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ABSTRACT

We report on observations of the polarization of optical and γ-ray photons from the Crab nebula and pulsar system using the Galway Astronomical Stokes Polarimeter (GASP), the Hubble Space Telescope/Advanced Camera for Surveys (HST/ACS) and the International Gamma-Ray Astrophysics Laboratory satellite (Integral). These, when combined with other optical polarization observations, suggest that the polarized optical emission and γ-ray polarization changes in a similar manner. A change in the optical polarization angle has been observed by this work, from $109.5 \pm 0.7^\circ$ in 2005 to $85.3 \pm 1.4^\circ$ in 2012. On the other hand, the γ-ray polarization angle changed from $115 \pm 11^\circ$ in 2003–2007 to $80 \pm 12^\circ$ in 2012–2014. Strong flaring activities have been detected in the Crab nebula over the past few years by the high energy γ-ray missions Agile and Fermi, and magnetic reconnection processes have been suggested to explain these observations. The change in the polarized optical and γ-ray emission of the Crab nebula/pulsar as observed, for the first time, by GASP and Integral may indicate that reconnection is possibly at work in the Crab nebula. We also report, for the first time, a non-zero measure of the optical circular polarization from the Crab pulsar+knot system.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The Crab pulsar, located in the Crab nebula, is a remnant of the supernova which occurred in 1054 (Duyvenday 1942; Mayall & Oort 1942). As such the pulsar is one of the rare examples of a pulsar of known age. As a young pulsar it powers its surrounding nebula that radiates at all electromagnetic frequencies from the radio to TeV γ-rays (Hester 2008). Polarized emission from the nebula and pulsar have been described by a number of authors; optical (Smith et al. 1988; Slowikowska et al. 2009; Moran et al. 2013); X-ray (Weisskopf et al. 1978) and γ-ray (Dean et al. 2008; Forot et al. 2008) with the IBIS and SPI telescopes onboard Integral (Ubertini et al. 2003; Vedrenne et al. 2003). In particular the phase-resolved optical observations show a change in polarization consistent in shape with a beam of synchrotron radiation being beamed from both poles of an orthogonal rotator. Furthermore, Slowikowska et al. (2009) indicate the presence of a highly polarized DC component which most likely corresponds to the nearby synchrotron emitting knot (Moran et al. 2013). Since the original Integral γ-ray observations, the Crab has been observed twice a year improving the statistics for determining the γ-ray polarization.

Optical and X-ray observations show spatial and some flux variability of the inner nebula (Bietenholz et al. 2001). Indeed this was observed in some early optical studies (Scargle 1969). However, the flux from the whole nebula was expected to be constant at the level of a few percent (Kirsch et al. 2005; Weisskopf et al. 2010). Since 2007 strong γ-ray flares have been observed by the Agile and Fermi γ-ray telescopes (Abdo et al. 2011; Tavani et al. 2011; Striani et al. 2013) at a rate of about 1 per year. Subsequent X-ray, optical and radio observations did not show any significant flux variation across the nebula (Lobanov et al. 2011; Morii et al. 2011a,b, Weisskopf et al. 2013) during these flaring events. The flares are of relatively short duration lasting from about...
4 to 16 days. Within the flares, no changes of the pulsar’s temporal properties such as spin-down rate were observed (Abdo et al. 2011). Here we report upon polarization studies of the Crab pulsar at optical and γ-ray wavelengths. Our aim was to see if the polarization was different after the flare events of 2010 to 2013 and whether the optical and γ-ray polarization was similar, possibly indicating a common origin. Throughout this paper the term polarization refers to linear polarization unless otherwise explicitly specified.

