

Provided by the author(s) and University of Galway in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite the published version when available.

| Title | Stereoselective epimerizations of glycosyl thiols |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Author(s) | Doyle, Lisa M.; O'Sullivan, Shane; Di Salvo, Claudia; McKinney, Michelle; McArdle, Patrick; Murphy, Paul V. |
| Publication Date | 2017-10-17 |
| Publication Information | Doyle, Lisa M., O'Sullivan, Shane, Di Salvo, Claudia, McKinney, Michelle, McArdle, Patrick, & Murphy, Paul V. (2017). Stereoselective Epimerizations of Glycosyl Thiols. Organic Letters, 19(21), 5802-5805. doi: 10.1021/acs.orglett.7b02760 |
| Publisher | American Chemical Society |
| Link to publisher's version | http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.orglett.7b02760 |
| Item record | http://hdl.handle.net/10379/7082 |
| DOI | http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.orglett.7b02760 |

Downloaded 2024-05-25T17:21:34Z

Some rights reserved. For more information, please see the item record link above.



Stereoselective Epimerizations of Glycosyl Thiols

Lisa M. Doyle, Shane O'Sullivan, Claudia Di Salvo, Michelle McKinney, Patrick McArdle and Paul V. Murphy*

School of Chemistry, National University of Ireland Galway, University Road, Galway, Ireland H91 TK33 Supporting Information Placeholder



ABSTRACT: Glycosyl thiols are widely used in stereoselective S-glycoside synthesis. Their epimerization from 1,2-*trans* to 1,2*cis* thiols (e.g. equatorial to axial epimerization in thioglucopyranose) was attained using TiCl₄, while SnCl₄ promoted their axial-toequatorial epimerization. The method included application for stereoselective β -D-manno- and β -L-rhamnopyranosyl thiol formation. Complex formation explains the equatorial preference when using SnCl₄, whereas TiCl₄ can shift the equilibrium towards the 1,2-*cis* thiol via 1,3-oxathiolane formation.

Glycoconjugates and their mimics/mimetics are being investigated in drug discovery,¹ vaccine development,² targeting³ and as probes for molecular recognition.⁴ S-Glycosides are less susceptible to acid and enzymatic hydrolysis than O-glycosides, justifying their investigation as glycomimetics.⁵ They have different conformational preferences to O-glycosides, which can influence their biological properties.⁶ They have found application⁷ in reactivity based oligosaccharide synthesis, which is influenced by anomeric configuration.8 Glycolipids, glycopeptides, oligosaccharides, ^{5,9} glycodendrimers¹⁰ and glyconanoparticles¹¹ containing S-glycosidic linkages are studied, and glycosyl thiols (1-thiosugars) are valuable building blocks in their synthesis. Unlike saccharide hemiacetal groups (glycosyl alcohols), glycosyl thiols often retain their anomeric configuration in subsequent reactions, which makes stereoselective S-alkylation, conjugate addition and thiol-ene or thiol-yne coupling reactions possible.¹² Anomerization (epimerization of equatorial anomer to axial anomer) with Lewis acids has been attained with glycosyl thiols derived from uronic acid, where the rate of anomerization^{13,14} is generally faster than for other pyranoses due to favourable chelation of the C-6 carbonyl group.^{15,16} Here, we provide conditions for the successful epimerization of 1,2-trans benzoylated glycosyl thiols, which are not uronic acids, to the 1,2-cis thiols using TiCl₄; the use of benzoyl rather than acetyl groups compensate somewhat for the absence of the C-6 carbonyl group. Notably, we also report the axial to equatorial epimerization of glycosyl thiols using SnCl₄.

