

Provided by the author(s) and NUI Galway in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite the published version when available.

Title	On-farm treatment of dairy soiled water using aerobic woodchip filters
Author(s)	Ruane, Eimear M.; Healy, Mark G.; Rodgers, Michael
Publication Date	2011
Publication Information	Ruane, EM,Murphy, PNC,Healy, MG,French, P,Rodgers, M (2011) 'On-farm treatment of dairy soiled water using aerobic woodchip filters'. Water Research, 45 :6668-6676.
Publisher	Elsevier
Link to publisher's version	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.09.055
Item record	http://hdl.handle.net/10379/3243

Downloaded 2022-03-06T09:46:52Z

Some rights reserved. For more information, please see the item record link above.



**PUBLISHED AS: RUANE, E.M., MURPHY, P.N.C., HEALY, M.G., FRENCH, P.,
RODGERS, M. 2011. ON-FARM TREATMENT OF DAIRY SOILED WATER USING
AEROBIC WOODCHIP FILTERS. WATER RESEARCH 45: 6668-6676. DOI:
10.1016/J.WATRES.2011.09.055**

**ON-FARM TREATMENT OF DAIRY SOILED WATER USING AEROBIC
WOODCHIP FILTERS**

EIMEAR M. RUANE^{1,2 *}, PAUL N.C. MURPHY², MARK G. HEALY¹, PADRAIG
FRENCH², MICHAEL RODGERS¹

¹ Civil Engineering, National University of Ireland, Galway, Republic of Ireland.

² Livestock Systems Research Department, Animal and Grassland Research and
Innovation Centre, Teagasc, Moorepark, Fermoy, Co. Cork, Republic of Ireland.

* Corresponding author: eimear.ruane@gmail.com ; Tel: +353 87986523; Fax: +353
2542340

ABSTRACT

Dairy soiled water (DSW) is produced on dairy farms through the washing-down of
milking parlours and holding areas, and is generally applied to land. However, there is
a risk of nutrient loss to surface and ground waters from land application. The aim of
this study was to use aerobic woodchip filters to remove organic matter, suspended
solids (SS) and nutrients from DSW. This novel treatment method would allow the re-
use of the final effluent from the woodchip filters to wash down yards, thereby
reducing water usage and environmental risks associated with land spreading. Three
replicate 100 m² farm-scale woodchip filters, each 1 m deep, were constructed and

operated to treat DSW from 300 cows over an 11-month study duration. The filters were loaded at a hydraulic loading rate of $30 \text{ L m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$, applied in four doses through a network of pipes on the filter surface. Average influent concentrations of chemical oxygen demand (COD), SS and total nitrogen (TN) of $5,750 \pm 1,441 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$, $602 \pm 303 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ and $357 \pm 100 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$, respectively, were reduced by 66, 86 and 57 % in the filters. Effluent nutrient concentrations remained relatively stable over the study period, indicating the effectiveness of the filter despite increasing and/or fluctuating influent concentrations. Woodchip filters are a low cost, minimal maintenance treatment system, using a renewable resource that can be easily integrated into existing farm infrastructure.

Keywords: Dairy soiled water, woodchip, filter, wastewater filtration, nitrogen removal, agricultural wastewater treatment, solids-liquid separation.

INTRODUCTION

Dairy farming is a key sector in Irish agriculture and dairy products represent over a quarter of all Irish agri-food exports (Department of Agriculture, Food and Fisheries, 2010). Rising population levels, improved standards of living, and changing dietary patterns, particularly in Asia (Fuller and Beghin, 2004; OECD/FAO, 2009), have all contributed to increased demand for dairy food products. This increased demand has been, and will continue to be, met by more intensive agricultural practises (European Communities, 2008). The Farm Structure Survey of 2007 (CSO, 2008) highlighted the trend towards a smaller number of dairy cow herds with increasing herd sizes. In 2007, there were a greater number of cow herds in the 50-99 head category compared

46 with 1991 when the majority of cow herds fell within the 10-19 head category (CSO,
47 2008). Intensification on farms may lead to the production of greater volumes of
48 wastewater, which will require effective management options.

49 Agricultural activities are recognised as significant sources of nutrient inputs to
50 European waters (EEA, 2002). These may contribute to a deterioration in water
51 quality in the form of eutrophication (Carpenter et al., 1998), potential toxicity to
52 aquatic species (Kadlec et al., 2005), and groundwater contamination (Knudsen et al.,
53 2006). Legislation in the form of the EU Nitrates Directive (91/676/EEC; EEC, 1991)
54 and the Water Framework Directive (WFD) (2000/60/EC; EC, 2000) has been
55 introduced to address this issue. The aim of the Nitrates Directive is to enforce the
56 protection of receiving water bodies against contamination by nitrate produced
57 through agricultural activities. The WFD endeavours to protect and enhance the water
58 quality of surface, ground and coastal waters, and to ensure that they achieve ‘good
59 status’ by 2015 (Fenton et al., 2008). Agricultural pollution as a result of land
60 spreading is classified as non-point source, or diffuse, meaning the focus of
61 legislation has to be on farm and land management (FAO, 1996). Therefore, the
62 farmer is more accountable for nutrient management (Longhurst et al., 2000).

63 Dairy soiled water (DSW) is water from concreted areas, hard stand areas, and
64 holding areas for livestock that has become contaminated by livestock faeces or urine,
65 chemical fertilisers and parlour washings (SI No.610 of 2010; Martínez-Suller et al.,
66 2010). It contains high and variable levels of nutrients such as nitrogen (N) and
67 phosphorus (P), as well as other constituents such as spilt milk and cleaning agents
68 (Fenton et al., 2008). Its composition is inherently variable (Table 1) due to the
69 different facilities and management practises that exist on farms, seasonal changes in

70 weather, and management practices (Ryan, 1990; Minogue et al., 2010). Dairy soiled
71 water is legally defined in Ireland as having a five-day biochemical oxygen demand
72 (BOD₅) of less than 2,500 mg L⁻¹ and less than 1 % dry matter (DM) content (S.I.
73 No.610 of 2010).

