

Provided by the author(s) and University of Galway in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite the published version when available.

	,
Title	Phosphorus fertiliser equivalent value of dairy processing sludge-derived STRUBIAS products using ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.) and spring wheat (Triticum aestivum)
Author(s)	Shi, W.; Fenton, Owen; Ashekuzzaman, S. M.; Daly, K.; Leahy, J. J.; Khalaf, N.; Chojnacka, K.; Numviyimana, C.; Warcho, J.; Healy, Mark G.
Publication Date	2023-12-11
Publication Information	Shi, W., Fenton, Owen, Ashekuzzaman, S. M., Daly, K., Leahy, J. J., Khalaf, N., Chojnacka, K., Numviyimana, C., Warcho, J., Healy, M. G. (2023). Phosphorus fertiliser equivalent value of dairy processing sludge-derived STRUBIAS products using ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.) and spring wheat (Triticum aestivum). Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science, n/a(n/a). doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.202300164
Publisher	Wiley
Link to publisher's version	https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.202300164
Item record	http://hdl.handle.net/10379/17999
DOI	http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jpln.202300164

Downloaded 2024-04-27T22:53:08Z

Some rights reserved. For more information, please see the item record link above.



- 1 Shi, W., Fenton, O., Ashekuzzaman, S.M., Daly, K., Leahy, J.J., Khalaf, N., Chojnacka, K., Numviyimana,
- 2 C., Warchol, J., Healy, M.G. 2024. Fertiliser equivalent value of dairy processing sludge-derived
- 3 STRUBIAS products using ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.) and spring wheat (Triticum aestivum). Journal
- 4 of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science 10: 1 10. 10.1002/jpln.202300164
- 6 Phosphorus fertiliser equivalent value of dairy processing sludge-derived
- 7 STRUBIAS products using ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.) and spring wheat
- 8 (Triticum aestivum)
- 9 W. Shi^{1,2}, O. Fenton^{1,2} *, S.M. Ashekuzzaman³, K. Daly¹, J.J. Leahy⁴, N. Khalaf⁴, K. Chojnacka⁵, C.
- 10 Numviyimana⁵, J. Warchoł⁵, M.G. Healy²
- ¹Environment Research Centre, Teagasc, Johnstown Castle, Wexford, Co. Wexford, Ireland
- ²Civil Engineering and Ryan Institute, College of Science and Engineering, University of Galway,
- 14 Ireland

11

21

22

23

24

25

26

- ³Department of Civil, Structural and Environmental Engineering, and Sustainable Infrastructure
- 16 Research & Innovation Group, Munster Technological University, Cork, Ireland
- ⁴Chemical and Environmental Science, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland
- ⁵Department of Advanced Material Technology, Faculty of Chemistry, Wroclaw University of Science
- and Technology, ul. M. Smoluchowskiego 25, Wroclaw 50-372, Poland
- 20 Corresponding author: owen.fenton@teagasc.ie

Highlights

- STRUBIAS products derived from dairy sludge were tested for agronomic performance.
- Not all of the products tested showed good agronomic performance.
- High Fe content limits the fertiliser value of struvite and hydrochars.
- Generic guidelines based on a particular group of bio-based fertilisers are flawed.
 - Removal of Fe from STRUBIAS products will improve agronomic performance.

Abstract

- Struvite, biochar and ash products (collectively known as STRUBIAS) derived from different waste streams are used as fertilisers in agriculture. Raw dairy processing sludge (DPS) shows promise as bio-based fertilisers, but their secondary STRUBIAS-derived products need testing as fertilisers. The objective of this ryegrass (*Lolium perenne L.*) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) pot trial was to calculate their phosphorus mineral fertiliser equivalency (P-MFE) using the apparent P recovery (APR) method for Fe-DPS and DPS-derived struvites (Struvite 1 4), hydrochars (HC1 3) and ash. Results showed that the products can be divided into two groups: (1) a range of products that can (i.e., Struvite 1 3) and (2) cannot (i.e., Struvite 4, HC1 3, ash and Fe-DPS) be considered as fertilisers. In the first group, the P-MFE ranged from 66.8 to 76.7% for ryegrass and from 77.9 to 93.5% for spring wheat grain. In the second group, the P-MFE ranged from 7.8 to 58.3% for ryegrass and from -34.5 to -151.3% for spring wheat grain. The negative agronomic effects of some products for wheat grain (struvite and HC) in this study were mainly caused by high Fe content which could be overcome by improved treatment processes. Future policy and research must be aware that not all STRUBIAS products are suitable as fertilisers and therefore need to be tested individually.
- **Keywords:** dairy processing; bio-based fertilisers; phosphorus mineral fertiliser equivalence value;
- 50 STRUBIAS.

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

1. Introduction

In the European Union (EU) the dairy industry is the largest industrial food wastewater contributor (Shi et al., 2021). This waste is phosphorus (P)-rich and leads to large volumes of solid organic waste, referred to as dairy processing sludge (DPS). There are several types of DPS, with altered chemical characteristics based on the chemicals (i.e. salts containing Al, Fe, or Ca, etc.) used to treat waste, all of which have different nutrient and metal concentrations and mineral fertiliser equivalence value (MFE) (Ashekuzzaman et al., 2019; Shi et al., 2022). Similar to other organic fertilisers, land application of DPS occurs only at certain times of the year (Sommer and Knudsen, 2021). This results in storage requirements and may result in nitrogen (N) losses through gaseous emissions. Therefore, technologies that process raw DPS on site are cost-efficient ways to recover nutrients from waste. Conversion of DPS (with the addition of other feedstock) into struvite, biochar (char-based materials) or ash (collectively called STRUBIAS) before land application is one such technology (Hu et al., 2022; Shi et al., 2021). STRUBIAS materials are recognised as fertilisers in the EU (EC, 2019; Huygens et al., 2018) and are expected to be certified to trade on the EU fertiliser market by 2030 (Huygens et al., 2018). DPS-derived STRUBIAS products are a new subset of products which, to date, have only been characterised in terms of their nutrient and metal concentrations (Shi et al., 2021), while its agronomic performance has rarely been reported (Shi et al., 2022).

The characterisation and agronomic performance of different STRUBIAS products varies considerably. Struvite (magnesium ammonium phosphate hexahydrate (MgNH₄PO₄.6H₂O)) is a mineral of P formed at treatment plants during the anaerobic digestion process whereby the pH and Mg levels are increased (Hertzberger et al., 2020). Struvite is considered to be a good slow-release fertiliser, as it normally has similar fertiliser efficiency to common mineral P fertilisers such as super phosphate (SP) and triple superphosphate (Johnston and Richards, 2003). However, the chemical composition of waste-recovered struvite is not consistent with pure struvite (Hall et al., 2020), leading

to a variation in fertiliser performance. In addition, Al, Ca, Fe, and other toxic heavy metals can also precipitate along with struvite and affect the fertiliser efficacy (Li et al., 2019). Biochar is made from the thermochemical conversion of biomass in an oxygen-depleted atmosphere (Atallah et al., 2020) with different thermochemical pre-treatment processes, conditions and feedstocks, resulting in different products (Amoah-Antwi et al., 2020). Hydrothermal carbonisation (HTC) is a wet thermochemical process at the temperature range of 180-260 °C (Kambo and Dutta, 2015) and produces hydrochar. During this process an additional liquor is produced containing small-chain organic acids, ammonium (NH₄) and phosphate (Becker et al., 2019). Ash is produced from the incineration of bio-based materials by oxidation (Huygens et al., 2018) and contains K, P, S, Ca and Mg (Brod et al., 2012; Haraldsen et al., 2011; Knapp and Insam, 2011) and levels of P that are comparable to chemical equivalents (5.98% - 11.2% total P; Xu et al., 2012).

