.	X	Y	Ζ	At. No.	X	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
1	2.698546	1.144884	-1.212852	1	2.704248	1.033097	-1.279586	1	2.701935	1.013273	-1.294368
1	2.541323	-0.578131	0.788453	1	2.515192	-0.309021	0.939873	1	2.507562	-0.265489	0.962663
1	0.251784	-0.630803	1.772999	1	0.213931	-0.457971	1.819813	1	0.212009	-0.456988	1.826836
1	0.340966	-1.862683	0.509106	1	0.388373	-1.781264	0.679891	1	0.392016	-1.777913	0.688460
8	-1.492080	-0.840688	-0.831949	8	-1.363865	-0.898507	-0.846568	8	-1.366638	-0.905831	-0.840979
1	-2.046609	1.576560	-0.311472	1	-2.085216	1.479529	-0.444855	1	-2.093810	1.471901	-0.446033
1	-0.435750	1.884867	0.407936	1	-0.596222	1.878742	0.439074	1	-0.591516	1.887519	0.402400
1	-1.717825	1.179421	1.391376	1	-1.924859	1.092682	1.271032	1	-1.904068	1.120636	1.272631
	M31 C	CBS-QB3			M31 C	BS-APNO			M3	31 G3	
6	-0.810081	0.155222	0.195651	6	-0.805094	0.157059	0.193809	6	-0.805504	0.157735	0.193669
6	0.403130	-0.342620	0.976807	6	0.399344	-0.363722	0.960900	6	0.396488	-0.374815	0.957032
6	1.675907	-0.353614	0.124456	6	1.659238	-0.395053	0.105355	6	1.644551	-0.430009	0.083297
6	1.909090	0.388260	-0.926020	6	1.928053	0.428732	-0.897626	6	1.950424	0.448787	-0.866840
8	-1.133642	1.320148	0.216826	8	-1.116592	1.301507	0.242665	8	-1.103875	1.311322	0.241274
1	0.551506	0.316959	1.836672	1	0.556138	0.279517	1.820201	1	0.568893	0.273501	1.809125
1	2.448878	-1.041823	0.468957	1	2.396243	-1.134673	0.377602	1	2.332962	-1.234318	0.286910
1	0.226564	-1.356573	1.350010	1	0.199196	-1.368002	1.322331	1	0.185273	-1.371669	1.330707
6	-1.564875	-0.879963	-0.615305	6	-1.573564	-0.848542	-0.632853	6	-1.586191	-0.837651	-0.634989
1	-2.092852	-1.559303	0.062913	1	-2.110801	-1.519478	0.033154	1	-2.110685	-1.525875	0.022635
1	-2.288633	-0.387241	-1.263058	1	-2.281111	-0.336159	-1.270011	1	-2.305807	-0.317569	-1.251742
1	-0.875887	-1.487004	-1.208798	1	-0.898329	-1.451604	-1.231026	1	-0.920237	-1.425839	-1.257700
1	1.420534	1.150086	-1.514834	1	1.423538	1.247491	-1.371077	1	1.481989	1.326912	-1.263145
	M32 C	CBS-QB3			M32 C	BS-APNO			M3	32 G3	
6	1.352368	1.100790	-0.604133	6	1.448612	1.044383	-0.597807	6	1.423157	1.062071	-0.595734
6	0.933066	-0.143772	-0.023383	6	0.926086	-0.152113	-0.013265	6	0.927255	-0.147792	-0.019506
6	-0.269986	-0.855978	-0.634848	6	-0.272713	-0.832072	-0.647372	6	-0.272119	-0.839492	-0.640871
6	-1.605347	-0.244959	-0.278814	6	-1.609704	-0.227172	-0.285529	6	-1.610531	-0.236104	-0.278468
6	-1.832587	0.659850	0.668959	6	-1.843502	0.686879	0.634321	6	-1.844398	0.682634	0.638167
1	-2.834378	1.026054	0.861324	1	-2.843653	1.036713	0.819999	1	-2.843559	1.032507	0.826203
1	-2.445608	-0.617742	-0.860721	1	-2.442620	-0.621048	-0.847135	1	-2.442590	-0.634499	-0.836729
1	-0.168667	-0.871424	-1.727295	1	-0.167956	-0.816002	-1.729896	1	-0.171423	-0.835383	-1.723776
1	-0.240060	-1.897482	-0.299086	1	-0.259947	-1.873848	-0.342672	1	-0.252109	-1.878437	-0.327508
8	1.527886	-0.618676	0.947788	8	1.447459	-0.620122	0.990868	8	1.474985	-0.622325	0.975312
1	2.207365	1.607755	-0.174467	1	2.296636	1.516307	-0.137491	1	2.271885	1.541549	-0.146525

Table 13: Cartesian coordinates for minima (M28–M35) on the C₅H₇O potential energy surface.

At No	v	v	7	At No	v	v	7	At No	v	V	7
At. NO.	Λ	<u> </u>	L	At. 100.	Λ	<u> </u>	L	AL INO.	Λ	I	
1	-1.041019	1.058053	1.294758	1	-1.063706	1.113324	1.241152	1	-1.066134	1.115365	1.241297
1	0.834195	1.548605	-1.443499	1	1.008900	1.486104	-1.472988	1	0.963875	1.509602	-1.456989
_	M33 C	CBS-QB3			M33 C	BS-APNO			Ma	33 G3	
6	-1.695044	1.095574	-0.222748	6	-1.790063	1.022731	-0.218694	6	-1.781662	1.028163	-0.223280
6	-1.042954	-0.154402	0.058659	6	-1.035861	-0.160669	0.068177	6	-1.035451	-0.156621	0.069148
6	0.282368	-0.135251	0.832278	6	0.280852	-0.050247	0.823719	6	0.280945	-0.055353	0.826853
6	1.402217	0.488347	0.039774	6	1.389986	0.500640	-0.037997	6	1.392868	0.501349	-0.028889
6	2.459921	-0.173346	-0.418931	6	2.479253	-0.161872	-0.367801	6	2.475610	-0.165191	-0.375346
1	3.234166	0.324323	-0.991620	1	3.238491	0.282799	-0.986979	1	3.237594	0.281349	-0.988814
1	1.312762	1.552704	-0.169579	1	1.259820	1.509185	-0.400630	1	1.269146	1.516902	-0.371367
1	0.135444	0.423815	1.765158	1	0.142106	0.596814	1.687497	1	0.142729	0.580607	1.698914
1	0.518648	-1.169591	1.085054	1	0.537775	-1.039630	1.178958	1	0.535933	-1.048453	1.173118
8	-1.538845	-1.218181	-0.314829	8	-1.450203	-1.250904	-0.298057	8	-1.458585	-1.250727	-0.297839
1	-2.622434	1.077709	-0.781296	1	-2.706288	0.929594	-0.771217	1	-2.696596	0.941796	-0.777497
1	2.590169	-1.235223	-0.235781	1	2.653035	-1.170751	-0.033146	1	2.643316	-1.180870	-0.061037
1	-1.297048	2.046190	0.112501	1	-1.468325	1.995722	0.105553	1	-1.457305	2.000400	0.098480
	M34 C	CBS-QB3			M34 C	BS-APNO			Ma	34 G3	
6	-0.066209	-1.217053	0.134804	6	-0.061520	-1.208993	0.160699	6	-0.063144	-1.211255	0.151034
6	0.893485	-0.029088	0.009955	6	0.887838	-0.027660	0.011171	6	0.886833	-0.028223	0.009827
6	0.078374	1.272755	0.038611	6	0.086057	1.269970	0.033708	6	0.089086	1.272068	0.034238
6	-1.342590	0.828273	0.039800	6	-1.342920	0.820737	0.087454	6	-1.341208	0.825222	0.079961
6	-1.478641	-0.658274	-0.127674	6	-1.460704	-0.662462	-0.161082	6	-1.466999	-0.661074	-0.150126
1	-1.809732	-0.912015	-1.147420	1	-1.715268	-0.857229	-1.204115	1	-1.746320	-0.869855	-1.183109
1	-2.187654	1.503827	0.048989	1	-2.177872	1.494207	0.025967	1	-2.173830	1.500322	0.011752
1	0.368448	1.862389	0.920871	1	0.390169	1.871049	0.887908	1	0.389856	1.867676	0.893627
1	0.361896	1.891797	-0.826452	1	0.327997	1.850377	-0.856097	1	0.336600	1.859457	-0.848899
8	2.090444	-0.102562	-0.091731	8	2.062651	-0.102111	-0.102754	8	2.067564	-0.105160	-0.098256
1	0.242707	-2.021691	-0.534191	1	0.259820	-2.035388	-0.460122	1	0.250412	-2.026255	-0.488731
1	-2.233925	-1.085749	0.540994	1	-2.238333	-1.122623	0.439513	1	-2.232666	-1.111235	0.473141
1	0.028192	-1.597743	1.158081	1	-0.000221	-1.533056	1.197275	1	0.008026	-1.559261	1.178668
	M35 C	CBS-QB3			M35 C	BS-APNO			M	35 G3	
6	-0.806868	0.947842	0.132370	6	-0.805240	0.947872	0.131785	6	-0.804968	0.955416	0.126254
6	-1.481918	-0.322617	-0.372100	6	-1.477421	-0.327053	-0.355346	6	-1.461634	-0.329111	-0.357092
6	2.220197	-0.949061	-0.161707	6	2.208194	-0.943518	-0.161971	6	2.189066	-0.953117	-0.163535

Table 13: Cartesian coordinates for minima (M28–M35) on the C_5H_7O potential energy surface.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
6	1.525355	0.009183	0.442963	6	1.520059	0.004957	0.440187	6	1.513739	0.003418	0.442446
6	0.677892	1.035806	-0.257039	6	0.673074	1.034706	-0.258273	6	0.677072	1.044111	-0.253155
1	0.774365	0.924839	-1.340711	1	0.771130	0.928252	-1.333620	1	0.781597	0.945938	-1.328495
1	1.545659	0.073151	1.530264	1	1.543641	0.066051	1.518552	1	1.537167	0.062093	1.520132
1	2.809765	-1.664247	0.400496	1	2.793372	-1.652779	0.396539	1	2.764914	-1.673285	0.389582
1	2.223915	-1.053734	-1.242351	1	2.213127	-1.047489	-1.234307	1	2.193997	-1.054587	-1.235413
8	-2.359293	-0.928922	0.132412	8	-2.344201	-0.924797	0.124441	8	-2.334691	-0.929328	0.126435
1	-1.367031	1.769439	-0.330052	1	-1.362990	1.759820	-0.328190	1	-1.360973	1.764637	-0.340078
1	1.034519	2.040426	-0.001245	1	1.031410	2.028207	0.000145	1	1.035442	2.035000	0.013802
1	-0.954799	1.014584	1.217377	1	-0.948069	1.014541	1.207063	1	-0.954272	1.030527	1.199484

Table 13: Cartesian coordinates for minima (M28–M35) on the C_5H_7O potential energy surface.

Page 161 of 200

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
	M36 C	CBS-QB3			M36 C	BS-APNO			M3	6 G3	
6	-0.674190	0.117638	0.003781	6	-0.661212	0.113469	0.002717	6	-0.661849	0.114872	0.003086
6	0.177664	1.145552	0.000080	6	0.169525	1.137434	-0.000360	6	0.172092	1.137719	0.001910
6	1.332021	-0.854292	-0.082199	6	1.322926	-0.857526	-0.100037	6	1.323733	-0.859719	-0.100359
8	-0.025138	-1.108014	0.008102	8	-0.025574	-1.094102	0.009540	8	-0.026640	-1.095452	0.007370
1	-0.099533	2.188542	-0.001379	1	-0.117661	2.169351	-0.001578	1	-0.109619	2.171314	0.000875
6	-2.158603	0.039766	0.002063	6	-2.146992	0.038057	0.003194	6	-2.149068	0.039495	0.003385
1	-2.515830	-0.489842	-0.886231	1	-2.493032	-0.496575	-0.875888	1	-2.498611	-0.491999	-0.875714
1	-2.516441	-0.511172	0.877044	1	-2.491987	-0.504189	0.878083	1	-2.497612	-0.503230	0.876082
1	-2.594071	1.039271	0.014196	1	-2.579338	1.030509	0.008169	1	-2.582102	1.031433	0.010465
6	1.593536	0.618848	0.009554	6	1.588618	0.621824	0.012408	6	1.590257	0.620766	0.010732
1	2.197935	0.997821	-0.828802	1	2.188125	0.992912	-0.816685	1	2.187143	0.991904	-0.820244
1	2.143126	0.904692	0.924052	1	2.120295	0.882329	0.929222	1	2.127080	0.882928	0.923485
1	1.963354	-1.670275	0.236615	1	1.940998	-1.641071	0.294820	1	1.935846	-1.637533	0.313569
	M37 C	CBS-QB3			M37 C	BS-APNO			M3	87 G3	
6	-1.851375	-0.284318	-0.000049	6	-1.877695	-0.248837	0.000032	6	-1.875921	-0.252480	0.000024
6	-1.113567	1.033585	0.000178	6	-1.085801	1.034409	0.000218	6	-1.091470	1.036277	0.000220
6	0.391969	0.990251	-0.000182	6	0.417738	0.954465	-0.000191	6	0.412517	0.957931	-0.000193
6	1.154809	-0.074979	0.000222	6	1.167578	-0.133334	0.000292	6	1.163132	-0.133921	0.000299
8	-1.355972	-1.379591	-0.000168	8	-1.446825	-1.347338	-0.000379	8	-1.433120	-1.352699	-0.000385
1	-1.487795	1.601942	-0.865497	1	-1.430963	1.606365	-0.862450	1	-1.437357	1.609079	-0.861365
1	0.870035	1.979411	-0.000820	1	0.915957	1.918794	-0.000860	1	0.907128	1.923023	-0.000870
6	2.584507	-0.409989	0.000030	6	2.624049	-0.409937	0.000081	6	2.621921	-0.405414	0.000087
1	2.852178	-1.002427	-0.880663	1	2.906976	-0.984828	-0.876265	1	2.909386	-0.979486	-0.875096
1	3.209792	0.497135	-0.000714	1	3.195366	0.517748	-0.000664	1	3.193389	0.521640	-0.000663
1	2.852680	-1.001330	0.881306	1	2.907449	-0.983709	0.877009	1	2.909857	-0.978358	0.875855
1	-2.959762	-0.166682	0.000131	1	-2.964848	-0.082133	0.000279	1	-2.961583	-0.097234	0.000287
1	-1.487408	1.601376	0.866404	1	-1.430548	1.605862	0.863393	1	-1.436940	1.608567	0.862319
	M38 C	CBS-QB3			M38 C	BS-APNO			M3	88 G3	
6	-2.436648	-0.805208	0.037308	6	-2.412585	-0.827684	0.026778	6	-2.395357	-0.839975	0.031190
6	-1.695077	0.399879	-0.352641	6	-1.717653	0.427166	-0.349523	6	-1.718911	0.424692	-0.350147
6	-0.550375	1.014421	-0.183331	6	-0.555637	1.026772	-0.151415	6	-0.559516	1.037665	-0.152663
6	0.603978	0.497337	0.670152	6	0.593678	0.465208	0.663502	6	0.597552	0.488076	0.660446
6	1.790050	0.111679	-0.192606	6	1.772927	0.105959	-0.211182	6	1.762818	0.101162	-0.221603

Table 14: Cartesian coordinates for minima (M36–M40) on the C₅H₇O potential energy surface.

