



Provided by the author(s) and University of Galway in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite the published version when available.

Title	Genetically predicted complement component 4A expression: effects on memory function and middle temporal lobe activation
Author(s)	Donohoe, Gary; Holland, Jessica; Mothersill, David; McCarthy Jones, Simon; Cosgrove, Donna; Harold, Denise; Richards, Alex; Mantripragada, Kiran; Owen, Michael J.; O'Donovan, Michael C.; Gill, Michael; Corvin, Aiden; Morris, Derek W.
Publication Date	2018-01-09
Publication Information	Donohoe, G., Holland, J., Mothersill, D., McCarthy-Jones, S., Cosgrove, D., Harold, D., Richards, A., Mantripragada, K., Owen, M. J., O'Donovan, M. C., Gill, M., Corvin, A., Morris, D. W. (2018). Genetically predicted complement component 4A expression: effects on memory function and middle temporal lobe activation. <i>Psychological Medicine</i> , 48(10), 1608-1615. doi: 10.1017/S0033291717002987
Publisher	Cambridge University Press
Link to publisher's version	https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291717002987
Item record	http://hdl.handle.net/10379/15068
DOI	http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0033291717002987

Downloaded 2024-04-18T01:06:58Z

Some rights reserved. For more information, please see the item record link above.



**Genetically Predicted Complement Component 4A Expression: Effects on Memory
Function And Middle Temporal Lobe Activation.**

Gary Donohoe¹, Jessica Holland¹, David Mothersill¹, Simon McCarthy Jones², Donna Cosgrove¹, Denise Harold³, Alex Richards⁴, Kiran Mantripragada⁴, Michael J. Owen⁴, Michael C. O'Donovan⁴, WTCCC2, Michael Gill², Aiden Corvin², Derek W Morris¹.

¹The Cognitive Genetics & Cognitive Therapy Group, The School of Psychology and Discipline of Biochemistry, The Centre for Neuroimaging & Cognitive Genomics, National University of Ireland Galway, Ireland.

²Neuropsychiatric Genetics Research Group, Department of Psychiatry & Institute of Molecular Medicine, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland.

³School of Biotechnology, Dublin City University, Ireland.

⁴ MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics, Cardiff University School of Medicine, Cardiff, UK.

Corresponding Author:

Prof Gary Donohoe

*The Cognitive Genetics & Cognitive Therapy Group,
The School of Psychology,
National University of Ireland Galway.*

Email: gary.donohoe@nuigalway.ie

Tel: +353 91 495122

Word Count

Abstract: 233

Manuscript: 2988

Number of Figures: 1

Supplementary Material: 0

Abstract

The longstanding association between the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) locus and schizophrenia risk has recently been accounted for, partially, by structural variation at the complement component 4 (*C4*) gene. This structural variation generates varying levels of *C4* RNA expression and genetic information from the MHC region can now be used to predict *C4* RNA expression in the brain. Increased predicted *C4A* RNA expression is associated with risk of schizophrenia and *C4* is reported to influence synaptic pruning in animal models. Based on our previous studies associating MHC schizophrenia risk variants with poorer memory performance, we tested whether increased predicted *C4A* RNA expression was associated with reduced memory function in a large (n=1,238) dataset of psychosis cases and healthy participants, and with altered task-dependent cortical activation in a subset of these samples. We observed that increased predicted *C4A* RNA expression predicted poorer performance on measures of memory recall (p=0.016, corrected). Furthermore, in healthy participants we found that increased predicted *C4A* RNA expression was associated with a pattern of reduced cortical activity in middle temporal cortex during a measure of visual processing (p<0.05, corrected). These data suggest that the effects of *C4* on cognition were observable at both a cortical and behavioural level, and may represent one mechanism by which illness risk is mediated. As such, deficits in learning and memory may represent a therapeutic target for new molecular developments aimed at altering *C4*'s developmental role.

Keywords: Schizophrenia, MHC complex, Complement component 4, memory function, temporal cortex.

Introduction

Schizophrenia is a highly heritable disorder associated with disturbances in perception, cognition and affect, the biological basis of which is only partly understood. Successful identification of over 100 genetic risk loci to date has provided an important basis from which to begin to identify relevant biological mechanisms and their functional significance². Recently, a study of the MHC region by Sekar et al. (2016)(Sekar *et al.*, 2016) identified one potential such mechanism involving a locus containing the complement component 4 gene isotypes *C4A* and *C4B*. In that study, *C4* structural variation was associated with significantly altered *C4* RNA expression (as measured in post mortem brain tissue) such that copy number and structure of these genes could be used to predict *C4A* and *C4B* brain expression levels. Predicted *C4A* RNA expression was highly significantly associated with schizophrenia risk ($p=3.6 \times 10^{-24}$) in the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC) schizophrenia GWAS data (Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, 2014), driven by an allelic series of schizophrenia risk levels that corresponded to each allele's relationship to *C4A* expression levels. The GWAS signal at the MHC region appeared to arise from at least three distinct genome-wide significant signals, one of which involves this collection of allelic influences on *C4A* expression. Finally, in a region of the mouse thalamus responsible for visual processing (an established model for experience-dependent synaptic refinement) *C4* RNA was expressed in neurons during a period of peak synaptic pruning, and mediated synaptic refinement in this system (Sekar *et al.*, 2016). Whether or how predicted *C4* expression is associated with perceptual and cognitive function in humans is unknown.