2 OBSERVATIONS

Optical polarimetry observations of the Crab pulsar were taken on 2012 November 12 using GASP (Collins et al. 2013) on the 200” Hale telescope. Although GASP is normally capable of faster observations these were time averaged with an exposure time of 3 minutes using an R band filter centred at 650 nm. GASP used 2 Andor iXon Ultra 897 EMCCDs for these observations, and for this particular data set used a low level EM gain (∼ 10) to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. These detectors were liquid cooled using an optimum temperature setting of -90°C. A set of polarization standards were also observed on the same night during suitable seeing, but variable atmospheric conditions. Figure 1 shows the optical layout of GASP and Figure 2 shows the reflected and transmitted (RP and TP) images of the nebula. These images are subject to optical distortion, which has been measured and discussed in greater detail by Kyne (2014). It was not possible to completely remove this in post-processing. As a comparison to the GASP measurement of the pulsar+knot polarization and to determine for comparison the polarization pre 2012, we re-examined the HST/ACS observations of the Crab from 2005 (see Figure 3).

The Crab nebula is repeatedly observed by Integral/IBIS (Ubertini et al. 2003) since its launch in 2002. The angular resolution of IBIS is ∼ 12”, hence the IBIS measurement encompasses the entire nebula and pulsar. In order to compare with the GASP observations only phase-averaged polarization is considered in the 300–450 keV energy band, which was chosen to optimize the signal to noise ratio.

3 DATA REDUCTION

3.1 Optical Data

As an imaging polarimeter GASP is calibrated using a pixel-by-pixel Eigenvalue Calibration Method (ECM) (Compain et al. 1999), to establish a uniform calibration. Errors in focus, image registration, and optical distortion can contribute to a non-uniform field of view (FOV) calibration; if this is the case, then it will not be possible to spatially recover the target’s Stokes parameters correctly, or in any case it will limit the FOV over which the calibration is valid. It is important to establish a uniformly calibrated field for situations when the science goal requires multiple targets in the FOV, or to measure spatial variability in the target.

In the case of an imaging polarimeter, the light is divided over multiple pixels, which is dependent on the sampling and sensitivity of the instrument. As the incoming light beam is split 4 ways, every pixel on the reference channel must be matched to the remaining 3 channels; a pixel-by-pixel ECM will measure how well this matching has been performed, determining how well the system is calibrated. A pixel-by-pixel analysis is the preferred method of analysis when calibrating imaging polarimeters, particularly when there is division of the incoming beam. This is because each pixel can have different properties, such as gain or noise, or the detector chip may contain dead or saturated pixels (no useful information is gathered). Averaging over a number of pixels can help to reduce detector variations, however, this will lead to the loss of polarimetric resolution.

PYRAF and MATLAB were used to perform the GASP image analysis including bias subtraction, image registration, image combination, calibration, and aperture photometry. All calibration images were debiased; each detector using its own master bias frame. Flat-fielding is not performed on GASP data as the ECM procedure takes into account any pixel-to-pixel sensitivity variation between images. It does not remove artefacts or optical distortions contributed by the telescope, however, these effects will be present in all channels. PYRAF was used to perform image registration using observational target centroid information. The first channel of the reflected path (RP1) was selected as the reference channel, and all other calibration and science channels are mapped to RP1 using geomap. A systematic error in spatial registration was approximated to be 1 pixel.

All GASP data was recorded using 2 EMCCD detectors, i.e. both RP channels are recorded on one detector, and the transmitted path (TP) channels on the other. A full description of this reduction can be found in Kyne (2014). Common data points (from science images) were matched between the reference and input images, producing a geometrical transformation which the IRAF command gregister used to register the images. The minimum function that could be used to fit the data was a 3rd order polynomial, which includes higher order distortions in the registration solution. A function of this order requires at least 10 matched points. A data point “outlier” could grossly distort the transformation, therefore RMS value checks were carried out to find the best combination of points. The RMS value of the fit is only significant in the region where the points are located; however, RMS values of matched points that are higher than 1 pixel were normally rejected.

Aperture photometry was carried out on a number of polarization standards. Only two standards were analysed in detail as they were found to have the best observational seeing. A 0% standard HD12021 and, closer in time to the Crab pulsar observations, a 6% standard BD25727. Observations of each target were made in both a Clear and a R Band filter. The results of these can be found in Table 1.