Table 14: Cartesian coordinates for minima (M36–M40) on the C_5H_7O potential energy surface.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Ζ
8	2.328454	-0.964394	-0.167779	8	2.346661	-0.925979	-0.162544	8	2.335577	-0.936838	-0.151953
1	-1.848635	-1.442195	0.715306	1	-1.779153	-1.466324	0.638599	1	-1.756695	-1.467521	0.648338
1	-2.696183	-1.407507	-0.838876	1	-2.695802	-1.385966	-0.859849	1	-2.668361	-1.409196	-0.851516
1	-3.370622	-0.547795	0.546743	1	-3.317370	-0.610516	0.585892	1	-3.305732	-0.637201	0.586308
1	-0.374899	1.965772	-0.686607	1	-0.393417	1.992359	-0.607585	1	-0.405136	2.003373	-0.609599
1	0.319393	-0.368805	1.268694	1	0.309957	-0.413824	1.226299	1	0.315440	-0.377094	1.245159
1	0.930404	1.297534	1.348652	1	0.933369	1.223237	1.368958	1	0.948595	1.256751	1.348284
1	2.141336	0.909492	-0.884970	1	2.084749	0.884338	-0.920924	1	2.067749	0.855866	-0.954696
	M39 C	CBS-QB3			M39 C	BS-APNO			M3	39 G3	
6	2.739535	-0.586586	0.041984	6	2.751824	-0.562355	0.037817	6	2.755384	-0.561842	0.038390
6	1.333094	-0.166808	0.027147	6	1.312790	-0.207215	0.020854	6	1.314171	-0.210130	0.028106
6	0.600278	0.919543	0.016667	6	0.592829	0.901117	0.019916	6	0.594659	0.903142	0.014046
6	-0.910936	0.968471	0.016185	6	-0.916302	0.966576	0.023152	6	-0.915032	0.965576	0.026094
6	-1.557800	-0.359972	-0.319892	6	-1.582543	-0.338437	-0.339724	6	-1.578096	-0.341653	-0.337254
8	-2.504771	-0.820682	0.262365	8	-2.461652	-0.828663	0.279758	8	-2.475350	-0.823497	0.273729
1	2.986419	-1.191984	-0.835662	1	3.020200	-1.141350	-0.840167	1	3.019893	-1.154790	-0.831247
1	2.966317	-1.185969	0.929137	1	2.992775	-1.154935	0.914577	1	3.007775	-1.140248	0.921184
1	3.409080	0.287565	0.046705	1	3.365641	0.336976	0.054403	1	3.370480	0.336153	0.035173
1	1.104744	1.894294	0.017286	1	1.108996	1.854255	0.039834	1	1.108440	1.856743	0.014382
1	-1.247356	1.690602	-0.742650	1	-1.241026	1.703648	-0.711566	1	-1.246636	1.706735	-0.700954
1	-1.307185	1.314058	0.975915	1	-1.294067	1.286363	0.988643	1	-1.290408	1.280300	0.994113
1	-1.098875	-0.890994	-1.182200	1	-1.210894	-0.813773	-1.255873	1	-1.193257	-0.827473	-1.238775
	M40 C	CBS-QB3			M40 C	BS-APNO			M4	40 G3	
6	2.735241	-0.482842	-0.026171	6	2.731941	-0.480271	-0.024383	6	2.732399	-0.482288	-0.027264
6	1.489523	0.319282	-0.257759	6	1.482683	0.320686	-0.254311	6	1.485506	0.325379	-0.249836
6	0.342894	0.162382	0.401021	6	0.343503	0.149026	0.388879	6	0.341317	0.144535	0.387059
6	-0.896102	0.968887	0.141707	6	-0.893856	0.964973	0.140293	6	-0.893909	0.965673	0.146390
6	-2.120489	0.127997	-0.249899	6	-2.109804	0.121816	-0.233642	6	-2.105114	0.124716	-0.252776
8	-2.293257	-1.027198	-0.084159	8	-2.295344	-1.010962	-0.088567	8	-2.300459	-1.010480	-0.082291
1	3.571245	0.162435	0.265435	1	3.552072	0.164608	0.281018	1	3.554003	0.153091	0.292625
1	3.043115	-1.001387	-0.940892	1	3.039871	-0.981053	-0.938661	1	3.045345	-0.970515	-0.946250
1	2.592052	-1.230550	0.756965	1	2.585116	-1.232229	0.742723	1	2.581280	-1.246220	0.726787
1	0.257600	-0.609880	1.161850	1	0.257482	-0.623377	1.136027	1	0.249664	-0.638889	1.120778
1	-0.744127	1.724574	-0.632363	1	-0.743746	1.704196	-0.637085	1	-0.736188	1.721274	-0.613453

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	
1	-1.229945	1.510877	1.037486	1	-1.201276	1.507137	1.033043	1	-1.206973	1.489866	1.047841	
1	1.549709	1.087288	-1.028605	1	1.546433	1.091034	-1.009539	1	1.555347	1.107143	-0.991431	

Table 14: Cartesian coordinates for minima (M36–M40) on the C_5H_7O potential energy surface.

Page 165 of 200

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
	M41 C	CBS-QB3			M41 C	BS-APNO			M4	1 G3	
6	0.682677	0.094983	-0.000004	6	0.676720	0.096931	0.000006	6	0.677601	0.098476	0.000006
6	-0.157072	1.196196	-0.000002	6	-0.152641	1.199821	0.000004	6	-0.154179	1.201415	0.000004
6	-1.443116	-0.737802	-0.000002	6	-1.426902	-0.747369	0.000008	6	-1.427776	-0.748090	0.000005
8	-0.032333	-1.074574	0.000001	8	-0.042489	-1.059379	-0.000010	8	-0.042866	-1.060203	-0.000006
1	0.181820	2.222117	0.000002	1	0.183458	2.217766	0.000013	1	0.179336	2.220354	0.000010
6	2.158931	-0.037727	0.000002	6	2.155438	-0.045409	-0.000001	6	2.157492	-0.045680	-0.000001
1	2.506001	-0.590661	0.880906	1	2.487717	-0.595344	0.876792	1	2.492337	-0.594827	0.875725
1	2.506007	-0.590668	-0.880896	1	2.487712	-0.595306	-0.876819	1	2.492332	-0.594792	-0.875752
1	2.631507	0.945507	-0.000001	1	2.630842	0.928454	0.000019	1	2.634505	0.927132	0.000017
6	-1.473235	0.759822	0.000003	6	-1.470826	0.755616	-0.000012	6	-1.472005	0.755427	-0.000010
1	-2.371871	1.356745	0.000001	1	-2.366522	1.343388	-0.000017	1	-2.368649	1.341804	-0.000011
1	-1.901949	-1.199642	-0.886217	1	-1.887021	-1.190743	-0.879893	1	-1.886862	-1.193679	-0.878411
1	-1.901958	-1.199637	0.886219	1	-1.887007	-1.190718	0.879941	1	-1.886858	-1.193661	0.878441
	M42 C	CBS-QB3			M42 C	BS-APNO			M4	2 G3	
6	-0.835461	0.154691	-0.000010	6	-0.845085	0.158474	-0.000010	6	-0.844527	0.154909	-0.000010
6	0.184554	-0.899372	0.000015	6	0.180830	-0.891299	0.000026	6	0.179502	-0.892572	0.000028
6	1.575997	-0.718007	0.000020	6	1.575605	-0.714140	0.000028	6	1.575472	-0.715355	0.000030
6	2.256108	0.475178	0.000000	6	2.278439	0.475921	-0.000005	6	2.280121	0.474928	-0.000006
8	-0.566073	1.349376	-0.000034	8	-0.579148	1.331216	-0.000047	8	-0.576704	1.334212	-0.000049
1	-0.182495	-1.921333	0.000033	1	-0.182188	-1.905160	0.000056	1	-0.183299	-1.906231	0.000060
1	2.168372	-1.630571	0.000041	1	2.153631	-1.624512	0.000060	1	2.152877	-1.625491	0.000063
6	-2.282194	-0.316758	0.000004	6	-2.284276	-0.312432	0.000004	6	-2.286311	-0.310780	0.000004
1	-2.942601	0.549012	-0.000131	1	-2.943312	0.544573	-0.000092	1	-2.942431	0.548355	-0.000085
1	-2.487837	-0.933238	-0.880936	1	-2.482875	-0.922869	-0.876539	1	-2.491778	-0.919814	-0.875545
1	-2.487882	-0.932982	0.881115	1	-2.482904	-0.922689	0.876667	1	-2.491804	-0.919647	0.875665
1	3.339657	0.482559	0.000006	1	3.353474	0.456128	0.000001	1	3.354601	0.456396	0.000000
1	1.727345	1.417157	-0.000022	1	1.784272	1.425659	-0.000038	1	1.789925	1.425953	-0.000040
	M43 C	CBS-QB3			M43 C	BS-APNO			M4	3 G3	
6	-1.000869	-0.101949	0.000020	6	-0.999225	-0.103169	-0.000583	6	0.995645	-0.102475	-0.000049
6	0.182929	-0.967796	-0.000018	6	0.181956	-0.967049	-0.000186	6	-0.180229	-0.968720	0.000111
6	1.556548	-0.673600	-0.000034	6	1.558812	-0.669461	0.000036	6	-1.558423	-0.671712	0.000128
6	2.205609	0.536259	-0.000081	6	2.211079	0.548616	-0.000016	6	-2.211144	0.547361	-0.000039
8	-2.105816	-0.633739	-0.000097	8	-2.083125	-0.641932	0.000363	8	2.087428	-0.640719	-0.000136

Table 15: Cartesian coordinates for minima (M41–M48) on the C_5H_7O potential energy surface.

Table 15: Cartesian coordinates for minima (M41–M48) on the C_5H_7O potential energy surface.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
1	-0.095409	-2.016724	-0.000052	1	-0.086387	-2.008778	-0.000023	1	0.088571	-2.009765	0.000191
1	2.198396	-1.552086	-0.000020	1	2.195354	-1.539524	0.000292	1	-2.194969	-1.541059	0.000250
6	-0.886349	1.411324	0.000183	6	-0.911257	1.405682	0.000099	6	0.907941	1.406937	0.000000
1	-1.891679	1.830039	0.000377	1	-1.916188	1.804394	0.000709	1	1.912522	1.806309	-0.000406
1	-0.347113	1.764859	0.883750	1	-0.384332	1.762772	0.879002	1	0.382557	1.768175	-0.877788
1	-0.347407	1.765041	-0.883494	1	-0.385110	1.763526	-0.878955	1	0.383284	1.768195	0.878207
1	3.288158	0.565765	-0.000089	1	3.285546	0.571278	0.000198	1	-3.284987	0.572599	-0.000030
1	1.694375	1.487586	-0.000117	1	1.707930	1.494068	-0.000224	1	-1.709141	1.492953	-0.000244
	M44 C	CBS-QB3			M44 C	BS-APNO			M4	44 G3	
6	1.037867	-0.038514	-0.017438	6	1.031429	-0.024184	-0.015920	6	1.029232	-0.020861	-0.015696
6	-0.114786	-0.944901	-0.288167	6	-0.100002	-0.952544	-0.208144	6	-0.094867	-0.956742	-0.190999
6	-1.424944	-0.707031	-0.151801	6	-1.422247	-0.728968	-0.120096	6	-1.422720	-0.737376	-0.111312
6	-2.118094	0.540017	0.312960	6	-2.151734	0.537597	0.238945	6	-2.156264	0.535115	0.221425
8	2.102002	-0.525392	0.394149	8	2.130696	-0.519392	0.295982	8	2.144087	-0.513858	0.272259
1	0.205395	-1.946846	-0.558106	1	0.227332	-1.958579	-0.407746	1	0.237188	-1.964360	-0.370978
1	-2.092581	-1.537238	-0.374040	1	-2.057060	-1.579464	-0.310251	1	-2.053601	-1.592708	-0.287285
6	0.966358	1.368964	-0.298311	6	0.961795	1.382464	-0.225024	6	0.950930	1.386896	-0.207666
1	1.830222	1.974467	-0.053170	1	1.846536	1.961213	-0.036486	1	1.835136	1.968671	-0.030718
1	0.129828	1.822973	-0.812808	1	0.093138	1.869672	-0.620670	1	0.075046	1.877064	-0.581387
1	-1.439785	1.272840	0.749575	1	-1.551720	1.210356	0.837525	1	-1.578206	1.194315	0.856007
1	-2.871073	0.286940	1.065815	1	-3.045116	0.295442	0.804922	1	-3.079653	0.302256	0.740265
1	-2.656416	1.018779	-0.513925	1	-2.474130	1.070303	-0.653725	1	-2.426472	1.083437	-0.678493
	M45 C	CBS-QB3			M45 C	BS-APNO			M4	45 G3	
6	-0.958206	-0.122294	0.000001	6	-0.972100	-0.106348	-0.000017	6	-0.973307	-0.102042	0.000089
6	0.078605	0.943533	0.000107	6	0.075689	0.932149	0.000090	6	0.073314	0.933827	0.000119
6	1.410558	0.781162	0.000058	6	1.410595	0.772834	0.000067	6	1.411909	0.774186	0.000054
6	2.197586	-0.490923	-0.000093	6	2.224832	-0.488546	-0.000073	6	2.226456	-0.488071	-0.000075
8	-0.704861	-1.337534	0.000055	8	-0.712674	-1.319383	0.000027	8	-0.710419	-1.321584	-0.000039
1	-0.304498	1.960063	0.000210	1	-0.294931	1.943927	0.000188	1	-0.296603	1.945559	0.000206
1	2.006970	1.692565	0.000141	1	1.990358	1.683303	0.000168	1	1.992366	1.683312	0.000125
6	-2.331347	0.311918	-0.000107	6	-2.342681	0.297081	-0.000084	6	-2.344599	0.294293	-0.000087
1	-3.105647	-0.444518	-0.000178	1	-3.100501	-0.463260	-0.000110	1	-3.100786	-0.466826	-0.000217
1	-2.612110	1.358375	-0.000114	1	-2.634838	1.330948	-0.000105	1	-2.643289	1.325687	-0.000116
1	1.558737	-1.368756	-0.000476	1	1.617291	-1.377665	-0.000493	1	1.618909	-1.377245	-0.000428

At. No.	X	Y	Z	At. No.	X	Y	Z	At. No.	X	Y	Z	
1	2.855885	-0.519163	0.876331	1	2.872745	-0.502861	0.873650	1	2.874855	-0.505711	0.872748	
1	2.856380	-0.518669	-0.876154	1	2.873254	-0.502340	-0.873422	1	2.875261	-0.505264	-0.872602	
	M46 C	CBS-QB3			M46 C	BS-APNO			M 4	46 G3		
6	-1.131262	0.171783	-0.098688	6	-1.117784	0.187228	-0.071427	6	-1.120799	0.182333	-0.067976	
6	-0.040747	-0.753090	-0.570319	6	-0.060492	-0.710739	-0.572832	6	-0.059320	-0.707249	-0.576486	
6	1.358160	-0.348768	-0.550414	6	1.375998	-0.355670	-0.549953	6	1.375937	-0.340495	-0.561916	
6	1.923362	0.599783	0.453961	6	1.952631	0.610083	0.442437	6	1.956164	0.606755	0.447026	
8	-1.615811	1.258691	-0.208057	8	-1.684862	1.207086	-0.192966	8	-1.702534	1.202134	-0.184633	
1	-0.323718	-1.436103	-1.366410	1	-0.360507	-1.336711	-1.397830	1	-0.356805	-1.331633	-1.404289	
1	1.943505	-0.571655	-1.435217	1	1.938506	-0.573452	-1.440540	1	1.926223	-0.522466	-1.467552	
6	-0.919046	-0.995631	0.747916	6	-0.888687	-1.004659	0.735852	6	-0.874434	-1.010586	0.733040	
1	-1.591189	-1.842921	0.667184	1	-1.587755	-1.821542	0.681211	1	-1.568722	-1.832604	0.685510	
1	-0.477618	-0.851627	1.730417	1	-0.402448	-0.882716	1.689127	1	-0.383129	-0.890489	1.684248	
1	1.646224	1.643722	0.237110	1	1.620774	1.630378	0.247152	1	1.601340	1.625863	0.293860	
1	3.014551	0.553711	0.466180	1	3.035719	0.601777	0.397909	1	3.037676	0.622635	0.378270	
1	1.571936	0.390882	1.470449	1	1.664620	0.368121	1.462237	1	1.698400	0.327072	1.464882	
	M47 C	CBS-QB3			M47 CBS-APNO			M47 G3				
6	1.883223	0.233325	-0.191661	6	1.863218	0.203823	-0.211570	6	1.858485	0.202742	-0.224133	
6	-0.531003	0.949159	-0.031647	6	-0.532452	0.944063	-0.031181	6	-0.535708	0.944850	-0.027651	
6	-1.744541	0.463733	-0.296005	6	-1.734625	0.464231	-0.291156	6	-1.739203	0.465234	-0.287174	
6	-2.287274	-0.901890	0.009219	6	-2.286684	-0.901398	0.008502	6	-2.288995	-0.903169	0.005914	
8	2.665374	-0.641852	-0.305596	8	2.665489	-0.625366	-0.295296	8	2.677687	-0.622254	-0.287055	
1	-0.305712	1.968329	-0.333501	1	-0.316362	1.957227	-0.329403	1	-0.320859	1.959428	-0.320105	
1	-2.442997	1.133939	-0.792623	1	-2.426199	1.130736	-0.783026	1	-2.433680	1.131982	-0.773009	
6	0.600628	0.231259	0.649087	6	0.606035	0.234680	0.650724	6	0.606812	0.234015	0.646713	
1	0.880078	0.762290	1.569745	1	0.894579	0.780348	1.547604	1	0.899435	0.774969	1.545155	
1	0.368085	-0.802885	0.917755	1	0.375513	-0.782503	0.939988	1	0.374802	-0.782141	0.938014	
1	-2.641727	-1.386636	-0.906669	1	-2.627502	-1.374607	-0.908465	1	-2.634730	-1.372573	-0.910825	
1	-3.151106	-0.831509	0.679551	1	-3.148758	-0.820574	0.665675	1	-3.147240	-0.830881	0.668582	
1	-1.555806	-1.562230	0.476552	1	-1.568133	-1.560081	0.478088	1	-1.567566	-1.564788	0.466606	
	M48 C	CBS-QB3			M48 C	BS-APNO			M 4	48 G3		
6	1.888253	-0.542927	-0.169915	6	1.896682	-0.524650	-0.165058	6	1.885531	-0.533491	-0.185100	
6	-0.281207	0.591479	0.524530	6	-0.278095	0.581097	0.495227	6	-0.275293	0.582663	0.492878	
6	-1.532673	0.676227	0.069160	6	-1.528074	0.674388	0.075785	6	-1.527304	0.676745	0.071708	

Table 15: Cartesian coordinates for minima (M41–M48) on the C_5H_7O potential energy surface.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Ζ
6	-2.410138	-0.425030	-0.450186	6	-2.433786	-0.412833	-0.431643	6	-2.436203	-0.411929	-0.428153
8	2.427863	0.427285	-0.568162	8	2.444794	0.425208	-0.533186	8	2.451870	0.425900	-0.523235
1	0.212209	1.502940	0.848988	1	0.218838	1.481251	0.815397	1	0.225337	1.482282	0.806563
1	-1.990634	1.663311	0.071934	1	-1.973426	1.657134	0.095478	1	-1.971057	1.659505	0.083734
6	0.566756	-0.645418	0.607508	6	0.565964	-0.661949	0.569657	6	0.566093	-0.661212	0.574774
1	0.888849	-0.843930	1.639174	1	0.844897	-0.882250	1.598941	1	0.860554	-0.864732	1.603134
1	0.057278	-1.550151	0.274824	1	0.069835	-1.544275	0.191472	1	0.058860	-1.547236	0.221064
1	-2.730627	-0.208555	-1.475024	1	-2.770015	-0.179188	-1.438386	1	-2.774911	-0.186110	-1.435543
1	-3.322366	-0.506610	0.151043	1	-3.320838	-0.483148	0.192711	1	-3.322815	-0.480230	0.196560
1	-1.923565	-1.401267	-0.452226	1	-1.963789	-1.387504	-0.453939	1	-1.967879	-1.387327	-0.446266

Table 15: Cartesian coordinates for minima (M41–M48) on the C_5H_7O potential energy surface.