The objective of this study is to examine the phosphorus mineral fertiliser equivalent value (P-MFE) of a range of DPS-derived STRUBIAS products, since they are mainly P recovery products. We hypothesised that DPS could be a potential feedstock for STRUBIAS material and will show different agronomic performance depending on their characterisation. Suggestions of processing solutions will be provided when there is a shortfall in agronomic performance of the STRUBIAS materials. The results can give guidance to the fertiliser and agricultural industries with respect to these new emerging bio-based fertilisers and their efficacy.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Sample collection, preparation and analysis

In this study four types of struvite (hereafter referred to as Struvite 1, 2, 3, and 4), one type of ash, Fe-DPS, three types of hydrochar (hereafter referred to as HC1, 2, and 3), and one reference mineral P fertiliser (SP) were used. The production process is illustrated in Figure 1. Struvite 1, 2 and 3 were precipitated from cheese production wastewater by varying the Ca:P, Mg:P and pH

(Numviyimana et al., 2020), and Struvite 4 was precipitated from HTC liquor (Numviyimana et al., 2022). Ash was created using a biochar (Kwapinska et al., 2019) processed in a laboratory furnace at 650 °C for 3 hours, cooled to room temperature, and then ground using a pestle and mortar. HC1, 2, and 3 were produced using a HTC process using Fe-DPS with different moisture contents. There was no additional water added in the reactor liner during the HC1 process. One percent H₂SO₄ was added in the reactor vessel with the DPS sample (set at 200 °C) to achieve moisture contents of 85% (HC2) and 90% (HC3), respectively. The liquor from HC2 was the feedstock of Struvite 4. Once the temperature was reached, the stirrer was operated at 25 rpm (HC1) and 36 (HC2 and HC3) rpm for 2 hours. Fe-DPS was collected from a dairy processing wastewater treatment plant in Ireland.

All DPS-derived STRUBIAS samples (Struvite 1-4, HC1-3 and ash) were characterised to determine their nutrient, metal and carbon (C) contents using the methodology presented in Shi et al. (2022). Briefly, pH was determined using a Jenway 3510 pH meter. Nutrients and metals were examined by an Agilent 5100 synchronous vertical dual view inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (Agilent 5100 ICP-OES) following the microwave-assisted acid digestion of samples. A high temperature combustion method (LECO TruSpec CN analyser) was used to determine total carbon (TC) and total nitrogen (TN). Mineral N was analysed colorimetrically following with 0.1M HCl extraction using an Aquakem 600 Discrete Analyser.

2.2 Pot design for P-MFE of STRUBIAS products

Soil samples were collected at Teagasc, Johnstown Castle Environmental Research Centre (52° 17′N, 6° 29′W) in Ireland and physically and chemically characterised for dry bulk density, water holding capacity (WHC), moisture content, soil mineral N, soil pH, organic matter (OM), total concentrations of nutrients and metals, and Morgan's P using the methodology presented in Shi et al. (2022) and the results are shown in Table 1. Briefly, bulk density and WHC were measured using the method of Wilke (2005). The moisture content was determined using BS 1377-1 (BSI, 2016). Soil

mineral N was analysed colorimetrically after extraction by 1M KCl. Soil OM was measured by loss on ignition using BS 1377-3 (BSI, 1990). Soil pH, total concentrations of nutrients and metals was measured using the same methodology as for STRUBIAS samples. Plant available P was measured with Morgan's P extracting solution (Morgan, 1941). The result of soil Morgan's P indicated that the soil was P deficient (< 3.0 mg L⁻¹) (Teagasc, 2020). The soil used in the pot trial was air dried for a week before sieving to <4 mm. Pot trials, comprising two crops, ryegrass (*Lolium perenne L.*) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), were set up to examine the P-MFE following the methodology of Sigurnjak et al. (2017), whereby two litre-capacity pots were filled as follows: a 2 cm-deep layer of gravel was added to the pots followed by 0.5 kg of soil and the remaining soil (1.3 kg) was mixed with the respective DPS-derived STRUBIAS materials and then added. Each layer of soil was compacted using a circular disk to a target dry bulk density of 1.2 g cm⁻³. Finally, distilled water was added to reach a 70% WHC target.

The results of a previous study conducted by Shi et al. (2022) indicated that an application rate equivalent to 40 kg P ha⁻¹ for ryegrass and 50 kg P ha⁻¹ for spring wheat was optimal for plant growth. All the application rates were transformed to the pot experiment depending on taking the surface area of the pot into account. The final P rate was 91 mg P pot⁻¹ for ryegrass and 113 mg P pot⁻¹ for spring wheat. Therefore, these rates were used in the current study. STRUBIAS treatments (i.e., Struvite 1 – 4, with Struvite 4 only applied on spring wheat due to experimental logistical issues), ash, HC1-3, raw Fe-DPS, and SP were applied at one application rate for each crop. A study control (without P fertiliser) for each crop was also included in the experiments. Mineral fertilisers (i.e., calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN), potassium chloride (MOP) and sulphate of potash (SOP)) were applied to all pots to ensure that P was the only limiting nutrient (Table S1 and S2). Mg fertiliser was not added since extra Mg fertiliser is only advisable if soil Mg is less than about 50mg/l, while the Morgan's Mg of the soil used was 177 mg/l (Teagasc, 2020). Every treatment had three replications.

For ryegrass pots, 0.6 g of seeds (equivalent to 28 g m⁻²) were seeded per pot. For wheat, 10 germinated wheat seeds were seeded in each pot (Darch et al., 2019). The pots were placed in a randomised block layout within a controlled growth chamber (Teagasc, Johnstown Castle) and operated under the following conditions: (1) 16-hour light photoperiod (2) daytime temperatures of 14 °C and night-time temperatures of 8 °C, with respective relative humidities of 85% and 75%, and (3) photosynthetically active radiation of $450 \pm 50 \, \mu mol \, m^{-2} \, s^{-1}$. All pots were held between 70 and 80% WHC by regularly weighting them. The grass was manually cut 4 cm above soil level after reaching a length of $22 - 26 \, cm$. The grass pot trial lasted 16 weeks and 3 cuts were taken. The wheat plants were grown to maturity (20 weeks) and then separated into grain and chaff + straw after harvesting (Darch et al., 2019).

