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1 Main Thesis Focus

The purpose of this document is to give an overview of author’s prospective doctoral thesis in terms of goals, plans, adopted methodology and current achievements. The thesis’ general focus is the Semantic Web, AI, automatic ontology acquisition and reasoning.

When considering ontologies as general knowledge repositories, ideally reflecting substantial amount of information present on the web, it is obvious that developing them purely manually is infeasible task not only due to the extensive size of data, but also due to the highly dynamic nature of the environment. Therefore the need for automated methods of ontology creation and maintenance is well acknowledged in the community. However, there has been no explicit support for automatically learned ontologies in the main branches of research concerning inference in the Semantic Web.

We believe that efforts leading to bridging these two rather disparate lines of research are more than worthwhile and will prove beneficial for both automated ontology development and reasoning, considering the noisy, context-dependent and inconsistent character of mainly unstructured web data we have to deal with when making the Semantic Web real. The nature of this knowledge is hard to be captured by traditional (logical) reasoning paradigms that usually require quite extensively (and expensively) specified descriptions in order to allow any usable reasoning. We plan to develop an alternative formal semantics of the Semantic Web data and implement respective reasoning tool prototype that would be able to deal with this situation better in the context of ontology learning. This is reflected in the tentative thesis’ title A Non-traditional Inference Paradigm for Learned Ontologies.

2 Motivations, Addressed Tasks and Proposed Solutions

Within implementation of the thesis topic prototype, we adhere to these required features:

- the ability to refine the learned knowledge on the fly by incorporation of a specific reasoning paradigm and respective tools;
- a query-processing mechanism able to infer valuable and useful knowledge from learned ontologies by tools basing on the same reasoning paradigm;

* This work has been supported by Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, ‘Information Society’ national research program, the grant AV 1ET100300419 and by the EU IST 6th framework’s Network of Excellence ‘Knowledge Web’ (FP6-507482).
a query-transformation layer that would allow to interface the system with the
Semantic Web standard tools and languages (for evaluation and inter-operation
purposes);

- a knowledge-transformation layer that would allow to export the knowledge in the
Semantic Web standards (again, for evaluation and inter-operation purposes).

In the following overview of the respective tasks and solution sketches, we base on
our ANUIC (Adaptive Net of Universally Interrelated Concepts) framework for repre-
sentation of learned fuzzy ontologies [14, 15].

2.1 Task SW-1 (reasoning support for ontology acquisition)

To the best of our knowledge, there has been little effort dedicated to the development
of methods that could refine a learned ontology dynamically on the fly by means of
specifically tailored reasoning procedures. If a basic foundational and precise ontology
for the given domain has been developed, it can be used as a top-level “seed” model for
our ANUIC framework. The assertions (with weights initially set to 1.0) in this general
seed will help refining the more specific dynamic insertions within ontology learning
process (e.g. by decreasing weights of learned assertions that are inconsistent according
to the seed ontology). The documents processed by ontology learning can contribute
to the refinement of the weights by themselves – if there are certain more trusted or
domain-relevant documents, the weights of the assertions learned from them should be
favoured.

This will be accompanied by a mechanism of propagation of the weight changes in
the vicinity of the influenced nodes in the semantic network induced by the ontology.
Note that there will be no restriction on the propagation – even the seed ontology can
be eventually changed if the empirical character of the field is different. The application
of inherent rules (the idea introduced in the next section) will play as significant role
as the seed model in the direct inference support of the acquisition process.

Evaluation of this task is quite straightforward – we can compare the ontolo-
gies learned with the inference support with ontologies learned by the same methods
without the inference. Appropriate evaluation measures can be adapted according to [9,
3]. One possible option is to identify the differences and present them to potential users
of the ontology and/or to an evaluation committee, eliciting the reasonability and
usability of extensions/retractions caused by the reasoning process when compared to
the “purely learned” ontology.