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

At. No.	X	Y	Z	At. No.	X	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Ζ
	TS1 C	BS-QB3			TS1 CI	3S-APNO			TS	51 G3	
6	1.429601	-0.054846	0.338495	6	1.403890	-0.134241	0.329556	6	1.406305	-0.134398	0.329264
6	0.813886	1.110723	0.062061	6	0.876361	1.069055	0.101008	6	0.878424	1.069266	0.099985
6	-0.429571	0.710126	-0.577947	6	-0.381303	0.763224	-0.559233	6	-0.379441	0.762793	-0.557690
6	-0.473886	-0.707602	-0.722768	6	-0.508112	-0.619272	-0.740908	6	-0.511338	-0.620666	-0.741610
8	0.695095	-1.130812	-0.057898	8	0.627975	-1.125951	-0.094557	8	0.625986	-1.127747	-0.092677
1	2.382744	-0.273077	0.798026	1	2.331756	-0.413958	0.787681	1	2.333796	-0.417737	0.784642
1	1.169086	2.105513	0.277494	1	1.284474	2.024142	0.354634	1	1.284958	2.026096	0.350854
1	-1.081858	1.371024	-1.131743	1	-1.024884	1.473662	-1.038252	1	-1.020731	1.476547	-1.035301
6	-1.706733	-0.048632	0.577458	6	-1.694785	-0.053506	0.572424	6	-1.694038	-0.050969	0.570636
1	-2.644092	-0.203810	0.057615	1	-2.640622	-0.071307	0.060708	1	-2.640530	-0.055669	0.059634
1	-1.461656	-0.881093	1.228124	1	-1.530269	-0.954361	1.138236	1	-1.544341	-0.955890	1.133956
1	-1.724772	0.869321	1.169864	1	-1.620568	0.797860	1.236364	1	-1.620510	0.792474	1.244124
	TS2 C	BS-QB3			TS2 CI	BS-APNO			TS	2 G3	
6	-1.550163	0.538402	-0.033105	6	-1.538129	0.530778	-0.041553	6	-1.535988	0.531454	-0.041602
6	-0.233650	1.150716	-0.007636	6	-0.227378	1.143250	-0.006470	6	-0.228026	1.144454	-0.005424
6	0.630355	0.112927	-0.004364	6	0.614398	0.102109	-0.004989	6	0.615308	0.102839	-0.004345
8	-0.051041	-1.079237	0.001881	8	-0.045898	-1.057120	-0.003105	8	-0.046874	-1.057470	-0.001444
1	0.010548	2.200381	0.007977	1	0.019462	2.183035	0.017393	1	0.015517	2.185357	0.019257
6	2.113699	0.024717	0.006216	6	2.100188	0.021407	0.008825	6	2.102544	0.019908	0.007927
1	2.462069	-0.498289	0.901282	1	2.434613	-0.512150	0.892260	1	2.440342	-0.514002	0.889418
1	2.465288	-0.541445	-0.860796	1	2.444628	-0.526892	-0.861575	1	2.448468	-0.526912	-0.862349
1	2.560918	1.018696	-0.016896	1	2.538242	1.010989	0.002900	1	2.543020	1.008158	0.002554
6	-1.424272	-0.896531	-0.100200	6	-1.415284	-0.871969	-0.101947	6	-1.420392	-0.871248	-0.104120
1	-1.812685	-0.168679	0.990692	1	-1.788563	-0.280507	0.988312	1	-1.793527	-0.292198	0.982892
1	-2.493623	1.041842	-0.202774	1	-2.483970	1.029033	-0.137649	1	-2.479503	1.034912	-0.134832
	TS3 C	BS-QB3			TS3 CI	3S-APNO			TS	3 G3	
6	0.003612	-1.208487	0.190299	6	-0.029777	-1.212805	0.189446	6	-0.017078	-1.215087	0.191421
6	0.776789	-0.077130	0.480871	6	0.775428	-0.091511	0.486958	6	0.785342	-0.097147	0.490966
6	-0.527003	1.305328	0.172858	6	-0.504422	1.299413	0.169113	6	-0.522640	1.310010	0.169660
6	-1.659493	0.958111	-0.197381	6	-1.600687	0.886117	-0.197050	6	-1.609956	0.871450	-0.196873
8	-1.109278	-1.369108	-0.157790	8	-1.142246	-1.240131	-0.158460	8	-1.138187	-1.238439	-0.159602
1	0.971533	-0.004510	1.554147	1	0.964149	-0.015746	1.550338	1	0.977380	-0.013654	1.552050
1	0.056902	2.180616	0.405102	1	0.038428	2.190326	0.390528	1	0.018001	2.201512	0.391856

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
6	2.002699	0.199231	-0.379803	6	1.997322	0.161106	-0.378849	6	1.998686	0.169955	-0.381645
1	2.836091	-0.459456	-0.127379	1	2.800768	-0.523599	-0.133916	1	2.811610	-0.507260	-0.146785
1	1.769681	0.079830	-1.439529	1	1.754057	0.053079	-1.429524	1	1.750598	0.065225	-1.430933
1	2.330063	1.228427	-0.215308	1	2.356159	1.170220	-0.209918	1	2.350500	1.180870	-0.212167
1	-2.669668	0.865645	-0.515776	1	-2.602776	0.792857	-0.517541	1	-2.608715	0.745725	-0.518378
	TS4 C	BS-QB3			TS4 CI	BS-APNO			TS	4 G3	
6	-1.010330	0.497589	0.000007	6	-0.916639	0.499158	0.000057	6	-0.918022	0.509926	0.000026
6	-0.070701	1.309402	0.000042	6	0.008347	1.306534	-0.000007	6	0.010325	1.315457	0.000048
6	1.492491	-0.779080	-0.000037	6	1.413041	-0.862553	-0.000087	6	1.410494	-0.868443	-0.000044
8	0.510125	-1.429671	-0.000062	8	0.347999	-1.338688	-0.000017	8	0.333765	-1.337088	-0.000051
1	0.141906	2.363938	0.000077	1	0.227710	2.349588	-0.000026	1	0.243623	2.355605	0.000085
6	-2.279362	-0.219153	0.000020	6	-2.225717	-0.163480	0.000144	6	-2.223617	-0.162841	0.000012
1	-2.364185	-0.863291	-0.879824	1	-2.328138	-0.791708	-0.876695	1	-2.324571	-0.792505	-0.875694
1	-2.364144	-0.863326	0.879843	1	-2.328018	-0.791713	0.876994	1	-2.324573	-0.792536	0.875695
1	-3.135109	0.468245	0.000054	1	-3.025517	0.571961	0.000201	1	-3.031336	0.563393	0.000024
6	1.734721	0.593222	0.000016	6	1.752361	0.502075	-0.000115	6	1.764234	0.489657	0.000003
1	2.219808	0.959994	-0.904742	1	2.240750	0.840485	-0.902227	1	2.253117	0.830134	-0.899955
1	2.219805	0.959924	0.904804	1	2.240865	0.840494	0.901932	1	2.253134	0.830069	0.899975
	TS5 C	BS-QB3			TS5 CI	BS-APNO			TS	5 G3	
6	0.224799	1.282731	-0.050411	6	0.209595	1.266222	-0.065200	6	0.208200	1.266103	-0.066025
6	1.537924	0.641910	-0.061293	6	1.541853	0.622354	-0.046633	6	1.541138	0.622510	-0.046024
6	1.386266	-0.703989	-0.045247	6	1.380484	-0.697299	-0.028918	6	1.383280	-0.697769	-0.027929
8	0.087471	-1.071419	0.062145	8	0.078949	-1.039446	0.045367	8	0.077786	-1.040711	0.046468
1	2.483704	1.161148	-0.113125	1	2.479307	1.137656	-0.088811	1	2.477701	1.140007	-0.085958
6	-0.623311	0.149077	0.096896	6	-0.610144	0.134911	0.051556	6	-0.608154	0.134714	0.047205
1	-0.398641	0.822643	1.115243	1	-0.359137	0.875601	1.071638	1	-0.359899	0.901600	1.060397
6	-2.102427	-0.000948	-0.098158	6	-2.095747	-0.003957	-0.071279	6	-2.095564	-0.005244	-0.069356
1	-2.521546	-0.756142	0.569598	1	-2.483503	-0.715734	0.648200	1	-2.484008	-0.707837	0.657952
1	-2.589018	0.957630	0.078378	1	-2.562753	0.960445	0.072195	1	-2.562724	0.960191	0.064259
1	-2.304169	-0.303203	-1.128571	1	-2.333390	-0.360983	-1.067474	1	-2.337736	-0.372770	-1.060132
1	2.090405	-1.523414	-0.069404	1	2.071633	-1.514809	-0.035838	1	2.070971	-1.517392	-0.035493
					TS6 CI	BS-APNO			TS	6 G3	
				6	0.768439	0.240417	0.490019	6	0.767112	0.242469	0.493139
				6	-0.111898	1.285751	0.176239	6	-0.111597	1.288855	0.179247

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Ζ
				6	-1.331297	0.814664	-0.195576	6	-1.329643	0.813777	-0.196900
				6	-1.357121	-0.614727	-0.151002	6	-1.356473	-0.612960	-0.152758
				8	-0.336828	-1.210419	0.187435	8	-0.333949	-1.214976	0.190516
				1	0.903767	0.051380	1.543734	1	0.908282	0.050483	1.544230
				1	-2.189448	1.392379	-0.481790	1	-2.188395	1.389629	-0.486062
				6	1.946973	-0.080372	-0.384389	6	1.942638	-0.079613	-0.387133
				1	2.728369	0.643044	-0.176010	1	2.723655	0.647849	-0.194616
				1	2.319291	-1.072899	-0.169428	1	2.322735	-1.067735	-0.168175
				1	1.679051	-0.006539	-1.430727	1	1.665884	-0.018196	-1.431510
				1	-2.236976	-1.198413	-0.397000	1	-2.232786	-1.197382	-0.401572
	TS7 C	BS-QB3			TS7 CI	BS-APNO			TS	67 G3	
6	-1.616736	-0.151596	-0.561445	6	-1.606142	-0.106481	-0.571864	6	-1.608163	-0.112786	-0.572492
6	-0.441277	0.350180	-0.300200	6	-0.437320	0.360969	-0.272004	6	-0.437748	0.359340	-0.278163
6	0.742137	0.825366	-0.030768	6	0.737610	0.815739	0.026409	6	0.738175	0.816351	0.020536
6	1.873189	-0.039774	0.478111	6	1.865312	-0.077286	0.468210	6	1.864248	-0.072270	0.471262
8	2.658304	-0.605170	-0.233786	8	2.638618	-0.575383	-0.270420	8	2.643476	-0.577890	-0.266060
1	-1.794890	-0.529994	-1.566992	1	-1.779019	-0.396944	-1.596452	1	-1.785572	-0.415290	-1.592213
1	0.972196	1.882122	-0.163168	1	0.950887	1.871995	-0.028555	1	0.952689	1.871617	-0.044103
6	-2.759200	-0.246348	0.418260	6	-2.744590	-0.273510	0.401464	6	-2.745837	-0.269563	0.404320
1	-3.631610	0.300935	0.047061	1	-3.604390	0.306863	0.079569	1	-3.606877	0.307346	0.080826
1	-3.065408	-1.288670	0.553069	1	-3.050615	-1.314533	0.449422	1	-3.054340	-1.308813	0.464814
1	-2.484718	0.161491	1.391821	1	-2.462715	0.049990	1.395680	1	-2.463174	0.062965	1.395176
1	1.949312	-0.111490	1.584753	1	1.947686	-0.230892	1.550404	1	1.945421	-0.221136	1.551207
	TS8 C	BS-QB3			TS8 Cl	BS-APNO					
6	1.215642	0.010170	0.522557	6	1.112947	0.039487	0.508824				
6	0.511072	1.143286	0.054854	6	0.527538	1.247043	0.038497				
6	-0.747739	1.134079	-0.220360	6	-0.769434	1.123234	-0.215288				
6	-1.348676	-0.386557	0.075545	6	-1.278655	-0.250436	0.035144				
8	-2.423761	-0.798173	-0.042884	8	-2.186550	-0.926235	-0.017967				
1	1.513288	0.071659	1.573996	1	1.334198	0.031950	1.569073				
1	-1.500362	1.825575	-0.562570	1	-1.506214	1.825647	-0.556561				
6	2.289211	-0.632657	-0.348433	6	2.146403	-0.681612	-0.328572				
1	3.204202	-0.035262	-0.339028	1	3.088180	-0.146461	-0.262251				
1	2.533968	-1.628912	0.027083	1	2.299615	-1.691058	0.035542				

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
1	1.952052	-0.722827	-1.382940	1	1.847068	-0.717262	-1.369030				
1	0.169877	-0.734775	0.521554	1	-0.003235	-0.759240	0.495330				
									TS	59 G3	
								6	1.612817	0.273984	0.421807
								6	0.547488	0.888027	-0.034041
								6	-0.611372	1.348699	-0.355389
								6	-1.855746	-0.246320	0.478756
								8	-2.370818	-0.981065	-0.200258
								1	2.102260	0.678863	1.293718
								1	-0.776087	2.145372	-1.061854
								6	2.260413	-0.917534	-0.240348
								1	3.265956	-0.679825	-0.578248
								1	2.344753	-1.752537	0.451125
								1	1.687091	-1.246164	-1.099150
								1	-1.379034	0.621672	0.971757
	TS10 C	CBS-QB3			TS10 C	BS-APNO		TS10 G3			
6	-1.584641	0.766631	-0.103169	6	-1.585216	0.740628	-0.100551	6	-1.587954	0.737818	-0.100494
6	-0.191681	1.181764	-0.001408	6	-0.177568	1.174452	-0.004005	6	-0.180687	1.173690	-0.003989
6	0.627957	0.095855	-0.009750	6	0.620162	0.104475	-0.008923	6	0.621451	0.106684	-0.008353
8	-0.096757	-1.059820	-0.009355	8	-0.112521	-1.035963	-0.010504	8	-0.111809	-1.038024	-0.008308
1	0.149876	2.206750	0.025168	1	0.154573	2.192549	0.008990	1	0.148085	2.193146	0.010172
6	2.105754	-0.063196	0.010000	6	2.096820	-0.074262	0.012775	6	2.099307	-0.072374	0.012137
1	2.423430	-0.612557	0.900938	1	2.395793	-0.629809	0.895864	1	2.402367	-0.628784	0.892821
1	2.445486	-0.627912	-0.862873	1	2.421277	-0.631995	-0.859776	1	2.426984	-0.627075	-0.860703
1	2.590810	0.913004	0.008031	1	2.588836	0.889491	0.018674	1	2.591767	0.890925	0.019860
6	-1.429988	-0.636307	-0.024082	6	-1.403574	-0.637519	-0.036825	6	-1.402355	-0.637724	-0.038406
1	-1.863160	-0.036125	0.987235	1	-1.844366	0.050167	0.980992	1	-1.856904	0.058994	0.973001
1	-2.136782	-1.433083	-0.213205	1	-2.119693	-1.429340	-0.135536	1	-2.116394	-1.431570	-0.134052
	TS11 C	CBS-QB3							TS	11 G3	
6	-1.528570	0.865155	0.000086					6	1.506331	0.860193	0.000004
6	-0.187839	1.185019	-0.000030					6	0.189693	1.162642	0.000005
6	0.620938	0.011721	-0.000090					6	-0.627405	-0.030328	-0.000001
8	-0.013372	-1.100113	-0.000020					8	-0.045296	-1.120422	-0.000012
1	0.247116	2.177808	-0.000044					1	-0.250169	2.142441	0.000009

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Ζ	
6	2.113095	-0.035589	0.000000					6	-2.127411	-0.007068	0.000002	
1	2.465110	-0.579283	0.880732					1	-2.490658	-0.534591	-0.874826	
1	2.465246	-0.582298	-0.878784					1	-2.490648	-0.534504	0.874887	
1	2.541908	0.966450	-0.001588					1	-2.516093	1.002377	-0.000043	
6	-1.619226	-0.548554	-0.000011					6	1.729681	-0.506329	0.000001	
1	-2.001074	-1.024264	0.903403					1	2.042307	-0.993499	-0.906545	
1	-2.001715	-1.024025	-0.903289					1	2.042291	-0.993503	0.906549	
	TS12 0	CBS-QB3			TS12 C	BS-APNO			TS	12 G3		
6	-1.727762	1.089712	-0.192852	6	-1.715724	1.087987	-0.176909	6	-1.710658	1.094231	-0.172896	
1	-1.874376	1.621045	0.752786	1	-1.857499	1.599275	0.771077	1	-1.864375	1.599714	0.776075	
1	-1.124477	1.743451	-0.830499	1	-1.118728	1.739823	-0.807897	1	-1.109305	1.752446	-0.792534	
1	-2.689104	0.890240	-0.665797	1	-2.672701	0.895600	-0.642981	1	-2.663297	0.909160	-0.650179	
6	-0.985571	-0.206849	0.043317	6	-0.978767	-0.210836	0.040174	6	-0.978800	-0.208957	0.041280	
8	-1.401175	-1.278933	-0.317682	8	-1.397804	-1.254276	-0.328012	8	-1.406794	-1.252871	-0.334180	
6	0.330753	-0.085917	0.800302	6	0.331901	-0.111636	0.791495	6	0.331467	-0.121182	0.791890	
1	0.260973	-0.119960	1.886239	1	0.278561	-0.165036	1.867474	1	0.277380	-0.187419	1.866939	
6	1.484948	0.025719	0.210186	6	1.474819	0.017958	0.202342	6	1.476784	0.012493	0.203498	
6	2.628768	0.133810	-0.404760	6	2.613279	0.144743	-0.399218	6	2.615436	0.147042	-0.399278	
1	3.056729	1.103501	-0.639189	1	3.024477	1.116581	-0.609223	1	3.028949	1.119743	-0.598970	
1	3.192852	-0.745661	-0.699240	1	3.175279	-0.721328	-0.701657	1	3.179619	-0.712434	-0.714854	
	TS13 (CBS-QB3			TS13 C	BS-APNO		TS13 G3				
6	-1.384114	-0.775515	0.030655	6	-1.403979	-0.718343	0.011160	6	-1.381226	-0.759365	0.013083	
6	-2.068624	0.403747	-0.217842	6	-2.099738	0.461801	-0.134043	6	-2.096495	0.414716	-0.109654	
1	-2.720221	0.784665	0.567813	1	-2.687054	0.800316	0.706079	1	-2.677344	0.737602	0.741200	
6	-0.302622	-1.350423	0.234936	6	-0.415752	-1.422301	0.145573	6	-0.333771	-1.387556	0.119881	
1	0.243628	-2.257964	0.384817	1	0.058775	-2.365690	0.241341	1	0.194821	-2.304420	0.198549	
6	1.169702	0.194778	0.013681	6	1.248667	0.202902	-0.002307	6	1.196639	0.203024	0.001770	
6	0.507419	1.393526	0.213296	6	0.541761	1.396076	0.136342	6	0.510985	1.411554	0.108556	
1	-0.904367	1.100375	-0.135224	1	-0.773244	1.134816	-0.053267	1	-0.862991	1.118336	-0.058726	
1	0.311936	1.637407	1.253705	1	0.590501	1.753181	1.154776	1	0.537543	1.813612	1.109655	
1	0.870493	2.212447	-0.399951	1	0.857438	2.114830	-0.605076	1	0.782737	2.105884	-0.670459	
8	2.142471	-0.402458	-0.262436	8	2.157329	-0.453479	-0.160315	8	2.150395	-0.423459	-0.132148	
1	-2.471805	0.546057	-1.220030	1	-2.530799	0.669562	-1.101684	1	-2.554712	0.622420	-1.064854	
	TS14 0	CBS-QB3										