74 Application of DSW to the land has long been the most common method of disposal
75 employed by farmers (Fenton et al., 2008). However, when DSW is land applied at
76 rates that exceed the nutrient requirements of the pasture, it can create a number of
77 problems, the most significant threat being the loss of P and N in runoff (Silva et al.,
78 1999; Regan et al., 2010) and subsurface leaching of N and, depending on the soil
79 type, P (Knudsen et al., 2006). Other problems associated with the land application of
80 wastes include odour, greenhouse gas (GHG) and ammonia (NH₃) emissions
81 (Bhandral et al., 2007), and the build-up of heavy metals in the soil (Wang et al.,
82 2004). However, the European Communities (Good Agricultural Practice for the
83 Protection of Waters) Regulations, introduced in 2006 and amended in 2010 (S.I.
84 No.610 of 2010), brought about the introduction of a number of restrictions with
85 regard to land spreading of these wastes. Among the restrictions, it imposed a
86 maximum application rate of 50,000 L ha⁻¹ in any 48-d period.

87 In order to reduce costs and labour requirements, simple low-maintenance systems
88 utilising natural processes are preferable for the treatment of waste streams on dairy
89 farms. Constructed wetlands (CW) have been investigated for the treatment of
90 agricultural wastewaters (Mantovi et al., 2003; Dunne et al., 2005; Wood et al., 2007).
91 Sand filters (SF), noted for their simplicity, and low capital and operating costs, have
92 been used to treat synthetic DSW at laboratory-scale (Campos et al., 2002; Healy et
93 al., 2007). Constructed wetlands and SFs, however, require large areas of land as they

94 have maximum respective organic loading rates (OLR) of approximately 5 g BOD₅ m⁻²
95 d⁻¹ and 22 g BOD₅ m⁻² d⁻¹ (Healy et al., 2007). In Australia and New Zealand, waste
96 stabilisation ponds are the most common method of treating DSW (Bolan et al.,
97 2004). Though they are capable of successfully decreasing suspended solids (SS) and
98 BOD₅ concentrations to acceptable levels, they are not very successful at decreasing
99 nutrient concentrations (Craggs et al., 2004).

100 Woodchip filters may be effective in treating DSW. Woodchip is already in use on
101 farms to provide outdoor standing areas for cattle during the winter months (Vinten et
102 al., 2006; O'Driscoll et al., 2008). A study in Scotland (Vinten et al., 2006) found that
103 filtration through these outdoor woodchip standing areas, known in Scotland as
104 Corrals, resulted in a 5- to 10-fold decrease in faecal indicator bacteria concentrations
105 and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) when compared with fresh slurry. As a result of
106 state schemes introduced in the 1980s to encourage afforestation, Ireland has a young
107 forest stock and a large area of forests that have not yet been thinned (Teagasc
108 Forestry Development Unit, 2007). Thinnings from these young forests may provide a
109 steady supply of woodchips for use in wastewater filters. Such a treatment system
110 may provide a more economical and sustainable alternative to current management
111 practices.

112 Studies have examined the potential of wood-based products to treat various types of
113 contaminated water such as groundwater, high in nitrate, contaminated by septic
114 systems (Robertson et al., 2000; Schipper and Vojvodic-Vukovic, 2001; Schipper et
115 al., 2010a), aquaculture, other high-strength wastewaters (Healy et al., 2006; Saliling
116 et al., 2007), and subsurface drainage water (Greenan et al., 2006). These studies
117 focused on saturated woodchip filters and hypothesised that the carbon (C) contained

in the woodchip acts as a C source for microbial respiration. Under anaerobic conditions in these filters, denitrification occurs.

Buelna et al. (2008) developed a biofiltration system, BIOSORTM-Manure, consisting of a mixture of woodchips and peat moss, to treat high-strength pig manure. Despite a large variation in influent concentrations, the system, loaded at a hydraulic loading rate (HLR) of 12 m³ d⁻¹, maintained overall pollutant reductions of greater than 95, 97, 84 and 87 % for BOD₅, SS, total kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) and total phosphorus (TP), respectively. The cationic exchange, adsorption and absorption capacity of the organic filter media contributed to the overall treatment of the influent across a wide variation of loads (Buelna et al., 2008). Ruane et al. (2011) investigated laboratory-scale woodchip filters to treat DSW and found SS, chemical oxygen demand (COD) and total nitrogen (TN) removals of > 99 %, > 97 % and > 89 %, respectively. Therefore, aerobic woodchip filtration appears to have the potential to treat DSW.

An additional benefit of this system is that the filters act as a medium where liquid-solid separation occurs. This produces a liquid fraction that can be recycled on-farm and a solids fraction that can be composted, or used to produce bio-energy (Garcia et al., 2009). A large proportion of solids contained within the DSW are trapped within the woodchip matrix and a high proportion of the nutrients in DSW are associated with the solid fraction (Garcia et al., 2009; Ruane et al., 2011).

The aims of this paper were: (i) to assess the performance of woodchip filters, operated under normal farm conditions, to treat DSW (ii) to conduct an economic appraisal of the filters taking construction, recurring and operational costs into consideration, and (iii) to elucidate options for the treatment and/or re-use of final

effluent from the filters. To address these aims, three replicate woodchip filters were constructed on a research farm at Teagasc, Moorepark Research Centre in South West Ireland. Each filter was capable of treating DSW generated by 100 cows. The filters were operational for eleven months and filter performance was tested by monitoring influent and effluent waters for nutrients, SS and COD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Three replicate farm-scale filter pads were constructed at the Teagasc Animal and Grassland Research and Innovation Centre, Moorepark, Co. Cork, Ireland. The farm filters were operated for a study period of eleven months, from October 2009 (winter) to August 2010 (summer/ autumn), inclusive. Each filter pad was constructed to the same specifications. The filter pads had a footprint of 12 m x 12 m, a depth of 1 m, and a top surface area of 100 m² (Figure 1). The base of the filters was sloped at 1:10 towards a centre line which contained a 101.6 mm-diameter perforated pipe to collect effluent after it passed through the filter. The perforated collection pipe, running half the length of the base, was sloped 1:20 downwards towards a single deepest point (Figure 1). All the effluent exited the base of the filter at this point. A 0.5 mm-deep plastic waterproof membrane, overlain by a felt cover to protect it from abrasion and tearing, was placed directly on top of the soil surface on which the units rested. The base of each pad was then filled with round washed stone (25.4 to 50.8 mm in size) to make a level surface up to ground level.