The rate of Lewis acid promoted anomerization of O-glycosides is enhanced in the presence of acetic acid¹⁷ or a Lewis acid¹⁸ additive. Preliminary experiments with an O-glycoside showed that methanesulfonic acid (MSA) is superior to acetic acid. Initially, when acetylated thiol 1β (Table 1) was reacted with SnCl₄ or TiCl₄ (0.5 to 3 equiv) in the presence of MSA (0.3 equiv), still only ~30% of its α -anomer was generated, with mostly 1β remaining. Nevertheless, reaction of a 1:2 mixture of *benzoylated* galactosyl thiols 2α and 2β with TiCl₄ (0.5 to 2 equiv) and MSA (0.3 equiv) gave 45-70% of 2α , with the main by-product being glycosyl chloride, and 2β was not detected. A wider study (Table 1) was conducted with 2α and 2β using TiCl₄. For reaction with TiCl₄ alone (2.5 equiv), the α : β ratio was 79:21 after 17 h (entry 1), increasing to 84:16 at higher concentration of TiCl₄ (3 equiv) after 16 h (entry 8) with a further increase to ~9:1 over 72 h (entry 9). In experiments with TiCl₄ (2.5 equiv), where additives triphenylphosphine, pyridine and triethylamine were added (entries 3-5) the α : β ratios exceeded 91:9 after 16 h. Carrying out the reactions at lower temperature (e.g. 0 °C) led to lower selectivity after 16 h due to reduced reaction progress. Pyridine was unable to promote the anomerization reaction of 2 on its own (entry 10). Use of TiCl₄ (3 equiv) and pyridine (0.5 equiv) together led to only 2α being detected in the mixture after 16 h (entry 12) and isolated in 56% vield. In the cases of the hexopyranoses 3 (>18:1 vs 11:1) and 9 (>20:1 vs 10:1) the addition of pyridine led to improved stereoselectivity (Table 2). For 4-8 the use of pyridine showed no difference or a reduction in selectivity (see Table S1). It was

necessary to minimise the quantity of silica gel used for chromatographic purification to maximise the isolated yields of the thiols (reported in Table 2) as hydrolysis was occurring.

Table 1 TiCl₄ promoted epimerization of 2



| entry | additive | equiv TiCl₄ | equiv additive | time (h) | α:β |
|-------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------|-------|
| 1 | no additive | 2.5 | - | 17 | 79:21 |
| 2 | MSA | 2.5 | 0.3 | 16 | 84:16 |
| 3 | Ph ₃ P | 2.5 | 0.3 | 16 | 93:7 |
| 4 | pyridine | 2.5 | 0.3 | 16 | 93:7 |
| 5 | Et ₃ N | 2.5 | 0.3 | 16 | 92:8 |
| 6 | no additive | 3 | - | 16 | 84:16 |
| 7 | no additive | 3 | - | 72 | 90:10 |
| 8 | pyridine | 0 | 0.5 | 72 | 33:66 |
| 9 | pyridine | 3 | 0.3 | 72 | ~90:1 |
| 10 | pyridine | 3 | 0.5 | 16 | >90:1 |

The TiCl₄ promoted anomerization of the mixture of 2,3,4tri-*O*-benzoyl-thio-L-rhamnopyranosyl thiols **3a** and **3b** which contained mostly the axial or α -anomer (α : β = 2.5:1), unexpectedly gave only the β -anomer **3b** (40% isolated) and glycosyl chloride **10-Cl**. The structures of **3a/3b** were supported by NOESY and ¹³C-NMR and **3a** was confirmed by X-ray crystal structure determination. The pure α -anomer **3a** was treated with SnCl₄ and again the β -thiol was preferred, with 8:1 mixture generated after 36 h at 4 °C. The reaction was found to be improved in 24 h if MSA was added and **3b** was subsequently isolated in 78% yield (Scheme 3).

Scheme 1 Axial to equatorial epimerization of 3α

Next SnCl₄ was investigated for epimerization of mannopyranosyl thiol **11a**. For a range of reactions (Table 3) in the presence of SnCl₄ in dichloromethane, epimerization of **11a** to **11β** was observed, with the β : α anomer selectivities ranging from 63:37 to 76:24. The addition of MSA increased the stereoselectivity to >9:1 in favour of the β -mannopyranosyl thiol and **11β** was isolated in 82% yield from a 200 mg scale reaction. A wider study of reactions of glycosyl thiols were then conducted with SnCl₄. Various glycosyl thiols (Table 4), with the exception of **4a** (not shown in Table 4) gave mixtures that favoured the equatorial product, irrespective of whether the substituent at C-2 was axial, as is the case for mannopyranose or rhamnopyranose, or equatorial at C-2.