6	-0.968845	0.265473	-0.089454								
6	0.014368	1.212190	-0.092206								
6	1.334832	0.791470	0.088533								
6	1.442017	-0.615412	0.066503								
8	0.401306	-1.303749	-0.082349								
1	-0.234530	2.233565	-0.362846								
1	2.184318	1.456356	0.146631								
6	-2.184970	-0.204804	0.085556								
1	-2.509789	-1.123799	-0.388869								
1	-2.883413	0.293743	0.752899								
1	2.408554	-1.123379	0.157378								
	TS15 C	CBS-QB3			TS15 C	BS-APNO					
6	-0.839295	1.005081	-0.096718	6	-0.809649	1.016674	-0.110765				
6	0.618519	1.116878	-0.077298	6	0.631532	1.129029	-0.067146				
6	-1.492574	-0.159238	-0.019548	6	-1.489681	-0.147696	0.056184				
6	1.492049	0.138309	-0.002367	6	1.491273	0.119210	-0.018858				
6	2.358974	-0.828150	0.078859	6	2.330753	-0.874515	0.044317				
8	-1.934791	-1.226010	-0.113469	8	-2.024080	-1.129730	-0.174971				
1	-2.373398	0.640179	1.641012	1	-1.719111	0.154053	2.007357				
1	-1.444764	1.898957	-0.131115	1	-1.420063	1.899418	-0.091531				
1	2.727318	-1.184533	1.038075	1	2.666614	-1.264583	0.991570				
1	2.750341	-1.319792	-0.808690	1	2.716440	-1.338779	-0.848885				
1	0.992797	2.135983	-0.129101	1	1.023391	2.131512	-0.081138				
	TS16 C	CBS-QB3			TS16 C	BS-APNO			TS	16 G3	
6	0.820775	1.026572	0.037963	6	0.834311	1.024752	0.032689	6	0.837487	1.025955	0.035895
6	-0.558870	1.135471	-0.151981	6	-0.546952	1.154209	-0.150606	6	-0.543852	1.157464	-0.151912
6	1.505555	-0.248210	0.410200	6	1.500702	-0.262722	0.402362	6	1.497253	-0.264050	0.405432
6	-1.454712	0.135061	-0.033960	6	-1.450138	0.150497	-0.012980	6	-1.449674	0.151947	-0.018520
6	-2.321368	-0.826853	0.055540	6	-2.308902	-0.830335	0.049862	6	-2.311938	-0.829962	0.051164
8	1.915288	-1.052811	-0.388063	8	1.873945	-1.061918	-0.384017	8	1.875174	-1.064853	-0.386116
1	1.639234	-0.420722	1.502364	1	1.649699	-0.430451	1.476272	1	1.637703	-0.439592	1.476230
1	1.447123	1.905408	-0.074960	1	1.468974	1.887270	-0.074335	1	1.473576	1.887239	-0.069721
1	-2.578998	-1.446151	-0.802696	1	-2.539903	-1.429228	-0.817447	1	-2.548729	-1.433743	-0.810368
1	-2.825687	-1.063335	0.991453	1	-2.814773	-1.078521	0.969775	1	-2.816657	-1.075533	0.971857

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

Y

Z At. No.

Х

Y

Ζ

Х

At. No.

Х

Y

Z At. No.

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
1	-0.952259	2.115046	-0.418229	1	-0.929681	2.127866	-0.410088	1	-0.922941	2.132335	-0.411429
	TS17 CBS-OB3			TS17 CBS-APNO					TS	17 G3	
6	-0.783101	1.060567	0.067026	6	-0.776909	1.037001	0.000000	6	0.779308	1.034894	0.000106
6	0.580295	1.119013	-0.047036	6	0.588065	1.044780	-0.000001	6	-0.589386	1.046475	-0.000009
6	-1.246172	-0.299661	-0.099359	6	-1.289347	-0.342308	-0.000001	6	1.289595	-0.344290	0.000182
6	1.220324	-0.136748	-0.165408	6	1.194917	-0.255099	-0.000002	6	-1.199381	-0.251153	-0.000052
6	2.363723	-0.742450	0.101143	6	2.486368	-0.651055	0.000002	6	-2.492093	-0.652351	-0.000006
8	-2.264526	-0.887463	0.091836	8	-2.369434	-0.782825	0.000001	8	2.378038	-0.781869	-0.000203
1	-0.077769	-0.837790	-0.401194	1	-0.061360	-0.958377	0.000000	1	0.053252	-0.958927	0.000479
1	-1.457962	1.895865	0.195681	1	-1.419340	1.897046	0.000009	1	1.422671	1.893693	0.000226
1	3.147054	-0.258728	0.684688	1	3.295395	0.064939	0.000002	1	-3.304913	0.058071	-0.000753
1	2.564766	-1.756936	-0.228262	1	2.752972	-1.692888	0.000001	1	-2.756109	-1.694312	0.000606
1	1.129701	2.052973	-0.123802	1	1.169241	1.951969	-0.000001	1	-1.167466	1.954980	-0.000254
	TS18 C	CBS-QB3							TS	18 G3	
6	-0.674268	0.435145	-0.085112					6	-0.683837	0.434998	-0.084008
6	0.504473	-0.241308	-0.102548					6	0.506536	-0.244230	-0.085231
6	-1.945236	-0.203152	-0.227393					6	-1.954466	-0.243181	-0.236984
6	1.794745	0.387086	0.017245					6	1.785705	0.394528	0.018000
6	2.954381	-0.286711	0.090840					6	2.963809	-0.282826	0.083116
8	-3.035362	-0.134504	0.223057					8	-3.022369	-0.111936	0.224223
1	1.808139	1.474373	0.046941					1	1.799275	1.471652	0.044782
1	-0.695069	1.525219	-0.057462					1	-0.711836	1.512979	-0.095034
1	2.980788	-1.371364	0.076500					1	2.996242	-1.357884	0.064007
1	3.904297	0.226955	0.170514					1	3.902749	0.233321	0.156478
1	0.480179	-1.325510	-0.179139					1	0.486040	-1.320315	-0.133372
	TS19 C	CBS-QB3									
6	-0.384860	0.691451	0.000023								
6	0.675530	-0.089781	0.000006								
6	-2.469957	-0.619058	-0.000032								
6	2.064918	0.375933	0.000015								
6	3.118974	-0.445234	-0.000005								
8	-3.413227	0.009497	-0.000010								
1	2.218718	1.451628	0.000038								
1	-0.598790	1.751076	0.000046								

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
1	2.997802	-1.523718	-0.000028								
1	4.133268	-0.064722	0.000002								
1	0.527190	-1.170111	-0.000018								
	TS20 0	CBS-QB3			TS20 C	BS-APNO			TS	20 G3	
6	0.761935	1.026456	-0.159361	6	0.786833	1.023852	-0.153915	6	0.781512	1.030878	-0.155310
6	-0.639761	1.109800	0.015388	6	-0.628703	1.112856	0.007226	6	-0.632852	1.114813	0.013268
6	1.509784	-0.076945	-0.029504	6	1.505000	-0.089101	-0.030699	6	1.502614	-0.085116	-0.034325
6	-1.461859	-0.028212	0.470237	6	-1.454627	-0.027494	0.470572	6	-1.452832	-0.030997	0.473640
6	-2.081525	-0.890939	-0.333537	6	-2.080070	-0.873410	-0.331780	6	-2.075988	-0.878279	-0.334114
8	2.185406	-1.019397	0.069379	8	2.145978	-1.028037	0.066836	8	2.151190	-1.029730	0.068059
1	-1.560704	-0.165378	1.550566	1	-1.537676	-0.165653	1.540488	1	-1.532808	-0.175434	1.542167
1	1.335212	1.908071	-0.432279	1	1.368979	1.890729	-0.410971	1	1.358615	1.899823	-0.416862
1	-2.021313	-0.803996	-1.413564	1	-2.029051	-0.779830	-1.403375	1	-2.028105	-0.780063	-1.404635
1	-2.671623	-1.709514	0.064818	1	-2.665207	-1.686517	0.061422	1	-2.655903	-1.697355	0.052608
1	-1.096264	2.085024	-0.103904	1	-1.075473	2.085344	-0.090681	1	-1.086044	2.083078	-0.096712
	TS21 (CBS-QB3			TS21 C	BS-APNO					
6	-0.212922	-1.171084	0.114064	6	0.285901	1.142892	0.102396				
6	1.165193	-0.962101	0.014956	6	-1.080042	1.033769	0.037936				
6	-1.069909	-0.098162	-0.101473	6	1.043906	-0.091024	-0.149474				
6	1.580872	0.367602	-0.131323	6	-1.614458	-0.269352	-0.134944				
6	0.663361	1.363884	0.172111	6	-0.770621	-1.362336	0.170573				
8	-2.162076	0.335776	-0.106592	8	2.182470	-0.320680	-0.074574				
1	2.521140	0.593587	-0.625775	1	-2.539921	-0.407159	-0.667166				
1	-0.670566	-2.144697	0.251382	1	0.813691	2.068612	0.248111				
1	0.083783	1.299801	1.087532	1	-0.295732	-1.411667	1.135144				
1	0.752351	2.363619	-0.238769	1	-0.916987	-2.314158	-0.311360				
1	1.850327	-1.799351	-0.031649	1	-1.708921	1.906121	0.032941				
	TS22 CBS-QB3				TS22 C	BS-APNO			TS	22 G3	
6	-0.112041	-1.236518	0.087725	6	-0.093839	-1.218780	0.083269	6	-0.094435	-1.219312	0.083863
6	-1.364350	-0.768663	0.038748	6	-1.380409	-0.746071	0.026386	6	-1.383617	-0.745163	0.028891
6	0.819151	-0.055951	-0.052378	6	0.833535	-0.052936	-0.041844	6	0.831932	-0.053885	-0.043736
6	-1.346168	0.720020	-0.130731	6	-1.369940	0.697683	-0.119793	6	-1.371768	0.697583	-0.119973
6	-0.079683	1.157901	-0.167520	6	-0.065451	1.151889	-0.121326	6	-0.065008	1.150382	-0.128472
8	2.025137	-0.076032	-0.082605	8	2.015725	-0.072941	-0.090182	8	2.020240	-0.074460	-0.088706

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.
Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
1	-2.242405	1.320988	-0.207424	1	-2.250023	1.305059	-0.202082	1	-2.251050	1.306113	-0.200709
1	0.229951	-2.253809	0.203586	1	0.236377	-2.232925	0.185545	1	0.235725	-2.233071	0.187742
1	0.288784	2.161104	-0.316906	1	0.287350	2.143942	-0.317680	1	0.288958	2.142328	-0.321947
1	0.297303	1.826164	2.219187	1	0.329724	1.716484	2.018076	1	0.337763	1.740659	2.037829
1	-2.276181	-1.346923	0.107333	1	-2.272600	-1.339753	0.077450	1	-2.275937	-1.337984	0.083293
	TS23a	CBS-QB3			TS23a C	CBS-APNO			TS2	23a G3	
6	0.109750	1.188630	-0.032731	6	0.098830	1.177958	-0.047201	6	0.102000	1.175739	-0.047813
6	1.456409	0.806805	-0.111312	6	1.425670	0.815279	-0.108481	6	1.428242	0.814214	-0.106479
6	-0.820504	-0.039991	-0.009311	6	-0.818745	-0.045990	-0.008857	6	-0.817638	-0.045489	-0.010664
6	1.379787	-0.714904	0.007431	6	1.364624	-0.709745	0.004006	6	1.364422	-0.710283	0.003639
6	0.128096	-1.192826	-0.003311	6	0.132053	-1.192288	0.001815	6	0.130598	-1.193334	0.002329
8	-2.025271	-0.043901	0.007078	8	-1.998013	-0.040391	0.009473	8	-2.002779	-0.037003	0.010991
1	2.281510	-1.312615	0.053996	1	2.262841	-1.296730	0.036654	1	2.261578	-1.298432	0.037298
1	-0.270913	2.192986	-0.195450	1	-0.285726	2.179869	-0.128502	1	-0.283216	2.177008	-0.131377
1	-0.204097	-2.221598	0.027058	1	-0.186708	-2.215600	0.032769	1	-0.189107	-2.216213	0.030156
1	0.874433	1.406141	0.953183	1	0.979112	1.384303	0.935604	1	0.987228	1.388583	0.929919
	TS23b	CBS-QB3			TS23b C	CBS-APNO			TS2	23b G3	
6	0.229503	1.381528	0.212423	6	0.406138	1.406143	0.269881	6	0.418465	1.409991	0.259309
6	1.288316	0.709316	-0.379869	6	1.276552	0.631537	-0.445855	6	1.293269	0.620071	-0.434960
6	-0.835093	-0.221125	0.038887	6	-0.882982	-0.220614	0.024483	6	-0.886825	-0.216993	0.025885
6	1.432833	-0.612865	-0.007699	6	1.339111	-0.702423	-0.044656	6	1.339961	-0.710968	-0.039493
6	0.170550	-1.210631	0.113213	6	0.085856	-1.230800	0.166133	6	0.075801	-1.231326	0.157679
8	-1.988775	-0.059257	-0.148577	8	-1.964272	0.074366	-0.178218	8	-1.976986	0.082735	-0.172072
1	2.371508	-1.147705	0.069976	1	2.242139	-1.284280	-0.002226	1	2.235258	-1.303393	0.009234
1	-0.263383	2.223936	-0.266176	1	0.000329	2.322202	-0.128330	1	0.020020	2.320631	-0.157226
1	-0.071725	-2.260263	0.219626	1	-0.206604	-2.238499	0.388877	1	-0.220900	-2.238988	0.375798
1	0.157146	1.380751	1.303462	1	0.330267	1.302589	1.347496	1	0.337484	1.335221	1.338246
	TS24 0	CBS-QB3			TS24 C	BS-APNO			TS	24 G3	
6	1.560099	0.615001	-0.068495	6	1.556558	0.609942	-0.076281	6	1.557922	0.610937	-0.078462
6	0.244748	1.160611	-0.034851	6	0.245794	1.161286	-0.022532	6	0.248856	1.162348	-0.019784
6	-0.627574	0.096936	0.057045	6	-0.622869	0.091619	0.102604	6	-0.623889	0.092289	0.110429
8	0.090747	-1.070399	0.010240	8	0.089573	-1.059687	0.016042	8	0.089413	-1.062042	0.019849
6	1.409155	-0.736584	-0.035749	6	1.396847	-0.735937	-0.043732	6	1.398697	-0.737358	-0.045442
1	2.493181	1.154249	-0.103039	1	2.486511	1.137307	-0.126023	1	2.487226	1.139659	-0.131518