2.3 Crop and soil sampling and analysis

Fresh harvested crop samples were oven-dried at 70 °C for 72 hours in perforated plastic bags. Once dried, dry weight was recorded for dry matter (DM) analysis and, subsequently, dried samples were grounded and sieved to < 2 mm for nutrient and metal analysis. Soil samples before and after the pot trial were oven-dried at 40 °C for 72 hours and then sieved to <2 mm and analysed for nutrients and metals as for the field soil (Table 1).

2.4 P-MFE and statistical analysis

Shi et al. (2022) examined different methods to determine the agronomic performance of DPS. As a result of that study, the P-MFE (equation 2) calculated from apparent P recovery (APR) (equation 1) was deemed most suitable to present agronomic performance of P and is used in the current study.

170
$$APR(\%) = \frac{P \ uptake \ Treatment - P \ uptake \ Control}{Total \ P \ applied \ Treatment}}{Total \ P \ applied \ Treatment}$$
(1)

where APR is the difference in P uptake between treatment (P uptake_{Treatment}) and unfertilised pots (P uptake_{Control}) (Murphy et al., 2013).

174

175

P-MFE (%) = $\frac{APR_{Treatment}}{APR_{Reference}} \times 100$ **(2)**

where P-MFE is the ratio between the apparent nutrient recovery of organic residues (APR_{Treatment}) and the mineral fertiliser applied at the same rate ('reference') (Sigurnjak et al., 2019). 176

177

178

179

180

181

182

Statistical analysis was performed using SAS statistical software (SAS, Statistical Analysis System, 2013). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed for every dataset of crop yield and crop P uptake to determine if differences were seen as a function of treatment. Statistically significant differences were considered at a p-value ≤ 0.05 and where significance was found, a Fisher's Least Significant Difference (LSD) test was used to determine statistical differences in means as a function of treatment for each variable at each harvest.

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

183

3. Results

3.1 Characterisation of nutrients and metals

The DPS-derived STRUBIAS products differed in their nutrient and heavy metal contents (Table 2). Comparing with the minimum nutrients requirements in the EU fertiliser regulation i.e. (1% by mass of TN and 1% by mass of P₂O₅ for solid organic fertiliser; 2% by mass of TN and 2% by mass of P₂O₅ for solid organo-mineral fertiliser) (EC, 2019), all products had high P contents and therefore met the minimum P requirement. However, the TC content of struvite 4 and ash was too low and therefore these products cannot be considered as organic fertilisers under the EU fertiliser regulation. The characterisation results suggested that all products had potential as fertilisers from at least a nutritional perspective. The heavy metal content of the ash was much higher than that of the other products. However, all products had heavy metal content below EU regulated limits (Cu, Ni, Pb, Cd, Zn, Hg and As) (EU, 2019).

3.2 Crop yield and P uptake

In the ryegrass study, cumulative yields and P uptake of DPS or STRUBIAS treatments were significantly higher than those of the control (no P treatment), except for Struvite 4 and ash (Table 3). The lowest ryegrass yields were measured in these two treatments, while high yields were achieved with Struvite 1 and 3, and HC1 and 3, which also had a similar yield to mineral P fertiliser. For P uptake, only Struvite 1 – 3 and HC1 treatments were significantly higher than the control and for Struvite 2 and 3, P uptake was in the same order of magnitude as for SP. In the spring wheat study, there was no significant difference between chuff + straw and grain yields of the control and all treatments, except ash for chuff (Table 3). This result indicated that the recommended P application rates might still not be enough to increase plant-available P in deficient soils (Croffie et al., 2020). Therefore, higher P concentrations should be applied in future studies to achieve a higher crop yield response and P uptake result. The lowest grain yield was found in the ash treatments, with the highest grain yield achieved with Struvite 1 and 2. All treatments had similar yields to mineral P fertiliser.

3.3 P-MFE for ryegrass and spring wheat

The APR and the corresponding P-MFE results of the ryegrass and spring wheat studies are presented in Table 4. The highest APR was observed for SP treatment in both ryegrass and spring wheat pot trial, which demonstrated that mineral P fertiliser is more readily available for plant uptake. The P-MFE of the DPS-derived STRUBIAS materials ranged from 7.8 to 76.7% for ryegrass and from -151.3 to 93.5% for spring wheat grain. Struvite 1-3 treatments had the highest P-MFE (66.8-76.7% for ryegrass and 77.9-93.5% for spring wheat grain), while ash had the lowest among all types of STRUBIAS materials examined in this study. Negative P-MFE results were found in ash, HC and Fe-DPS treatments in the spring wheat grain trial.

4. Discussion

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

4.1 Variation in chemical characteristics

The chemical characteristics of all STRUBIAS products are different and are mainly caused by the feedstock and treatment process, so generic fertiliser guidelines, based solely on the type of end products, are flawed. Struvite products had high concentrations of P and Mg, with metal concentrations lower than legal limits (EU, 2019). Struvites 1 - 3 were generated from cheese production wastewater (whey) with different pH and salt dosages, resulting in different nutrient concentrations (Numviyimana et al., 2020). Struvite 1 was produced under optimal conditions (highest struvite content) and contained the highest amounts of nutrients, while Struvite 3, produced with a high dose of calcium salts, had low nutrient but a high Ca content. The P recovery of Struvite 3 was improved by chemical precipitation with Ca. However, this resulted in lower fertiliser quality as the Ca addition caused the loss of ammonium and P availability. Struvite 4 was precipitated from the HC2 liquor and contained high amounts of Fe due to the feedstock used. Both Ca and Fe are known to negatively affect the availability of P in soil (Ashekuzzaman et al., 2021). All ash and HC samples contained a significant amount of nutrients and metals, except NH₄-H, because P and metals are most likely to remain and concentrate in solid residues during thermo-chemical process (Shackley et al., 2010). Three HCs in this study were produced from a Fe-DPS and different initial acidity was used, which can affect HC yield (Khalaf et al., 2022) but did not affect the HC characteristics.

240

241

242

243

244

245

4.2 Problems and solutions for the tested STRUBIAS products

The results of this study suggest that not all STRUBIAS products of dairy waste are suitable as fertilisers. For example, struvite is normally considered to be an excellent fertiliser, because it has similar fertiliser efficiency to common mineral P fertilisers (Johnston and Richards, 2003). However, in this study, only three of the four struvites tested showed good potential as fertilisers. Struvite 4,

precipitated from HC2 liquor, produced a low ryegrass yield and consequently had a low P-MFE. Numviyimana et al. (2020) conducted a citric acid nutrient release assay on Struvite 3 (the same product as used in the current study) and their results showed lower nutrient availability (P, Mg, NH₄⁺) in that product, which was also observed in the current study. Furthermore, Numviyimana et al. (2020) also found that Struvite 1 had slow P release properties, which may explain the higher grass yields and P uptake in the last ryegrass harvest in the current study (Table 3). The results of the literature show that struvite derived from different feedstocks exhibits a range of agronomic performance (Table S3). Szymańska et al. (2020) conducted a long-term pot experiment with struvite derived from cattle slurry. Higher P-MFE (~150% in silty loamy soils and ~140% in loamy sandy soils) was obtained in the second year of the experiment, with overall results outperforming commercial ammonium phosphate. The results indicated that struvite was an excellent slow-release P fertiliser and might have better agronomic performance than mineral P fertilisers in the long term. González-Ponce et al. (2021) conducted a 90-day pot experiment with struvite derived from anaerobically digested sewage sludge on grass. Increased APRs (\sim 10%) were obtained from these samples and the highest APRs (11.5 \pm 3.8 and 15.7 ± 5.5) were obtained from treatments with struvite of a larger particle size. All these results suggested that the plants efficiently used the P contained in the struvite.