Optimized conditions for various glycosyl thiols (each 100 mg scale) are shown in Table 4 and the isolated yields of equatorial thiols varied from 34% (15α) to 90% (6β) with glycosyl chloride formed to a minor extent in most cases. The axial to

equatorial epimerization with SnCl₄ was also successful for acetylated **12-16**. In the case of the acetylated rhamnopyranosyl thiol **13**, the addition of MSA (0.5) led to a reduction in amount of glycosyl chloride produced. The use of lower reaction temperatures (-30 °C) led to a reduction in chloride and unidentified product formation, particularly for the L-thioarabinopyranose **15** α ,¹⁹ and L-thiofucopyranose **7** β .

Table 2 TiCl₄ (3 equiv) promoted epimerization in CH_2Cl_2 (room temp, 16 h)

| reactant | additive | products, ratio (isolated yield) |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| 2 α, 2 β (1:2) | pyridine (0.5 equiv) | $\begin{array}{c} \text{BzO} & \text{OBz} & \text{BzO} \\ \text{BzO} & \text{OBz} & \text{OBz} \\ \text{BzO} & \text{BzO} & \text{BzO} \\ \textbf{2}\alpha \ (56\%) \ \text{BzO} \ \text{SH} & \text{BzO} \ \textbf{2}\beta \end{array}$ |
| 4 α, 4 β (2:9) | pyridine (0.5 equiv) | $\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ BzO \\ BzO \\ 4\alpha \ (63\%) BzO \\ SH \end{array} \begin{array}{c} BzO \\ SH \\ BzO \\ SH \end{array} \begin{array}{c} OBz \\ BzO \\ BzO \\ SH \\ BzO \\ SH \end{array} \begin{array}{c} OBz \\ OBz \\ OBz \\ SH \\ BzO \\ SH \end{array} \begin{array}{c} OBz \\ OBz \\ SH \\ BzO \\ SH \\ BzO \\ 4\beta \end{array}$ |
| 5 α, 5 β (1:2) | - | $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} B_{ZO} & O \\ B_{ZO} & B_{ZO} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 5\alpha \ (52\%) B_{ZO} \\ SH \ 10:1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} B_{ZO} & O \\ B_{ZO} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} SH \\ B_{ZO} \\ SH \end{array} \end{array} $ |
| 6 α, 6 β (2:1) | - | $\begin{array}{c} BzO \qquad BzO \\ BzO \qquad O \\ BzO \qquad BzO \\ BzO \qquad BzO \\ BzO \\ BzO \\ SH \qquad BzO \\ SH \\ BzO \\ G\alpha \end{array} \\ SH $ |
| 7 α, 7 β (1:6) | - | $\begin{array}{c} SH \\ OBz \\ BzO \\ BzO \\ BzO \\ Fa \\ G \\ Sf \\ Sf$ |
| 8β | - | $\begin{array}{c} SH \\ \searrow OBz \\ OBz \\ BzO \ 8\alpha \ (38\%) \\ BzO \ 8\beta \end{array} \xrightarrow{SH} \begin{array}{c} SH \\ OBz \\ OBz \\ BzO \ 8\beta \end{array}$ |
| 9α, 9β (1:2) | pyridine (0.5 equiv) | $\begin{array}{c} BzO\\ BzO\\ \textbf{B}zO\\ \textbf{g}\alpha (42\%) \end{array} \xrightarrow[\textbf{B}zO]{} BzO\\ \textbf{B}zO\\ \textbf{B}zO$ |
| 10α, 10β (1:4) | pyridine (0.5 equiv) | BzO = OBz > 20:1 OBz = 00 Bz = 00 BzO |