2.2 Task SW-2 (reasoning with learned ontologies)

The ontology reasoning research in the Semantic Web has been focused mainly on
the development of rigorous knowledge representation models and related formalised
procedures of logical inference. However, the models in question (namely OWL [1]
ontologies) require an indispensable amount of expert human intervention to be built
and maintained. This makes the knowledge management based on this kind of explicit
representation very expensive, especially in dynamic and data-intensive domains (e.g.
medicine), or even infeasible, if the experts are not always available (e.g. semantic
desktop).

The scalable ontology learning methods can overcome the problem of large do-
 mains. Moreover, automatic bottom-up knowledge acquisition prevents the possible
bias in hand-crafted ontologies. The price we have to pay is that we must be able to
deal with the less complex, noisy, possibly imprecise and very probably inconsistent
knowledge then. Nonetheless, there could be implicit knowledge worth to infer even in
the learned ontologies if there is a substantial amount of data in them. A possible way
to an alternative approach to reasoning with learned ontologies rests with the develop-
ment of a new kind of “loose”, yet formal semantics. This semantics will support both
refinement of ontology learning results (Section 2.1) and full-fledged reasoning with
and querying of the learned ontologies themselves.

The semantics has been worked out in three levels that are jointly contributing to
the process of formal interpretation of the learned content¹:

1. **Declarative** semantics reflects direct meaning of learned knowledge declared in
   the ANUIC network of fuzzy modelling primitives. Interpretation of a node at this
   level is based on fuzzy intersection of sets induced by ranges of its properties (this
   interpretation is crucial for establishment of fuzzy analogical mappings, among
   other things). We further plan to design a natural extension of the ANUIC model
   by simple IF-THEN rules treated exactly in the same dynamic manner as the
   relations between ANUIC concepts.

2. **Procedural** semantics comprises the formal aspects of procedures of rule execution
   and analogy retrieval, mapping and transfer in the underlying model. We plan to
   incorporate the AI methods of heuristic reasoning [16, 10] into the engine based
   on the improved fuzzy ANUIC model. Very valuable concept in this respect is
   the notion of analogical reasoning [12] and its fuzzy extension [2]. The latter can
   be further developed in the scope of our work with different notions of fuzzy simi-
   larity [22, 11]. For the implemented inference engine, we have to provide a respective
   query-transformation layer in order to interface our system with other Semantic
   Web frameworks and standards.

3. **Interlocutive** semantics allows to further specify and/or refine meaning of stored
   knowledge in dynamic interaction with users (human or artificial agents – e.g. other
   ANUIC-based reasoners fed with different data in similar or otherwise relevant
domains).

The evaluation of this task remains more or less open problem for now. However,
besides measuring the computational efficiency of the inference, we could formalise a
measure of “usefulness” of answers to certain types of queries and compare our system
to the similar ones in an application-oriented assessment trial.

## 3 Current Achievements

At this time, an automated ontology acquisition platform **OLE (Ontology LEarning)**
has been developed before and within the work on the thesis topic itself. **OLE** processes
natural language English documents (in plain text, HTML, PDF or PostScript) and
extracts an ontology from them. It makes use of NLP and machine learning techniques.
An ANUIC (**Adaptive Net of Universally Interrelated Concepts**) model has been pro-
posed and initially implemented for the fuzzy representation of learned ontologies in

¹ Only very brief description is given here, partially also due to space restrictions. The
topic of the three-level formal semantics is currently under thorough development
within a conference submission.
OLE. The progress of this work has been documented in several refereed papers\(^2\) and presented by the author of this document at the respective events.

A technique of so called conceptual refinement improving the results of initial ontology extraction methods has been proposed and implemented for the task of taxonomy acquisition. Under a certain interpretation, it boosts the precision of taxonomy acquisition methods by more than 150%. The preliminary results of this work form the major recently published or accepted achievements [14, 15] and were presented by the author of this document at the ESWC 2006 conference (an ICEIS 2007 presentation to come in June, 2007). This initial proposal and implementation of the natural and intuitive mechanism coping with autonomous assignment of fuzzy relevance measures to the general learned relations (which has been considered as an open problem in this respect [19]) forms the most tangible and strongly related basic groundwork of the thesis, aimed at reasoning in the proposed ANUIC model. Current progress is continually documented at the project’s webpage\(^3\).