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

The high Fe content of Struvite 4 resulted in its poor agronomic performance. Iron exhibits a high precipitation potential for struvite but limited fertiliser quality of struvite (Numviyimana et al., 2022). This is due to the lower water solubility (K_{sp}) of iron salts such as vivianite (Fe₃(PO₄)₂·8H₂O, $K_{sp} = 10^{-35.8}$) than struvite ($K_{sp} = 10^{-13.17}$) (Hanhoun et al., 2011; Priambodo et al., 2017). Numviyimana et al. (2022) conducted cucumber growth experiments using Struvite 4 and observed very low germination rates (32%), which was attributed to phytotoxicity issues associated with metals. However, the fertiliser quality of Struvite 4 could be improved if Fe was removed during the processing chain: Numviyimana et al. (2022) used oxalic acid for better struvite precipitation, which removed Fe from the process chain, resulting in much higher cucumber germination rates (88%). Therefore,

struvite from waste streams should not be assumed to be a good fertiliser without testing, and, where needed, processing modifications can be implemented to overcome shortfalls in its agronomic performance.

Although the ash had a high P content, it produced the lowest crop yield (and therefore P-MFE) in both the ryegrass and spring wheat trials. Compared to the study control, ash inhibited the growth of spring wheat. The negative P-MFE in the spring wheat trial also implied a slow P release and a low P uptake. This was because P in ash normally occurs as Fe, K, and Ca phosphate (Tan and Lagerkvist, 2011), and therefore the solubility of P is likely to be low. In some cases, ash has been reported to increase the yield or P-MFE of agricultural crops (Battisti et al., 2022; Kuligowski et al., 2010), while other studies reported that ash did not significantly affect or even inhibited, plant growth (Kominko et al., 2019; Ochecova et al., 2014) (Table S3). These varying results may be attributed to the difference in the type of feedstock or the post-treatment process, which affects the solubility of P (Møller et al., 2007; Rubæk et al., 2006). For example, acidification can transform P in ash into a more soluble form. Kuligowski et al. (2010) found that using sulfuric acid as an extractant and potassium hydroxide as a neutraliser is capable of making ash P highly available. Buneviciene et al. (2020) found that granulated biofuel ash significantly increased spring barley grain and straw yields compared to ash powder.

Positive and negative agronomical effects were observed for HC treatments, with HC 1-3 performing significantly better in ryegrass when compared to the spring wheat study. The HTC process improved the agronomic value (yield and P-MFE) compared to its feedstock (Fe-DPS), and the different initial acidities did not affect its agronomical performance. The experiments indicated that HC can be (depending on its individual properties) a good fertiliser for ryegrass, but the negative P-MFE for spring wheat implied a slow release of P and low crop P uptake compared to the control of the study. The fertiliser potential of HC is very complex and depends on many variables, such as the type of soil, type of crop, application rates, HTC process conditions, feedstock, time in the soil, and experimental conditions (field/pot) (Melo et al., 2018). Many studies have observed different

agronomic performances of HC (Table S3). For example, Melo et al. (2018) reported a positive Phaseolus bean yield response after application of sewage sludge derived HC with soil fertility and soil quality benefits. Furthermore, a longer residence time of HC in the soil enabled better nutrient uptake by the crop due to the slow release of nutrients. Gajić and Koch. (2012) applied HC derived from sugar beet pulp and beer draff in the field with different mineral N fertiliser treatments and found that HC, especially with its high C/N ratio, inhibited sugar beet growth due to its high N immobilizing potential. Xia et al. (2020) found that HC derived from pinewood sawdust inhibited the growth of paddy rice in both root and stem. On the contrary, Xia et al. (2020) observed a significant positive effect on rice treated with aminofunctionalised hydrochar (by polyethylenimine grafting) and this HC product effectively reduced heavy metal uptake by the plant. Therefore, although HC derived from DPS has potential as a fertiliser, more research is still needed to identify suitable feedstocks, possible risks, inhibiting mechanisms and substances, and technologies to reduce risks or improve nutrient availability.

Currently and more increasingly into the future, farmers and growers will be encouraged to use less mineral fertiliser and to choose bio-based alternatives. As bio-based fertilisers are heterogeneous in nature (differential origin and processing lead to heterogeneous characteristics), a standardised procedure to examine the agronomic performance of each bio-based fertiliser alternative must be applied. As each new bio-based product emerges, the following chain is suggested: (1) documentation of how the product was processed, (2) total and available nutrient and metal concentration must be conducted using standard methods, and (3) elucidation of its N and P-MFE stating in detail the methodology and calculation methods used. Step 3 must be transparent and well documented, as N and P-MFE values differ depending on the methods used, and (4) this process needs to be repeated for each type of bio-based fertiliser and crop combination. Without this thorough chain of investigation in place, assumptions regarding a particular group of bio-based fertilisers may be too generalised. For

example, in the current study, not all products defined as struvite were considered potential fertilisers.

5. Conclusions

In this study, the agronomic performance of different DPS-derived STRUBIAS materials was determined, but not all the materials tested were deemed suitable as fertilisers to be used in agriculture. Only three of the four struvites tested showed good agronomic performance. The fertiliser value of the fourth struvite and the hydrochars was limited by their high Fe content, which could be overcome by exclusion of the use of iron salts in the removal of P to comply with discharge licence requirements in processing plants. Ash treatments exhibited very low or even negative P-MFEs. These results indicate the importance of testing every bio-based fertiliser alternative to determine their agronomic performance, before making a decision regarding their suitability as fertilisers to be used in agricultural crops. In addition, such testing can guide the processing of STRUBIAS products where low or even negative P-MFEs are determined. Future policy and research must be aware that not all STRUBIAS products will be suitable as fertilisers. Therefore, STRUBIAS products derived from different wastes will continuously need to be evaluated to examine their nutrient and metal concentrations, along with their agronomic performance as fertilisers.

Acknowledgements

This project (REFLOW) has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement no. 814258.

