



Provided by the author(s) and University of Galway in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite the published version when available.

Title	Increasing the uptake of cervical screening programmes
Author(s)	Gannon, Maria; Dowling, Maura
Publication Date	2008
Publication Information	Gannon, Maria, & Dowling, Dr Maura. (2008). Increasing the uptake of cervical screening programmes. <i>British Journal of Nursing</i> , 17(20), 1280-1284. doi: 10.12968/bjon.2008.17.20.31642
Publisher	Mark Allen Healthcare
Link to publisher's version	https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2008.17.20.31642
Item record	http://hdl.handle.net/10379/14850
DOI	http://dx.doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2008.17.20.31642

Downloaded 2023-03-31T19:40:33Z

Some rights reserved. For more information, please see the item record link above.



Gannon, M, Dowling, M. (2008) 'Increasing the uptake of cervical screening'. BRITISH JOURNAL OF NURSING, 17 (20):1280-1284.

Abstract

Successful cervical screening programmes depend on the degree of coverage and the rate of attendance. There are many demographic reasons why some women fail to attend for cervical screening, including lack of knowledge and education and socioeconomic status. Moreover, a woman's ethnicity and her age also play a role in screening uptake. Community and practice nurses are ideally positioned to identify women's information needs and provide appropriate information to overcome barriers to screening attendance.

Key words: Cervical screening, community nurses, practice nurses, ethnicity

Introduction

Cervical cancer is one type of cancer that can be prevented and cured if detected early enough (Arevian et al 2006). Human papillomavirus (HPV) infection is the most common sexually transmitted disease and can result in intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN). Primary prevention measures such as prophylactic vaccines against high risk HPV are now available (Bloomfield 2007).

Cervical screening using the Papanicolaou (Pap) test prevents the development of cervical cancer by recognizing a pre-cancer state in the cervix and allows 90% of cervical cancers to be identified, treated and cured before it can spread (Horan 2007). The incidence of cervical cancer in Britain decreased significantly after the introduction of a national screening programme (Horan 2007). This programme focused on calling and recalling women between the ages of 20 and 64 years at five yearly intervals, saving more than 1000 lives each year (Fawcett 2007). However, despite the strong evidence that cervical screening does save lives, it is reported that over 80% of women diagnosed with invasive squamous cervical cancer will not have had regular Pap test screening (Bloomfield 2007).

This review of the literature discusses the main predictors of participation in cervical screening programs and interventions that can be employed to increase cervical screening uptake. The success of a cervical screening programme depends on the degree of coverage and the attendance rates (Chang et al 2007), and different recruitment strategies are needed for different groups (Byrnes 2007). There are a number of factors attributed to cervical screening uptake.

Education and knowledge about cervical screening is positively linked with screening attendance, whereas being old and economically disadvantaged is associated with poor uptake (Arevian et al 2006). Moreover, women from socially deprived areas and areas with high ethnic minority populations have low uptake rates (Perry, 2001). Interestingly, despite HPV being an extremely common infection of the first ten years of sexual activity (Heley, 2007), the NHS in 2004 decided that women below the age of 25 years would not be invited for cervical screening (Bano et al 2008). However, screening of women soon after the age of 20 is supported by a recent Icelandic study (Sigurdsson and Sigvaldason, 2007) and by Bano et al (2008) in the UK.

Demographic Factors Affecting Cervical Screening Uptake

Ethnicity

The higher incidence of cervical cancer among Korean-American women than in the general population prompted Kim et al (1999) to explore the cervical screening knowledge and practices among Korean- American women. The researchers employed a structured interview on knowledge and cervical screening practices in the respondents' homes. Using random sampling, 159 Korean-American women aged 40-69 years, were interviewed. 31% of the study respondents reported Korean newspapers as a common source of health-related information, which is interesting in light of established conventional cancer education strategies. Moreover, Korean churches and community centers were identified by 45% and 30% respectively as preferred locations for obtaining health information, thereby prompting Kim et al (1999) to recommend that brochures using simple terms and written in Korean should be distributed at

these venues. This study illustrates the opportunities available to community nurses in attempts to access women in ethnic minorities.