Sitka Spruce (*Picea sitchensis*) thinnings, with the bark left on, were chipped onsite and placed directly on top of the stone layer. The size distribution of the woodchip filter media by weight, calculated as the percentage retained on each sieve, was: 28 mm: 9.11 %; 20 mm: 2.74 %; 14 mm: 28.58 %; 10 mm: 29.45 %; and on the base:

30.11 %. The stone base extended out past the edge of the woodchip to allow for the movement of air underneath the base of the woodchip filter. This was to avoid the development of anaerobic conditions and the potential for denitrification.

A wastewater distribution system, consisting of 38.1 mm-diameter plastic pipes placed on top of the woodchip, was constructed to ensure an even distribution of the effluent over the surface of the woodchip (Figure 1). Distribution pipes were perforated by drilling 4 mm-diameter holes at 0.7 m-spacing on one side of the pipe. These holes were distributed evenly across the top of the filters with each exit hole delivering DSW to an area of approximately 0.49 m². The exit holes faced upwards to facilitate ease of cleaning, when necessary, and so that an even distribution of the effluent could be visually assessed by observing the spurts of water from each hole. Lateral pipes were closed off with a screw stop-end. These could be opened occasionally to allow access to the pipe to clear any build-up of solids that might restrict flow.

The distribution system for each filter pad was connected to a separate submersible pump (Pedrollo, Tamworth UK) positioned in the final chamber of a 3-chamber DSW tank. A HLR of 30 L m⁻²d⁻¹ was applied to the filters. This was applied in equal volumes of 750 L, four times daily. Taking in to account head losses in the pipe, the number of bends in the pipe, and the flow curve for the pump, the time to deliver 750 L to each pad was adjusted accordingly to range from 582 s to 898 s. Effluent from all three filter pads was collected in a single tank and a submersible pump was used to pump the effluent to a lagoon on the farm.

A 100-ml water sample, obtained from the pipe discharging into the collection tank, was taken from each pad separately for analysis twice weekly. Influent samples were

190 taken, twice weekly, close to the location of the pumps delivering DSW to the filters.
191 Samples were frozen immediately and tested within a period of 14 d. The following
192 water quality parameters were measured: SS (filtered through 1.4 μm paper and dried
193 overnight at 103 – 105 $^{\circ}\text{C}$); total COD (COD_T) and filtered COD (COD_F) (dichromate
194 method); unfiltered TN (TN) and filtered TN (TN_F) (persulfate method). After
195 filtering through a 1.4 μm filter paper, the following parameters were analysed using a
196 Konelab 20 nutrient analyser (Fisher Scientific, Wathan, Massachusetts): ammonium
197 N ($\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$), nitrite N ($\text{NO}_2\text{-N}$), total oxidised nitrogen (TON) and orthophosphate
198 ($\text{PO}_4\text{-P}$). Nitrate N ($\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$) was calculated by subtracting $\text{NO}_2\text{-N}$ from TON.
199 Dissolved organic N (DON) was calculated by subtracting TON and $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ from
200 TN_F . Particulate N (PN) was calculated by subtracting TN_F from TN. All tests were
201 carried out in accordance with standard methods (APHA-AWWA-WEF, 1995).

202 To assess the maximum amount of P the filter media was capable of adsorbing, a P
203 adsorption isotherm test was carried out on the wood used in the woodchip filter.
204 Solutions containing four known concentrations of $\text{PO}_4\text{-P}$ were made up: 21.51,
205 46.06, 61.4 and 92.13 $\text{mg PO}_4\text{-P L}^{-1}$. Approximately 5 g of wood was added to a
206 container and was mixed with 115 ml of each solution concentration ($n=3$). Each
207 mixture was then shaken for 24 hours using an end-over-end mixer. The solids were
208 separated from the mixture using a centrifuge and tested for $\text{PO}_4\text{-P}$. The data obtained
209 was then modelled using a suitably fitting adsorption isotherm (Langmuir or
210 Freundlich).

211
212 The decrease in the concentration of nutrients and other water quality parameters was
213 calculated as the influent concentration minus the effluent concentration, expressed as
214 a percent of the influent concentration.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Organic carbon and SS removal

Influent COD_T concentrations averaged $5,750 \pm 1,441 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ and the filters achieved a 66 % decrease on the influent concentration to produce an effluent that had a concentration of $1,961 \pm 251 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ (Table 2). Much of the influent COD_T was associated with the particulate fraction, with COD_F accounting for only 30 % of COD_T. While there was a 66 % decrease in COD_T, there was only a 43 % decrease in COD_F, indicating that the filters were less effective at decreasing soluble COD. Therefore, it was likely that physical filtration was the primary removal mechanism for COD_T. The aerobic nature of the filters would suggest that oxidation of organic compounds also contributed to the decrease in concentrations of COD_T and COD_F.

The woodchip filters achieved an average decrease of 86 % in the concentration of SS, decreasing the concentration from an influent value of $602 \pm 303 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ to $84 \pm 19 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ (Table 2). From the start of operation, the filters achieved good decreases in the concentration of SS. A laboratory study by Ruane et al. (2011) found that the ability of woodchip filters to remove SS improved over time. In that study, the woodchip used had been de-barked and passed through a 10 mm-diameter sieve; therefore, the gradual build-up of SS in the pore space likely resulted in more immediate SS removal. The presence of bark and smaller woodchip particles in this study likely resulted in the immediate impact on SS concentrations.