The MHC region contains scores of genes with roles in the adaptive and innate immune systems and is the location of schizophrenia's most significant genetic association (for common genetic variation) at a population level. Our group has previously reported a series of studies highlighting the cognitive and cortical effects of schizophrenia-associated genetic risk loci in the MHC region and in non-MHC genes potentially related to complement regulation. We have shown that the schizophrenia risk allele at rs10503253 within *CSMD1*, which encodes a regulator of *C4*, was associated with poorer general cognitive ability and episodic memory function in large independent samples of patients and healthy participants (Donohoe *et al.*, 2013). We further

showed that the same risk allele was associated with reduced cortical activation within the occipital cortex and cuneus during a spatial working memory task (Rose *et al.*, 2013). We have also shown that the schizophrenia risk allele at rs6904071, a perfect proxy for the top MHC schizophrenia risk SNP rs13194053 identified by both the International Schizophrenia Consortium (Purcell *et al.*, 2009) and Molecular Genetics of Schizophrenia (Shi *et al.*, 2009) studies, was associated with episodic memory performance in the same large datasets, and - in a third independent sample - with decreased hippocampal volume (Walters *et al.*, 2013). Given the demonstrated role for *C4* in a model of experience-dependent synaptic pruning, we speculated that *C4*'s effects on synaptic pruning may also be apparent behaviourally and cortically during performance of perceptual and cognitive tasks. The findings from our previous *CSMD1* and MHC studies, **which have been supported by studies of other complement genetic variants (Athanasiu *et al.*, 2017; Zhang *et al.*, 2017)**, caused us to specifically hypothesize a role for *C4* variation in memory function.

The purpose of the present study was to examine the relationship between predicted *C4A* RNA expression (based on structural variation in the *C4* gene) and cognition in a large Irish sample of cases and healthy participants. In terms of the evidence and justification for the use of predicted *C4A* expression based on *C4* structural variation, the following is noteworthy. In the Sekar *et al.* 2016, based on eight panels of post-mortem human adult brain samples (674 samples from 245 distinct donors in 3 cohorts), RNA expression of *C4A* and *C4B* increased proportionally with copy number of *C4A* and *C4B* respectively; the results of these expression analyses were consistent across all five brain regions analysed. Similarly, in serum, a previous study also reported that *C4* gene dosage was positively correlated with serum *C4* protein concentrations in vivo, mirroring the observations in the Sekar *et al.* post mortem samples paper (Yang *et al.*, 2003). Sekar *et al.* (2016) further measured *C4A* RNA expression levels in brain tissue samples from 35 schizophrenia patients and 70 individuals without schizophrenia. The median expression of *C4A* in brain tissues from schizophrenia patients was 1.4-fold greater and was elevated in each of the five brain regions assayed. This was consistent with earlier reports that elevated levels of complement proteins were present in serum of schizophrenia patients (Rudduck *et al.*, 1994; Hakobyan, Boyajyan & Sim, 2005).

Based on this evidence above, and our previous studies, we hypothesised that increased predicted *C4A* RNA expression (which is associated with increased schizophrenia risk) would be associated with *poorer* memory function in patients with schizophrenia and in healthy participants. Given Sekar et al.'s report that *C4* expression may influence visual development in an animal model, we also investigated, using functional MRI, whether predicted *C4A* expression would explain variation in cortical activity during a visual processing task in a healthy participant sample.

Methods

Participants

In total, 908 cases and 330 healthy participants completed a full neuropsychological assessment battery and had full genome-wide SNP data available on the basis of which predicted *C4* expression levels could be calculated (Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, 2014). Cases consisted of n=676 clinically stable patients with a diagnosis of SZ and schizoaffective disorder (SZA), and an additional n=232 patients with ‘broad sense’ psychosis - diagnosed with either bipolar disorder with psychotic features, major depressive disorder with psychotic features, delusional disorder, or psychosis not otherwise specified. Patients were diagnosed by trained psychiatrists using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Diagnosis (First, 2005). These patients were recruited from five sites across Ireland. Inclusion criteria required participants to be clinically stable at time of cognitive assessment, aged between 18-65 years, no history of co-morbid psychiatric disorder, no substance abuse in the preceding 6 months, no prior head injury with loss of consciousness, no history of seizures, and with Irish ancestry (all four grandparents born in Ireland). Symptom severity was measured using the SAPS and SANS scores as previously described by us (Donohoe *et al.*, 2009, Walters *et al.*, 2010).

Healthy participants were recruited from the general population through local media advertisements. All were aged between 18 and 65 years and had Irish-born paternal and maternal grandparents, and satisfied, on the basis of clinical interview, the criteria of having no history of major mental health problems, intellectual disability or acquired brain injury, and no substance abuse in the preceding six months. Exclusion criteria also included having a first-degree relative with a history of psychosis. All assessments were conducted in accordance with the relevant ethics committees’ approval from each participating site, and all participants provided written informed consent. In this study healthy participants did not represent a control group as no direct phenotypic comparison are made with patients; instead healthy participants are included both to establish whether comparable effects of predicted *C4* expression levels were observed in both groups and, in a subset of these samples, to test for cortical effects using MRI.