Next, we endeavored to gain an understanding of the origin of stereoselectivity in these reactions. Hence, we first tested the hypothesis that the glycosyl thiols coordinate to the Lewis acids and that the relative stability of a complex formed in dichloromethane ultimately contributes to defining the anomer ratio of the glycosyl thiols generated after work-up. SnCl₄ coordinates with heteroatoms such as O, N and S and several crystal structures have been reported, either as SnCl₄·L or SnCl₄·L₂ complexes.19 We considered the possibility that coordination would be reduced in an oxygen atom containing solvent which would compete with the acylated pyranose for coordination to the Lewis acid. Carbonyl groups are known to coordinate to Lewis acids including SnCl4 in both the solid state and in solution and EtOAc was therefore investigated. Hence 1β was converted by SnCl₄ (2.5 eq) to a 42:58 mixture of 1α and 1β in EtOAc-CH₂Cl₂ (3:2), which had lower selectivity than in dichloromethane alone (α : β = 72:19, Table 4). Thus EtOAc competes with the pyranosyl thiols for coordination to SnCl₄ but does not inhibit the epimerization. The reaction in the presence of EtOAc reflects the equilibrium ratio of anomers of the glycosyl thiols in absence of significant chelation to the Lewis acid whereas stereoselectivity in dichloromethane is influenced by a more stable complex being formed between SnCl₄ and the glycosyl thiol.

Table 3: Optimisation of the epimerization of 11α

| Bz BzO- Bz(| | BzO BzO BzO | | BzO BzO SH BzO | OBz | |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------|--------------|
| | 11α SH ^{CH} 2 ⁰ | | 11 β | | 11 α s⊦ | l |
| entry | additive (equiv) | equiv. of SnCl4 | T (°C) | time (h) | β:α ratio | yield 11β |
| 1 | no additive | 2.5 | 20 | 20 | 70:30 | - |
| 2 | no additive | 2.5 | 4 | 20 | 76:24 | - |
| 3 | no additive | 2.5 | 0 | 20 | 72:28 | - |
| 4 | no additive | 2.5 | -30 | 24 | 63:37 | - |
| 5 | no additive | 1.5 | 4 | 20 | 69:31 | - |
| 6 | PPh ₃ (0.5 equiv) | 2.5 | 4 | 24 | 76:24 | - |
| 7 | sulfamic acid (0.5 equiv) | 2.5 | 4 | 24 | 74:26 | - |
| 8 | MSA (2 equiv) | 2.5 | 4 | 24 | 92:8 | 82% |
| 9 | MSA (0.5 equiv) | 2.5 | 4 | 24 | 89:11 | 79% |

The use of ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectroscopy proved diagnostic for probing the interaction of $SnCl_4$ with 3β in dichloromethane. There was a peak at δ -149 ppm in the proton decoupled ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectrum for free SnCl₄ (0.9 M solution in CDCl₃) whereas on addition of 3β , with the colourless solution becoming a brick red colour and the ¹¹⁹Sn peak shifted to -188.9 ppm, consistent with formation of a hexavalent tin complex in solution.²⁰ This proposal was supported by ¹H and ¹³C-NMR analysis of the mixture generated after 10α (0.068 mmol) was dissolved in CDCl₃ (0.75 mL) and 2 equiv of SnCl₄ was added (from a solution in CDCl₃), which provided information on the complex formed between **3B** and SnCl₄ (**3B-SnCl**₄). The doublet (J =10.0 Hz) at δ 2.61 ppm corresponding to the thiol proton in uncomplexed 10β , was no longer visible as a sharp doublet in the spectrum. The signal for the thiol proton of 3α broadened and decreased in intensity as SnCl₄ was added and a new broad signal appeared downfield between δ 3.20-3.60 ppm for the SH. The integration for this signal, assigned to the SH in 3β-SnCl₄ was equal to integration of other signals assigned to the carbohydrate ring protons for 3\beta-SnCl₄ in the spectrum, with 3β-**SnCl**₄ the most abundant species present. Compared to 3β , the anomeric proton ($\Delta\delta = 0.42$ ppm) and the pyranose H-5 ($\Delta\delta$ = 0.41 ppm) in **3\beta-SnCl₄** were most shifted downfield, with the other pyranose signals shifted to a lesser degree ($\Delta\delta < 0.2$ ppm). The greatest shifts downfield in the ¹³C-NMR spectrum of 3β -**SnCl**₄ were for the anomeric carbon (δ 80.3 ppm vs δ 76.8 ppm; $\Delta \delta = 3.7$ ppm) and for the pyranose C-5 (δ 79.2 ppm vs δ 75.7 ppm; $\Delta \delta = 3.5$ ppm). In contrast, the C-2 and C-4 signals were shifted upfield ($\Delta\delta$ values = -3.3 and -3.1 ppm), respectively. No shifts downfield or upfield of more than 0.3 ppm were observed for the carbonyl peaks. This data supports complexation involving the pyranose oxygen atom and the thiol group of 3β coordinating to SnCl₄ (Scheme 2). The pyranose ring maintains its ${}^{1}C_{4}$ conformation on the basis of coupling constants observed in the ¹H-NMR spectrum. Work-up of this mixture led to hydrolysis of the complex with SnCl₄ present and gave a product which contained mostly 3β . An NMR spectroscopic study indicated that reaction of 3α with TiCl₄ established an equilibrium of 3α with 3β but that TiCl₄ also induced nucleophilic attack by the thiol of 3β at the C-2 carbonyl group, *cis* to