Nitrogen conversion

An average influent TN concentration of $357 \pm 100 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ was decreased by 57 % to give an effluent concentration of $153 \pm 24 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ (Table 2). This compares

238 favourably with another pilot-scale unit employing horizontal flow over a stack of
 239 plastic sheets, which achieved TN decreases in DSW of between 56 and 76 %
 240 (Clifford et al., 2010). Particulate N accounted for 39 % of TN and was decreased by
 241 54 % to $64 \pm 4 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ in the effluent. The large decrease in PN was consistent with
 242 the hypothesis that physical filtration was a primary removal mechanism in the filters.

243 The filters removed, on average, 58 % of the influent TN_F from $217 \pm 64 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$
 244 giving an effluent concentration of $74 \pm 16 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$. Dissolved organic N accounted for
 245 31 % of the influent TN_F with the filters decreasing the DON concentration by 68 %
 246 to $64.8 \pm 25 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$. The most likely mechanism for decreasing the concentration of
 247 DON is mineralisation to $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$. However, sorption onto the filter medium and
 248 biological uptake could also have contributed to the decrease of DON.

249 The influent concentration of $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ was, on average, $134 \pm 45 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ and decreased
 250 by 72 % to $37 \pm 10 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ (Table 2). The influent concentration fluctuated over the
 251 duration of the study (Figure 2). The effluent concentrations reflected these
 252 fluctuations, which would suggest that the average rate of decrease of 72 % was close
 253 to the maximum rate achievable by the filters (Figure 2). Robertson et al. (2005) and
 254 Schipper et al. (2010b) found that once immobilization of N was complete, no
 255 substantial long-term removal of $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ by adsorption, anaerobic reduction of NO_3 to
 256 NH_4 (dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonia; DNRA), or microbial conversion of
 257 NO_3 and NH_4 to N_2 gas via an intermediate NO_2 (anaerobic ammonium oxidation;
 258 ANAMMOX), occurred in woodchip filters. Under aerobic conditions, nitrification is
 259 a likely mechanism for decreasing the concentration of $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$. This hypothesis is
 260 supported by the concurrent increase in $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ and decrease in $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ in the effluent
 261 (Figure 2). There was a 74 % increase in the concentration of $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ in the effluent

from a concentration of $12.9 \pm 10 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ to $22.5 \pm 8 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ (Table 2). Some denitrification may also have occurred within the filter, leading to a loss of N in gaseous form as nitrogen gas (N_2), N_2O , or nitrogen oxide (NO_x). A portion of the $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ may also have been volatilized. The pH of the effluent DSW was slightly alkaline (Table 2), which may have encouraged ammonia volatilization. However, further investigation into the emission of gases from the filter would be required to verify this.

Phosphorus retention

An average influent concentration of $36 \pm 17 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ was recorded for $\text{PO}_4\text{-P}$. This decreased by 31 % to an average effluent concentration of $24.7 \pm 3 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ (Table 2). This is similar to the decrease of 35 % achieved by Morgan and Martin (2008) in a study investigating DSW treatment using an ecological treatment system of aerobic and anaerobic reactors and subsurface wetlands. Using the Langmuir isotherm, the maximum mass of P adsorbed per mass of wood was calculated to be $1,958 \text{ mg P kg}^{-1}$ woodchip (Figure 3). Phosphorus adsorption rates for wood are not widely recorded. Comparing the P adsorption capacity of woodchip with the effectiveness of sand to adsorb P, woodchip demonstrated a greater P adsorption capacity. Healy et al. (2010) recorded a value of 85 mg P kg^{-1} for sand. This would suggest that the woodchip could continue to adsorb P over a longer time period before all the potential P adsorption sites become exhausted. The relatively poor $\text{PO}_4\text{-P}$ removals measured (31 %) suggest that the P adsorption sites on the woodchip were not fully utilized and that an additional P treatment capacity remained by the end of the study. This may have been a function of an insufficient average hydraulic retention capacity within the filter for the full adsorption of P.

Impact of seasonal variations and influent concentrations on the data

A comparison of the influent and effluent TN, SS, COD_T, and PO₄-P concentrations and seasonal variations in temperature are illustrated in Figures 2 and 4. There was an increase in the influent concentration of all four parameters over the duration of the study period and, with the exception of COD_T, this followed the same trend as seasonal variations in temperature. Martínez-Suller et al. (2010) had similar findings. The TN concentrations were lowest in the winter (November – March; Days 17 to 134) and highest in the summer (May – August; Days 197 – 320) (Figure 2). This occurred because the farm on which the study was carried out was operated on a seasonal production system and therefore only a small proportion of the herd were milked throughout the winter months. Effluent concentrations for all four parameters increased with the influent concentrations, albeit to a lesser degree for COD_T, as indicated by the gradual slope of the fitted regression line for the COD_T effluent data.

In general, there was considerably less fluctuation in concentrations of the effluent compared to the influent. This would suggest that the woodchip filters are capable of producing a relatively consistent effluent concentration despite increasing and/or fluctuating influent concentrations. This is consistent with the findings of a laboratory study by Ruane et al. (2011) in which SS, COD_T and TN concentration in the influent did not have a significant effect on the performance of woodchip filters.

Economic appraisal of woodchip filter construction and operation

Presented in Table 3 are the estimated capital, operational and recurring costs associated with the construction and operation of an aerobic woodchip filter to treat

DSW under Irish conditions. The figures presented are based on the three replicated farm-scale filters used in this study, and are presented for guidance purposes only. Calculations are presented for the costs associated with 1 m³ of woodchip, which would provide treatment for one cow on the basis that wash water generated per cow is approximately 30 L d⁻¹ (Minogue et al., 2010). Capital costs involved in the construction of farm-scale filters include: use of a digger to dig out the filter base, a plastic liner to capture the effluent at the filter base, washed stone to make a level base for the woodchip; and pumps and pipes to deliver influent DSW and to collect the treated effluent at the base of the filter.