342 References

- Amoah-Antwi, C., Kwiatkowska-Malina, J., Thornton, S.F., Fenton, O., Malina, G., Szara, E., 2020.
- Restoration of soil quality using biochar and brown coal waste: a review. Sci. Total Environ., 137852.
- 345 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137852.
- Ashekuzzaman, S.M., Forrestal, P., Richards, K., Fenton, O., 2019. Dairy industry derived wastewater
- treatment sludge: Generation, type and characterization of nutrients and metals for agricultural reuse.
- J. Clean. Prod. 230, 1266-1275. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.05.025.
- Ashekuzzaman, S.M., Forrestal, P., Richards, K., Daly, K. and Fenton, O., 2021. Grassland phosphorus
- and nitrogen fertiliser replacement value of dairy processing dewatered sludge. Sustain. Prod. Consum.
- 351 25, 363-373. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2020.11.017.
- Atallah, E., Zeaiter, J., Ahmad, M.N., Kwapinska, M., Leahy, J.J., Kwapinski, W., 2020. The effect of
- 353 temperature, residence time, and water-sludge ratio on hydrothermal carbonization of DAF dairy
- 354 sludge. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 8, 103599. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2019.103599
- Augère-Granier, M.L., 2018. The EU dairy sector: Main features, challenges and prospects, EPRS:
- 356 European Parliamentary Research Service. Retrieved from
- 357 https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2018/630345/EPRS BRI(2018)630345 EN.p
- 358 df. (Accessed 25 October 2023)
- Battisti, M., Simpson, R. J., Stefanski, A., Richardson, A. E., Haling, R. E., 2022. Phosphorus fertiliser
- value of sewage sludge ash applied to soils differing in phosphate buffering and phosphate sorption
- 361 capacity. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst. 124, 279-297. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-022-10206-4
- Becker, G.C., Wüst, D., Köhler, H., Lautenbach, A., Kruse, A., 2019. Novel approach of phosphate-
- reclamation as struvite from sewage sludge by utilising hydrothermal carbonization. J. Environ. Manag.
- 364 238, 119–125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.02.121

- Brod, E., Haraldsen, T.K., Breland, T.A., 2012. Fertilization effects of organic waste resources and
- 366 bottom wood ash: results from a pot experiment. Agric. Food Sci. 21, 332-347.
- 367 https://doi.org/10.23986/afsci.5159.
- 368 BSI (British Standards Institution), 1990, British standard methods of test for soils for civil engineering
- purposes Determination of particle size distribution. BS 1377-3. BSI, London.
- 370 BSI (British Standards Institution), 2016, British standard methods of test for soils for civil engineering
- purposes General requirements and sample preparation. BS 1377-1. BSI, London.
- Buneviciene K., Drapanauskaite D., Mazeika R., Tilvikiene V., Baltrusaitis J., 2020. Granulated
- 373 biofuel ash as a sustainable source of plant nutrients. Waste Manag. Res. 39, 806-817.
- 374 https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X20948952
- Cavalli, D., Cabassi, G., Borrelli, L., Geromel, G., Bechini, L., Degano, L., Gallina, P. M., 2016.
- Nitrogen fertilizer replacement value of undigested liquid cattle manure and digestates. Eur. J.
- 377 Agron. 73, 34–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2015.10.007.
- 378 Croffie, M.E.T., Williams, P.N., Fenton, O., Fenelon, A., Daly, K., 2022. Effect of anaerobic-digested
- and lime-stabilized dairy processing sludge on phosphorus dynamics in grassland soils with varying
- 380 textures. J. Clean. Prod. 366, 132915. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.132915.
- Darch, T., Dunn, R. M., Guy, A., Hawkins, J. M., Ash, M., Frimpong, K. A., Blackwell, M. S., 2019.
- 382 Fertilizer produced from abattoir waste can contribute to phosphorus sustainability, and biofortify
- crops with minerals. PloS One, 14. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0221647
- 384 EC (European Commission), 2019. Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council Laying
- Down Rules on the Making Available on the Market of EU Fertilising Products and Amending
- Regulations (EC) No 1069/2009 and (EC) No 1107/2009 and Repealing Regulation (EC) No

- 387 2003/2003. Available online: https://data.consilium.europa.eu/doc/document/PE-76-2018-
- 388 INIT/en/pdf. (Accessed 25 October 2023)
- 389 Erkan, H.S., Gunalp, G., Engin, G.O., 2018. Application of submerged membrane bioreactor
- technology for the treatment of high strength dairy wastewater. Braz. J. Chem. Eng. 35, 91-100.
- 391 https://doi.org/10.1590/0104-6632.20180351s20160599.
- Gajić, A., Koch, H. J., 2012. Sugar beet (Beta vulgaris L.) growth reduction caused by hydrochar is
- related to nitrogen supply. J. Environ. Qual. 41, 1067-1075. https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2011.0237
- González, C., Fernández, B., Molina, F., Camargo-Valero, M. A., Peláez, C., 2021. The determination
- of fertiliser quality of the formed struvite from a WWTP. Water Sci. Technol. 83, 3041-3053.
- 396 https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2021.162
- González Jiménez, J. L., Healy, M. G., Roberts, W. M., Daly, K., 2018. Contrasting yield responses
- 398 to phosphorus applications on mineral and organic soils from extensively managed grasslands:
- 399 Implications for P management in high ecological status catchments. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 181, 861-
- 400 869. https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.201800201
- Hall, R.L., Staal, L.B., Macintosh, K.A., McGrath, J.W., Bailey, J., Black, L., Nielsen, U.G., Reitzel,
- 402 K., Williams, P.N., 2020. Phosphorus speciation and fertiliser performance characteristics: A
- 403 comparison of waste recovered struvites from global sources. Geoderma 362, 114096.
- 404 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.114096.
- Hanhoun, M., Montastruc, L., Azzaro-Pantel, C., Biscans, B., Frèche, M., Pibouleau, L., 2011.
- 406 Temperature impact assessment on struvite solubility product: A thermodynamic modeling
- 407 approach. Chem. Eng. J. 167, 50-58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2010.12.001

- Haraldsen, T.K., Pedersen, P.A., Grønlund, A., 2011. Mixtures of bottom wood ash and neat and bone
- 409 meal as NPK fertilizer. In: Insam, H., Knapp, B. (eds.) Recycling of Biomass Ashes. Springer, Berlin,
- 410 pp. 33–44. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-19354-5 3.
- Henriksen, A., Selmer-Olsen, A.R., 1970. Automatic methods for determining nitrate and nitrite in
- water and soil extracts. Analyst 95, 514-518. https://doi.org/10.1039/AN9709500514.
- Hertzberger, A. J., Cusick, R. D., Margenot, A. J., 2020. A review and meta-analysis of the agricultural
- 414 potential of struvite as a phosphorus fertilizer. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 84, 653-671.
- 415 https://doi.org/10.1002/saj2.20065.
- Hu, Y., Khomenko, O., Shi, W., Velasco Sanchez, A., Ashekuzzaman, S. M., Bennegadi-Laurent, N.,
- Daly, K., Fenton, O., Healy, M.G., Leahy, J.J., Sørensen, P., Sommer, S.G., Taghizadeh-Toosi, A.,
- 418 Trinsoutrot Gattin, I., 2021. Systematic review of dairy processing sludge and secondary STRUBIAS
- 419 products used in agriculture. Front. Sustain. Food Syst. 386.
- 420 https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2021.763020.
- Huygens, D., Saveyn, H., Tonini, D., Eder, P., Sancho, L. D., 2018. Pre-final STRUBIAS Report,
- DRAFT STRUBIAS recovery rules and market study for precipitated phosphate salts and derivates,
- 423 thermal, oxidation materials and derivates and pyrolysis and gasification materials in view of their
- 424 possible inclusion as Component Material Categories in the Revised Fertilizer Regulation. Circular
- Economy and Industrial Leadership Unit, Directorate B-growth and Innovation.
- Johnston, A. E., Richards, I. R., 2003. Effectiveness of different precipitated phosphates as phosphorus
- sources for plants. Soil Use Manag. 19, 45-49. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-2743.2003.tb00278.x.
- Kambo, H.S., Dutta, A., 2015. A comparative review of biochar and hydrochar in terms of production,
- 429 physico-chemical properties and applications. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 45, 359–378.
- 430 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.01.050.