4 Related Work

There are methods refining the ontology after the learning process, using external reference and pruning [5]. However, there are generally no suitable external resources for many practical domains, therefore our tool is more universal in this respect. Some approaches try to connect ontology learning and reasoning by transforming the learned knowledge into a shape acceptable by the “traditional” inference mechanisms. The Text2Onto tool removes inconsistent knowledge from the learned ontologies [7] in order to allow usual precise OWL reasoning. The approach in [8] translates ontologies acquired by application of Formal Concept Analysis into FOL formulas, which is even more simplistic. These approaches leave vast amount of the sense of the learned knowledge unrecognised (e.g. possible different contexts induced by consistent subsets, structural properties of the knowledge, implicit relations between concepts, etc.).

In [17], a fuzzy relational model of ontology is introduced. However, it is only very simple and IR-oriented one, with no proper semantics generally applicable in other domains. [6] focuses on mining knowledge from databases and uses for example fuzzy rules to refine the resulting ontologies. But the authors’ concrete approach to this topic is rather unclear and the formal semantics is lacking again. There is an indirectly related research in fuzzy OWL [20] and fuzzy DL reasoning [21]. However, these approaches still exploit the “traditional” logics based knowledge representation, which we find inappropriate for reasoning with learned ontologies. AI methods of heuristic [16, 10] or analogical [12, 18] reasoning present alternative paradigms that have, however, not been connected to a mechanism of automatic real-world knowledge acquisition. This is a practical disadvantage our approach aims to tackle (among other things).

5 Selected Application Domains

Following the medicine use cases specified in [13, 4], the implementation of our framework for ontology learning and reasoning could massively help in the processing of the

\(^2\) See [http://www.muni.cz/people/4049/publications](http://www.muni.cz/people/4049/publications) for the full list of author’s publications to date.

\(^3\) See [http://nlp.fi.muni.cz/projects/ole](http://nlp.fi.muni.cz/projects/ole) – the top Google\(^\text{TM}\) result of the “ontology acquisition” query on December 15, 2006; a web interface to the system libraries is present there as well.
dynamically changing medical knowledge. After initial definition of the seed model, ontologies learned by our tool from the natural language in medical records and even from the databases (after a preprocessing) can integrate the newly coming knowledge with the current facts on a single formal and technical basis. Moreover, the efficient and robust reasoning in our model can support the everyday decision process of medical experts in purely automatic way, utilising even data that have not been covered by formal medical manually developed ontologies.

The semantic desktop domain is related to new topics that have appeared recently within the major Semantic Web and AI research activities like CALO project\(^4\) in USA and/or NEPOMUK project\(^5\) in EU. The main aim of the projects is the development of an intelligent layer on the top of the current personal desktop systems. Possible application of our work in the scope of the semantic desktop research efforts is especially in the field of dynamic and automatic knowledge acquisition from the “raw” data. The model and reasoning paradigm we plan to develop could help in efficient semi-automatic discovery of implicit relations in the personal data and thus improve the process of their semantic re-organisation, meta-data annotation and querying.

6 Conclusion and Future Work

We have presented our current results and a vision of our doctoral thesis in the context of the Semantic Web and AI. Some of the missing links in the contemporary research have been identified. We have argued importance of the respective research questions and analysed the tasks that can fill in the gaps then. Possible solutions and evaluation methods have been roughly outlined. Examples of concrete application domains have been sketched, showing the practical relevance of the topic.

The work on the thesis was formally started in March, 2006. Supposed term of the thesis submission is the beginning of the year 2009. We plan to deliver the complete elaboration of the proposed ANUIC uncertain KR model and its semantics by the end of the year 2007, together with respective extension of the ontology learning framework. During the year 2008, we plan to devise and implement basic set of rule-based heuristic and analogical reasoning methods for the prototype and evaluate it, summing up the results in the thesis.
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