Cognitive Assessment

Memory recall was assessed using The Logical Memory subtest (immediate and delayed conditions) from the Wechsler Memory Scale, 3rd Edition (WMS III)(Wechsler, 1997) and the Paired associated learning task (PAL; stages completed and total errors) from the Cambridge Automated Neuropsychological Test Battery (Robbins *et al.*, 1994). Working memory was assessed using the Spatial Working Memory (SWM) subtest from the Cambridge Automated Neuropsychological Test Battery (Robbins *et al.*, 1994) and Letter-Number Sequencing (LNS task) from the WMS III. Finally, measures of general cognitive ability (derived from the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale, 3rd Edition (Wechsler, 1997) and attentional control (Continuous performance task, identical pairs version; CPT-IP (Cornblatt *et al.*, 1988)) were also included as patients with schizophrenia frequently show deficits in these areas of function. **The published norms from the Wechsler test battery, the CANTAB test batteries, and the CPT-IP indicate a high level of test-retest validity, and, having been widely used in schizophrenia research, have consistently showed a high sensitivity to cognitive deficits.**

Functional MRI Assessment

A subgroup of the healthy participants (n=87) underwent functional imaging during a visual processing task as described by us previously (Donohoe *et al.*, 2007, Grosbras and Paus, 2006, Mothersill *et al.*, 2014a, Mothersill *et al.*, 2014c, Rose *et al.*, 2012). In this task, a face processing task developed by Grosbras & Paus (Grosbras and Paus, 2006), participants watched a series of 2-second to 5-second black-and-white videos of either contrasting circular images (expanding/contracting black and white concentric circles; ‘baseline’ condition), or faces which started from a neutral expression, and then turned into an angry expression or neutral expression. Overall, there were 28 blocks of 18 second duration each consisting of 4-7 video clips: 9 blocks of concentric circles, 5 blocks of neutral face videos, 5 blocks of angry face videos. Attention to task was confirmed on the basis of a face recognition task following completion of the fMRI task and outside the scanner. Six of 87 participants scored less than 4/5 on this task and were excluded from further analysis.

Imputation of C4 structural variation and genetically predicted C4A expression

Genotyping was conducted on DNA extracted from blood or saliva from patient and healthy participant participants. SNP data was obtained from two different sites; a GWAS using the Affymetrix SNP Array 6.0 platform, conducted as part of the Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium 2 (Consortium and 2, 2012) and a collaborative GWAS with Cardiff University using an Illumina HumanCoreExome (+custom) SNP array. Direct genotypes for SNPs in the region of 23-35Mb on chromosome 6 from the Affymetrix (n=3,657 SNPs) and Illumina (n=3,712) data were used to impute *C4* structural alleles and predicted expression. This analysis of our data was undertaken by member of the McCarroll group using the same methods described previously by them (Sekar *et al.*, 2016). In brief, this involved imputation of *C4* structural alleles in the study populations using a 222 haplotype integrated SNP and *C4* reference panel. Imputed structural alleles were used to determine copy number of *C4* structural elements (*C4A*, *C4B*, *C4L*, and *C4S* and their co-occurrence) in each individual, and expected expression of *C4A* and *C4B* in the brain was inferred based on the previously determined relationship of copy number of *C4* structural elements to gene expression in human brain samples. This resulted in a normally distributed range of predicted *C4* expression scores of between 0 and 1.87 (mean 1.23, SD 0.45).

Statistical Analysis – Neuropsychological tests

To estimate the correlation between predicted *C4A* expression levels and performance of memory and other cognitive tasks, a series of correlational analysis was performed using Pearson's *r*, followed by multiple regression analysis for significant variables using IBM SPSS Statistics (IBM Corp, 2012). As this regression analysis focused on memory tasks known to be correlated with each other, and observed here to be correlated with predicted *C4* expression levels, an un-rotated principal components analysis was undertaken based on the four episodic memory test available to reduce the multiple testing burden. This resulted in one component which explained 72% of the variance in memory scores being extracted (with factor loadings of 0.881 for logical memory 1, 0.889 for logical memory 2, 0.766 for PAL stages, and -0.813 for PAL total errors); participants scores on this factor were used as the dependent variable in the regression analysis. Age and gender

were entered into the regression analysis as covariates of no interest. As cognitive profiles of patients with schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder are typically reported to differ from other kinds of psychosis (e.g. bipolar disorder) the analysis was undertaken both in the full group, and with psychosis patients with disorders other than schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder removed. Power calculations for these regression analyses indicated that samples sizes of $n=385$ or greater would be required to observe small effects. This suggests that in the present study of 908 cases and 330 health participants (total sample $N=1,238$), we were adequately powered to detect small effects based on the full sample and the patient only sample, but were somewhat underpowered to detect small effects in the healthy participant only sample.

Imaging pre-processing and statistical analysis

Spatial pre-processing and statistical analysis of MRI data was performed using Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM8, revision 4290, <http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm8/>) and MATLAB R2011b (v7.13; <http://www.mathworks.co.uk/>). Functional images were realigned to the mean functional image, normalised to Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space with a voxel size of $3 \times 3 \times 3 \text{ mm}^3$ and smoothed using a 10 mm full width at half maximum (FWHM) isotropic Gaussian filter. After spatial pre-processing, graphical plots of the estimated time series of translations and rotations were inspected for excessive motion, which we defined as more than 3 mm translation and/or 3° rotation. One participant was excluded from further analysis due to movement, and six participants were excluded due to low quality MRI data and/or significant artefacts, resulting in a final sample of 74 participants. For the face processing task, three task conditions (angry faces, neutral faces and baseline) and four contrasts consistent with our examination of neural activity associated with this task in SZ patients (Grosbras and Paus, 2006, Mothersill *et al.*, 2014b): Neutral faces versus baseline, angry faces versus baseline, all faces (angry and neutral) versus baseline, and angry faces versus neutral faces. Participants' contrast maps were entered into a second-level analysis to investigate effects of predicted *C4* expression on neural activity. Results were examined at a $p < 0.001$ (uncorrected) level and clusters were considered statistically significant at a $p < 0.05$ level after family-wise error (FWE) corrected for multiple comparisons across the whole brain at the cluster level. For each of these clusters, MNI coordinates of significant maxima were entered into the Anatomy toolbox in SPM 8 (Eickhoff *et*

al., 2006, Eickhoff *et al.*, 2007, Eickhoff *et al.*, 2005) and probable anatomical regions were identified using the AllAreas_v18_MPM atlas.