the thiol, which leads to generation of the 2-phenyl-1,3-oxathiolan-2-ylium cation **17**;²¹ this shifts the equilibrium (Scheme 2) towards species that give 3β after work-up. This process may contribute to the preferred formation of axial glycosyl thiols (Table 2), where the major products isolated also have 1,2-cis configurations. Hence, the ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectroscopic analysis, in one experiment, of the mixture generated from treating 3α with TiCl₄ (0.5 equiv) in CDCl₃ showed signals consistent with the presence of the thiols 3α (~50%) and 3β (~25%) as well as 17 (~25%). The presence of the carbenium carbon atom was supported by a diagnostic signal at δ 214.7 ppm in the ¹³C-NMR spectrum; the signals for C-2 (δ 96.5 ppm; $\Delta \delta$ = +23.8 ppm) and C-1 (δ 86.4 ppm; $\Delta \delta$ = +8.7 ppm) of the cationic species are shifted significantly downfield compared to those of free 3β , and support the presence of the nearby positively charged carbon. Work up of this mixture gave a 1:1 mixture of the thiols. The cation 17 was trapped in the presence of sodium cyanoborohydride to give 18.

Table 4: SnCl₄ (2.5 equiv) promoted epimerization in CH₂Cl₂

| reactant | T (°C) | products and ratio (equatorial : axial anomer) after 24 h | % yield |
|---|-----------|--|-------------------|
| AcO OAc AcO Ia AcO SH | 20 | $Ac0 - CAc - SH + 1\alpha$ $Ac0 - I\beta 80:20$ | 46 1β |
| BzO OBz OBz OBz OBz OBz OBz OBz OBz OBz | 20 | $BzO \qquad OBZ \\ BzO \qquad SH + 2\alpha \\ BzO \qquad 2\beta 82:18$ | 59 2β |
| BzO BzO 4α BzO SH | 20 | $B_{ZO} = \begin{array}{c} & OBz \\ & O \\ B_{ZO} \\ & H + 4\alpha \\ & 4\beta OBz \\ & 75:25 \end{array}$ | 52 4β |
| BzO BzO 6 β BzO _{SH} | -30 | $BzO \qquad \qquad$ | 54 6α |
| OBz SH OBz OBz BzO OBz 7α | 20 | $\begin{array}{c} OBz \\ Obz \\$ | 90 7β |
| SH OBz BzO OBz 8 α | -30 | $\begin{array}{c} \overbrace{OBz}{OBz} \stackrel{SH}{\bullet} \mathbf{s}_{\alpha} \\ \underset{BzO}{\bullet} \stackrel{OBz}{\bullet} \mathbf{s}_{\beta} \mathbf{s}_{\beta:11} \end{array}$ | 68 8β |
| $\begin{array}{c} AcO \\ AcO \\ AcO \\ AcO \\ 12\alpha \\ SH \end{array}$ | 20 | $\begin{array}{c} A_{CO} & OAc\\ A_{CO} & O\\ A_{CO} & SH + 12\alpha\\ 12\beta & 91:9 \end{array}$ | 67 12β |
| $AcO \xrightarrow{O} OAc$ | 4 | $\begin{array}{c} AcO & \xrightarrow{O} & \stackrel{SH}{+} 13\alpha \\ AcO & \stackrel{AcO}{13\beta} & \stackrel{OAc}{-} 86:14 \end{array}$ | 78ª 13β |
| SH 707 ΟΑc ΑcO ΟΑc 14α | 20 | $\begin{array}{c} 7 \\ \hline & OT \\ OAc \\ AcO \\ OAc \\ 14\beta \\ 90:10 \\ \end{array}$ | 64 14β |
| $AcO AcO 15\beta AcO SH$ | 20 | $\begin{array}{c} AcO \\ AcO \\ 15\alpha AcO \\ 54:46 \end{array} $ | 34 15α |
| Ac0 Ac0 16α Ac0 SH | 20 | $\begin{array}{c} \text{OAc} \\ \text{AcO} \\ \text{AcO} \\ \text{16}\beta \text{ OAc} \end{array} \\ \text{SH} + 16\alpha \\ \text{79:21} \end{array}$ | 51 16β |