- Khalaf, N., Shi, W., Fenton, O., Kwapinski, W., Leahy, J. J., 2022. Hydrothermal carbonization (HTC)
- of dairy waste: Effect of temperature and initial acidity on the composition and quality of solid and
- liquid products. Open Research Europe 2, 83. https://doi.org/10.12688/openreseurope.14863.2.
- Knapp, B.A., Insam, H., 2011. Recycling of Biomass Ashes: Current Technologies and Future
- Research Needs. In: Insam, H., Knapp, B. (eds.) Recycling of Biomass Ashes. Springer, Berlin,
- 436 Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-19354-5 1
- Kolev Slavov, A., 2017. General characteristics and treatment possibilities of dairy wastewater-A
- 438 review. Food Technol. Biotechnol. 55, 14-28. https://doi.org/10.17113/ft b.55.01.17.4520.
- Kominko, H., Gorazda, K., Wzorek, Z., 2019. Potentiality of sewage sludge-based organo-mineral
- 440 fertilizer production in Poland considering nutrient value, heavy metal content and phytotoxicity for
- rapeseed crops. J. Environ. Manage. 248, 109283. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.109283.
- Kuligowski, K., Poulsen, T. G., Rubæk, G. H., Sørensen, P., 2010. Plant-availability to barley of
- 443 phosphorus in ash from thermally treated animal manure in comparison to other manure based
- 444 materials and commercial fertilizer. Eur. J. Agron. 33, 293-303.
- 445 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2010.08.003.
- Kwapinska, M., Horvat, A., Liu, Y., Leahy, J.J., 2019. Pilot scale pyrolysis of activated sludge waste
- from milk processing factory. Waste Biomass Valoriz.11. 2887-2903. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-
- 448 019-00596-y.
- Li, B., Boiarkina, I., Yu, W., Huang, H.M., Munir, T., Wang, G.Q., Young, B.R., 2019. Phosphorous
- 450 recovery through struvite crystallization: challenges for future design. Sci. Total Environ. 648, 1244—
- 451 1256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.166.

- Melo, T.M., Bottlinger, M., Schulz, E., Leandro, W.M., Menezes de Aguiar Filho, A., Wang, H., Ok,
- 453 Y.S., Rinklebe, J., 2018. Plant and soil responses to hydrothermally converted sewage sludge
- 454 (sewchar). Chemosphere 206, 338–348. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.04.178.
- Møller, H. B., Nielsen, A. M., Nakakubo, R., Olsen, H. J., 2007. Process performance of biogas
- 456 digesters incorporating pre-separated manure. Livest. Sci. 112, 217-223.
- 457 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2007.09.014.
- 458 Morgan, M.F., 1941. Chemical soil diagnosis by the universal soil testing system. Connecticut
- 459 Agricultural Experiment Station. Bulletin 450. Available online: https://portal.ct.gov/-
- 460 /media/CAES/DOCUMENTS/Publications/Bulletins/B450pdf.pdf?la=en. (Accessed 25 October 2023)
- Murphy, P. N., O'connell, K., Watson, S., Watson, C. J., Humphreys, J., 2013. Seasonality of nitrogen
- uptake, apparent recovery of fertilizer nitrogen and background nitrogen supply in two Irish grassland
- soils. Irish J. Agric. Food Res. 52, 17-38. https://www.jstor.org/stable/23631015.
- Numviyimana, C., Warchoł, J., Izydorczyk, G., Baśladyńska, S., Chojnacka, K., 2020. Struvite
- 465 production from dairy processing wastewater: Optimizing reaction conditions and effects of foreign
- 466 ions through multi-response experimental models. J. Taiwan Inst. Chem Eng. 117, 182-189.
- 467 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtice.2020.11.031.
- Numviyimana, C., Warchoł, J., Khalaf, N., Leahy, J. J., Chojnacka, K., 2022. Phosphorus recovery as
- struvite from hydrothermal carbonization liquor of chemically produced dairy sludge by extraction
- and precipitation. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 10, 106947. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2021.106947.
- Ochecova, P., Tlustos, P., Szakova, J., 2014. Wheat and soil response to wood fly ash application in
- 472 contaminated soils. Agron. J. 106, 995-1002. https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj13.0363.
- 473 Priambodo, R., Shih, Y. J., Huang, Y. H., 2017. Phosphorus recovery as ferrous phosphate (vivianite)
- 474 from wastewater produced in manufacture of thin film transistor-liquid crystal displays (TFT-LCD)

- 475 by a fluidized bed crystallizer (FBC). RSC Adv. 7, 40819-40828.
- 476 https://doi.org/10.1039/C7RA06308C.
- Rubæk, G. H., Stoholm, P., Sørensen, P., 2006. Availability of P and K in ash from thermal gasification
- 478 of animal manure. DIAS report, 177. Available online:
- https://dcapub.au.dk/djfpdf/djfma123.pdf#page=178. (Accessed 25 October 2023).
- Shackley, S., Sohi, S., Brownsort, P., Carter, S., Cook, J., Cunningham, C., Gaunt, J., Hammond, J.,
- 481 Ibarrola, R., Masek, O., Sims, K., Thornley, P., 2010. An assessment of the benefits and issues
- associated with the application of biochar to soil. Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs,
- 483 UK Government, London. Available online:
- https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/document?repid=rep1&type=pdf&doi=5137a251b93c1a96909baf37953b
- 485 e2a11fedbee0. (Accessed 25 October 2023).
- 486 Sigurnjak, I., Brienza, C., Snauwaert, E., De Dobbelaere, A., De Mey, J., Vaneeckhaute, C., Michels,
- 487 E., Schoumans, O., Adani, F., Meers, E., 2019. Production and performance of bio-based mineral
- 488 fertilizers from agricultural waste using ammonia (stripping-) scrubbing technology. Waste
- 489 Manag. 89, 265-274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2019.03.043.
- 490 Sigurnjak, I., Michels, E., Crappé, S., Buysens, S., Biswas, J. K., Tack, F. M., De Neve, S., Meers, E.,
- 491 2017. Does acidification increase the nitrogen fertilizer replacement value of bio-based fertilizers?.J.
- 492 Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 180, 800-810. https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.201700220
- Shi, W., Healy, M. G., Ashekuzzaman, S. M., Daly, K., Leahy, J. J., Fenton, O., 2021. Dairy processing
- 494 sludge and co-products: A review of present and future re-use pathways in agriculture. J. Clean.
- 495 Prod. 314, 128035.
- Shi, W., Healy, M. G., Ashekuzzaman, S. M., Daly, K., Fenton, O., 2022. Mineral fertiliser equivalent
- value of dairy processing sludge and derived biochar using ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.) and spring