Results

C4 Neuropsychological Results

Demographic and clinical characteristics for patients and healthy participants appear in **Table 1**. Predicted *C4A* expression levels were not associated with age, gender, or years of education. In terms of clinical symptom severity, no association was observed between predicted *C4A* RNA expression levels and either positive, negative or disorganized symptom factor scores (based on a principal components analysis of SAPS and SANS scores previously described by us (Donohoe *et al.*, 2009)). Similarly, no association between predicted *C4A* expression and medication dosage, measured in terms of chlorpromazine equivalents was observed.

Table 1 Here

Based on a correlational analysis, increased predicted *C4A* RNA expression levels were associated with poorer performance on all indexes of both verbal and non-verbal episodic memory performance (see **Table 2**). Given the correlation between these measures, to estimate the amount of variance in memory function explained by predicted *C4A* expression levels, these four memory scores were combined using an un-rotated principal components analysis, the first extracted component of which explained 72% of variance on these measures. Participant's scores on this memory factor were then used as the dependent variable in the regression analysis. After the effects of age and gender were accounted for (as covariates of no interest), predicted *C4A* expression continued to significantly predict variation in memory performance ($F_{\text{change}}=8.35$; $df=1,654$; $p=0.004$), explaining 1.1% of variation in memory factor scores. On the basis of a Bonferroni correction for the four cognitive constructs included in this study, this finding survives correction for multiple testing (corrected p value $(0.004 \times 4)=0.016$). Re-running the analysis to account for diagnosis (entered as a covariate on the step prior to entering predicted *C4 expression level*), the results were unchanged ($F_{\text{change}}=9.3$; $df=1,639$; $p=.002$; r^2 change=1.5%). Similarly, results remained significant when only patients and not healthy participants were included in the analysis ($F_{\text{change}}=4.44$; $df=1,499$; $p=0.036$; r^2 change=1%), or when only narrow psychosis and healthy participants were included and not non-schizophrenia psychotic cases ($F_{\text{change}}=9.0$; $d=1,513$;

$p=.003$; r^2 change=1.5%). Finally, in an analysis of the healthy participant group only (which was less than half the size of the patient sample), predicted *C4* expression showed the same direction of association as in patients but was not statistically significant.

Table 2 Here

This relationship between predicted *C4A* expression and episodic memory was observed in the absence of any correlation with working memory. Similarly, predicted *C4A* expression was not observed to correlate with either general cognitive ability or attentional control (see **Table 2**).

Two other variants within the MHC region were each associated with risk in the Sekar et al study, independently of *C4* and of each other. For one of these, rs210133, we did not find any association with memory (r^2 change =.001, n.s.). The other SNP, rs13194504, was not available in our dataset. Instead we use a linkage disequilibrium (LD) proxy SNP rs148082388 ($r^2=0.87$) 82.5kb away to investigate whether the same memory effects were associated with this SNP; a comparable association with poorer memory function was observed (r^2 change 0.6%; F change=4.46; $p=0.035$). This SNP is also in moderately high LD ($r^2=0.67$) with the MHC risk variant rs115329265 reported on by the PGC (Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, 2014), for which we observed a similar association with poorer memory function (r^2 change 0.5%; F change=5.23; $p=0.022$). Finally, to relate our *C4* predicted expression findings to our earlier cognitive findings with MHC SNP rs6904071 (Walters *et al.*, 2013), a Pearson's r correlation was carried out, based on which a statistically significant positive correlation was observed ($r=0.32$, $df=610$, $p=7.56 \times 10^{-16}$).

C4 fMRI analysis in healthy participants

In the subset of participants for whom fMRI data was available, differences in predicted *C4A* expression were not observed to associate with either age or gender ($p > 0.05$; see Table 3). A nominally significant (positive) correlation with years of education was observed ($p = 0.04$). We therefore examined the effects of education on neural activity across our sample for all experimental conditions examined but no significant effects of education were observed, so education was not considered further.

Table 3 Here

Neural activity during face processing task

Based on a whole brain analysis, increasing levels of genetically predicted *C4A* expression significantly correlated with decreased activity in a cluster incorporating the middle temporal gyrus during neutral face processing compared to baseline ($t_{(74)} = 5.49$; corrected $p < 0.05$; see **Table 4** and **Figure 1**). This relationship was also observed during angry face processing versus baseline and all faces versus baseline, but only at trend levels (uncorrected $p < 0.001$). To check for outlier effects, each participant's mean parameter estimates for all voxels were calculated for the temporal cluster showing a significant correlation with predicted *C4A* expression. **These parameter estimates were then inputted into SPSS to check for outlier values, which were defined as any value more than 1.5 times the interquartile range of the values.** No outliers were detected.

Table 4 & Figure 1 Here

Discussion

This study examined the effects of genetically predicted *C4A* RNA expression on neuropsychological function in a large dataset of psychosis cases and healthy participants, and on task-dependent cortical activation during a visual task in a subset of healthy samples. Based on recent evidence of an association between predicted *C4A* RNA expression and increased schizophrenia risk in humans, and between *C4* deficiency and altered synaptic pruning in mice (Sekar *et al.*, 2016), and our previous neurocognitive studies of variants at this locus, we hypothesised that variation in predicted *C4A* RNA expression would be associated with reduced memory function and altered neural activity. In testing this hypothesis we observed that increased predicted *C4A* RNA expression was significantly correlated with, and predictive of, poorer performance on measures of episodic memory in both patients and healthy participants. Furthermore, based on an analysis carried out in a subset of our healthy participants, we found that increased predicted *C4A* RNA expression was associated with a pattern of reduced cortical activity in middle temporal gyrus during a measure of visual processing.