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
1	-0.033918	2.202430	-0.038178	1	-0.031635	2.195110	-0.020150	1	-0.026713	2.196919	-0.017239
6	-2.100786	-0.023743	-0.144085	6	-2.089195	-0.020055	-0.164886	6	-2.088930	-0.019192	-0.171924
1	-2.516366	-0.817867	0.477970	1	-2.526436	-0.821304	0.417544	1	-2.530949	-0.827501	0.396216
1	-2.591193	0.914164	0.119190	1	-2.585981	0.908058	0.090290	1	-2.590749	0.903775	0.090645
1	-2.329875	-0.252803	-1.190366	1	-2.262170	-0.229175	-1.217612	1	-2.256435	-0.214994	-1.227528
1	-0.764431	0.249922	2.038767	1	-0.809244	0.195236	2.009568	1	-0.842966	0.196134	2.017384
1	2.102776	-1.560229	-0.029442	1	2.089562	-1.548865	-0.052990	1	2.089337	-1.551806	-0.055651
	TS25 C	CBS-QB3			TS25 C	BS-APNO			TS	25 G3	
6	0.349216	1.175192	0.316862	6	0.339650	1.172493	0.320714	6	0.342482	1.171970	0.321992
6	1.400848	0.612548	-0.454401	6	1.400693	0.619224	-0.437144	6	1.402850	0.621515	-0.439705
6	1.276936	-0.741991	-0.347876	6	1.274943	-0.734623	-0.340741	6	1.280085	-0.733665	-0.344921
8	0.219259	-1.067889	0.446084	8	0.218811	-1.066630	0.431171	8	0.223986	-1.068601	0.430168
1	0.132545	2.220145	0.470502	1	0.113353	2.206903	0.477219	1	0.116174	2.206293	0.479944
1	2.157011	1.139974	-1.014275	1	2.159887	1.144623	-0.979180	1	2.158437	1.150607	-0.983544
6	-0.405652	0.109576	0.784857	6	-0.431570	0.087959	0.759496	6	-0.425443	0.086865	0.762844
1	-1.076098	0.043827	1.625074	1	-1.048223	0.027637	1.631043	1	-1.042072	0.022058	1.633596
6	-2.230310	-0.018315	-0.590883	6	-2.199982	-0.011771	-0.580707	6	-2.216484	-0.011260	-0.578300
1	-2.669836	-0.941066	-0.230231	1	-2.649958	-0.927124	-0.232851	1	-2.670367	-0.921118	-0.224005
1	-2.774677	0.895640	-0.383439	1	-2.758775	0.889906	-0.388246	1	-2.767889	0.894296	-0.388068
1	-1.703680	-0.067592	-1.535703	1	-1.711727	-0.065932	-1.538946	1	-1.732084	-0.073279	-1.536954
1	1.834440	-1.569885	-0.751959	1	1.842558	-1.542668	-0.748115	1	1.844983	-1.542599	-0.753770
	TS26 C	CBS-QB3			TS	26 G3					
6	-1.306511	-0.705208	0.135840	6	-1.291456	-0.703114	0.128444				
6	-1.305314	0.677794	0.380340	6	-1.287546	0.669431	0.391810				
6	-0.178395	1.271876	-0.183958	6	-0.178552	1.271572	-0.182628				
6	0.874747	0.365088	-0.507263	6	0.884754	0.386620	-0.500308				
8	-0.291940	-1.242020	-0.426007	8	-0.305435	-1.229019	-0.441411				
1	-2.171150	1.207964	0.753084	1	-2.145851	1.193013	0.765917				
1	-0.198207	2.279189	-0.589064	1	-0.197672	2.269854	-0.585630				
6	1.809937	-0.216005	0.520334	6	1.791028	-0.237480	0.522730				
1	2.200198	-1.182049	0.193997	1	2.167182	-1.189938	0.174113				
1	2.658763	0.467447	0.646119	1	2.637549	0.430494	0.673038				
1	1.318874	-0.332396	1.486721	1	1.285542	-0.371877	1.468012				
1	1.332248	0.474227	-1.492875	1	1.341175	0.498636	-1.472775				

Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

At. No.	X	Y	Z	At. No.	X	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
1	-2.171980	-1.339495	0.338313	1	-2.153813	-1.320200	0.348326				
	TS27 C	CBS-QB3									
6	-1.429927	-0.109874	0.386499								
6	-0.625843	1.120929	0.119731								
6	0.738657	1.204035	-0.101520								
6	1.683487	0.184046	-0.119625								
8	-1.979509	-0.759587	-0.468800								
1	-1.213022	2.035720	0.096116								
1	1.120188	2.204553	-0.289928								
6	1.420127	-1.274642	0.100980								
1	2.342125	-1.853529	0.030198								
1	0.988811	-1.468879	1.090931								
1	0.712921	-1.675823	-0.632913								
1	2.708750	0.476731	-0.319730								
1	-1.542714	-0.389042	1.459332								
	TS28 C	CBS-QB3			TS28 C	BS-APNO					
6	-1.222954	-0.218449	0.204094	6	-1.314210	-0.341614	0.030707				
6	-0.751725	1.057354	-0.321867	6	-0.830465	1.035831	-0.186037				
6	0.550719	1.247869	0.001858	6	0.470546	1.123697	0.071519				
6	1.237514	0.072884	0.553718	6	1.152755	-0.126687	0.532647				
8	-2.177769	-0.906033	0.011215	8	-2.371994	-0.828473	-0.046168				
1	-1.415993	1.766995	-0.798947	1	-1.471204	1.832954	-0.515374				
1	1.040441	2.215146	-0.083948	1	1.021992	2.043465	-0.050768				
6	1.848427	-0.959421	-0.377159	6	2.263981	-0.667917	-0.342129				
1	2.794827	-0.599871	-0.800641	1	3.114190	0.013422	-0.370435				
1	2.065188	-1.886899	0.160610	1	2.618487	-1.621151	0.034987				
1	1.181265	-1.192803	-1.210194	1	1.923769	-0.812953	-1.362938				
1	1.856727	0.268695	1.432265	1	1.389822	-0.119836	1.589528				
1	-0.072187	-0.524421	0.847263	1	-0.076744	-0.847972	0.404098				
	TS29 C	CBS-QB3									
6	-2.018042	-0.711661	0.130445								
6	-0.504868	1.178151	-0.151892								
6	0.770363	1.021332	0.098123								
6	1.460828	-0.235204	0.569801								

Table 16.	Cartesian	coordinates	of all	transition state structures
rable 10.	Cartestan	coordinates	or an	transition state structures.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Ζ
8	-3.085693	-0.424933	-0.126511								
1	-1.145314	1.980228	-0.489748								
1	1.428877	1.885953	-0.060285								
6	2.520460	-0.729586	-0.426106								
1	3.280273	0.035418	-0.612533								
1	3.028469	-1.617676	-0.041212								
1	2.063915	-0.988054	-1.384849								
1	1.943461	-0.024562	1.532006								
1	0.713418	-1.010035	0.746480								
	TS30 (CBS-QB3			TS	30 G3					
6	-1.540780	-0.539698	-0.100830	6	-1.546785	-0.522057	-0.069520				
6	-1.384277	0.847040	-0.021764	6	-1.368667	0.851126	-0.007142				
6	-0.028732	1.182549	0.055338	6	-0.007977	1.174081	0.014195				
6	0.810595	0.085147	0.398168	6	0.815658	0.098782	0.395795				
8	-0.499807	-1.290908	-0.007471	8	-0.536838	-1.285350	-0.065227				
1	-2.194285	1.542425	-0.194202	1	-2.170293	1.551750	-0.139918				
1	0.374605	2.101120	-0.359811	1	0.400595	2.049833	-0.460216				
1	-2.495086	-1.025321	-0.308681	1	-2.525378	-0.974655	-0.157641				
6	2.155175	-0.136571	-0.225491	6	2.171314	-0.155230	-0.187141				
1	2.936040	0.337887	0.382509	1	2.938678	0.215978	0.488416				
1	2.378985	-1.204975	-0.257734	1	2.320209	-1.222527	-0.296789				
1	2.207413	0.264049	-1.238898	1	2.291925	0.316987	-1.153460				
1	0.718902	-0.318721	1.404064	1	0.657711	-0.334774	1.364297				
	TS31 (CBS-QB3			TS31 C	BS-APNO			TS	31 G3	
6	-1.789434	-0.106507	0.385294	6	-1.789811	-0.121111	0.380522	6	-1.789197	-0.120293	0.383494
6	-0.861835	0.994974	-0.010633	6	-0.869931	0.991890	-0.007508	6	-0.870709	0.992520	-0.005861
6	0.514233	0.851886	-0.108996	6	0.512956	0.856215	-0.104444	6	0.513168	0.856162	-0.105336
6	1.228006	-0.317769	0.090682	6	1.225616	-0.319551	0.090129	6	1.227069	-0.320490	0.089902
8	-2.326808	-0.859165	-0.389190	8	-2.319272	-0.847499	-0.388132	8	-2.324580	-0.847185	-0.389864
1	-1.323145	1.959633	-0.200215	1	-1.332436	1.947748	-0.185846	1	-1.332375	1.947916	-0.187262
1	1.081743	1.742849	-0.371660	1	1.070270	1.744109	-0.357246	1	1.070150	1.743191	-0.359899
1	-1.984381	-0.196878	1.479199	1	-1.974237	-0.230938	1.457379	1	-1.969059	-0.236486	1.457266
6	2.712774	-0.424167	-0.019667	6	2.717597	-0.421712	-0.021644	6	2.719843	-0.421773	-0.022131
1	3.158349	-0.780040	0.917710	1	3.001296	-1.126896	-0.799567	1	3.160239	-0.774106	0.907505

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
1	3.003016	-1.149015	-0.790453	1	3.165650	0.537728	-0.257307	1	3.006215	-1.128662	-0.796877
1	3.170781	0.536068	-0.268462	1	3.155169	-0.779006	0.907882	1	3.167390	0.536687	-0.261732
1	0.685639	-1.229796	0.327316	1	0.689905	-1.227142	0.317436	1	0.693037	-1.227820	0.319502
	TS32 C	CBS-QB3									
6	1.354530	-0.306027	-0.123194								
6	0.871326	1.061377	-0.066046								
6	-0.481112	1.084587	0.071243								
6	-1.093662	-0.203245	0.388083								
8	2.414358	-0.842382	-0.014152								
1	1.527262	1.910100	-0.212404								
1	-1.078231	1.974256	-0.114694								
1	0.119327	-0.880455	-0.072224								
6	-2.417510	-0.584398	-0.229180								
1	-2.647428	-1.636783	-0.047567								
1	-3.238135	0.004758	0.202869								
1	-2.424159	-0.417507	-1.309075								
1	-0.974931	-0.529072	1.420874								
	TS33 0	CBS-QB3									
6	-1.760847	-0.153546	0.350781								
6	-0.644087	0.693204	0.660108								
6	0.426824	1.000924	-0.299911								
6	1.572933	0.334937	-0.444210								
8	-1.911146	-0.730548	-0.724751								
1	-0.636165	1.167963	1.640345								
1	0.238569	1.858745	-0.946480								
6	1.999213	-0.876288	0.329414								
1	2.129346	-1.733075	-0.340407								
1	2.964895	-0.708195	0.818375								
1	1.270552	-1.155093	1.093029								
1	2.268204	0.686894	-1.202466								
1	-2.510445	-0.268244	1.158525								
	TS34 C	CBS-QB3							TS:	34 G3	
6	1.663473	-0.354916	0.222031					6	1.662469	-0.364979	0.208758
6	0.339737	-0.104890	0.827974					6	0.348910	-0.116115	0.829707

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Ζ
6	-0.520710	0.998048	0.287384					6	-0.511449	0.988116	0.295185
6	-1.647123	0.555590	-0.271156					6	-1.630038	0.565740	-0.264609
8	2.036263	0.118935	-0.831631					8	2.023564	0.127065	-0.824837
1	0.331430	-0.244944	1.912694					1	0.348873	-0.254314	1.903774
1	-0.227771	2.039385	0.352111					1	-0.220155	2.019952	0.373378
6	-1.775209	-0.929609	-0.273272					6	-1.784500	-0.921159	-0.275439
1	-2.549887	-1.387615	0.341467					1	-2.553847	-1.351256	0.350217
1	-1.606350	-1.451698	-1.215120					1	-1.684879	-1.421040	-1.228528
1	-0.502958	-1.040260	0.408624					1	-0.528987	-1.072198	0.387558
1	-2.410925	1.198621	-0.698314					1	-2.374032	1.216960	-0.689835
1	2.315352	-1.050298	0.793813					1	2.312157	-1.064243	0.740527
	TS35 (CBS-QB3			TS35 C	BS-APNO			TS	35 G3	
6	-1.718519	-0.067883	-0.012819	6	-1.734754	-0.048217	-0.031313	6	-1.732961	-0.053512	-0.028654
6	-0.674954	0.910584	0.543122	6	-0.673887	0.858003	0.579517	6	-0.679685	0.863953	0.576314
6	0.544796	1.078284	-0.313228	6	0.530899	1.062919	-0.303166	6	0.526878	1.066694	-0.305637
6	1.636654	0.218718	-0.331604	6	1.650729	0.235485	-0.348860	6	1.646375	0.236682	-0.348753
8	-1.470669	-1.176429	-0.403254	8	-1.529566	-1.155444	-0.386429	8	-1.512103	-1.162202	-0.389497
1	-0.401589	0.518442	1.532825	1	-0.384293	0.405719	1.524421	1	-0.389022	0.422908	1.526055
1	0.557236	1.938749	-0.973215	1	0.511059	1.921935	-0.951465	1	0.506290	1.923493	-0.956125
6	1.779568	-0.950134	0.386200	6	1.842331	-0.938968	0.364773	6	1.837661	-0.936308	0.369829
1	0.987726	-1.337546	1.014089	1	1.083664	-1.350196	1.003559	1	1.079287	-1.346362	1.008884
1	2.684439	-1.539593	0.311992	1	2.756692	-1.494293	0.263847	1	2.750545	-1.493729	0.272362
1	2.458966	0.506107	-0.983189	1	2.443944	0.546075	-1.009869	1	2.440575	0.544557	-1.009197
1	-2.764365	0.312399	-0.013675	1	-2.742063	0.380821	-0.114009	1	-2.744678	0.358781	-0.103661
1	-1.162331	1.875452	0.707182	1	-1.124381	1.818156	0.809244	1	-1.135781	1.822909	0.799065
	TS36 0	CBS-QB3									
6	-1.866936	0.110186	-0.283793								
6	-0.108861	1.329075	0.673249								
6	0.825789	1.066785	-0.276498								
6	1.651235	-0.129325	-0.367114								
8	-1.905692	-1.042099	-0.031326								
1	-0.203609	0.719879	1.563700								

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Ζ	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
1	0.642055	-1.423370	0.989005								
1	2.139728	-2.115241	0.163669								
1	2.477193	-0.072073	-1.071933								
1	-1.964428	0.550278	-1.308153								
1	-0.631588	2.277147	0.684802								
	TS37 (CBS-QB3									
6	-1.550008	-0.002934	0.036896								
6	-0.741341	1.132676	0.208104								
6	0.631668	1.260258	-0.069612								
6	1.544873	0.214872	-0.193587								
8	-1.208500	-1.195080	-0.282647								
1	-1.298733	2.046200	0.386334								
1	0.995897	2.266824	-0.258181								
6	1.302072	-1.100905	0.280542								
1	2.042549	-1.859454	0.044467								
1	0.932323	-1.174675	1.306633								
1	0.041744	-1.315522	-0.167674								
1	2.461124	0.421493	-0.743010								
1	-2.630485	0.151967	0.118549								
	TS38 (CBS-QB3			TS38 C	BS-APNO					
6	-1.097354	0.169985	0.429522	6	-1.105615	0.148216	0.464020				
6	-0.152848	1.202977	0.413708	6	-0.174930	1.228529	0.373364				
6	1.057516	0.932042	-0.341674	6	1.061407	0.953743	-0.302279				
6	1.424443	-0.373560	-0.318820	6	1.462646	-0.349583	-0.296457				
8	-1.663375	-0.397867	-0.676839	8	-1.672491	-0.409277	-0.649225				
1	-0.161332	1.929853	1.219730	1	-0.278316	2.090391	1.011608				
1	1.647709	1.704921	-0.823479	1	1.676888	1.738223	-0.707899				
6	0.638854	-1.275214	0.534746	6	0.647405	-1.324001	0.481000				
1	0.812974	-1.214386	1.606687	1	0.996190	-1.550520	1.478090				
1	0.380110	-2.267353	0.174413	1	0.177102	-2.146560	-0.031621				
1	-1.074694	-0.238775	-1.426554	1	-1.125494	-0.246290	-1.398109				
1	2.263250	-0.742093	-0.906002	1	2.385058	-0.656283	-0.762481				
1	-1.784685	0.073388	1.265028	1	-1.796977	0.103835	1.286322				
	TS39 (CBS-QB3			TS39 C	BS-APNO			TS	39 G3	