The woodchips constitute the only recurring cost associated with the filters. Woodchip prices used in this paper are based on the cost of hiring a contractor to chip the wood on-site in June 2009. Costs associated with the delivery of woodchip to a farm may differ depending upon factors such as the distance of the farm from the woodchip supply base and moisture content of the woodchip. Moisture content can alter the weight of the woodchips and the price accordingly, if purchased on a per tonne basis. Woodchip would need replacing when ponding occurs on the surface of the filter, indicating that the pore space within the filter medium has reached capacity. Estimates suggest that this may occur after 2 to 3 yr of operation (Ruane et al., 2011) and would depend on the concentration of SS in the DSW being applied to the filter. If the build-up of SS extends throughout the entire depth of the woodchip, then all the woodchip would need to be replaced. If SS build-up is restricted to the upper portion of the woodchip, then only this portion of the woodchip would need to be replaced.

On-farm management practises should be considered prior to selection of the pump to deliver DSW to the filters and installation of the distribution system. Pump running costs depend upon: the water volumes generated, the head loss in the pipe delivering DSW to the filters, and distance from the holding tank to the woodchip filter. Ideally, the holding tank should consist of at least two compartments: the first compartment for the settlement of larger SS particles and the final compartment housing the pump to deliver DSW to the filter for treatment.

The operational costs calculated in Table 3 are based on the average of three replicate woodchip filters, each a different distance from the holding tank (between 4 and 20 m) and with different associated head losses, using 0.75 kW pumps operated, four times daily, for between 582 to 898 s.

Management options for woodchip effluent

Two management options may be employed to re-use the final effluent from the woodchip filters. Given the large volumes of fresh water used daily on farms to clean down the holding yard and milking parlour, the effluent could be recycled to wash down the holding yard. An alternative management option would be to apply the effluent to the land. The high concentration of plant available nutrients and low SS concentration would suggest it has potential to benefit plant growth and soil fertility without the traditional problems associated with the land spreading of fresh DSW. The low concentration of SS in the effluent means that, if land applied, the potential for surface sealing of the soil is decreased. The potential for runoff is lowered and the infiltration ability of effluent into the soil profile is increased. The lower concentration of solids reduces problems such as clogging of pipes and aids the delivery of the

effluent to distant fields for targeted irrigation via rotating arms (Peterson et al., 2007).

The concentrations of $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ in the effluent are just above the maximum allowable concentration for discharge to a receiving water body of $50 \text{ mg NO}_3\text{-N L}^{-1}$ (WHO, 2006). If the effluent from the woodchip filters was to be applied to the land, consideration would have to be given to the timing of application to avoid any potential leaching or runoff to nearby receiving water courses. If applied at a time when plant uptake is at it highest, this form of N would be very beneficial for plant growth. Ammonium -N is also easily utilised by plants (von Wirén et al., 1997), and this form of N is not as susceptible to leaching due to its positive charge which attracts it to negatively charged soil and clay particles (Miller and Cramer, 2005). Organic N is not immediately plant available, but, in soil, it acts as a slow release fertiliser and mineralises to $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$, therefore becoming plant available (Zaman et al., 1999). It is not very mobile in soil, so application and timing rates would be determined based on the $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ concentration of the effluent from the woodchip filters. Further investigation into the other fractions of P present in the effluent from the woodchips would be required to determine the potential for long-term build-up of P in the soil matrix.

If the effluent were to be reused as ‘flush down’ water in the holding yard of the milking parlour, the concentration of microbes in the effluent would have to be considered. This would determine the part of the farmyard on which this effluent is most suitable for use. Potable water is usually recommended for washing down the holding yard and milking parlour (ADF, 2008). A minimal maintenance and simple

tertiary treatment system such as a sand filter may be used to polish the effluent. Using the treated effluent to wash down the holding yard would mean a reduction in the on-farm consumption of fresh water. The potential increase in concentration of $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ each time the water was cycled through the system, due to mineralisation and nitrification, would lead to a very nitrate-enriched effluent. As has already been outlined, this could be a very effective fertiliser, but care would also be needed with application rates and timing to minimise the risk of nitrate leaching.

Solids from the DSW are trapped in the matrix of the woodchip filter. Spent filter chips could be composted or used in bioenergy production (Garcia et al., 2009). The woodchip provides long-term storage for the solids fraction and the working life of a woodchip filter is estimated to be around two to three years.

CONCLUSIONS

The main conclusions from this study are:

- This farm-scale filter study confirmed the effectiveness of woodchip filters to treat DSW under normal operational conditions.
- Analysis of three farm-scale woodchip filters operating for a duration of 11 months shows that they were capable of decreasing the SS, COD, TN and $\text{PO}_4\text{-P}$ concentrations of fresh DSW by 86, 66, 57 and 31 %, respectively.
- Physical filtration was the principal mechanism of decreasing influent nutrient concentrations in the filters. Mineralisation, nitrification and biological degradation were active processes within the filters. Sorption and biological

uptake on the filter media also contributed to decreasing nutrient concentrations.

- Woodchip filters are capable of producing an effluent that is consistent in SS and nutrient concentration despite fluctuations in influent concentration.
- Effluent from the filters may be applied to the land. The woodchip filter decreases the influent SS, and the resulting effluent contains nutrients, such as $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$, $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$ and $\text{PO}_4\text{-P}$, that are readily plant available. The decrease in the concentration of SS in the effluent means that infiltration of DSW into the soil should be enhanced, delivering nutrients to the plant root system and decreasing potential for ammonia volatilisation. These characteristics of the effluent should improve the fertiliser value of nutrients in DSW.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Teagasc for the award of a Walsh Fellowship to the first author and for financial support provided by the Research Stimulus Fund (Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food). The authors appreciate the technical help of J. Kennelly and D. Minogue (Teagasc) and E. Clifford and E. O'Reilly (NUI, Galway).