Among the cognitive deficits associated with schizophrenia, deficits in memory function are amongst the largest observed (Heinrichs and Zakzanis, 1998). The association between predicted *C4A* RNA expression and poorer episodic memory observed in this study are highly consistent with our previous studies of other genetic risk variants either at this locus or known to directly interact with *C4*. *C4* was selected for study by Sekar et al. (2016) on the basis of the MHC signal previously reported both in the PGC (2014; Ripke *et al.*, 2014) GWAS and by previous GWAS (Ripke *et al.*, 2013). On the basis of our analysis of the MHC risk allele at rs6904071, we previously reported an association with poorer episodic memory and, in an independent cohort, with decreased hippocampal volume. Even though the correlation between rs6904071 and predicted *C4* expression moderate (r^2 estimate of shared variance ~10.2%), the patterns of cognitive results here are highly consistent with both the specific phenotype and direction of those previous findings. At present, other cognitive datasets in which predicted *C4* expression levels have been calculated are not available; although supportive of our earlier MHC findings, independent replication of these results will be required to confirm *C4*'s effects on cognition. Finally, the association with memory performance observed here is unlikely to be solely attributable to inattentiveness, as these associations were observed in the absence of an association with attentional performance as measured by the CPT-IP.

Sekar reported two other variants within the MHC region which were each associated with risk, independently of *C4* and of each other. Based on an analysis of an LD proxy for one these - rs148082388 , a comparable association with poorer memory function was observed. As noted, this SNP is in moderately high LD with the MHC risk variant rs115329265 reported on by the PGC (Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, 2014), and for which we observed a similar association with poorer memory function. While it is highly unlikely that all schizophrenia associated variants within the MHC locus would show the same phenotypic effects, the consistency of these genetic effects on memory function is interesting. Returning to *C4* in particular, the basis for this study reported here, it is interesting to note that Sekar et al. found that of the five brain regions assessed, cells expressing *C4* were most abundant in the hippocampus, the subcortical region most strongly associated with memory recall.

A key observation of the Sekar et al. (Sekar *et al.*, 2016) *C4* study was the observation of reduced levels of synaptic refinement in mice that lacked *C4*. In an experimental model of synaptic pruning in the visual system, Sekar et al. reported that *C4* deficient mice showed decreased *C4* expression in the lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN) of the visual thalamus, and that this was associated with defects in experience-dependent synaptic remodelling¹. In linking these findings to our cortical activation findings, in which we observed predicted *C4* expression related difference in the middle temporal gyrus and not the thalamic regions, the following points are noteworthy: (1) the functional specialization of *C4* into *C4A* and *C4B* in humans does not have an analogy in mice, and (2) the mice findings related to developmental (rather than cross sectional) differences in synaptic pruning) in the thalamic dLGN region; furthermore (3) our study employed a visual processing task designed to index face processing - an aspect of visual information processing involving the ventral stream that is consistently shown to be impaired in patients with schizophrenia (Mothershill et al., 2014). Given that this task is unlikely to specifically highlight regions serving basic visual processing, it is therefore unsurprising that the between group differences in thalamic activation are not observed; (4) In genetic terms, using the same task, Dickie and colleagues (Dickie *et al.*, 2014) found that task-related BOLD response within a cluster incorporating the middle temporal cortex was strongly genetically influenced. Consistent with these findings, our study highlights the role of *C4* in activity of the right middle temporal gyrus during task performance. Given that this effect was significant for the neutral faces versus baseline contrast but not others (e.g. association between predicted *C4* expression and activation during angry faces v baseline, all faces v baseline, did not survive correction), confirmation of these results in further samples will be important.

The right middle temporal gyrus plays an important role in facial recognition (Carvajal *et al.*, 2013), and is activated by both neutral and angry facial expressions (Dickie *et al.*, 2014; Fusar-Poli *et al.*, 2009), consistent with the view that healthy participants respond similarly to both neutral and angry faces at both a behavioural and neural level (Lee *et al.*, 2008; Ille *et al.*, 2011). Nevertheless, participants may interpret neutral faces differently, not only due to the fact that no overt anger is being displayed, but also due to the presentation context - for example, neutral faces

are sometimes interpreted more positively if immediately following negative faces and more negatively if following happy faces (Lee *et al.*, 2008). In this study we found that C4A expression affected right middle temporal activity during both neutral and angry face processing, but this effect was only significant at a corrected level during neutral face processing. Future imaging genetics studies based on face processing will be needed to examine why neural response to neutral faces might be more sensitive to C4A genetic variation compared to angry faces.

Finally, in the absence of a memory component to this visual fMRI task, whether these cortical abnormalities are related to, and account for, the behavioural memory impairments observed on neuropsychological testing is unknown. Similarly, as there was not a behavioural component to this task, it was not possible to correlate task performance with memory task performance). Whether these findings implicate the pleiotropic effects of predicted C4 expression differences, or the behavioural and cortical effects of a common pathway, therefore, remains to be elucidated. From a translational perspective, this will be important for determining the extent to which any pharmacological attempt to target the deleterious cortical effects of C4 variation should be specific to, or broader than, memory function alone.