- 498 wheat (Triticum aestivum). J. Environ. Manag. 321, 116012.
- 499 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.116012.
- 500 Sommer, S. G., Knudsen, L., 2021. Impact of Danish livestock and manure management regulations
- on nitrogen pollution, crop production, and economy. Front. Sustain. 2, 658231.
- 502 https://doi.org/10.3389/frsus.2021.658231.
- 503 Szymańska, M., Sosulski, T., Bożętka, A., Dawidowicz, U., Was, A., Szara, E., Malak-Rawlikowska,
- A., Sulewski, P., van Pruissen, G. W. P., Cornelissen, R. L., 2020. Evaluating the struvite recovered
- from anaerobic digestate in a farm bio-refinery as a slow-release fertiliser. Energie, 13, 5342.
- 506 https://doi.org/10.3390/en13205342.
- Tan, Z., Lagerkvist, A., 2011. Phosphorus recovery from the biomass ash: A review. Renew. Sustain.
- 508 Energy Rev. 15, 3588-3602. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2011.05.016.
- Teagasc, 2020. Major and micro nutrient advice for productive agricultural crops, fifth ed. Teagasc,
- 510 Johnstown Castle, Wexford, Ireland. Available online:
- 511 https://www.teagasc.ie/media/website/publications/2020/Major--Micro-Nutrient-Advice-for-
- 512 Productive-Agricultural-Crops-2020.pdf. (Accessed 25 October 2023).
- 513 USEPA, 1996. SW-846 Test Method 3052: Microwave Assisted Acid Digestion of Siliceous and
- 514 Organically Based Matrices. United States Environmental Protection Agency.
- Wilke, B.M., 2005. Determination of chemical and physical soil properties. In: Margesin, R., Schinner,
- F. (eds) Manual for Soil Analysis Monitoring and assessing soil bioremediation. Springer, Berlin,
- 517 Heidelberg, pp. 47-95.
- Xia, Y., Luo, H., Li, D., Chen, Z., Yang, S., Liu, Z., Yang, T., Gai, C., 2020. Efficient immobilization
- of toxic heavy metals in multi-contaminated agricultural soils by amino-functionalized hydrochar:

- Performance, plant responses and immobilization mechanisms. Environ. Pollut. 261, 114217.
- 521 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114217.
- Xu, H., He, P., Gu, W., Wang, G., Shao, L., 2012. Recovery of phosphorus as struvite from sewage
- sludge ash. J. Environ. Sci. 24, 1533–1538. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(11)60969-8

525

526

Table 1. Characteristics of the soil used in the pot trial.

Clay	Silt	Fine Sand	Coarse Sand	Organic Matter	Total N	Total P	Total K	Total Al	Total Ca	Total Fe	Morgan's P	pН
%	%	%	%	%	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/l	
15.0	30.1	34.6	20.3	6.5	2700	582	2640	14191	1367	13143	1.9	5.8

Table 2. Characterisation of dairy processing sludge derived STRUBIAS products

Parameters	Struvite1	Struvite2	Struvite3	Struvite4	Ash	HC1	HC2	НС3	Fe-DPS	Min.	Max.	Mean ± SD
pН	7.9	8.3	8.8	9.0	9.3	6.9	7.9	7.7	7.6	6.9	9.3	8.2 ± 0.72
$TN (g kg^{-1})$	43.7	29.4	11.2	4.99	1.1	37.5	29.4	36.5	68.3	1.1	68.3	29.1 ± 19.9
NH ₄ -H (g kg ⁻¹)	40.4	15.4	0.33	1.1	0.092	0.0026	0.0031	0.0025	0.35	0.0025	40.4	6.4 ± 12.9
$TP (g kg^{-1})$	104.2	80.2	47.0	59.0	99.3	78.9	85.4	79.9	57.2	47.0	104.2	76.8 ± 18.1
TC (%)	10.7	25.9	38.8	0.20	0.90	22.6	18.4	21.2	32.7	0.20	38.8	21.4 ± 12.6
$K(g kg^{-1})$	7.1	7.5	6.5	7.0	26.7	13.5	8.5	12.6	15.3	6.5	26.7	11.6 ± 6.1
$Mg (g kg^{-1})$	101.3	62.2	18.8	72.8	17.0	3.7	3.7	3.5	2.9	2.9	101.3	31.8 ± 35.0
$S(g kg^{-1})$	0.16	0.46	0.62	0.07	11.9	3.2	12.8	8.2	4.3	0.07	12.8	4.6 ± 4.8
Na (g kg ⁻¹)	2.6	8.8	31.7	65.2	20.5	2.8	1.8	2.6	3.0	1.8	65.2	15.4 ± 20.1
Ca (g kg ⁻¹)	14.7	34.5	66.9	21.2	227.5	68.0	72.0	65.7	49.2	14.7	227.5	68.9 ± 59.6
Cr (mg kg ⁻¹)	2.2	2.8	3.3	2.6	41.2	6.5	6.8	6.8	5.3	2.2	41.2	8.6 ± 11.7
Cu (mg kg ⁻¹)	1.8	0.21	0.38	0.82	92.7	47.8	6.1	5.4	4.2	0.21	92.7	17.7 ± 30.1
Ni (mg kg ⁻¹)	<0.6	< 0.6	< 0.6	<0.6	27.4	7.6	9.4	9.1	7.0	< 0.6	27.4	6.8 ± 8.3
Pb (mg kg ⁻¹)	<2	<2	<2	<2	32.6	5.9	5.9	5.3	4.3	<2	32.6	6.1 ± 9.7
Zn (mg kg ⁻¹)	30.1	34.4	36.2	6.9	482.4	186.1	185.9	171.7	136.0	6.9	482.4	141.1 ± 139.1
Al $(g kg^{-1})$	0.02	0	0	0.05	82.1	8.0	8.5	7.8	6.1	0	82.1	12.5 ± 24.9
$Fe (g kg^{-1})$	0.07	0.17	0.39	31.4	7.5	177.3	199.7	183.4	128.7	0.07	199.7	80.9 ± 84.0

Co (mg kg ⁻¹)	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	4.9	11.0	11.3	11.0	9.6	< 0.3	11.3	5.4 ± 5.0
Mo (mg kg ⁻¹)	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	11.1	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	11.1	1.2 ± 3.5
Mn (mg kg ⁻¹)	0.53	0.57	2.24	10.2	609.6	234.7	247.9	230.3	181.7	0.53	609.6	168.6 ± 188.1
Cd (mg kg ⁻¹)	< 0.15	< 0.15	< 0.15	< 0.15	0.68	< 0.15	0.25	< 0.15	< 0.15	< 0.15	0.68	< 0.15
As (mg kg ⁻¹)	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5	4.1	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5	4.1	<1.5
B (mg l)	2.0	2.7	3.0	7.4	74.0	3.1	2.0	2.4	1.7	1.7	74.0	10.9 ± 22.4
Se (mg kg ⁻¹)	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1

Abbreviations used in table: HC=hydrochar; DPS=dairy processing sludge; SD=Standard deviation; TN=total nitrogen; TP=total phosphorus; TC=total carbon.