REFERENCES

- ADF. (2008) Assured Dairy Farm Standards & Guidelines for Assessment. Edition 3.2:1.Scotland, UK.
- APHA-AWWA-WEF. (1995) Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. 19th ed. American Public Health Association, Washington, USA.
- Bhandral, R., Bolan, N. S., Saggar, S., and Hedley, M. J. (2007) Nitrogen transformation and nitrous oxide emissions from various types of farm effluents. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 79(2), 193-208.
- Bolan, N. S., Wong, L., and Adriano, D. C. (2004) Nutrient removal from farm effluents. *Bioresource Technology*, 94(3), 251-260.
- Buelna, G., Dubé, R., and Turgeon, N. (2008) Pig manure treatment by organic bed biofiltration. *Desalination*, 231(1-3), 297-304.
- Campos L.C., Su M.F.J., Graham N.J.D., Smith S.R. (2002) Biomass development in slow sand filters. *Water Research* 36:4543-4551.
- Carpenter, S.R., Caraco, N.F., Correll, D.L., Howarth, R.W., Sharpley, A.N., Smith, V.H. (1998) Nonpoint pollution of surface waters with phosphorus and nitrogen. *Ecological Applications* 8: 559-568.

453 Central Statistics Office (CSO). (2008) Farm structure survey 2007. Stationery Office,
 454 Dublin, Ireland.

455

456 Clifford, E., Rodgers, M., de Paor, D. (2008) Dairy washwater treatment using a
 457 horizontal flow biofilm system. Water Science and Technology. **58**: 1879-1888.

458

459 Craggs, R. J., Sukias, J. P., Tanner, C. T., and Davies-Colley, R. J. (2004) Advanced
 460 pond system for dairy-farm effluent treatment. *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural*
 461 *Research*, 47(4), 449-460.

462

463 Crumby, T.R., Brewer, A.J., Dimmock, S.J. (1999) Dirty water from dairy farms: I:
 464 biochemical characteristics. *Bioresource Technology*, 67, 155-160.

465

466 Department of Agriculture, Food and Fisheries. (2010) Annual review and outlook for
 467 agriculture, food and fisheries 2008-2009. Department of Agriculture, Food and
 468 Fisheries, Dublin. 83 pp

469

470 Di, H. J., and Cameron, K. C. (2000) Calculating nitrogen leaching losses and critical
 471 nitrogen application rates in dairy pasture systems using a semi-empirical model. *New*
 472 *Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research*, 43(1), 139 - 147.

473

474 Dunne, E. J., Culleton, N., O'Donovan, G., Harrington, R., and Daly, K. (2005)
 475 Phosphorus retention and sorption by constructed wetland soils in Southeast Ireland.
 476 *Water Research*, 39(18), 4355-4362.

477

478 EC (2000) Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23
 479 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water
 480 policy. OJ L 327, 22.12.2000, p. 1–73
 481
 482 EEC (1991) Council Directive (91/271/EEC) of 12th December 1991 concerning the
 483 protection of waters caused by nitrate from agriculture sources. Official Journal of
 484 the European Communities L375/1. Brussels, Belgium.
 485
 486 ESB (2009)
 487 http://www.esb.ie/esbcustomersupply/residential/energy_efficiency/what_is_1kwh.jsp
 488 Accessed 31/10/2010
 489
 490 European Environment Agency (EEA) (2002) Environment Signals 2002, Report No.
 491 9. European Environment Agency, Copenhagen.
 492
 493 European Communities (2008) Eurostat Regional Yearbook 2008. Office for Official
 494 Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg.
 495
 496 FAO (1996) Control of water pollution from agriculture. Series title: FAO Irrigation
 497 and Drainage Papers 55 1996 W2598/E
 498
 499 Fenton, O., Healy, M. G., and Schulte, R. P. O. (2008) A review of remediation and
 500 control systems for the treatment of agricultural waste water in Ireland to satisfy the
 501 requirements of the water framework directive. Biology and Environment, 108(2), 69-
 502 79.

503

504 Fuller, F. and Beghin, J.C. (2004) China's growing market for dairy products. Iowa
505 Ag Review, 10 (3), 10-11.

506

507 Garcia M.C., Szogi A.A., Vanotti M.B., Chastain J.P., Millner P.D. (2009) Enhanced
508 solid-liquid separation of dairy manure with natural flocculants. Bioresource
509 Technology 100, 5417-5423.

510

511 Greenan, C.M., Moorman, T.B., Kaspar, T.C., Parkin, T.B., Jaynes, D.B. (2006)
512 Comparing carbon substrates for denitrification of subsurface drainage water. J. Env.
513 Qual. 35, 824-829.

514

515 Healy, M. G., Rodgers, M., and Mulqueen, J. (2006) Denitrification of a nitrate-rich
516 synthetic wastewater using various wood-based media materials. Journal of
517 Environmental Science and Health - Part A Toxic/Hazardous Substances and
518 Environmental Engineering, 41(5), 779-788.

519

520 Healy, M. G., Rodgers, M., and Mulqueen, J. (2007) Treatment of dairy wastewater
521 using constructed wetlands and intermittent sand filters. Bioresource Technology,
522 98(12), 2268-2281.

523

524 Healy, M.G., Burke, P., Rodgers, M. (2010) The use of laboratory sand, soil and
525 crushed-glass filter columns for polishing domestic-strength synthetic wastewater that
526 has undergone secondary treatment. Journal of Environmental Science and Health
527 Part A 45, 1635-1641.

528

529 Kadlec, R. H., Tanner, C. C., Hally, V. M., and Gibbs, M. M. (2005) Nitrogen
530 spiraling in subsurface-flow constructed wetlands: Implications for treatment
531 response. *Ecological Engineering*, 25(4), 365-381.

532

533 Knudsen, M. T. K., Kristensen, I. B. S., Berntsen, J., Petersen, B. M., Kristensen,
534 E.S. (2006) Estimated N leaching losses for organic and conventional farming in
535 Denmark. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 144(2), 135-149.