The finding of comparable cognitive effects of predicted C4 expression in patients and healthy participants is consistent with our general expectation that while risk associated biological processes will, by definition, occur at higher frequency in cases than controls, the phenotypic effects will be comparable in healthy participants who carry that risk factor. Comparable phenotypic effects in cases and healthy participants have previously been reported for other schizophrenia risk variants (e.g. *MIR137*; Mothershill *et al.*, 2014c), although for some case this expectation has not been met (e.g. Walters *et al.*, 2010). The cortical effects of predicted C4 expression reported here are based on analysis of healthy participants only, an approach previously used in psychiatric genetics studies given the challenges of imaging sufficiently large samples of cases. Whether the same cortical effects of C4, based on one contrast (neutral faces versus baseline) but not others (angry faces versus either neutral faces or baseline) will be observed in patients is currently unknown, and further imaging studies of patients will be required to establish how C4 expression effects visual processing in this group.

Conclusion

The recent association of schizophrenia risk with increased predicted *C4* expression, is a major step towards understanding the aetiology of schizophrenia. Based on the hypothesis that *C4*'s effect would be most pronounced in cortical regions whose development is highly experience dependent, we hypothesised and then observed that increased predicted *C4A* RNA expression was predictive of poorer memory performance and reduced cortical activity in middle temporal cortex during a measure of visual processing. Doing so further elucidates the pathway between genetically mediated altered development and illness-related disability.

Acknowledgements

We have no conflict of interest to declare. We wish to thank all patients and their support staff, and all healthy volunteers for participating in the data collection on which this manuscript is based. Recruitment, genotyping and analysis were supported by the European Research Council (grant 677467) Science Foundation Ireland (grants 12/IP/1670, 12/IP/1359 and 08/IN.1/B1916) and the Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium 2 project (grants 085475/B/08/Z and 085475/Z/08/Z) and the Wellcome Trust (grants 072894/Z/03/Z, 090532/Z/09/Z and 075491/Z/04/B). We thank Drs. Avery Davis and Steven A. McCarroll at Harvard Medical School for generating the predicted *C4A* RNA expression data from chromosome 6 SNP data for all samples. We thank Lucinda Hopkins and Lesley Bates for assistance in generating genotype data at Cardiff University. We also thank Drs. Davis & McCarroll for their helpful comments on the manuscript.

The Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium 2 investigators include: Peter Donnelly, Lesley Bates, Ines Barroso, Jenefer M. Blackwell, Elvira Bramon, Matthew A. Brown, Juan P. Casas, Aiden Corvin, Panos Deloukas, Audrey Duncanson, Janusz Jankowski, Hugh S. Markus, Christopher G. Mathew, Colin N. A. Palmer, Robert Plomin, Anna Rautanen, Stephen J. Sawcer, Richard C. Trembath, Ananth C. Viswanathan, Nicholas W. Wood, Chris C. A. Spencer, Gavin Band, Céline Bellenguez, Colin Freeman, Garrett Hellenthal, Eleni Giannoulatou, Lucinda Hopkins, Matti Pirinen, Richard Pearson, Amy Strange, Zhan Su, Damjan Vukcevic, Cordelia Langford, Sarah E. Hunt, Sarah Edkins, Rhian Gwilliam, Hannah Blackburn, Suzannah J. Bumpstead, Serge Dronov, Matthew Gillman, Emma Gray, Naomi Hammond, Alagurevathi Jayakumar, Owen T. McCann, Jennifer Liddle, Simon C. Potter, Radhi Ravindrarajah, Michelle Ricketts, Matthew Waller, Paul Weston, Sara Widaa, and Pamela Whittaker.

References

- AthanasIU L, Giddaluru S, Fernandes C, Christoforou A, Reinvang I, Lundervold AJ, Nilsson LG, Kauppi K, Adolfsson R, Eriksson E, Sundet K, Djurovic, S, Espeseth T, Nyberg L, Steen VM, Andreassen OA, Le Hellard S** (2017). A genetic association study of CSMD1 and CSMD2 with cognitive function. *Brain Behav Immun.* 2017 **61**, F209-216.
- Carvajal F, Rubio S, Serrano JM, Ríos-Lago M, Alvarez-Linera J, Pacheco L & Martín P** (2013). Is a neutral expression also a neutral stimulus? A study with functional magnetic resonance. *Experimental brain research* **228**, 467-479.
- Consortium, I. S. G. & 2, W. T. C. C. C.** (2012). Genome-wide association study implicates HLA-C* 01: 02 as a risk factor at the major histocompatibility complex locus in schizophrenia. *Biological psychiatry* **72**, 620-628.
- Cornblatt BA, Risch NJ, Faris G, Friedman D & Erlenmeyer-Kimling L** (1988). The Continuous Performance Test, identical pairs version (CPT-IP): I. New findings about sustained attention in normal families. *Psychiatry research* **26**, 223-238.
- Dickie EW, Tahmasebi A, French L, Kovacevic N, Banaschewski T, Barker GJ, Bokde A, Büchel C, Conrod P & Flor H** (2014). Global genetic variations predict brain response to faces. *PLoS Genet* **10**, e1004523.
- Donohoe G, Hayden J, McGLADE N, O'GRÁDA C, Burke T, Barry S, Behan C, Dinan TG, O'CALLAGHAN E & Gill M** (2009). Is “clinical” insight the same as “cognitive” insight in schizophrenia? *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society* **15**, 471-475.
- Donohoe G, Morris DW, Robertson, IH, Clarke S, McGhee KA, Schwaiger S, Nangle JM, Gill M & Corvin A** (2007). Variance in facial recognition performance associated with BDNF in

schizophrenia. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part B: Neuropsychiatric Genetics* **144**, 578-579.