The size of the radial aperture was chosen based on the percentage of light contained in the FWHM of the target, after fitting a radial profile to the PSF. It was found that the FWHM showed very little variation in size between all 4 channels after calibration. The total flux was calculated from each channel for these targets. A sky background annulus was fitted so that the wings of the targets were not sampled – an area free from target light. It was found that sky subtraction made little difference to the polarimetric result. It is clear that the results from HD12021 are not comparable with that of Schmidt et al. (1992) or Turnshek et al. (1990). Kyne (2014) shows that analysis of this tar-
Table 1. Polarimetric results for the polarization standards observed by GASP [Kyne 2014]. The data is sky subtracted, and the polarization angle has been corrected for telescope orientation. A radial aperture was used to the radius of the FWHM was used. The expected result for the polarization standards are also stated (Schmidt et al. 1992; Turnshek et al. 1990).

\[
P = \sqrt{P_0^2 + p^2 + 2P_0p \cos(\chi_0 - \varphi)},
\]

where \(P\) is the observed polarization, and \(P_0\) and \(\chi_0\) are the expected polarization and polarization angle, respectively. From this expression, it is clear that when \(P_0 \gg p\), then \(P \approx P_0\). In the case that an object and the measured instrumental polarization are comparable \((p \approx P_0)\), the observed polarization is approximately given by,

\[
P = \sqrt{2P_0\sqrt{1 + \cos(2(\chi_0 - \varphi))}}.
\]

The main difference between instrumental polarization and a polarized background is that the latter is effective only when the background is \(\gtrsim 1\) [Patat & Romaniello 2006]; discuss this in more detail), while the former acts regardless of the object intensity; the important element in the measurement is its polarization. The authors also discuss how an average instrumental polarization can be calculated when a known source of a particular polarization is observed. Therefore, in the case of polarimetric observations with GASP, in poor conditions, weakly polarized targets will most probably be affected in this way. HD12021 was used to measure the instrumental polarization as it is a 0% polarization standard. Equation 2 is used for \(p \approx P_0\) for the clear filter observation. The polarization that should have been measured by GASP is 0.07\(\pm\)0.2%. Therefore, it is expected that if HD12021 was observed again, in similar conditions, that it would measure a value for the polarization of 6.82\(\pm\)6.80%. A similar approach is applied to BD25727. Using the same filter and Equation 2 as \(p \approx P_0\), i.e., the instrumental polarization is equal to the expected value in the literature, and this gives a polarization of 7.0%. This is in agreement with what was observed by GASP. The same approach is used for the R Band filter, see [Kyne 2014] for more detail.

The results of this instrumental polarization check indicate that this calculation is only valid for sources which are weakly polarized where GASP overestimates the polarization. The value for the polarization is biased in such a way that it can never be negative, so any error contributors are added. [Collins et al. 2013] discusses this using polarimetric simulations for various noise affects. It is also possible that the instrumental polarization changed over the course of the night, but we did not repeat the HD12021 observation. The atmospheric variation between these targets could also lead to an error in using this instrumental polarization.

The flux from each channel, \(I\), is converted to a vector and a system matrix [Kyne 2014], \(A\), is used to calculate the Stokes vector, \(S\). The relationship can be found below:

\[
S = A^{-1}I
\]

The same approach was used to calculate the flux for the pulsar for each channel. However, sky subtraction was not carried out as it was not possible to find an area of the FOV that was flat enough, and free from light from the surrounding nebula. Light from the wings of the pulsar and Trimble 28 extended too far into the surrounding sky. A standard aperture photometry method was used to calculate the total flux from each channel for the pulsar.