^a This yield is from a reaction carried out with MSA (0.5 equiv) added Further support for this proposal in Scheme 2 was obtained by isolation of the stable dihydrothiazole **20** from the reaction

of GlcNAc derivative 19β with TiCl₄, which was subsequently hydrolyzed to give thiol 19α (Scheme 3).

Strategies reported for the synthesis of glycosyl thiols include displacement of glycosyl halides with thiourea, ²² thioacetates, ²³ or thiophosphates²⁴ followed by release of the free thiol or reaction of glycosyl alcohols with Lawesson's reagent, with moderate to good stereoselectivity observed.²⁵ Reactions with carbon disulfide have been used, to give α -²⁶ or β -thiols.²⁷ Axially-oriented glycosyl thiols can be selectively prepared via equatorial glycosyl chlorides,²⁸ or by treating 1,2-anhydro or 1,6-anhydro sugars or glycosyl trichloroacetimidates with bistrimethylsilyl sulfide.²⁹ The complimentary strategy developed herein provides the opportunity to epimerise acylated glycosyl thiols, contributing to stereoselective synthesis of *S*-glycosides.

Scheme 2 Mechanistic investigations



(1) (a) Ernst, B.; Magnani, J. L. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 2009, *8*, 661.
(b) Sattin, S.; Bernardi, A. *Carbohydr. Chem.* 2016, *41*, 1.

(2) Boltje, T. J.; Buskas, T.; Boons, G.-J. Nat. Chem. 2009, 1, 611.

(3) Spiro, R. G. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2004 61, 1025.

(4) Feizi, T.; Chai, W. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2004, 5, 582

(5) Pachamuthu, K.; Schmidt, R. R. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 160.

(6) Montero, E. ; García-Herrero, A.; Asensio, J. L.; Hirai, K.; Ogawa, S.; Santoyo-González, F.; Javier Canada, F.; Jiménez-Barbero, J. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, 1945.

(7) (a) Zhu, X.; Schmidt, R. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 1900. (b) Lian, G.; Zhang, X.; Yu, B. Carbohydr. Res. 2015, 403, 13.

(8) (a) Smith, R. ; Muller-Bunz, H.; Zhu, X. Org. Lett. **2016**, *18*, 3578. (b) Zhang, Z. ; Ollmann, I. R.; Ye, X.-S.; Wischnat, R.; Baasov,

T.; Wong, C.-H. J. Am. Chem. Soc., **1999**, 121, 734. (9) (a) Lo Conte, M.; Pacifico, S.; Chambery, A.; Marra, A.;

(J) (a) Lo Conte, M., Fachico, S., Chanbery, A., Maria, A.,
 Dondoni, A. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 4644. (b) O'Reilly, C.; Murphy,
 P. V. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 5168. (c) Sylla, B.; Legentil, L.; Saraswat-Ohri, S.; Vashishta, A.; Daniellou, R.; Wang, H.-W.; Vetvicka, V.;
 Ferrières, V. J. Med. Chem. 2014, 57, 8280.

(10) Gingras M.; Chabre, Y. M.; Roy, M.; Roy, R. Chem. Soc. Rev. **2013**, 42, 4823.