Donohoe G, Walters J, Hargreaves A, Rose E, Morris DW, Fahey C, Bellini S, Cummins E, Giegling I & Hartmann A (2013). Neuropsychological effects of the CSMD1 genome-wide associated schizophrenia risk variant rs10503253. *Genes, Brain and Behavior* **12**, 203-209.

Eickhoff SB, Heim S, Zilles K & Amunts K (2006). Testing anatomically specified hypotheses in functional imaging using cytoarchitectonic maps. *Neuroimage* **32**, 570-82.

Eickhoff SB, Paus T, Caspers S, Grosbras MH, Evans AC, Zilles K & Amunts K (2007). Assignment of functional activations to probabilistic cytoarchitectonic areas revisited. *Neuroimage* **36**, 511-21.

Eickhoff SB, Stephan KE, Mohlberg H, Grefkes C, Fink GR, Amunts K & Zilles K (2005). A new SPM toolbox for combining probabilistic cytoarchitectonic maps and functional imaging data. *Neuroimage* **25**, 1325-35.

First MB (2005). *Structured clinical interview for DSM-IV-TR Axis I disorders: patient edition*. Biometrics Research Department, Columbia University.

Fusar-Poli P, Placentino A, Carletti F, Landi P, Allen P, Surguladze S, Benedetti F, Abbamonte M, Gasparotti R & Barale F (2009). Functional atlas of emotional faces processing: a voxel-based meta-analysis of 105 functional magnetic resonance imaging studies. *Journal of psychiatry & neuroscience: JPN* **34**, 418.

Grosbras MH & Paus T (2006). Brain networks involved in viewing angry hands or faces. *Cerebral Cortex* **16**, 1087-1096.

Hakobyan S, Boyajyan A & Sim RB (2005). Classical pathway complement activity in schizophrenia. *Neurosci. Lett.* **374**, 35–37

- Heinrichs RW & Zakzanis KK** (1998). Neurocognitive deficit in schizophrenia: a quantitative review of the evidence. *Neuropsychology* **12**, 426.
- IBM Corp** (2012). IBM SPSS statistics for Windows, version 21.0. IBM Corp Armonk, New York.
- Ille R, Holl AK, Kapfhammer H-P, Reisinger K, Schäfer A & Schienle A** (2011). Emotion recognition and experience in Huntington's disease: Is there a differential impairment? *Psychiatry Research* **188**, 377-382.
- Lee E, Kang JI, Park IH, Kim J-J & An SK** (2008). Is a neutral face really evaluated as being emotionally neutral? *Psychiatry Research* **157**, 77-85.
- Mothersill O, Morris DW, Kelly S, Rose EJ, Bokde A, Reilly R, Gill M, Corvin AP & Donohoe G** (2014b). Altered medial prefrontal activity during dynamic face processing in schizophrenia spectrum patients. *Schizophrenia Research* **157**, 225-230
- Mothersill O, Morris DW, Kelly S, Rose EJ, Fahey C, O'Brien C, Lyne R, Reilly R, Gill M & Corvin AP** (2014c). Effects of MIR137 on fronto-amygdala functional connectivity. *Neuroimage* **90**, 189-195.
- Purcell SM, Wray NR, Stone JL, Visscher PM, O'Donovan MC, Sullivan PF, Sklar P, Ruderfer DM, McQuillin A & Morris DW** (2009). Common polygenic variation contributes to risk of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *Nature* **460**, 748-752.
- Ripke S, Neale BM, Corvin A, Walters JT, Farh KH, Holmans PA, Lee P, Bulik-Sullivan B, Collier DA & Huang H** (2014). Biological insights from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. *Nature* **511**, 421.
- Ripke S, O'Dushlaine C, Chambert K, Moran JL, Kähler AK, Akterin S, Bergen SE, Collins AL, Crowley JJ & Fromer M** (2013). Genome-wide association analysis identifies 13 new risk loci for schizophrenia. *Nature genetics* **45**, 1150-1159.

- Robbins T, James M, Owen A, Sahakian B, McInnes L & Rabbitt P** (1994). Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (CANTAB): a factor analytic study of a large sample of normal elderly volunteers. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders* **5**, 266-281.
- Rose EJ, Morris DW, Fahey C, Robertson IH, Greene C, O'Doherty J, Newell FN, Garavan H, McGrath J, Bokde A, Tropea D, Gill M, Corvin AP & Donohoe, G** (2012). The effect of the neurogranin schizophrenia risk variant rs12807809 on brain structure and function. *Twin Res Hum Genet* **15**, 296-303.
- Rose EJ, Morris DW, Hargreaves A, Fahey C, Greene C, Garavan H, Gill M, Corvin A & Donohoe G** (2013). Neural effects of the CSMD1 genome-wide associated schizophrenia risk variant rs10503253. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part B: Neuropsychiatric Genetics* **162**, 530-537.
- Rudduck C, Beckman L, Franzen G, Jacobsson L, & Lindström L** (1985). Complement factor C4 in schizophrenia. *Human heredity*, **35**(4), 223-226.
- Sekar A, Bialas AR, de Rivera H, Davis, A, Hammond TR, Kamitaki N, Tooley K, Presumey J, Baum M & Van Doren V** (2016). Schizophrenia risk from complex variation of complement component 4. *Nature* **530**, 177-183.
- Shi J, Levinson DF, Duan J, Sanders AR, Zheng Y, Pe'Er I, Dudbridge F, Holmans PA, Whittemore, AS & Mowry B J** (2009). Common variants on chromosome 6p22. 1 are associated with schizophrenia. *Nature* **460**, 753-757.
- Walters JT, Corvin A, Owen MJ, Williams H, Dragovic M, Quinn EM, Judge R, Smith DJ, Norton N & Giegling I** (2010). Psychosis susceptibility gene ZNF804A and cognitive performance in schizophrenia. *Archives of general psychiatry* **67**, 692-700.