536

537 Lansing, S. L., and Martin, J. F. (2006) Use of an ecological treatment system (ETS)
538 for removal of nutrients from dairy wastewater. *Ecological Engineering*, 28(3 SPEC.
539 ISS.), 235-245.

540

541 Longhurst, R. D., Roberts, A. H. C., and O'Connor, M. B. (2000) Farm dairy effluent:
542 A review of published data on chemical and physical characteristics in New Zealand.
543 *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research*, 43(1), 7-14.

544

545 Mantovi, P., Marmiroli, M., Maestri, E., Tagliavini, S., Piccinini, S., and Marmiroli,
546 N. (2003) Application of a horizontal subsurface flow constructed wetland on
547 treatment of dairy parlor wastewater. *Bioresource Technology*, 88(2), 85-94.

548

549 Martínez-Suller, L., Provolo, G., Carton, O.T., Brennan, D., Kirwan, L., and.
550 Richards, K.G. (2010) The composition of dirty water on dairy farms in Ireland. *Irish*
551 *Journal of Agricultural and Food Research* Volume 49, No. 1.

552

553 Miller, A. J., and Cramer, M. D. (2005) Root nitrogen acquisition and assimilation.
 554 Plant and Soil, 274(1-2), 1-36.
 555

556 Mingoue, D., Coughlan, F., Murphy, P., French, P., Bolger, T. (2010)
 557 Characterisation of soiled water on Irish dairy farms. BSAS/WPSA/Agricultural
 558 Research Forum Conference. Queen's University, Belfast. 12-14 April, 2010.
 559

560 Morgan, J. A., and Martin, J. F. (2008) Performance of an ecological treatment system
 561 at three strengths of dairy wastewater loading. Ecological Engineering, 33(3-4), 195-
 562 209.
 563

564 O'Driscoll K., Boyle L., French P., Hanlon A. (2008) The effect of out-wintering pad
 565 design on hoof health and locomotion score of dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci. 91, 544-553.
 566

567 OECD/FAO (2009) Agricultural outlook 2009 – 2018. Available at: [http://www.agri-
 outlook.org/dataoecd/2/31/43040036.pdf](http://www.agri-

 568 outlook.org/dataoecd/2/31/43040036.pdf). (Verified 30 Nov.2010)
 569

570 Petersen, S.O., Sommer, S.G., Béline, F., Burton, C., Dach, J., Dourmad, J.Y., Leip,
 571 A., Misselbrook, T., Nicholson, F., Poulsen, H.D., Provololo, G., Sørensen, P.,
 572 Vinnerås, B., Weiske, A.; Bernal, M.P., Böhm, R., Juhász, C., Mihelic, R. (2007)
 573 Recycling of livestock manure in a whole-farm perspective. Livestock Science 112:
 574 180-191.
 575

576 Regan, J.T., Rodgers, M., Healy, M.G., Kirwan, L., Fenton, O. (2010) Determining
577 phosphorus and sediment release rates from five Irish tillage soils. *J. Env. Qual.* 39,
578 185-192.

579

580 Robertson, W.D., Blowes, D.W., Ptacek, C.J., Cherry, J.A. (2000) Long-term
581 performance of in situ reactive barriers for nitrate remediation. *Ground Wat.* 38, 689-
582 695.

583

584 Robertson, W.D., Ford, G.I., Lombardo, P.S. (2005) Wood-based filter for nitrate
585 removal in septic systems. *Trans. ASABE* 48, 121-128.

586

587 Ruane, E.M., Murphy, P.N.C., Clifford, E., O' Reilly, E., French, P., Rodgers, M.
588 (2011) Treatment of dairy soiled water using a woodchip filter. *Journal of*
589 *Environmental Management*. In press.

590

591 Ryan, M. (1990) Properties of different grades of soiled water and strategies for safe
592 disposal. *Environmental Impact of Landspreading of Wastes*. In: *Proceedings of the*
593 *Symposium Held at Johnstown Castle Centre for Soils and Environmental Research*
594 *and Development, Co. Wexford, Ireland, May 30–31, 1990. pp. 43–58.*

595

596 Saliling, W.J.B., Westerman, P.W., Losordo, T.M. (2007) Wood chips and wheat
597 straw as alternative biofilter media for denitrification reactors treating aquaculture and
598 other wastewaters with high nitrate concentrations. *Aqua. Eng.* 37, 222-233.

599

600 Sarkar, B., Chakrabarti, P. P., Vijaykumar, A., and Kale, V., 2006. Wastewater
601 treatment in dairy industries -- possibility of reuse. *Desal.* 195, 141-152.
602

603 Schaafsma, J.A., A.H. Baldwin, C.A. Streb. (2000) An evaluation of a constructed
604 wetland to treat wastewater from a dairy farm in Maryland, USA. *Ecol. Eng.* 14, 199-
605 206.

606 Schipper, L. A.,Vojvodic-Vukovic, M. (2001) Five years of nitrate removal,
607 denitrification and carbon dynamics in a denitrification wall. *Wat. Res.* 35, 3473-
608 3477.
609

610 Schipper, L.A., Robertson, W.D., Gold, A.J., Jaynes, D.B., Camerone, S.C. (2010a)
611 Denitrifying bioreactors—An approach for reducing nitrate loads to
612 receiving waters. *Ecol. Eng.* 30, 1532-1543 .
613

614 Schipper, L.A., Cameron, S.C., Warneke, S. (2010b) Nitrate removal from three
615 different effluents using large-scale denitrification beds. *Ecol. Eng.* 36, 1552-1557.
616

617 Silva, R.G.; Cameron, K.C.; Di, H.J.; Hendry, T. (1999) A lysimeter study of the
618 impact of cow urine, dairy shed effluent, and nitrogen fertiliser on nitrate leaching.
619 *Australian Journal of Soil Research* 37: 357-369.
620

621 Statutory Instrument 610 of 2010. European communities (good agricultural practice
622 for protection of waters) regulations 2010. [http://www.irishstatutebook.ie/2010/en/](http://www.irishstatutebook.ie/2010/en/si/0610.html)
623 [si/0610.html](http://www.irishstatutebook.ie/2010/en/si/0610.html).
624

625 Teagasc Forestry Development Unit (2007) A road map for the farm forestry sector to
626 2015.

627

628 Vinten, A.J.A., Donnelly, S., Ball, B.C., Crawford, C.E., Richie, R.M., Parker, J.P.
629 (2006) A field trial to evaluate the pollution potential to ground and surface waters
630 from woodchip corrals for over-wintering livestock outdoors, *Soil Use Manag.* 22,
631 82–94.