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
6	0.070398	0.999075	0.565090	6	0.575854	0.032148	0.750897	6	0.571200	0.032142	0.753582
6	0.524055	-0.266857	0.831382	6	-0.148242	-1.138092	0.462884	6	-0.151462	-1.138795	0.462622
6	-0.413825	-1.231669	0.241849	6	-1.310023	-0.799907	-0.300557	6	-1.312623	-0.801415	-0.302263
6	-1.392736	-0.571250	-0.402495	6	-1.399759	0.561930	-0.429474	6	-1.403050	0.562446	-0.430972
8	2.077345	0.021456	-0.647400	8	2.079469	0.007045	-0.623196	8	2.092296	0.006765	-0.620180
1	1.322128	-0.525391	1.508612	1	0.149222	-2.132288	0.737835	1	0.144551	-2.132870	0.739314
1	-0.299431	-2.305116	0.309406	1	-2.003972	-1.512509	-0.706151	1	-2.004701	-1.514773	-0.709335
6	-1.178306	0.914845	-0.265373	6	-0.258574	1.217493	0.310927	6	-0.261051	1.219091	0.309453
1	-2.024498	1.407325	0.233283	1	-0.626042	1.765096	1.178365	1	-0.626147	1.772481	1.173568
1	-1.072774	1.414924	-1.237318	1	0.307234	1.911859	-0.298829	1	0.306024	1.911073	-0.301701
1	1.479942	-0.135894	-1.394606	1	1.638823	-0.533875	-1.264749	1	1.647134	-0.537924	-1.265811
1	-2.216336	-1.011916	-0.947886	1	-2.163283	1.098886	-0.959200	1	-2.164501	1.100414	-0.962309
1	0.534686	1.919557	0.884988	1	1.306728	0.105044	1.530228	1	1.301196	0.106663	1.533183
	TS40 C	CBS-QB3			TS40 C	BS-APNO			TS	40 G3	
6	1.554696	0.560770	-0.101653	6	1.554956	0.549106	-0.097716	6	1.556602	0.548530	-0.096705
6	0.223444	1.130250	-0.103338	6	0.227491	1.136179	-0.085386	6	0.230845	1.137578	-0.083949
6	-0.645423	0.058357	-0.066358	6	-0.642493	0.057958	-0.078488	6	-0.643720	0.058770	-0.079582
8	0.062245	-1.099956	0.063714	8	0.062006	-1.092409	0.063607	8	0.060769	-1.095194	0.063091
6	1.393540	-0.772843	0.027052	6	1.375270	-0.775503	0.023877	6	1.376108	-0.778259	0.024437
1	2.489473	1.095431	-0.147150	1	2.490464	1.066018	-0.143272	1	2.491697	1.066582	-0.143776
1	-0.043578	2.133766	-0.393863	1	-0.030226	2.119588	-0.421557	1	-0.022964	2.118162	-0.432613
6	-2.124257	-0.044164	-0.029922	6	-2.123556	-0.040703	-0.024309	6	-2.126239	-0.039235	-0.023074
1	-2.472155	-0.361962	0.959735	1	-2.453836	-0.353994	0.963509	1	-2.460211	-0.343009	0.965839
1	-2.572193	0.925170	-0.251163	1	-2.568792	0.922243	-0.243695	1	-2.571971	0.921390	-0.250651
1	-2.489161	-0.772916	-0.759260	1	-2.488497	-0.765816	-0.744753	1	-2.494374	-0.769016	-0.736611
1	0.100334	1.786970	1.624616	1	0.144252	1.781331	1.560064	1	0.151487	1.800331	1.552821
1	2.077323	-1.601037	0.102691	1	2.060581	-1.592309	0.092983	1	2.058603	-1.597188	0.093502
	TS41 C	CBS-QB3			TS41 C	BS-APNO			TS	41 G3	
6	-0.710083	-0.048086	-0.252725	6	-0.717942	-0.002774	-0.364821	6	-0.719334	-0.000291	-0.366274
6	0.205712	1.144439	-0.284597	6	0.247012	1.155385	-0.371139	6	0.248929	1.156099	-0.373192
6	1.544267	0.575003	0.212119	6	1.478169	0.541367	0.294701	6	1.478059	0.542423	0.295136
6	1.619898	-0.726204	0.278964	6	1.465813	-0.772978	0.366783	6	1.466645	-0.776071	0.368919
8	-0.125018	-1.158688	-0.406024	8	-0.125461	-1.117822	-0.577063	8	-0.127300	-1.120784	-0.580914
1	0.357471	1.540098	-1.298238	1	0.502103	1.481782	-1.376633	1	0.503762	1.482188	-1.378657

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
1	2.356300	1.259687	0.440106	1	2.290029	1.156865	0.641483	1	2.286298	1.160646	0.644840
1	-0.170054	1.973352	0.325883	1	-0.134375	2.014582	0.171100	1	-0.131572	2.017102	0.166395
6	-2.086680	0.029651	0.343959	6	-1.962631	0.033722	0.475953	6	-1.962124	0.034593	0.478961
1	-2.693434	0.791302	-0.154139	1	-2.577830	0.892007	0.224554	1	-2.574198	0.897377	0.237497
1	-2.585189	-0.936364	0.265369	1	-2.540111	-0.870662	0.330030	1	-2.545882	-0.864407	0.326215
1	-2.028259	0.307917	1.407250	1	-1.703198	0.108638	1.533482	1	-1.704206	0.097325	1.536815
1	2.324622	-1.515313	0.475646	1	2.104545	-1.568966	0.683627	1	2.111157	-1.564478	0.692913
	TS42 0	CBS-QB3			TS42 C	BS-APNO			TS	42 G3	
6	-2.013775	-0.366938	0.577702	6	-2.071419	-0.322483	0.514919	6	-2.080505	-0.314540	0.505247
6	-0.807537	0.152027	-0.178833	6	-0.805745	0.157550	-0.156757	6	-0.803601	0.155182	-0.154562
6	0.280302	-0.871461	-0.515499	6	0.264469	-0.895770	-0.416159	6	0.263028	-0.904386	-0.404032
6	1.656883	-0.510608	0.008315	6	1.672821	-0.513384	-0.026810	6	1.673950	-0.516918	-0.027521
6	2.027924	0.617979	0.552186	6	2.065847	0.621303	0.530176	6	2.065784	0.624947	0.525574
1	2.934243	1.045025	0.953095	1	3.017472	0.994804	0.851035	1	3.020896	0.995707	0.837569
1	2.399893	-1.309318	-0.103793	1	2.417925	-1.274635	-0.225148	1	2.417176	-1.278205	-0.227851
1	0.004200	-1.865292	-0.148936	1	0.000884	-1.825147	0.081448	1	-0.001430	-1.825561	0.108112
1	0.327800	-0.946032	-1.610018	1	0.236132	-1.106304	-1.484949	1	0.228891	-1.134331	-1.468427
8	-0.701774	1.308993	-0.507072	8	-0.663093	1.284924	-0.493108	8	-0.651179	1.286858	-0.491601
1	-2.726105	0.440537	0.740881	1	-2.786274	0.486366	0.574778	1	-2.790135	0.499360	0.554254
1	-1.695907	-0.782727	1.539844	1	-1.838607	-0.682935	1.513712	1	-1.866611	-0.672805	1.508593
1	-2.492721	-1.180133	0.022280	1	-2.498624	-1.154841	-0.038224	1	-2.511295	-1.144745	-0.047679
	TS43 (CBS-QB3			TS43 C	BS-APNO			TS	43 G3	
6	-1.442252	1.212899	-0.141451	6	-1.444569	1.200241	-0.147038	6	-1.431288	1.207248	-0.149593
6	-0.969020	-0.218405	0.029415	6	-0.960971	-0.223366	0.026027	6	-0.958971	-0.220377	0.026580
6	0.371660	-0.441087	0.737631	6	0.367998	-0.440107	0.738632	6	0.365761	-0.447471	0.743634
6	1.516431	0.391904	0.168269	6	1.505277	0.402077	0.187009	6	1.508110	0.395342	0.202116
6	2.519668	-0.076534	-0.507065	6	2.505301	-0.073656	-0.518629	6	2.495008	-0.074895	-0.533056
1	3.348920	-0.463308	-1.051205	1	3.320689	-0.460507	-1.071801	1	3.299112	-0.453928	-1.109348
1	1.446248	1.469165	0.366153	1	1.464677	1.464066	0.401377	1	1.482072	1.450019	0.447979
1	0.235055	-0.171049	1.793541	1	0.218153	-0.186555	1.787643	1	0.213554	-0.205479	1.794876
1	0.596785	-1.505093	0.680035	1	0.600943	-1.493498	0.674464	1	0.595473	-1.501182	0.672002
8	-1.619584	-1.156474	-0.366997	8	-1.597016	-1.145793	-0.364541	8	-1.604849	-1.141317	-0.367835
1	-2.471764	1.213173	-0.496612	1	-2.480897	1.190511	-0.455035	1	-2.465607	1.206343	-0.464059
1	-0.809843	1.725280	-0.873363	1	-0.850658	1.695195	-0.909888	1	-0.831750	1.702174	-0.907630

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
1	-1.367653	1.770965	0.796631	1	-1.334997	1.765996	0.773568	1	-1.325783	1.773506	0.770773
	TS44 (CBS-QB3			TS44 C	BS-APNO			TS	44 G3	
6	-0.889094	0.160016	0.214602	6	-0.908881	0.147902	0.207838	6	-0.915722	0.140185	0.210750
6	0.038263	-0.630202	1.020699	6	0.029562	-0.585411	1.063961	6	0.008601	-0.592059	1.075379
6	1.885440	-0.598981	-0.189644	6	1.911179	-0.550980	-0.114319	6	1.926964	-0.544786	-0.105750
6	2.201396	0.529887	-0.543327	6	2.212390	0.554320	-0.606715	6	2.221988	0.562210	-0.611507
8	-0.910707	1.380684	0.292609	8	-1.020192	1.339765	0.301003	8	-1.032432	1.338518	0.310135
1	0.485037	-0.138151	1.874683	1	0.408972	-0.061409	1.921237	1	0.391385	-0.070776	1.931831
1	2.060176	-1.651782	-0.138684	1	2.228742	-1.559049	0.004841	1	2.254319	-1.548561	0.022546
1	-0.081092	-1.707524	1.077667	1	-0.056736	-1.655763	1.138278	1	-0.062505	-1.663258	1.140453
6	-1.795342	-0.591023	-0.748545	6	-1.703796	-0.646399	-0.804643	6	-1.690616	-0.645426	-0.824813
1	-2.478785	-1.249126	-0.202744	1	-2.365271	-1.343750	-0.297635	1	-2.325892	-1.385559	-0.346926
1	-2.373065	0.123855	-1.332724	1	-2.291198	0.027140	-1.413351	1	-2.303668	0.028599	-1.406864
1	-1.203743	-1.220661	-1.420568	1	-1.037630	-1.224570	-1.437931	1	-1.009937	-1.174684	-1.484688
1	2.233165	1.579733	-0.721208	1	2.031937	1.582683	-0.800193	1	2.008471	1.585345	-0.801791
	TS45 (CBS-QB3			TS45 C	BS-APNO			TS	45 G3	
6	-0.587973	1.358624	0.333214	6	-0.603265	1.357283	0.330694	6	-0.600040	1.359804	0.326771
6	-0.941204	-0.062010	0.051807	6	-0.933508	-0.069774	0.038133	6	-0.933646	-0.067814	0.038035
6	0.154641	-1.071651	0.397148	6	0.154301	-1.072853	0.387656	6	0.152800	-1.074244	0.385005
6	1.525902	-0.604239	-0.062007	6	1.525824	-0.602828	-0.055736	6	1.527273	-0.607628	-0.057358
6	1.803152	0.652662	-0.345243	6	1.787608	0.667644	-0.337333	6	1.794721	0.666900	-0.333306
1	2.713050	1.136639	-0.674932	1	2.707839	1.119233	-0.656012	1	2.716261	1.116636	-0.648631
1	2.292781	-1.374422	-0.153271	1	2.296576	-1.354775	-0.131592	1	2.293170	-1.363216	-0.136407
1	0.171858	-1.229948	1.484361	1	0.154546	-1.230323	1.466379	1	0.151862	-1.236268	1.462950
1	-0.113225	-2.027109	-0.058598	1	-0.102914	-2.017845	-0.074487	1	-0.107210	-2.018085	-0.077895
8	-1.971068	-0.400115	-0.492676	8	-1.949462	-0.404272	-0.482190	8	-1.958805	-0.401997	-0.477385
1	-1.227176	2.085017	-0.163207	1	-1.233767	2.070322	-0.176650	1	-1.223999	2.073983	-0.186205
1	0.613065	1.390999	-0.128739	1	0.642994	1.400653	-0.131829	1	0.652023	1.402195	-0.126299
1	-0.408923	1.579435	1.386277	1	-0.455337	1.570078	1.381234	1	-0.458316	1.578625	1.376690
	TS46 0	CBS-QB3			TS46 C	BS-APNO					
6	-1.355492	1.251425	0.263984	6	-1.517316	1.169571	0.222946				
6	-0.967332	-0.112745	0.038810	6	-0.956075	-0.130496	0.036650				
6	0.248473	-0.674047	0.782419	6	0.259812	-0.565485	0.840499				
6	1.554064	-0.472666	0.030618	6	1.568431	-0.416929	0.081703				

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
6	2.009721	0.679994	-0.450150	6	2.006302	0.689700	-0.483020				
1	2.957741	0.731553	-0.972804	1	2.951118	0.713710	-0.996522				
1	2.151396	-1.368714	-0.113394	1	2.177741	-1.303518	0.021803				
1	0.322007	-0.223447	1.778367	1	0.313048	-0.008376	1.771229				
1	0.066457	-1.741151	0.916081	1	0.112651	-1.607632	1.095908				
8	-1.606356	-0.821863	-0.742179	8	-1.460273	-0.900150	-0.773618				
1	-2.197239	1.644293	-0.292857	1	-2.367127	1.453409	-0.369624				
1	1.462649	1.612459	-0.351327	1	1.446502	1.610201	-0.464706				
1	-0.848764	1.888150	0.979277	1	-1.118682	1.865240	0.938190				
	TS47 (CBS-QB3			TS47 C	BS-APNO					
6	1.713315	-0.962611	-0.483350	6	1.839991	-0.874090	-0.552189				
6	1.186107	0.209681	-0.063920	6	1.212304	0.238895	-0.009779				
6	-0.446242	-0.345923	1.040287	6	-0.472863	-0.478222	1.005349				
6	-1.406619	-0.572665	0.009041	6	-1.459828	-0.596873	-0.030745				
6	-2.203031	0.391354	-0.523752	6	-2.219290	0.437873	-0.494131				
1	-2.908199	0.168061	-1.314616	1	-2.925839	0.296626	-1.291795				
1	-1.479639	-1.580331	-0.392699	1	-1.575789	-1.563436	-0.495794				
1	-0.083616	-1.195368	1.603996	1	-0.150068	-1.383110	1.489157				
1	-0.497717	0.584619	1.593322	1	-0.513678	0.387649	1.643312				
8	1.266014	1.394172	-0.002772	8	1.220269	1.399919	0.048198				
1	2.778128	-0.983373	-0.687974	1	2.876909	-1.011713	-0.300903				
1	-2.154587	1.416859	-0.173910	1	-2.133890	1.425551	-0.075490				
1	1.156339	-1.882853	-0.475777	1	1.258319	-1.716421	-0.865108				
	TS48 (CBS-QB3			TS48 C	BS-APNO			TS	48 G3	
6	-0.262296	1.280085	0.431803	6	-0.244565	1.275203	0.451621	6	-0.247497	1.278497	0.446595
6	-0.908535	-0.000987	0.075971	6	-0.904559	-0.006366	0.067779	6	-0.904455	-0.004874	0.067907
6	0.064447	-1.218545	0.090177	6	0.038222	-1.216293	0.100936	6	0.037876	-1.215919	0.098323
6	1.437784	-0.632514	0.170213	6	1.436254	-0.661535	0.145190	6	1.437174	-0.663642	0.148865
6	1.608822	0.551270	-0.510762	6	1.588098	0.570901	-0.505426	6	1.597223	0.567960	-0.503615
1	1.120971	0.718345	-1.465505	1	1.131267	0.723667	-1.469230	1	1.151551	0.721329	-1.472008
1	2.103731	-0.899528	0.983605	1	2.102051	-0.935739	0.944673	1	2.091737	-0.931811	0.959016
1	-0.169772	-1.891325	0.919991	1	-0.191700	-1.831830	0.965365	1	-0.194459	-1.839091	0.956523
1	-0.133468	-1.776817	-0.832211	1	-0.168036	-1.816313	-0.780693	1	-0.165337	-1.811033	-0.787257
8	-2.061112	-0.094926	-0.286035	8	-2.031245	-0.077726	-0.302181	8	-2.038081	-0.079060	-0.299339

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
1	-0.735369	2.180957	0.050757	1	-0.680765	2.173865	0.048346	1	-0.683379	2.175012	0.039558
1	2.472233	1.182671	-0.326488	1	2.448016	1.189660	-0.311501	1	2.454902	1.186693	-0.302329
1	0.189246	1.369245	1.413709	1	0.128426	1.347030	1.459884	1	0.127705	1.359246	1.452757
	TS49 0	CBS-QB3			TS49 C	BS-APNO			TS	49 G3	
6	-0.056376	-1.220200	-0.121183	6	-0.050534	-1.214830	-0.147250	6	-0.052224	-1.216281	-0.144210
6	0.900532	-0.023685	-0.000009	6	0.892072	-0.022631	-0.004587	6	0.890496	-0.023052	-0.004090
6	0.058856	1.263864	0.015334	6	0.069639	1.263912	0.002378	6	0.070428	1.265645	0.005716
6	-1.352213	0.763951	-0.092055	6	-1.348366	0.772696	-0.082731	6	-1.348128	0.775459	-0.083937
6	-1.422608	-0.581221	-0.106929	6	-1.423207	-0.588777	-0.051893	6	-1.424799	-0.588212	-0.055052
1	-2.211608	1.422113	-0.123918	1	-2.199038	1.427786	-0.107947	1	-2.196884	1.432388	-0.112804
1	0.256675	1.826586	0.936124	1	0.280803	1.830422	0.906092	1	0.280848	1.829937	0.910824
1	0.359675	1.916539	-0.812815	1	0.360218	1.887611	-0.839380	1	0.363644	1.892997	-0.832264
8	2.099282	-0.082330	0.068704	8	2.068463	-0.085833	0.084232	8	2.072753	-0.087506	0.080885
1	0.148629	-1.758318	-1.054436	1	0.113896	-1.679295	-1.117358	1	0.115502	-1.689555	-1.109269
1	-2.335381	-1.143240	-0.256496	1	-2.324921	-1.142520	-0.233385	1	-2.324892	-1.141539	-0.244561
1	0.120046	-1.928024	0.693803	1	0.165667	-1.960078	0.608361	1	0.160654	-1.957400	0.616469
1	-1.901446	-0.893270	1.897156	1	-1.781959	-0.939477	1.814264	1	-1.795531	-0.948131	1.813962
	TS50 C	CBS-QB3			TS50 C	BS-APNO			TS	50 G3	
6	0.076819	-1.225746	0.100608	6	0.069703	-1.220315	0.097906	6	0.071647	-1.221030	0.097959
6	-0.883240	-0.033542	-0.032007	6	-0.880027	-0.034115	-0.025378	6	-0.878555	-0.034085	-0.025930
6	-0.037521	1.196797	-0.066119	6	-0.050610	1.200295	-0.031722	6	-0.052914	1.200832	-0.032787
6	1.265849	0.853448	-0.137810	6	1.266349	0.855039	-0.144118	6	1.267651	0.856738	-0.143124
6	1.493970	-0.630587	-0.009749	6	1.489264	-0.632367	-0.010885	6	1.491954	-0.631427	-0.010714
1	2.115600	-0.852461	0.865307	1	2.095577	-0.856579	0.862656	1	2.098389	-0.858007	0.861646
1	2.086006	1.553294	-0.249677	1	2.074923	1.552550	-0.263395	1	2.075732	1.554162	-0.262138
1	-0.455676	2.182325	-0.219621	1	-0.470394	2.168075	-0.227752	1	-0.473893	2.166752	-0.234184
8	-2.085317	-0.092306	-0.117633	8	-2.061191	-0.093790	-0.123026	8	-2.065939	-0.096341	-0.121930
1	-0.103834	-1.710891	1.063185	1	-0.108667	-1.707364	1.050379	1	-0.104935	-1.710159	1.049541
1	2.044329	-1.013134	-0.876401	1	2.026988	-1.019128	-0.871602	1	2.029289	-1.018657	-0.871188
1	-0.148600	-1.959917	-0.675242	1	-0.155598	-1.939350	-0.680468	1	-0.151322	-1.941603	-0.679519
1	-0.350541	1.577015	1.903978	1	-0.341369	1.540897	1.799572	1	-0.344448	1.552069	1.798855
	TS51 C	CBS-QB3			TS51 C	BS-APNO			TS	51 G3	
6	-0.242085	-1.234301	0.007257	6	-0.264624	-1.197643	-0.104071	6	-0.272274	-1.197367	-0.093201
6	-1.041860	0.061763	0.142698	6	-1.046910	0.102122	-0.006121	6	-1.047238	0.108524	0.006695