Walters JT, Rujescu D, Franke B, Giegling I, Vásquez AA, Hargreaves A, Russo G, Morris

DW, Hoogman M & Da Costa A (2013). The role of the major histocompatibility complex region in cognition and brain structure: a schizophrenia GWAS follow-up. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **170(8)**, 877-885.

Wechsler, D (1997). *Wechsler Memory Scale (WMS-III)*. Psychological corporation, San Antonio, TX.

Yang Y, Chung EK, Zhou B, Blanchong CA, Yu, CY, Füst G., ... & Varga L (2003). Diversity in intrinsic strengths of the human complement system: serum C4 protein concentrations correlate with C4 gene size and polygenic variations, hemolytic activities, and body mass index. *The Journal of Immunology* **171(5)**, 2734-2745.

Zhang C, Lv Q, Fan W, Tang W, Yi Z (2017). Influence of CFH gene on symptom severity of schizophrenia. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat.* **13**, 697-706.

Table 1:

Mean demographic, clinical & predicted *C4A* expression levels for participants included in the neuropsychological analysis

	Schizophrenia/ Schizoaffective Disorder (n=676)	Other Psychosis (n= 232)	Healthy Participants (n=330)	<i>C4A</i> Expression (all participants)
	<i>Mean (SD)</i>	<i>Mean (SD)</i>	<i>Mean (SD)</i>	<i>r (p value)</i>
Age	42.33 (12.48)	44.94 (12.08)	35.87 (12.64)	r=0.016 (p=0.62)
Gender (M:F)	476:200	123:109	147:183	t (961)=0.414, p=0.697)
Year in Education	12.60 (2.46)	12.89 (2.82)	15.9 (2.3)	r=-0.13 (0.79)
SAPS	21.74 (19.72)	12.86 (15.92)	-	r=-0.06 (0.17)
SANS	26.23 (20.01)	14.06 (16.39)	-	r=0.00 (0.99)
Chlorpromazine equivalents	520.42 (482.29)	275.45 (307.31)	-	r=0.014 (0.72)
Predicted <i>C4A</i> expression levels (n)	1.26 (0.44) (n=578)	1.20 (0.46) (n=190)	1.19 (0.45) (n=195)	r=1

Table 2:

Correlation coefficients for predicted *C4A* expression and cognitive function in psychosis cases and healthy controls.

Cognitive Function	Broad psychosis cases and controls r (p-value)	SZ/SA cases only and controls r (p-value)
Episodic memory		
Logical Memory Immediate	-0.064 (0.049)	-0.064 (0.079)
Logical Memory Delayed	-0.069 (0.036)	-0.068 (0.065)
Paired Associate Learning – stages completed	-0.105 (0.007)	-0.120 (0.014)
Paired Associate Learning – total errors	0.017 (0.616)	0.107 (0.012)
Working Memory		
Spatial working memory – total errors	0.052 (0.169)	0.050 (0.232)
Letter number sequencing	0.021 (0.526)	0.028 (0.455)
General cognitive Ability		
Premorbid IQ	0.032 (0.363)	0.036 (0.364)
Verbal IQ	-0.013 (0.690)	-0.007 (0.839)
Performance IQ	-0.042 (0.240)	-0.047 (0.238)
Full Scale IQ	-0.029 (0.417)	-0.038 (0.343)
Attentional Control		
CPT D-prime - two numbers	0.008 (0.870)	0.021 (0.702)
CPT D-Prime - 3 numbers	0.009 (0.860)	0.039 (0.478)
CPT D-prime- 4 numbers	0.018 (0.718)	0.060 (0.297)

Table 3: Regression analysis of C4 expression levels and Episodic memory scores in patients and controls

Groups	N	F (model)	F change	R² (model)	R² change	df	p
Whole Group							
-	655	8.07	8.066	0.012	0.012	1,653	0.005
+	655	38.96	7.214	0.152	0.009	1,651	0.007
++	644	60.42	9.355	0.274	0.011	1,639	0.002
Broad Psychosis							
-	503	5.42	5.416	0.011	0.011	1,501	0.020
+	503	20.03	4.710	0.107	0.008	1,499	0.030
HCs and SZ/SA							
-	517	7.467	7.467	0.014	0.014	1,515	0.007
+	517	34.31	8.175	0.167	0.013	1,513	0.004
++	517	123.43	3.52	0.491	0.003	1,512	0.061
HCs only							
+	141	0.154	0.154	0.001	0.001	1,139	0.696
-	141	5.268	0.582	0.103	0.004	1,137	0.447

- analysis without adding covariates

+ analysis including covariates of age and gender in regression block 1

++ analysis including covariates of age, gender and diagnosis as other covariate

Table 4: MRI participant demographics

Age	27.87 ± 7.59*
Gender	40 M / 34 F
Years of education	17.58 ± 3.32
C4A expression	1.194 ± 0.520

*mean ± standard deviation reported

Table 5: Cluster showing significantly decreased activity with increasing predicted *C4A* expression during neutral face processing relative to baseline, corrected for multiple comparisons at the cluster-level.

Extent (voxels)	p value	Cluster number	Cluster peak	t-value	Z-value	Peak coordinates (MNI)
202	0.004	1	Right middle temporal gyrus	5.49	5.00	51 -67 7
			Not found on any probability map	3.56	3.40	36 -67 19
			Not assigned	3.53	3.38	33 -58 28