More recently, in the UK, Webb et al (2004) examined the cervical screening practices of South Asian women. Data was collected using the Manchester Health Authority Exeter system. The NHS call/recall system is known as the Exeter system. It invites women who have registered with a GP for screening and also keeps track of any follow-up investigation and recalls women for screening when appropriate at 3-5 year interval (Blanks et al 2007). The screening histories records of 72,613 eligible women aged 30-64 were extracted and coded into four mutually exclusive groups. Webb et al (2004) report that 11% of the eligible women had never attended for screening, and that women's birthplace overseas is the greatest independent predictor of non-attendance with women aged 60 years and over and those of South Asian ethnicity more likely to have a history of not attending for cervical screening. Interestingly, South Asian women registered with female South Asian GPs had a less than 10 % history of non-attendance. Non-attendance rates were highest for South Asian women registered with a non South Asian GP (16%) or with a male South Asian GP (18%) or with a male non South Asian GP (14%) (Webb et al 2004). The link between ethnicity and cervical screening uptake and also between GP gender and cervical screening is revealed by Webb et al (2004). The results of their study suggest that women are more likely to attend for screening with GPs that are female and of the same ethnicity and also that women living outside of their birth country are less likely to attend for screening than women native to the area. This finding has practical application. A simple identification of a practitioner's female gender on letters inviting women for screening may encourage more women to attend.

The association between ethnicity and cervical screening has also been reported by Coronado et al (2004) in the US. This study consisted of 1795 respondents aged 18-64 chosen from twenty communities of Hispanic and non-Hispanic white women. Coronada et al (2004) reports that less acculturated women are less likely to attend for screening than more acculturated women. Acculturation is the adoption of values of a different culture (The Penguin English Dictionary, 2002).

A woman's age

A woman's age also has an effect on the uptake of cervical screening. This is revealed in a large population-based case-control study reported by Sasieni et al (2003). 1305 women with invasive cervical cancer diagnosed between 1990 and 2001 and 2532 controls aged between 20 and 69 years were studied. It was found that the results differ with age, and that in younger women, protection is weaker and even more time restricted over the first three years. Sasieni et al (2003) also report the consistent finding was the proportion of cancer preventable by screening increased with age, and that in younger women; the risk of disease in those last tested more than 5.5 years ago was greater than those who had no smears. Sasieni et al (2003) conclude that it is enough to begin cervical screening around age 25 as under the age of 25 invasive cancers is extremely rare but cytological abnormalities are common. Therefore, starting screening at 25 means those lesions that are destined to progress will still be detectable and those that would regress will no longer be a source of anxiety. They also recommend that more resources be allocated to ensure that a high proportion of older women continued to be

screened, although less frequently, as cancer is more common in older women (Sasieni et al 2003).

Two recent studies however, disagree with the conclusions on age and cervical screening reached by Sasieni et al (2003). Sigurdsson and Sigvaldason (2007) conclude that screening should be started soon after the age of 20. This Icelandic study aimed to evaluate the value of screening in the age group 20-34 by analyzing trends in pre-invasive and invasive diseases among a sample grouped into 5-year age classes. The results of this study are in agreement with other reports that shorter screening intervals are needed for the younger women but contradict the assumption that these cases are difficult to diagnose at screening. In the period 1989-2003, 68% of all cases in the age groups were micro invasive cases and these had already started to accumulate within 3 years after the last normal screen. This can be regarded as a sign of the success of the reformed screening programme as the diagnosis of micro invasive disease enables fertility-sparing treatment in these younger cases. This study confirms that in well-organized screening, over treatment of young women with low-grade lesions can easily be avoided and the results indicate the benefit of starting organized screening soon after the age of twenty (Sigurdsson and Sigvaldason, 2007).

Similarly, Bano et al (2008) also supports starting screening in younger sexually active women. They argue that HPV infection is the most common sexually transmitted disease and can be acquired shortly after beginning the first sexual relationship; the median time being only 3 months, thus young sexually active women are at risk of HPV infection and subsequent CIN (cervical intraepithelial neoplasia). This study was conducted in Lewisham, an inner city area of

London with one of the highest rates of under 18 conceptions. 2793 smears of women aged 20-24 were performed over one year. All women under 25 years who had cervical screening either at their GP or at NHS community clinic area in Lewisham were included. A parallel prospective randomized study in the form of a questionnaire was performed on a random sample of 215 women of all ages attending a colposcopy clinic. Bano et al (2008) found that in 16-24 age group there was an increased number of abnormal Pap smear (15%) as compared to 6.9% in the 25-6 age group. Of the 2793 cervical smears analyzed 182 women under the age 25 were referred to colposcopy clinic, and amongst those referred for colposcopy 34% showed histological evidence of high grade precancerous lesions (CIN 2 or 3). 7 out of the 62 high grade CIN lesions were diagnosed in women under age of 20.