Table 3. Effect of treatment and P rate on the grass and spring wheat dry matter yield and P uptake over the course of the P-MFE experiment.

Treatment*	P rate	Yield (g)	P uptake (mg pot ⁻¹)
	kg ha ⁻¹		

					R	yegrass			
		1*	2*	3*	cumulative	1*	2*	3*	cumulative
Control	0	$2.6^{d}(0.8)$	$2.0^{\rm f}$ (0.5)	$1.9^{f}(0.3)$	$6.5^{\rm f}$ (1.0)	728 ^d (250)	605° (146)	390 ^f (43)	1723 ^d (190)
SP	40	5.1 ^{bc} (1.8)	5.3 ^a (1.5)	8.8 ^a (1.5)	19.2° (2.9)	1982° (685)	1331 ^a (400)	1168 ^a (156)	4482 ^a (857)
Struvite1	40	5.7 ^{bc} (0.8)	4.4 ^{abc} (1.1)	$7.6^{\text{bcd}}(0.1)$	17.7 ^{ab} (2.2)	1282 ^{bc} (292)	1230 ^{ab} (430)	1054 ^{ab} (30)	3565 ^{bc} (219)
Struvite2	40	8.3° (1.9)	$3.7^{\text{bcde}}(0.2)$	$3.3^{\rm ef}(0.9)$	15.2 ^{bc} (2.4)	1922 ^a (205)	1100 ^{abc} (204)	646 ^{de} (112)	3667 ^{ab} (269)
Struvite3	40	$7.4^{a}(0.8)$	4.7 ^{ab} (0.4)	$4.0^{de} (0.4)$	16.1 ^{ab} (1.6)	1875 ^{ab} (211)	1117 ^{abc} (218)	846 ^{ef} (149)	3838 ^{ab} (252)
Struvite4	40	$3.0^{\rm cd} (0.5)$	$2.7^{\text{def}}(0.3)$	$1.4^{\rm f}(0.8)$	$7.0^{\rm f}$ (0.5)	1166 ^{cd} (282)	821 ^{abc} (167)	412 ^{ef} (134)	2399 ^d (186)
Ash	40	$3.5^{\rm cd} (0.5)$	$2.8^{\text{def}}(0.4)$	$3.0^{\rm ef}(0.1)$	8.3 ^{ef} (2.1)	891 ^{cd} (208)	812 ^{bc} (101)	235 ^f (32)	1938 ^d (193)
HC1	40	4.8^{bcd} (1.0)	4.9 ^{ab} (0.6)	7.4^{abc} (1.4)	17.1 ^{ab} (1.0)	1169 ^{cd} (210)	1179 ^{ab} (236)	983 ^{abc} (95)	3332bc (350)
HC2	40	$3.5^{\rm cd}$ (0.1)	$3.3^{\text{cdef}}(0.3)$	$6.3^{\text{bcd}}(0.7)$	12.5 ^{bc} (0.3)	992 ^{cd} (266)	947 ^{abc} (78)	737 ^{cd} (109)	2536 ^{cd} (532)
НС3	40	4.0^{bcd} (1.2)	4.3 ^{abc} (0.5)	7.8 ^{ab} (1.0)	16.1 ^{ab} (1.6)	992 ^{cd} (329)	969 ^{abc} (281)	849 ^{bc} (23)	2856 ^{cd} (460)
Fe-DPS	40	$4.0^{\text{bcd}} (1.0)$	$3.8^{\text{bcde}} (0.7)$	$4.3^{de}(0.4)$	12.1 ^{cd} (1.3)	1084 ^{cd} (236)	920 ^{abc} (254)	453 ^{ef} (144)	2457 ^d (283)

Spring wheat

		Chuff + Straw	Grain	Chuff + Straw	Grain
Control	0	19.2 ^{ab} (2.0)	13.6 ^{ab} (2.4)	522 ^{ab} (100)	2995 ^{ab} (135)
SP	50	17.6 ^{ab} (4.8)	10.9 ^{ab} (1.6)	816 ^a (632)	4016 ^a (946)
Struvite 1	50	20.8 ^a (2.3)	14.4° (1.4)	703 ^{ab} (85)	3879 ^a (252)
Struvite2	50	20.6° (3.5)	14.5° (5.4)	1089 ^a (534)	3948 ^a (927)
Struvite3	50	19.5° (2.5)	11.7 ^{ab} (3.3)	983 ^{ab} (515)	3766 ^a (490)
Ash	50	11.9° (3.6)	6.7 ^b (1.0)	272 ^b (67)	1225 ^d (215)
HC1	50	18.8 ^{ab} (2.8)	10.9 ^{ab} (3.2)	386 ^{ab} (124)	2496 ^{bcd} (283)
HC2	50	$17.0^{abc} (3.1)$	11.1 ^{ab} (4.6)	476 ^{ab} (282)	2518 ^{bc} (705)
НС3	50	$16.4^{abc} (0.2)$	11.8 ^{ab} (2.5)	408 ^{ab} (52)	2314 ^{bcd} (712)
Fe-DPS	50	14.2 ^{bc} (3.3)	8.0 ^b (2.8)	749 ^{ab} (432)	1724 ^{cd} (624)

Mean comparison by Fisher's Least Significant Difference (LSD) test ($p \le 0.05$); Within columns shared letters denote no difference (p > 0.05), and unshared letters denote a statistical difference ($p \le 0.05$); Values indicated in brackets are standard deviations (n = 3). Abbreviations used in table: SP=super phosphate; HC=hydrochar; DPS=dairy processing sludge.

^{*}Three cuts of ryegrass.

Table 4. Ryegrass and wheat grain pot trial results for dairy processing sludge and derived STRUBIAS, rate applied in pot trial and % of mineral fertiliser equivalent value.

	P rate	APR from Eqn. 1	P-MFE from Eqn. 2
	kg ha ⁻¹	9/0	%
		Ryegrass	
SP	40	30.4	100.0
Struvite 1	40	20.3	66.8
Struvite 2	40	21.4	70.5
Struvite 3	40	23.3	76.7
Struvite 4 ¹	40	7.5	24.5
Ash	40	2.4	7.8
HC1	40	17.7	58.3
HC2	40	10.7	35.1
HC3	40	12.5	41.1
Fe-DPS	40	8.1	26.6
		Wheat grain	
SP	50	9.0	100.0
Struvite1	50	7.8	87.0

Struvite2	50	8.4	93.5	531
Struvite3	50	6.8	77.9	
Ash	50	-15.6	-151.3	532
HC1	50	-4.4	-35.8	533
HC2	50	-4.2	-34.5	
HC3	50	-6.0	-50.2	
Fe-DPS	50	-11.2	-106.4	

¹Struvite 4 was not used in the spring wheat trial.

Abbreviations used in table: APR=apparent phosphorus recovery; P-MFE=phosphorus mineral fertiliser equivalent value; HC=hydrochar; DPS=dairy processing sludge