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
6	0.657859	1.458671	0.251530	6	0.668691	1.457735	0.171832	6	0.685881	1.459018	0.178085
6	1.399825	0.501001	-0.395272	6	1.515327	0.446815	-0.299591	6	1.514330	0.440163	-0.309362
6	1.269600	-0.893030	0.152069	6	1.211606	-0.919536	0.257150	6	1.210258	-0.925796	0.248811
1	1.551499	-0.927219	1.209406	1	1.325464	-0.922592	1.338255	1	1.339600	-0.933041	1.327747
1	1.678662	0.631179	-1.436406	1	1.926646	0.517432	-1.293507	1	1.906570	0.507939	-1.310439
1	0.441960	2.415578	-0.212694	1	0.546545	2.379382	-0.371637	1	0.562730	2.382157	-0.361559
1	0.560075	1.445039	1.332632	1	0.463718	1.525828	1.228590	1	0.497629	1.528964	1.237172
8	-2.162632	0.287119	-0.154922	8	-2.192001	0.290788	-0.031984	8	-2.198511	0.298078	-0.042593
1	-0.618025	-1.942907	0.752258	1	-0.738931	-1.948449	0.518824	1	-0.740744	-1.940999	0.542458
1	1.883404	-1.623809	-0.376319	1	1.858656	-1.691145	-0.141742	1	1.848352	-1.699687	-0.159839
1	-0.456556	-1.659436	-0.979191	1	-0.350628	-1.523713	-1.138105	1	-0.371799	-1.537216	-1.120965
	TS52 (CBS-QB3			TS52 C	BS-APNO			TS	52 G3	
6	-0.517719	1.276722	0.179974	6	-0.639995	1.120919	0.159367	6	-0.634496	1.144236	0.155305
6	-1.732228	-0.492297	-0.471293	6	-1.616897	-0.508964	-0.401495	6	-1.600247	-0.501960	-0.411710
6	2.103291	-1.165208	-0.111188	6	2.178923	-1.058700	-0.118776	6	2.142483	-1.077953	-0.122100
6	1.601486	-0.057436	0.424178	6	1.589977	-0.013640	0.426068	6	1.575517	-0.022629	0.429528
6	0.865931	1.014218	-0.334208	6	0.788631	1.017391	-0.322076	6	0.797695	1.030372	-0.313950
1	0.826200	0.763989	-1.399750	1	0.799548	0.799951	-1.385023	1	0.814754	0.824045	-1.378752
1	1.713902	0.114239	1.493970	1	1.673751	0.138530	1.492479	1	1.658065	0.120719	1.496437
1	2.626271	-1.900341	0.490072	1	2.741577	-1.758184	0.474414	1	2.686722	-1.796323	0.464664
1	2.008139	-1.378160	-1.171555	1	2.120369	-1.255221	-1.176406	1	2.084897	-1.265761	-1.180655
8	-2.588442	-0.775022	0.227938	8	-2.544820	-0.776692	0.179729	8	-2.522175	-0.789689	0.184350
1	-1.123040	2.015171	-0.336398	1	-1.247239	1.865842	-0.333694	1	-1.235799	1.887787	-0.345731
1	1.442953	1.953367	-0.268216	1	1.262982	1.991834	-0.195853	1	1.283648	1.996954	-0.174725
1	-0.711458	1.175915	1.243595	1	-0.796259	1.088749	1.227722	1	-0.800598	1.117699	1.221528
	TS53 (CBS-QB3			TS53 C	BS-APNO			TS	53 G3	
6	-0.203543	1.133572	-0.116108	6	-0.197681	1.129564	-0.111123	6	-0.201165	1.129904	-0.110746
6	-1.528355	0.549514	-0.086187	6	-1.528896	0.552398	-0.070531	6	-1.531318	0.552012	-0.068441
6	-1.321869	-0.809755	-0.067594	6	-1.312589	-0.813451	-0.074836	6	-1.312855	-0.816517	-0.074943
8	0.006741	-1.086919	0.021545	8	0.010414	-1.076527	0.026855	8	0.012295	-1.078914	0.027087
6	0.680404	0.111966	-0.021116	6	0.669788	0.106748	-0.019628	6	0.670948	0.108166	-0.019330
1	0.037517	2.183597	-0.155418	1	0.050964	2.169471	-0.152917	1	0.043382	2.171046	-0.154607
1	-2.453636	1.037353	-0.347630	1	-2.436738	1.027932	-0.379533	1	-2.436090	1.025938	-0.390155
6	2.162867	0.037560	0.046762	6	2.154281	0.038041	0.042296	6	2.156852	0.040351	0.042089

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
1	2.566168	-0.565239	-0.772513	1	2.543126	-0.556855	-0.778456	1	2.549122	-0.553320	-0.777442
1	2.589686	1.039028	-0.018165	1	2.577072	1.033269	-0.016396	1	2.579715	1.035327	-0.017123
1	2.492454	-0.417475	0.985805	1	2.475864	-0.423401	0.971055	1	2.482834	-0.419479	0.969690
1	-2.037593	0.937945	1.650549	1	-2.029170	0.933083	1.594930	1	-2.040348	0.944054	1.588010
1	-1.985554	-1.656994	-0.049534	1	-1.973849	-1.651081	-0.050593	1	-1.971743	-1.655753	-0.046847
	TS54 0	CBS-QB3			TS54 C	BS-APNO			TS	54 G3	
6	1.462020	-0.722940	-0.134631	6	-1.438242	-0.727285	0.196254	6	-1.444191	-0.724211	0.198330
6	1.497559	0.755267	0.093504	6	-1.505522	0.741800	-0.105676	6	-1.505193	0.745664	-0.104914
6	0.020685	1.170371	-0.043481	6	-0.039524	1.157364	0.026251	6	-0.038421	1.155979	0.023307
6	-0.868225	0.216427	-0.041559	6	0.829905	0.173163	0.038748	6	0.831819	0.167288	0.036461
8	0.389586	-1.302040	0.176041	8	-0.381554	-1.287861	-0.247595	8	-0.387104	-1.292227	-0.249360
1	1.832468	1.025701	1.105167	1	-1.853519	0.946521	-1.116008	1	-1.857840	0.951704	-1.113013
1	-0.218281	2.230067	-0.091772	1	0.237125	2.197736	0.065259	1	0.237992	2.196122	0.064169
6	-2.288898	-0.123598	-0.030225	6	2.282101	-0.092343	0.048470	6	2.287270	-0.090954	0.049901
1	-2.522210	-0.741425	0.843202	1	2.564509	-0.643732	-0.842581	1	2.578785	-0.640274	-0.839163
1	-2.923192	0.773143	0.010671	1	2.845960	0.837594	0.072984	1	2.848766	0.839823	0.077078
1	-2.565426	-0.698849	-0.919149	1	2.558431	-0.688730	0.911774	1	2.567002	-0.686092	0.912748
1	2.176916	-1.211787	-0.808806	1	-1.916378	-1.132296	1.081023	1	-1.914052	-1.123069	1.088940
1	2.164188	1.266313	-0.609295	1	-2.156000	1.269597	0.584025	1	-2.151525	1.277007	0.585608
	TS55 (CBS-QB3			TS55 CBS-APNO			TS55 G3			
6	2.625010	-0.430855	0.052758	6	2.635265	-0.419118	0.039863	6	2.633952	-0.415325	0.041050
6	1.240745	0.022958	0.221760	6	1.206496	-0.062669	0.203854	6	1.203280	-0.062036	0.208286
6	0.393333	0.890233	-0.272262	6	0.408015	0.902963	-0.219014	6	0.403112	0.903673	-0.223679
6	-1.049702	1.031730	0.147434	6	-1.058276	1.030944	0.110033	6	-1.062077	1.033130	0.110442
6	-1.893964	-0.231061	0.067899	6	-1.886184	-0.234250	0.076360	6	-1.884605	-0.236327	0.080139
8	-1.493107	-1.340527	-0.155647	8	-1.498680	-1.323911	-0.155311	8	-1.489242	-1.328004	-0.159375
1	2.658080	-1.487659	-0.230192	1	2.735539	-1.393029	-0.428746	1	2.738658	-1.391972	-0.420362
1	3.200715	-0.320114	0.977204	1	3.138740	-0.459115	1.001006	1	3.143461	-0.447656	0.999113
1	3.137231	0.144166	-0.734733	1	3.146309	0.314258	-0.582731	1	3.143562	0.312774	-0.588032
1	0.728855	1.558608	-1.074343	1	0.828931	1.689338	-0.834792	1	0.819195	1.684827	-0.847803
1	-1.560260	1.799606	-0.445574	1	-1.538949	1.727065	-0.575122	1	-1.544377	1.729142	-0.573356
1	-1.136093	1.377959	1.188008	1	-1.203531	1.465310	1.100031	1	-1.204019	1.470185	1.099523
1	-2.976203	-0.046380	0.259270	1	-2.949496	-0.059756	0.296269	1	-2.944521	-0.071958	0.308489
	-2.923192 0.773143 0.01 -2.565426 -0.698849 -0.91 2.176916 -1.211787 -0.80 2.164188 1.266313 -0.60 TS55 CBS-QB3 2.625010 -0.430855 0.05 1.240745 0.022958 0.22 0.393333 0.890233 -0.27 -1.049702 1.031730 0.14 -1.893964 -0.231061 0.06 -1.493107 -1.340527 -0.15 2.658080 -1.487659 -0.23 3.200715 -0.320114 0.97 3.137231 0.144166 -0.73 0.728855 1.558608 -1.07 -1.560260 1.799606 -0.44 -1.136093 1.377959 1.18 -2.976203 -0.046380 0.25 TS56 CBS-QB3				TS56 C	BS-APNO			TS	56 G3	

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
6	-2.083606	-0.822732	0.112577	6	-2.076067	-0.923549	0.130360	6	-2.080026	-0.930252	0.130728
6	-1.472510	0.472061	-0.130522	6	-1.595353	0.434094	-0.170818	6	-1.613240	0.434303	-0.170479
6	-0.689296	1.413026	-0.244090	6	-0.770908	1.364278	-0.248647	6	-0.785411	1.366783	-0.247182
6	1.310010	0.893036	0.469032	6	1.220857	0.915546	0.515479	6	1.233162	0.916468	0.516032
6	1.617048	-0.290298	-0.298657	6	1.655210	-0.193900	-0.313281	6	1.665617	-0.186930	-0.315655
8	1.263070	-1.421991	0.008047	8	1.490423	-1.348473	-0.018473	8	1.501940	-1.349274	-0.019329
1	-1.299189	-1.550462	0.350888	1	-1.247811	-1.553687	0.438285	1	-1.245214	-1.557413	0.425488
1	-2.621284	-1.177021	-0.771673	1	-2.538712	-1.364301	-0.745921	1	-2.551480	-1.373249	-0.739592
1	-2.788484	-0.778640	0.947413	1	-2.813285	-0.895648	0.925454	1	-2.807532	-0.915734	0.934936
1	-0.486753	2.426153	-0.515171	1	-0.656367	2.380060	-0.541986	1	-0.671932	2.383533	-0.538048
1	1.012144	0.761957	1.501746	1	0.950134	0.692533	1.531127	1	0.955213	0.692934	1.528715
1	1.807210	1.822219	0.211213	1	1.645952	1.885320	0.323869	1	1.643914	1.891685	0.325481
1	2.181925	-0.118833	-1.238837	1	2.134269	0.064701	-1.261604	1	2.140903	0.070198	-1.263006
	TS57 (CBS-QB3			TS57 C	BS-APNO			TS	57 G3	
6	-2.597238	-0.479726	0.059536	6	-2.624312	-0.463728	0.063341	6	-2.622686	-0.459111	0.064088
6	-1.183788	-0.087782	0.028335	6	-1.179561	-0.133690	0.080625	6	-1.175447	-0.134180	0.083339
6	-0.436899	0.982671	-0.063174	6	-0.445825	0.945959	-0.119191	6	-0.441091	0.948689	-0.122720
6	1.080707	1.043923	-0.099283	6	1.061339	1.042914	-0.049927	6	1.066239	1.044544	-0.047296
6	1.765089	-0.250916	0.304680	6	1.783650	-0.243794	0.296918	6	1.782602	-0.246090	0.297049
8	1.600450	-1.302696	-0.255005	8	1.635764	-1.264540	-0.278100	8	1.622346	-1.270796	-0.279774
1	-2.844008	-1.012541	0.983203	1	-2.946800	-0.845357	1.027125	1	-2.951401	-0.834495	1.028059
1	-2.840836	-1.143996	-0.775620	1	-2.835742	-1.223553	-0.682360	1	-2.837689	-1.222607	-0.676537
1	-3.255759	0.400615	-0.007756	1	-3.218232	0.419030	-0.170131	1	-3.216033	0.421750	-0.175633
1	-0.926282	1.962267	-0.137785	1	-0.949183	1.877572	-0.353982	1	-0.940545	1.879699	-0.363836
1	1.432457	1.857268	0.543815	1	1.342095	1.810357	0.666931	1	1.347519	1.808607	0.672599
1	1.407499	1.285139	-1.120263	1	1.446082	1.367980	-1.016126	1	1.455174	1.375190	-1.009608
1	2.456108	-0.176200	1.173884	1	2.503920	-0.175676	1.122753	1	2.506511	-0.184888	1.116386
	TS58 (CBS-QB3			TS58 C	BS-APNO			TS	58 G3	
6	-2.560386	-0.615720	0.000000	6	-2.550347	-0.612864	0.000003	6	-2.553077	-0.614412	-0.000001
6	-1.153478	-0.155274	0.000000	6	-1.133912	-0.155075	0.000003	6	-1.137324	-0.154304	0.000001
6	-0.571813	1.033874	0.000000	6	-0.563260	1.042051	0.000000	6	-0.563510	1.045007	0.000003
6	0.943160	1.065322	0.000000	6	0.947791	1.060834	0.000004	6	0.948058	1.061095	0.000000
6	1.327316	-0.412182	0.000000	6	1.324082	-0.410937	-0.000001	6	1.323517	-0.412696	0.000006
8	2.395084	-0.927568	-0.000001	8	2.364276	-0.932329	-0.000010	8	2.371258	-0.932691	-0.000008