The optical observations by GASP were subject to errors from optical distortion. This meant that there was a limited FOV where a flat sky field could be used to determine a sky value for subtraction. Hence, the polarimetric results for the Crab pulsar and Trimble 28 were not sky subtracted. The analysis from the GASP polarimetric standards imply that sky subtraction shows minor changes to the polarimetry. It was not possible to find a flat section of the nebula to find consistent polarimetric results, and an area of the FOV that was not contaminated by flux from the wings of the targets. Trimble 28 has been measured by HST/ACS, and was found to show very low polarization – it could be considered a 0% standard, particularly as it was found to fluctuate over time. The value for the polarization angle varies greatly (expected for a 0% standard) and the value found by GASP falls close to this measured range. An instrumental polarization measurement was also applied to this data. The R Band filter data for HD12021 was used as a comparison, and the result from S lowikowska et al. [2009] and Moran et al. [2013] used as the expected measurement. Using Equation 1 an expected value of 0.1% for Trimble 28 is calculated. The Crab pulsar was also examined using Equation 2. A polarization of 10.2% was calculated, within the calculated error limits. The measurement for Trimble 28 is not conclusive; it has yet to be confirmed what are the lower limits.
limits for instrumental effects, however, as it is expected to be weakly polarized it should be treated in the same way as HD12021.

As a comparison to the GASP measurement of the pulsar+knot polarization with pre 2012 observations, we re-examined the ten HST/ACS observations of the Crab from 2005 September 06 to 2005 November 25 inclusive. In order to determine the polarization of the pulsar+knot, aperture photometry was first performed on the pulsar and synchrotron knot in each polarized image (0°, 60°, and 120°) using the IRAF task phot with a radius of 0.8″, which fully encapsulates the flux from both the pulsar and knot. These flux values were then converted into the corresponding Stokes parameters and hence degree of linear polarization and polarization position angle (Moran et al. 2013). The sky counts were measured in a region close to, but beyond the pulsar and knot. The mean and standard error of these 10 pulsar+knot polarization measurements are quoted in Table 2.

It is noted that (Patat & Romaniello 2006) does not include an instrumental error calculation for Stokes V. To date, the literature provides little reference to an analytical solution for instrumental circular polarisation error, as it does for linear. There are references to measurements for faint standard stars, white dwarfs, and some quasars, but only measured values are quoted. These circular polarisation values are very low in point sources and some work has been done on quasars, much less than 1% (Rich & Williams 1973; Impey et al. 1995; Hutsemékers et al. 2014). We do not anticipate that the 1% level of circular polarisation detected in this work will change the (Patat & Romaniello 2006) analysis of the instrumental error in linear polarisation significantly. However more work is required, beyond the scope of this paper, to confirm this.

Figure 1. 2D optical layout of the GASP instrument using 2 Andor iXon Ultra 897 EMCCDs. The beam is split by the main beamsplitter prism Fresnel-rhomb generating the reflected and transmitted paths, RP and TP respectively. Each of these beams is passed through a Wollaston prism (polarizing beamsplitter) resulting in 4 intensity beams. A 90° phase shift is generated by the TP (which essentially acts as a quarter-wave plate) which measures circular polarization. The two images from the reflected path are shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2. The reflected and transmitted path images, RP1 (top left), RP2 (top right), TP1 (bottom left) and TP2 (bottom right), of a small section of the Crab nebula (~ 20″) where the Crab pulsar and the star Trimble 28, located North-East of the pulsar (Trimble 1968), can be seen. The polarization angle from the pulsar/knot is marked. It is clear that GASP suffers from large optical distortion errors, in particular the TP images; it was not possible to completely remove this using image registration techniques during post-processing.

Figure 3. Wide field image of the Crab nebula (Moran et al. 2013) showing the location of the knot with respect to the other features in the inner nebula.
IBIS, in its Compton mode, is a coded-aperture Compton telescope. This design provides high-energy response, low background, and a wide field-of-view. Moreover, utilizing the imaging properties of the coded mask, a high angular resolution for gamma-ray astronomy and background subtraction is achieved. The polarization of celestial sources is measured using Compton scattering properties. Photons entering IBIS are Compton scattered in ISGRI (Integral Soft Gamma-Ray Imager) \cite{Lebrun2003}, the first detector plane, at a polar angle \( \theta_{\text{com}} \), from their incident direction and at an azimuth, \( \psi \), from their incident electric vector (see Figure 1 of \cite{Forot2007}). The photons are then absorbed in the second detector, PiCsIT (Pixelated Cesium Iodide Telescope) \cite{DiCocco2003}. Hence, the polarization of sources can be measured, since the scattering azimuth is related to the polarization direction. Indeed, the azimuthal profile \( N(\psi) \), in Compton counts recorded per azimuth bin, follows the relation

\[
N(\psi) = S[1 + a_0 \cos(2\psi - 2\psi_0)]
\]  