Interventions to increase cervical screening uptake

Sabates and Feinstein (2006) report on their longitudinal study examining the role of education in the uptake of cervical screening in the UK. The sample of 4274 were first interviewed in 1991 and re-interviewed in successive waves, and if they spilt from their original household they were re-interviewed along with all the adult members of their new household. The sample criterion was then narrowed to women aged 22-65 who were (a) eligible for screening and (b) had available information about historic screening. Sabates and Feinstein (2006) reported that being enrolled in courses or training was associated with a positive change in the uptake of smear tests

However, the question of how much information should be provided to women is an important one. Therefore, Adab et al (2003) aimed to assess whether providing women with additional information on the pros and cons of screening, compared with information currently offered by

the NHS, affects their intention to attend for screening. This randomized controlled trial (RCT) was undertaken at three general practices in Birmingham. 276 women (138 in each group) between the ages of 20 and 64 attending the practices were invited to attend. Adab et al (2003) created two types of information leaflets to provide to participants: A control leaflet and an intervention leaflet. A structured questionnaire was also developed and distributed in random order at the practices. Adab et al (2003) reported that providing women with more information about the risks and uncertainties of screening, as well as the benefits resulted in a small reduction in expressed willingness to attend for screening. However the intended screening rates were nearly 80%, even among women who were given more information. They also found that decisions on screening were not just influenced by the information provided but are also affected by values, cultural beliefs and personal experiences (Adab et al 2003).

A systematic review by Forbes et al (2002) examined the interventions used to encourage the uptake of cervical screening. They report that invitation and educational interventions are the most effective methods of increasing cervical screening uptake. Moreover, there was some evidence, although not definite evidence, to suggest that invitation letters with fixed appointments were more effective than invitations with open appointments. In addition, Forbes et al (2002) suggest that revealing the gender of the smear test taker in invitation letters and using a health promotion nurse may increase uptake. However, they also concluded that it was unclear as to which type of educational materials were the most effective (Forbes et al 2002).

The importance of suitable appointment times for women attending cervical screening is highlighted by Olowokure et al (2006), who conducted a postal survey among a random sample

of 4057 women who had a cervical smear test between January 1st 2001 and 31st March 2001. They reported that one of the factors identified by women as a reason for non compliance is that the appointment times are not convenient and compatible with the lifestyle of the women (Olowokure et al 2006). A significant proportion of women found the appointment times given inconvenient. The results suggested that younger women and those from more affluent areas prefer late evenings and Saturday appointments, while older women and those from deprived areas prefer late morning appointments. Olowokure et al (2006) suggest that there is a failure within the health service to accommodate the increasing number of women in employment who may be trying to accommodate work, child care and other commitments. They also conclude that there is need to accommodate an increasing number of women in employment and that a choice of appointment times be included on the invitation letter and the uptake of specific slots monitored (Olowokure et al 2006).

The practitioner undertaking the procedure has an influence on the uptake of cervical screening. Fitch et al (1998) reveal the central role of the GP in a qualitative Canadian study of 110 women interviewed in 11 focus groups exploring barriers to cervical screening. 25% of the women had been born outside Canada and were recent immigrants and all were from low-income backgrounds. Following analysis of the interview transcripts, Fitch et al (1998) reported that women felt being able to talk with their doctors and being treated like a person was important. Moreover, women found it hard to ask questions due to lack of information in relevant language, and reported that they did not know how the Pap test helped in early detection of cancer. Such findings help in knowing what interventions can be employed to increase women's likelihood of attending for cervical screening. Twinn and Cheng (2000) investigated this phenomenon further using a case study approach to examine Hong Kong Chinese women's experiences and

perceptions of having a Pap smear taken by a female doctor at one clinic or a female nurse practitioner at another clinic. A convenience sample of 50 women from each case study was chosen. The participants were all over the age of twenty and routinely attended the clinics during a five month period. Data was collected in three phases. Firstly, face to face structured confidential interviews were carried out after the Pap smear had been completed. Second, the women were invited to participate in focus groups to provide an in-depth examination of their experiences and perceptions of having a Pap smear, undertaken by either the doctor or nurse. Finally, all the smears were compared by being sent to the same regional laboratory where the same senior member of staff evaluated the entire smear.