(11) van Kasteren, S. I.; Campbell, S. J.; Serres, S.; Anthony, D. C.; Sibson, N. R.; Davis, B. G. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* (USA), **2009**, *106*, 18.

(12) Dondoni, A.; Marra, A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 573.

(13) (a) Pilgrim, W.; Murphy, P. V. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 6747.
(b) O'Reilly, C.; Murphy, P. V. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 5168.

(14) (a) O'Brien, C.; Poláková, M.; Pitt, N.; Tosin, M.; Murphy, P. V. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2007**, *13*, 902. (b) Farrell, M.; Zhou, J.; Murphy, P.

V. Chem. Eur. J. **2007**, *15*, 902. (b) Parten, W., Zhou, J., Wull V. Chem. Eur. J. **2013**, *19*, 14836.

(15) Murphy, P. V. Carbohydr. Chem. 2016, 41, 90.

(16) Caraballo, R.; Deng, L.; Amorim, L.; Brinck, T.; Ramström, O. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 6115.

Scheme 3 Epimerization of 19β via 20



Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

Schemes S1-S3, Table S1, Experimental Section (PDF) X-Ray crystal structure of **3α** (CIF) NMR Spectra (PDF)

Corresponding Author

* paul.v.murphy@nuigalway.ie

Author Contributions

All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank Science Foundation Ireland for an IvP award (grant number 12/IA/1398) co-funded by the European Regional Development Fund (grant number 14/SP/2710).

REFERENCES

(17) (a) Lemieux, R. U.; Hindsgaul, O. Carbohydr. Res. 1980, 82,
195. (b) Tosin, M.; Murphy, P. V. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 3675; (c)
Poláková, M.; Pitt, N.; Tosin, M.; Murphy, P. V. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 2518.

(18) Cronin, L.; Tosin, M.; Müller-Bunz, H.; Murphy, P. V. Carbohydr. Res. 2007, 342, 111.

(19) Ljevaković, B.; Horvat, J. Klaić, B.; Tomić, S. J. Carbohydr. Chem. **1983**, 2, 263.

(20) (a) Otera, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 221, 57; (b) Otera, J.;

Kusaba, A.; Hinoishi, T.; Kawasaki, Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 1982,

228, 223. (c) Chagas, R. C. R.; Maia, J. R. d. S.; Ferraz, V. P. Main Group Metal Chemistry **2011**, *34*, 131.

(21) For related cations see (a) Pittman, Jr., C. U.; McManus, S. P.; Larsen, J. W. *Chem. Rev.* **1970**, *72*, 357. (b) Godage, H. Y.; Riley, A.

M.; Woodman, T. J.; Potter, B. V. L. Chem. Commun. 2006, 2989.

(22) Johnston, B. D.; Pinto, B. M. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 4607.
(23) MacDougall, J. M.; Zhang, X.-D.; Polgar, W. E.; Khroyan, T.

V.; Toll, L.; Cashman, J. R. *J. Med. Chem.* **2004**, *47*, 5809. (24) Xue, W.; Cheng, X.; Fan, J.; Diao, H.; Wang, C.; Dong, L.;

Luo, Y.; Chen, J.; Zhang, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 6092.

(25) Bernardes, G. J. L.; Gamblin, D. P.; Davis, B. G. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2006**, 45, 4007.

(26) Ané, A.; Josse, S.; Naud, S.; Lacône, V.; Vidot, S.; Fournial, A.; Kar, A.; Pipelier, M.; Dubreuil, D. *Tetrahedron*, **2006**, *62*, 4786.

(27) Jana, M.; Misra, A. K. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 2680.

(28) Blanc-Muesser, M. ; Driguez, H.; Joseph, B.; Viaud, M.C.; Rollin, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, 31, 3867.

(29) (a) Dere, R. T.; Wang, Y.; Zhu, X. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 2061. (b) Zhu, X.; Dere, R. T.; Jiang, J.; Zhang, L.; Wang, X. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 10187. (c) Dere, R. T.; Kumar, A.; Kumar, V.; Zhu,

X.; Schmidt, R. R. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 7539.