(4)

for a source polarized at an angle PA = \( \psi_0 \) and with a polarization fraction \( PF = a_0 / a_{100} \). The \( a_{100} \) amplitude is the measured amplitude for a 100% polarized source. \( S \) is the source mean count rate. The IBIS and SPI polarization capabilities were not calibrated on the ground, due to the tight planning of the satellite. For IBIS, \( a_{100} \) has been evaluated to be 0.29±0.03 based upon GEANT3 Monte-Carlo simulations of a \( E^{-2.4} \) Crab-like spectrum between 300 and 450 keV. To measure \( N(\psi) \), one first needs to optimize the source signal to noise ratio. We thus use only observations at off-axis angles < 7\(^\circ\) in the 300–450 keV energy range. Based on these selection criteria, the Crab was detected with a signal to noise of 12.9 during the 2003–2007 observations, and of 15.4 during the 2012–2014 period.

After data selection, the ISGRI detector images are decoded to remove the background and get the source counts. The whole process is applied for events in regularly spaced bins in azimuth to derive \( N(\psi) \). The errors on \( N(\psi) \), are dominated by statistic fluctuations in the background dominated observations. Confidence intervals on \( a_0 \) and \( \psi_0 \) are not given by the \( N(\psi) \) fit to the data since the variables are not independent. They have been derived from the probability density distribution of measuring a and \( \psi \) from \( N_{\text{pt}} \) independent data points in \( N(\psi) \) over a \( \tau \) period, based on Gaussian distributions for the orthogonal Stokes components \cite{Vinokur1965, Vaillancourt2006, Forot2007, Maier2014}:

\[
dP(a, \psi) = \frac{N_{\text{pt}} S^2}{\pi \sigma_S} \times 
\exp\left[-\frac{N_{\text{pt}} S^2}{2 \sigma_S^2} [a^2 + a_0^2 - 2a_0 \cos(2\psi - 2\psi_0)]\right] \, da \, d\psi
\]  

(5)

where \( \sigma_S \) notes the error on the profile mean \( S \). The errors on each a or \( \psi \) dimension are obtained by integrating \( dP(a, \psi) \) over the other dimension, as it is shown in the paragraph below.
4 RESULTS

The results of our analysis are shown in Tables 2 and 3. The optical polarization angle changed from $109.5 \pm 0.7^\circ$ in 2005 (HST/ACS) to $85.3 \pm 1.4^\circ$ in 2012 (GASP observations). The polarization fraction was measured respectively to be $7.7\pm0.1\%$ and $9.6\pm0.5\%$. Figure 4 shows the polarization diagram for the period 2003–2007 and for 2012–2014 of the Integral $\gamma$-ray observations. The $\gamma$-ray polarization angle changed from $115\pm11^\circ$ in 2003–2007 with a polarization fraction of $96\pm34\%$ to a position angle of $80\pm12^\circ$ in 2012–2014 with nearly the same fraction ($98\pm37\%$). We also observed a non-zero circular optical polarization from the Crab pulsar+knot.

To check the significance of the shift in the $\gamma$-ray band, we show in Figure 5 the probability density for the two sets of Integral observations together with the 2005 and 2012 optical polarimetric measurements. These plots give the probability density in the azimuthal angle $\psi$, computed by integrating $dP$ in equation 5 over $\alpha$, that the real polarization angle is $\psi$ given the data, whatever the polarization fraction might be. As the polarization angle and fraction are not independent variables, these curves are not exactly Gaussian. There is an $11\%$ probability that the real polarization angle is greater than $100^\circ$ for the 2012–2014 observations where a polarization angle of $80^\circ$ was measured. In Figure 6 we show the change in optical and $\gamma$-ray polarization since the original Integral observations [Dean et al. 2008; Forot et al. 2008]. Also shown is the change in the optical polarization over the same period. Both wavebands show a similar shift in the angle of polarization angle; $\gamma$-ray $35\pm16^\circ$ and optical $24.2\pm1.6^\circ$. This is not, within our errors, accompanied by a change in the percentage polarization in either waveband.