Twinn and Cheng (2000) found three major themes emerged from the focus groups. The caring nature of the practitioner was identified as important in contributing to women's experience of the procedure. It was highlighted as a strategy in overcoming women's fear and embarrassment about the procedures as well as minimising their pain and discomfort. Communication skills of the practitioner were highlighted as an important influence on women's experience. Those practitioners who engaged in information giving were influential to the uptake of cervical screening. Confidence in the practitioner was also cited as an important influencing factor. The women identified expertise not in terms of technical skills but by characteristics such as teaching women, not causing pain or discomfort and being considerate.

Discussion and implications for practice

The aforementioned studies highlight the need for community and practice nurses to be aware of the various factors that influence women's decisions to attend for cervical screening. The role of education in influencing women's decisions to attend for screening emerges strongly. However,

it is unclear as to which type of educational materials are the most effective. Nonetheless, the use of a health promotion theoretical framework to guide nurses in educating women is a suitable starting point. For instance, the Health Belief Model (HBM) (Janz et al 2002) proposes that a person must believe that their behaviour will result in a personal benefit. This model has been used as a theoretical framework in a recent study exploring women's behaviour in seeking mammography (Ham 2006), and has evident relevance for cervical cancer screening also. For instance, the HBM can be applied by nurses in their assessment and identification of a person's view about the threat of illness (in this case, cervical cancer) and their behavioral reactions to that threat (attending or not attending for screening) (Browes 2006).

Also noteworthy is the need for community nurses to appreciate the opportunities to reach women from various cultural groups through for instance, community centers. Health related information is often sourced from within ethnic groups and therefore conventional cancer education strategies are not always beneficial in ethnic minority groups. Moreover, the use of lay members of the community to assist community nurses in providing information to ethnic communities can be effective (Kim et al 1999).

The suggestion that the practitioner undertaking the test has an influence on women's return rates for screening is particularly interesting. It appears that women are more likely to attend for screening with a female GP or nurse of the same ethnicity. Therefore, revealing the gender of the screen taker in invitation letters may increase uptake. Moreover, the appointment times must accommodate the many commitments faced by women in employment who have to deal with

many competing commitments (Adab et al 2003, Olowokure et al 2006). A choice of appointment times should therefore be included on the invitation letter and the uptake of specific slots monitored by the use of computerized management databases.

Practitioners need to be considerate of the women's feelings and lifestyle factors that affect their ability to attend for screening. Practitioners who are caring, easy to talk to, provide information and an opportunity for women to ask questions are preferred by women and stated as making attending for screening easier. However, to promote women to attend for screening nurses need to identify women's information needs and provide them with appropriate information.

Key points

Demographic factors identified as contributing to women's failure to attend for cervical screening include lack of knowledge and education, socioeconomic status, ethnicity, and age.

Education for women from ethnic minorities on cervical screening should also be distributed through community centers which are a preferred location for obtaining health information for many such women.

Invitation letters for cervical screening should accommodate women's competing work and family commitments.

Invitation letters for cervical screening should identify the gender of the health care practitioner who will undertake the procedure.

Community and practice nurses play a central role in educating women and therefore increase screening invitation uptake.