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
1	-2.774374	-1.231560	0.879573	1	-2.759408	-1.219646	0.875889	1	-2.763522	-1.221552	0.874990
1	-2.774375	-1.231560	-0.879573	1	-2.759405	-1.219653	-0.875879	1	-2.763523	-1.221541	-0.874999
1	-3.263427	0.228937	0.000001	1	-3.234524	0.233005	-0.000002	1	-3.240087	0.228554	0.000005
1	-1.125930	1.972989	0.000001	1	-1.117973	1.967774	-0.000004	1	-1.114763	1.971953	0.000007
1	1.375241	1.557997	0.879721	1	1.372482	1.544316	0.876066	1	1.373476	1.545482	0.874946
1	1.375241	1.557998	-0.879721	1	1.372487	1.544323	-0.876052	1	1.373470	1.545473	-0.874954
1	0.118152	-0.930366	0.000000	1	0.066015	-0.935548	0.000006	1	0.058908	-0.934994	0.000017
	TS59 C	CBS-QB3			TS59 C	BS-APNO			TS	59 G3	
6	-2.583099	-0.527405	-0.115478	6	-2.598611	-0.493525	-0.118062	6	-2.598269	-0.494292	-0.119472
6	-1.285358	0.011111	0.396715	6	-1.278945	0.001275	0.395906	6	-1.277466	-0.002830	0.396867
6	-0.420789	0.764216	-0.318472	6	-0.406711	0.745534	-0.314374	6	-0.406950	0.752559	-0.310798
6	0.849052	1.234617	0.170056	6	0.897352	1.170451	0.183328	6	0.897544	1.174608	0.185169
6	2.218255	-0.148039	-0.148567	6	2.164281	-0.131282	-0.181040	6	2.165228	-0.130860	-0.186628
8	1.938479	-1.257060	-0.019251	8	1.938618	-1.229700	-0.013807	8	1.936638	-1.235736	-0.013413
1	-3.426515	-0.175161	0.489878	1	-3.416688	-0.131758	0.500139	1	-3.417023	-0.141655	0.502491
1	-2.601109	-1.622557	-0.064125	1	-2.636236	-1.580015	-0.096116	1	-2.636615	-1.580567	-0.111116
1	-2.761366	-0.233440	-1.152357	1	-2.774747	-0.169239	-1.137926	1	-2.778016	-0.159352	-1.135044
1	-0.662475	0.985585	-1.356419	1	-0.644495	0.997734	-1.335996	1	-0.649225	1.014761	-1.328188
1	0.990944	1.259363	1.248229	1	1.003944	1.190034	1.258635	1	1.006510	1.191671	1.260011
1	1.317439	2.064351	-0.345185	1	1.319882	2.043742	-0.282954	1	1.321577	2.048277	-0.278391
1	-1.033112	-0.228660	1.428464	1	-1.024795	-0.267615	1.410119	1	-1.020834	-0.282350	1.406719
	TS60 C	CBS-QB3			TS60 C	BS-APNO			TS	50 G3	
6	-0.139543	1.193132	0.025427	6	-0.136739	1.190993	0.024434	6	-0.137945	1.192584	0.024205
6	-1.475950	0.703194	-0.067057	6	-1.473576	0.705063	-0.064224	6	-1.473661	0.707364	-0.063529
6	-1.378818	-0.660402	-0.125953	6	-1.371391	-0.668222	-0.088280	6	-1.373029	-0.668689	-0.087174
8	-0.064113	-1.032701	-0.127841	8	-0.066059	-1.022107	-0.125643	8	-0.065812	-1.023817	-0.126366
6	0.682614	0.104368	-0.013036	6	0.673831	0.103771	-0.012569	6	0.675732	0.104816	-0.013892
1	0.174043	2.221256	0.113223	1	0.180790	2.210008	0.106097	1	0.177825	2.212445	0.106648
1	-2.389149	1.276432	-0.063688	1	-2.381862	1.270762	-0.061980	1	-2.381223	1.274583	-0.060941
1	-1.879562	-1.085956	1.891196	1	-1.829313	-1.110774	1.739608	1	-1.857489	-1.124870	1.737272
1	-2.089375	-1.442606	-0.327018	1	-2.072260	-1.421592	-0.373639	1	-2.070425	-1.419740	-0.386750
6	2.156954	-0.068244	0.049261	6	2.149813	-0.069236	0.044052	6	2.152615	-0.070009	0.045736
1	2.448616	-0.660839	0.921858	1	2.433720	-0.665156	0.906243	1	2.438141	-0.660178	0.910995
1	2.532135	-0.583928	-0.840085	1	2.510212	-0.576864	-0.845753	1	2.517075	-0.582755	-0.838987

Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

At. No.	X	Y	Z	At. No.	X	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
1	2.644651	0.904963	0.115390	1	2.635564	0.896259	0.114090	1	2.640318	0.894655	0.110609
	TS61 C	CBS-QB3									
6	-0.690254	-0.007416	0.030733								
6	0.138869	1.123293	0.118224								
6	1.476463	0.771140	-0.073064								
6	1.712583	-0.618316	0.083740								
8	-0.120800	-1.159536	-0.092285								
1	-0.247869	2.133227	0.123432								
1	2.197763	1.433002	-0.541049								
6	-2.189329	0.035968	-0.026021								
1	-2.535014	-0.448165	-0.943795								
1	-2.619015	-0.519335	0.813022								
1	-2.561524	1.061219	0.002109								
1	2.403442	-1.133701	-0.581621								
1	1.638622	-1.077967	1.064514								
	TS62 0	CBS-QB3			TS62 C	BS-APNO					
6	0.525464	0.405736	-0.268022	6	-0.634691	0.579685	0.246220				
6	-0.226716	-0.694700	-0.443425	6	0.391045	-0.018779	0.971518				
6	-1.641418	-0.713719	-0.092046	6	1.640870	-0.404661	0.399855				
6	-2.382277	0.286698	0.406085	6	2.101667	-0.053094	-0.837383				
8	0.814239	1.536721	-0.196586	8	-1.140723	1.528777	-0.170072				
1	0.251880	-1.594174	-0.795539	1	0.091661	-0.410882	1.926248				
1	-2.117629	-1.674108	-0.271022	1	2.265455	-1.018365	1.025854				
6	2.516814	-0.666980	0.408309	6	-2.047884	-1.248136	-0.377281				
1	2.750624	0.121099	1.111112	1	-2.067108	-0.976940	-1.418306				
1	2.285708	-1.645466	0.806612	1	-1.562960	-2.173240	-0.119058				
1	2.968393	-0.613430	-0.575246	1	-2.898264	-0.955042	0.214444				
1	-3.436753	0.145622	0.604973	1	3.068779	-0.377814	-1.173512				
1	-1.967329	1.264479	0.626385	1	1.522180	0.551977	-1.512675				
	TS63 C	CBS-QB3			TS63 C	BS-APNO			TS	63 G3	
6	-1.718519	-0.067883	-0.012818	6	-1.734754	-0.048217	-0.031313	6	-1.732961	-0.053512	-0.028654
6	-0.674954	0.910584	0.543122	6	-0.673887	0.858003	0.579517	6	-0.679685	0.863953	0.576314
6	0.544795	1.078284	-0.313228	6	0.530899	1.062920	-0.303166	6	0.526878	1.066694	-0.305637
6	1.636654	0.218718	-0.331605	6	1.650729	0.235485	-0.348860	6	1.646375	0.236682	-0.348753

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
8	-1.470669	-1.176428	-0.403254	8	-1.529566	-1.155444	-0.386429	8	-1.512103	-1.162202	-0.389497
1	-0.401589	0.518442	1.532825	1	-0.384293	0.405719	1.524421	1	-0.389022	0.422908	1.526055
1	0.557235	1.938748	-0.973215	1	0.511060	1.921935	-0.951465	1	0.506290	1.923493	-0.956125
6	1.779569	-0.950134	0.386200	6	1.842330	-0.938968	0.364773	6	1.837661	-0.936308	0.369829
1	0.987726	-1.337545	1.014090	1	1.083663	-1.350196	1.003559	1	1.079287	-1.346362	1.008884
1	2.684440	-1.539593	0.311992	1	2.756692	-1.494293	0.263847	1	2.750545	-1.493729	0.272362
1	2.458966	0.506107	-0.983189	1	2.443944	0.546075	-1.009869	1	2.440575	0.544557	-1.009197
1	-2.764365	0.312398	-0.013673	1	-2.742063	0.380820	-0.114009	1	-2.744678	0.358781	-0.103661
1	-1.162331	1.875452	0.707182	1	-1.124381	1.818156	0.809244	1	-1.135781	1.822909	0.799066
	TS64 (CBS-QB3									
6	-0.982876	-0.042605	0.037334								
6	0.061462	-1.090928	0.160119								
6	1.370295	-0.831038	0.018220								
6	1.925202	0.536855	-0.146826								
8	-2.146450	-0.332201	-0.183138								
1	-0.306312	-2.107628	0.243689								
1	2.070832	-1.665426	-0.015275								
6	-0.514213	1.378832	0.122389								
1	-1.050589	2.066390	-0.528560								
1	-0.418009	1.749448	1.143700								
1	0.781854	1.261681	-0.205695								
1	2.512117	0.697276	-1.052537								
1	2.422483	0.949169	0.732365								
	TS65 (CBS-QB3			TS65 C	BS-APNO					
6	0.976422	-0.149377	0.038170	6	1.963898	0.518070	0.671407				
6	-0.232096	-0.479784	0.875943	6	1.486499	-0.286412	-0.504347				
6	-1.494790	-0.230305	0.533003	6	0.235149	-0.573487	-0.811808				
6	-1.961457	0.444864	-0.723137	6	-0.972337	-0.149285	-0.025203				
8	1.411416	-0.953367	-0.795450	6	-1.630222	1.072147	-0.355615				
1	-0.019860	-0.994524	1.810167	1	-2.506085	1.354285	0.199609				
1	-2.279090	-0.551004	1.215524	1	1.147673	0.871518	1.289208				
6	1.632107	1.107598	0.251111	1	2.620352	-0.084081	1.293677				
1	2.509188	1.339816	-0.341808	1	2.538447	1.377385	0.334993				
1	1.278410	1.820801	0.985775	1	2.261046	-0.669633	-1.150406				

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
1	-1.134458	0.738848	-1.371605	1	0.028993	-1.174799	-1.682963				
1	-2.551975	1.337617	-0.489673	8	-1.414396	-0.858759	0.876563				
1	-2.614660	-0.222606	-1.295319	1	-1.273180	1.709199	-1.143231				
	TS66 (CBS-QB3			TS66 C	BS-APNO			TS	66 G3	
6	-1.336434	0.283531	-0.061830	6	-1.268963	0.224916	-0.065162	6	-1.282131	0.232052	-0.061802
6	0.393236	-1.015376	-0.477449	6	0.344723	-0.910930	-0.591270	6	0.348697	-0.922840	-0.573463
6	1.610499	-0.676631	-0.141174	6	1.572680	-0.630341	-0.194988	6	1.583755	-0.644081	-0.184208
6	2.092523	0.610715	0.467525	6	2.040345	0.583764	0.556336	6	2.061121	0.584730	0.538354
8	-0.947743	1.305688	-0.518672	8	-1.000544	1.239592	-0.602392	8	-0.990660	1.257526	-0.582888
1	-0.085128	-1.861805	-0.946643	1	-0.059841	-1.741414	-1.134042	1	-0.060650	-1.764846	-1.093533
1	2.380248	-1.438196	-0.316695	1	2.329760	-1.367602	-0.432571	1	2.336076	-1.390521	-0.403569
6	-2.158652	-0.566485	0.536650	6	-2.035000	-0.560347	0.664243	6	-2.068778	-0.560457	0.637077
1	-3.095191	-0.161414	0.902379	1	-2.956209	-0.149468	1.033138	1	-2.997331	-0.154277	0.992152
1	-1.918532	-1.601058	0.703137	1	-1.755669	-1.563305	0.899405	1	-1.802007	-1.568425	0.866778
1	1.287611	1.337638	0.554468	1	1.238024	1.286692	0.727818	1	1.264949	1.298754	0.690228
1	2.888084	1.041056	-0.148244	1	2.824039	1.086373	-0.003302	1	2.850571	1.068563	-0.029103
1	2.517819	0.423756	1.458644	1	2.461534	0.289615	1.513734	1	2.477689	0.314118	1.504403
	TS67 (CBS-QB3			TS67 C	BS-APNO			TS	67 G3	
6	-1.078127	0.229594	-0.186645	6	-1.069414	0.210941	-0.125253	6	-1.068383	0.210364	-0.126288
6	-0.046000	-0.754762	-0.567723	6	-0.024159	-0.678826	-0.632165	6	-0.023848	-0.680751	-0.632521
6	1.347354	-0.569766	-0.368295	6	1.375030	-0.482825	-0.446657	6	1.375232	-0.488167	-0.442175
6	1.953020	0.549509	0.412816	6	1.964608	0.580412	0.433583	6	1.966400	0.579049	0.433215
8	-1.266627	1.415223	-0.267931	8	-1.452102	1.316872	-0.245881	8	-1.445737	1.325029	-0.245909
1	-0.353886	-1.549043	-1.237571	1	-0.342726	-1.393990	-1.369656	1	-0.340657	-1.396036	-1.370969
1	2.010149	-1.337432	-0.755012	1	2.031060	-1.252487	-0.816217	1	2.031200	-1.259998	-0.805867
6	-1.320958	-0.871907	0.718915	6	-1.199830	-0.939591	0.751571	6	-1.208629	-0.939813	0.748858
1	-1.913909	-1.727824	0.430999	1	-1.878015	-1.735357	0.508046	1	-1.891069	-1.731877	0.504227
1	-1.121820	-0.732987	1.777217	1	-0.853322	-0.857001	1.766366	1	-0.868340	-0.862296	1.766049
1	1.279354	1.404516	0.494200	1	1.327167	1.455551	0.490706	1	1.326165	1.451414	0.493706
1	2.882509	0.891562	-0.053314	1	2.931488	0.894124	0.053250	1	2.928912	0.897828	0.046533
1	2.218886	0.233426	1.432521	1	2.123761	0.213526	1.448075	1	2.135040	0.216637	1.447061
	TS68 (CBS-QB3			TS68 C	BS-APNO			TS	68 G3	
6	-1.207609	0.154176	-0.175231	6	-1.247090	0.122422	-0.168677	6	-1.250910	0.114349	-0.172689
6	0.036892	-0.951958	-0.473976	6	0.098733	-0.947870	-0.449913	6	0.102862	-0.948684	-0.448654

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
6	1.319323	-0.396152	-0.500719	6	1.368061	-0.340422	-0.525780	6	1.369066	-0.333377	-0.531539
6	1.819058	0.657157	0.433675	6	1.875922	0.716917	0.411797	6	1.876968	0.719966	0.411565
8	-1.456213	1.317678	-0.280076	8	-1.674407	1.206509	-0.271500	8	-1.687397	1.203455	-0.268224
1	-0.204920	-1.731805	-1.186525	1	-0.125224	-1.732948	-1.148604	1	-0.119009	-1.735430	-1.146455
1	1.913244	-0.586758	-1.389501	1	1.914375	-0.485533	-1.442491	1	1.911676	-0.471937	-1.450662
6	-0.929440	-0.903607	0.764033	6	-0.843930	-0.895581	0.774331	6	-0.834908	-0.896075	0.775981
1	-1.596911	-1.760140	0.735834	1	-1.481047	-1.765464	0.814632	1	-1.464295	-1.771124	0.831896
1	-0.557776	-0.669249	1.763615	1	-0.467806	-0.595805	1.742284	1	-0.455103	-0.586978	1.739421
1	1.556596	1.664301	0.073288	1	1.544442	1.708589	0.104020	1	1.499770	1.706559	0.145418
1	2.908106	0.620842	0.517578	1	2.960662	0.724106	0.420075	1	2.959824	0.766453	0.378957
1	1.402019	0.563685	1.439629	1	1.539681	0.562186	1.431536	1	1.587845	0.527749	1.439238
	TS69 (CBS-QB3									
6	1.784265	-0.086456	-0.425171								
6	-0.511857	0.885515	0.084484								
6	-1.779127	0.556103	-0.168910								
6	-2.423918	-0.795648	-0.077815								
8	2.913821	-0.279242	-0.145049								
1	-0.221706	1.925663	-0.038432								
1	-2.443453	1.359351	-0.480482								
6	0.589781	-0.028311	0.539522								
1	0.986691	0.272507	1.518320								
1	0.252589	-1.065563	0.627477								
1	-2.845669	-1.084868	-1.046457								
1	-3.257303	-0.777191	0.632941								
1	-1.736587	-1.583177	0.234364								
	TS70 C	CBS-QB3			TS70 C	BS-APNO			TS	70 G3	
6	1.997500	-0.118289	0.097655	6	1.948634	-0.121953	0.055853	6	1.950568	-0.119289	0.049411
6	-0.478966	1.089098	-0.399602	6	-0.446999	1.097932	-0.374995	6	-0.447231	1.102600	-0.373726
6	-1.486000	0.188520	-0.498647	6	-1.484258	0.237473	-0.479190	6	-1.485035	0.237270	-0.479069
6	-1.774441	-0.950157	0.428645	6	-1.789999	-0.934985	0.407263	6	-1.787071	-0.937162	0.407206
8	1.728311	-1.124438	-0.392561	8	1.685823	-1.131801	-0.387703	8	1.680800	-1.140567	-0.383479
1	-0.397469	1.832339	-1.188446	1	-0.361534	1.865784	-1.126330	1	-0.363682	1.871178	-1.123928
1	-2.165602	0.291283	-1.340884	1	-2.176929	0.399421	-1.289609	1	-2.179109	0.397525	-1.287746
6	0.549234	1.121647	0.607865	6	0.626567	1.051059	0.613341	6	0.627295	1.057106	0.611847

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z	At. No.	Х	Y	Z
1	1.123915	2.034827	0.702794	1	1.170181	1.970637	0.744300	1	1.172034	1.975764	0.742503
1	0.355757	0.640196	1.562425	1	0.399822	0.582270	1.558530	1	0.402406	0.588889	1.557489
1	-1.658056	-1.907257	-0.092767	1	-1.758442	-1.856569	-0.167984	1	-1.754372	-1.859688	-0.165795
1	-2.810934	-0.911085	0.782439	1	-2.791523	-0.846986	0.821347	1	-2.787203	-0.854051	0.824700
1	-1.118059	-0.969706	1.299432	1	-1.091832	-1.037310	1.227744	1	-1.087636	-1.038235	1.226589

Table 16: Cartesian coordinates of all transition state structures.

References

- [1] J.M. Simmie, W.K. Metcalfe, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2011, 115, 8877–8888.
- [2] A.C. Davis, S. M. Sarathy, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2013, 117, 7670–7685.
- [3] K. Sendt, G.B. Backsay, J.C. Mackie, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2000, 104, 1861–1875.
- [4] Z. Tian, T. Yuan, R. Fournet, P.-A. Glaude, B. Sirjean, R. Battin-Leclerc, K. Zhang, F. Qi, Combust. Flame, 2011, 158, 756–773.
- [5] K.P. Somers, J.M. Simmie, F. Gillespie, U. Burke, J. Connolly, W.K. Metcalfe, F. Battin-Leclerc, P. Dirrenberger, O. Herbinet, P.-A. Glaude, H.J. Curran, Proc. Combust. Inst., 2013, 34, 225–232.
- [6] L. Wei, C. Tang, X. Man, Z. Huang, Energy Fuels, 2013, Just Accepted Manuscript. DOI: 10.1021/ef401809y
- [7] X. Ma, C. Jian, H. Xu, H. Ding, S. Shuai, H. Ding, Energy Fuels, 2013, 27, 6212–6221.
- [8] L.-S. Tran, C. Togbé, D. Liu, D. Felsmann, P. Oßwald, P.-A. Glaude, R. Fournet, B. Sirjean, F. Battin-Leclerc, K. Kohse-Höinghaus, Combust. Flame, 2013, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.combustflame.2013.05.027