Figure 7 shows the Crab flux above 100 MeV from Fermi and Agile data. One can see clearly the reported periods of flaring. Overlaid on this plot are the polarization position angles of the pulsar+knot (phase-averaged optical and Integral data) (see Tables 2 and 3) during this same period.

5 DISCUSSION

Our results seem to indicate that the Crab optical polarization angle has changed significantly between 2005 and 2012. We have also observed changes in the polarization angle of the $\gamma$-ray flux. From Table 2 we see that polarization changes are similar for the optical and high-energy radiation. However, there is disagreement between the OPTIMA (2003) and the HST/ACS (2005) observations of the pulsar+knot in the percentage of linear polarization. This is most likely due to problems associated with comparing the aperture in space with ground based observations that are subject to seeing and scattering. We also note that the $\gamma$-ray polarization is consistent with the observed optical polarization of the knot rather than the pulsar.

In Table 2 we have included our measurement of the circular polarization in 2012 which is non-zero ($-1.2\%$ at the $3\sigma$ level). We note that the degree of circular polarization is low possibly contrary to the prediction of rising circular polarization with magnetic field strength predicted by Westfold [1959] and Legg & Westfold [1968]. A reformulation of the [Legg & Westfold 1968] calculation indicates that the circular polarization would be about $1.5\%$ at a magnetic field strength of about $200\ G$ [de Búrea & Shearer 2015].

Understanding the nature of the $\gamma$-ray flares is a significant theoretical challenge. The individual $\gamma$-ray flares were of relatively short duration, significant flux changes were observed on six hour time scales [Bühler & Blandford 2014].
Table 2. Summary of the multi-wavelength polarimetry of the Crab nebula and pulsar.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waveband</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Observation Year</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Polarization (%)</th>
<th>Position Angle (°)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>γ-ray</td>
<td>Integral/IBIS</td>
<td>2003-07</td>
<td>Phase-avg</td>
<td>300-450 keV</td>
<td>96±34</td>
<td>115±11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-ray</td>
<td>Integral/IBIS</td>
<td>2012-14</td>
<td>Phase-avg</td>
<td>300-450 keV</td>
<td>98±37</td>
<td>80±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical</td>
<td>HST/ACS</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Pulsar</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.2±0.3</td>
<td>105±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical</td>
<td>HST/ACS</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Knot</td>
<td></td>
<td>59.0±1.9</td>
<td>124.7±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical</td>
<td>HST/ACS</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Pulsar+Knot</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.7±0.1</td>
<td>109.5±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical</td>
<td>GASP/Hale 200trinsic</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Pulsar+Knot</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.6±0.5</td>
<td>85.3±1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical</td>
<td>GASP/Hale 200trinsic</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Pulsar+Knot (circular pol.)</td>
<td>-1.2 ±0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a The phase-avg refers to the average polarization over many pulsar rotations