References

- Adab P, Marchall T, Rouse A, Randhawa B, Sangha H, Bhangoo N (2003) Randomized Controlled Trial of the Effect of Evidence Based Information on Women's Willingness to Participate in Cervical Cancer Screening. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* **57**(8): 589-593
- Arevian M, Noureddine S, Kabakian-Khasholian T (2006) Raising Awareness and Providing Free Screening Improves Cervical Cancer Screening Among Economically Disadvantaged Lebanese/Armenian women. *Journal of Transcultural Nursing* **17**(4): 357-365
- Bano F, Kolhe S, Zamblera D, Jolaoso A, Folayan O, Page L, Norton J (2008) Cervical Screening in Under 25's: A high risk young population. *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology* **139**(1): 86-89
- Blanks RG, Moss SM, Coleman DA, Swerdlow AJ (2007) An Examination of the Role of Opportunistic Smear Taking in the NHS Cervical Screening Programme using Data from the CSEU Cervical Screening Cohort Study. *BJOG-An International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* **114**(11):1408-1413
- Bloomfield P (2007) Management of Cervical Cancer. *Australian Family Physician* **36**(3): 122-125
- Browes S (2006) Health psychology and sexual health assessment. *Nursing Standard* **21**(5): 35-39
- Byrnes P (2007) Cervical Screening in general practice-strategies for improving participation. *Australian Family Physician* **36**(3): 183-185
- Chang H, Hsiung H, Chen S, Yen A, Chen T (2007) Comparison of a community outreach service with opportunity screening for cervical cancer using Pap smear. *Journal of Public Health* **29** (2):165-172
- Coronado G, Thompson B, Koepsell T, Schwartz M, McLerran D (2004) Use of Pap test among Hispanics and non Hispanic Whites in a rural setting. *Preventive Medicine* **38**(6): 713-722
- Fawcett E (2007) Cervical Screening for Under 25's: Evaluating the Evidence. *Journal of Community Nursing* **21**(4): 4-9
- Fitch M, Greenberg M, Cava M, Spaner D, Taylor K (1998) Exploring the Barriers to Cervical Screening in an Urban Canadian Setting. *Cancer Nurse* **21**(6): 441-449

Forbes C, Jepson R, Martion-Hirsh P Interventions Targeted at Women to Encourage the Uptake of Cervical Screening. (2002) *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2002. Issue 3. Art no. CD002834. DOI:10.1002/14651858.CD002834.

Ham OK (2006) Factors affecting mammography behavior and intention among Korean Women. *Oncology Nursing Forum* **33**(1): 113-119

Heley S (2007) Pap Test Update. *Australian Family Physician* **36**(3):112-115.

Horan T (2007) Women's Health. Screening in time saves lives. *Nursing in the Community* **8**(3): 25-26

Janz NK, Champion VL, Strecher VJ (2002) The Health Belief Model. In: Glanz K, Rimer BK, Lewis FM, eds. *Health behavior and health education: Theory, research, and practice*. (3rd Edition). San Francisco, Jossey Bass: 45-66

Kim K, Yu E, Chen E, Kim J, Kaufman M, Purkis J (1999) Cervical Cancer Screening Knowledge and Practices among Korean-American women. *Cancer Nursing* **22**(4):297-302

Olowokure B, Caswell M, Duggal HV (2006) What Women Want: Convenient Appointment Times for Cervical Screening Tests. *European Journal of Cancer Care* **15**(5): 489-492

Perry MA (2001) How can the Uptake of Cervical Cytology Screening be Improved? *Nursing Standard* **16**(11): 33-36

Sabates R, Feinstein L (2006) The Role of Education in the Uptake of Preventative Health Care: The Case of Cervical Screening in Britain. *Social Science and Medicine* **53**(9): 1149-1160

Sasieni P, Adams J, Cuzick J (2003) Benefit of Cervical Screening at Different Ages: Evidence from the UK Audit of Screening Histories. *British Journal of Cancer* **89**(1): 88-93

Sigurdsson K, Sigvaldason H (2007) Is it Rational to Start Population –Based Cervical Cancer Screening at or Soon after Age 20? Analysis of Time Trends in Preinvasive and Invasive Diseases. *European Journal of Cancer* **43**(3):769-774

The Penguin English Dictionary (2002) Penguin Books, London

Twinn S, Cheng F (2000) Increasing Uptake Rates of Cervical Cancer Screening Amongst Hong Kong Chinese Women: The role of the Practitioner. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* **32**(2): 335-342

Webb R, Richardson J, Pickles A (2004) A Population- Based Study of Primary Care Predictors of Non Attendance for Cervical Screening. *Journal of Medical Screening* **11**(3):135-140