632

633 Von Wirén., N., Gazzarrini, S., Frommer., W.B. (1997) Regulation of mineral
634 nitrogen uptake in plants. *Plant and Soil.* 196;2, 191-199.

635

636 Wahab M.A., Jellali S., Jedidi N. (2010) Ammonium biosorption onto sawdust: FTIR
637 analysis, kinetics and adsorption isotherms modeling. *Bioresource Technology*
638 101:5070-5075.

639

640 Wang, H., Magesan, G.N., Bolan, N.S. (2004) An overview of the environmental
641 effects of land application of farm effluents. *NZ J. Agric. Res.* 47, 389–403.

642

643 Wood, J., Fernandez, G., Barker, A., Gregory, J., Cumby, T. (2007) Efficiency of reed
644 beds in treating dairy wastewater. *Biosys. Eng.* 98, 455-469.

645

646 WHO (2006) Guidelines for drinking-water quality, Recommendations. 1:3. WHO,
647 Geneva, Switzerland.

648

649 Zaman, M., Di, H. J., and Cameron, K. C. (1999) A field study of gross rates of N
650 mineralization and nitrification and their relationships to microbial biomass and

651 enzyme activities in soils treated with dairy effluent and ammonium fertilizer. Soil
652 Use and Management, 15(3), 188-194.
653
654
655

Captions for Figures

Figure 1. Plan (a) and side view (b) of three farm scale woodchip filters.

Figure 2. The temperature of the wastewater exiting the filters ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) and the influent and effluent concentration (mg L^{-1}) of ammonium-N ($\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$), nitrate-N ($\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$) and unfiltered total nitrogen (TN). Closed diamond indicates influent measurements. Open square indicates effluent measurements. Fitted linear regression lines are also shown for influent (solid line) and effluent measurements (hatched line). Standard deviations are shown for effluent concentrations.

Figure 3. Langmuir isotherm fitted for the woodchip media. C_e is the concentration of P in solution at equilibrium (mg L^{-1}), x/m is the mass of P adsorbed per unit mass of woodchip (g g^{-1}) at C_e .

Figure 4. The influent and effluent concentration (mg L^{-1}) of suspended solids (SS), chemical oxygen demand (COD) and ortho-phosphorus ($\text{PO}_4\text{-P}$). Closed diamond indicates influent measurements. Open square indicates effluent measurements. Fitted linear regression lines are also shown for influent (solid line) and effluent measurements (hatched line). Standard deviations are shown for effluent concentrations.

680 **Table 1.** Chemical characteristics of dairy soiled water (DSW) for different studies

Reference	Location	BOD ₅	COD	TN	NH ₄ -N	NO ₃ -N	NO ₂ -N	TP	PO ₄ -P	SS
mg L ⁻¹										
Healy et al., 2007	Ireland	2,208	2,921	176	85	9			23	353
Crumby et al., 1999	England	6,593	13,383	825	457				415	1 [§]
Sarkar et al., 2006	India	350-600	1500-3000							250-600
Longhurst et al., 2000	New Zealand			269	48	2		69		1 [§]
Schaafsma et al., 2000	USA	2,178		164	72	6		53	57	1645
Wood et al., 2007	UK	2,811	6,690	540	366			89		6,144
Lansing and Martin, 2006	USA	517			52				21	
Mantovi et al., 2003	Italy	451	1219	65	22			13		690
Di and Cameron, 2000	New Zealand			246	58			55		7400
Martinez-Suller et al., 2010	Ireland	3084		351	32	0	0.3	44		12,000

681
682 [§] Unit %

Table 2. Mean chemical composition of influent and effluent dairy soiled water (DSW) treated in three woodchip filter pads over one year of operation

	Influent		Effluent		Decrease
	mg L ⁻¹				%
COD _T	5,750	(1,441)	1961	(251)	66
COD _F	1,744	(488)	987	(133)	43
TN	357	(100)	153	(24)	57
Particulate N	140	(65)	64	(41)	54
TN _F	217	(64)	74	(16)	58
Dissolved Org N	202.15	(63)	64.80	(25)	68
NH ₄ -N	134	(45)	37	(10)	72
NO ₂ -N	1.66	(2)	4.69	(2)	-182
NO ₃ -N	12.88	(10)	22.46	(8)	-74
Mineral N	14.54	(10)	27.15	(17)	-87
Org N	207.43	(77)	91.64	(45)	56
PO ₄ -P	36.01	(17)	24.70	(3)	31
SS	602	(303)	84	(19)	86
pH	7.6	(0.2)	7.8	(0.3)	-3

Table 3 Estimated capital, recurring and operation costs associated with the construction and operating of an aerobic woodchip filter to treat dairy soiled water


No. cows	Q (L m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	Woodchip ^a (m ³)	Costs €			Total
			Capital	Recurring ^b	Operational ^c	
1	30	1	33	25.48	0.72	59

^a Including woodchip around the edges of the filter extending out 1 m and inclined at 45°

^b Woodchip to be replaced when excessive ponding occurs on the surface of the filter

^c Based on the average of three pumps (0.75 kW) at different distances and head losses used in this study operating for between 4.53 and 6.98 hr per week for a year at EUR16 cent per unit of electricity (ESB, 2009)

708 Figure 1



709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716

717

718

719


720

721

722

723

724 Figure 2.



725

726


727

728


729

730

Figure 3.



750 Figure 4.



751

752

753