Table 3. Optical polarimetry of the Crab pulsar and knot field of view. The F606W filter corresponds to λ = 590.70 nm and ∆λ = 250.00 nm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Observation Year</th>
<th>Filter</th>
<th>Polarization (%)</th>
<th>Position Angle (°)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pulsar</td>
<td>HST/ACS</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>F606W</td>
<td>5.2±0.3</td>
<td>105.1±1.6</td>
<td>Moran et al. 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulsar+Knot</td>
<td>HST/ACS</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>F606W</td>
<td>7.7±0.1</td>
<td>109.5±0.7</td>
<td>Slowikowska et al. 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulsar+Knot</td>
<td>OPTIMA</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>V+R</td>
<td>5.4±0.1</td>
<td>96.4±0.2</td>
<td>Slowikowska et al. 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulsar+Knot</td>
<td>OPTIMA</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>V+R</td>
<td>9.8±0.1</td>
<td>109.5±0.2</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulsar+Knot</td>
<td>GASP/Hale 200trinsic</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>9.6±0.5</td>
<td>85.3±1.4</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimble 28</td>
<td>GASP/Hale 200trinsic</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>6.5±0.3</td>
<td>60.9±2.7</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. Crab light curve with flux above 100 MeV and polarization measurements obtained between 2003 and 2014 in the optical and Integral bands. The Fermi data are plotted in green and Agile in cyan. The polarization position angles of the pulsar+knot (phase-averaged data) and their respective errors are shown in red for the optical points (open triangle from Slowikowska et al. (2009), filled diamond from this work) and in blue filled circle for the Integral/IBIS observations (see Tables 2 and 3).

Doppler boosting (Guilbert et al. 1983). From simultaneous Chandra and Keck telescope observations (Weisskopf et al. 2013) taken during the April 2011 flare there was no significant increase in the X-ray or near IR flux from the pulsar region. Moreover, longer term observations prior to the 2009-2013 flares indicate that the inner knot is variable at IR wavelengths (Sandberg & Sollerman 2009). Explanations for the γ-ray flux increase have been varied with explosive magnetic recombination events being the preferred model (Büchner & Blandford 2014; Cerutti et al. 2014). This would be in contrast to shock acceleration which is the dominant mechanism in supernova remnants (Aharonian 2013). What is also not clear is where in the nebula the flare emission might originate although it is most likely to come from close to the pulsar.

Changes in the angle and degree of polarization of synchrotron radiation are indicative of variations in the geometry of the local magnetic field and plasma. Our results argue for a change in the magnetic field orientation around the region of the synchrotron-emitting knot close to the pulsar. This could be complemented by changes in the local plasma density or energy distribution. However, as the optical emission from the knot does not seem to vary significantly on short time scales we suggest that we are not observing a change in the density of the plasma associated with the optical emission. Such a change of polarization without a change in intensity would be expected if the γ-ray flares were triggered by magnetic recombination events. However, recent works (Rudy et al. 2015; Lyutikov et al. 2015; Yuan & Blandford 2015) based on a multi-wavelength study (without polarization measurements) of the inner part...
of the Crab nebula and MHD simulations conclude that the knot does not appear to be the source of the γ-ray flares. The change in polarization as observed in the optical and in the low-energy γ-ray regime by GASP and Integral might indicate a different process, even linked to magnetic reconnection close to the vicinity of the pulsar, but at a different scale/region/epoch than the γ-ray flares.

6 CONCLUSIONS

For this study we observed similar changes in both the optical and γ-ray polarization. This would imply a change in the overall magnetic field orientation and consequently gives credence to the source being magnetic reconnection. Furthermore, changes in the optical polarization have been observed to be associated with γ-ray flares from AGN (Abdo et al. 2010). Hence, it is possible that these flaring events are dominated by magnetic reconnection phenomena shown in part by the relatively small changes in the optical/near-IR flux compared to the large changes in the polarization angle. More detailed phase-resolved observations would clear up any uncertainty about whether the pulsar or knot is the source of the circular polarization. From Westfold (1959) and de Búrca & Shearer (2015) the degree of circular polarization is sensitive to the magnetic field strength particularly in the range from 100–10^5 G. More observations, particularly phase resolved, are needed before a definitive statement on the local magnetic field strength can be made.

Our current work represents observations of magnetic reconnection on a much larger scale than previously observed (Benz & Güdel 2010). It is also of a reconnection event with significantly higher particle energies than seen in the Sun or in stellar flares. More routine optical polarimetric observations of the Crab nebula would address one of the outstanding questions; whether the optical polarization changes during a γ-ray flare. Ideally there should be polarimetric observations which straddle the time of γ-ray flares.
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