

Provided by the author(s) and University of Galway in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite the published version when available.

Title	Effect of sawdust addition and composting of feedstock on renewable energy and biochar production from pyrolysis of anaerobically digested pig manure
Author(s)	Shane M. Troy, Tereza Nolan, James J. Leahy, Peadar G. Lawlor, Mark G. Healy, Witold Kwapinski; Nolan, Tereza; Leahy, James J.; Lawlor, Peadar G.; Healy, Mark G.; Kwapinski, Witold
Publication Date	2013
Publication Information	Shane M. Troy, Tereza Nolan, James J. Leahy, Peadar G. Lawlor, Mark G. Healy, Witold Kwapinski (2013) 'Effect of sawdust addition and composting of feedstock on renewable energy and biochar production from pyrolysis of anaerobically digested pig manure'. Biomass & Bioenergy, 49 :1-9.
Item record	http://hdl.handle.net/10379/3251

Downloaded 2024-05-23T02:41:07Z

Some rights reserved. For more information, please see the item record link above.



1	Published as: Troy, S.M., Nolan, T., Leahy, J.J., Lawlor, P.G., Healy, M.G., Kwapinski, W.
2	2013. Effect of sawdust addition and composting of feedstock on renewable energy and
3	biochar production from pyrolysis of anaerobically digested pig manure. Biomass and
4	Bioenergy 49: 1 – 9.
5	
6	Effect of Sawdust Addition and Composting of Feedstock on Renewable Energy
7	and Biochar Production from Pyrolysis of Anaerobically Digested Pig Manure
8	
9	Shane M. Troy <sup>ab</sup> , Tereza Nolan <sup>a</sup> , James J. Leahy <sup>c</sup> , Peadar G. Lawlor <sup>a</sup> , Mark G.
10	Healy <sup>b</sup> and Witold Kwapinski <sup>c</sup> *.
11	<sup>a</sup> Teagasc, Pig Development Department, Animal & Grassland Research & Innovation
12	Centre, Moorepark, Fermoy, Co. Cork, Ireland.
13	<sup>b</sup> Civil Engineering, National University of Ireland, Galway, Co. Galway, Ireland
14	<sup>c</sup> Chemical and Environmental Science, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland
15	*Corresponding author. Tel.: +353 61202641; fax: +353 61202568, e-mail address:
16	witold.kwapinski@ul.ie
17	
18	A B S T R A C T
19	Pyrolysis experiments were conducted on the separated solid fraction of anaerobically
20	digested pig manure (SADPM). The aim of these experiments was to investigate the
21	influence of (1) sawdust addition and (2) composting the feedstock, on the products of
22	pyrolysis and on the net energy yield from the pyrolysis process. Mixtures of SADPM
23	and sawdust were made to give the following treatments; manure only, 4:1(w/w) and
24	$3:2(w/w)$ . These mixtures were pyrolized at $600^{\circ}$ C both before and after aerobic
25	composting. The yields of the biochar, bio-liquid and gas were influenced by the

26	addition of sa	wdust to the SADPM and by composting of the feedstock. With the
27	addition of sa	wdust, biochar and gas higher heating values (HHV) increased, while bio-
28	liquid HHV d	lecreased. More than 70% of the original energy in the feedstock remained
29	in the biochar	, bio-liquid and gas after pyrolysis, increasing as the proportion of sawdust
30	increased. Th	e HHV of the biochar decreased, while the HHV of the bio-liquid
31	increased, aft	er the feedstocks were composted. The energy balance showed that
32	increasing the	e rate of sawdust addition to SADPM resulted in an increased net energy
33	yield. The addition of a composting stage increased the net energy yield for the manure	
34	only feedstoc	k only. However, with increasing sawdust addition, composting of the
35	feedstock red	uced the net energy yield.
36		
37	Keywords: py	vrolysis; pig manure; energy; sawdust; biochar.
38		
39	Abbreviation	18
40	SADPM:	separated anaerobically digested pig manure
41	NACP:	nutra ammonium citrate soluble P
42	WSP:	water soluble P
43	ABC:	acid binding capacity
44	BUF:	buffering capacity
45	TGA:	thermo gravimetric analysis
46		
47		
48		
49		

# **1 Introduction**

51	In the European Union (EU), thirty percent of sows are located in a major pig
52	production basin which stretches from Denmark, through north western Germany and
53	the Netherlands to Vlaams Gewest in northern Belgium [1]. Pig manure in these
54	concentrated pig farming areas has to be transported to less pig-dense areas for
55	landspreading, thereby increasing the cost of manure handling. Landspreading
56	legislation (Nitrates Directive, 91/676/EEC) [2] has reduced the amount of organic
57	fertilizers which can be spread on land, increasing the cost of manure disposal. There is
58	much interest in anaerobic digestion (AD) as a method of generating renewable energy
59	from manures. However, AD does not reduce the nutrient content of pig manure which
60	still needs to be recycled. One alternative to the problem of landspreading of these
61	digested manures may be to use pyrolysis to produce renewable energy and biochar.
62	Pyrolysis is a process whereby a biomass feedstock, such as woodchips, crop
63	residues or manure, is heated to high temperatures in an oxygen-free atmosphere to
64	produce a solid (biochar), a liquid (bio-liquid) and a gaseous fraction. The proportion of
65	biochar, bio-liquid and gas produced is dependant on the heating rate, the reactor
66	temperature and the residence time of the reactor [3,4]. Gases and bio-liquids produced
67	may be used to provide the energy needs for the pyrolysis process, or converted to
68	electricity and heat. The biochar can be used as a fuel or, alternatively, applied to land
69	as a low-grade fertilizer, soil addendum [5-8] or for carbon sequestration [9-12].
70	Biochar addition to soil may mitigate the negative effects of removing crop residues
71	from soil [13]. Studies in tropical soils of low organic matter (OM) have shown
72	increased biomass yield and plant growth using biochar additions in conjunction with
73	another nutrient source [5,14]. Biochar has been shown to reduce nutrient leaching in

74	soils [15,16], increase nutrient availability for plants and enhance the efficiency of
75	fertilizers [14]. Biochar has also been shown to influence the soil physico-chemical
76	properties, such as pH, porosity, bulk density and water holding capacity [8,14,17].
77	The use of manure biochars may have additional benefits to farmers, as it can be
78	used to alleviate the problems of nitrogen (N) leaching from soils which is a
79	characteristic of the use of manure as a fertilizer [9]. Research has shown an increase in
80	N availability in soil with the addition of biochar from chicken manure [18]. The
81	phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) contents of manure are almost completely recovered
82	in the biochar, leading to higher concentrations in the biochar than in the original
83	manure [19]. Due to its higher N, P and K concentrations, biochar from manure may
84	offer additional benefits as a low-grade fertilizer, even when used without other forms
85	of fertilisation.
86	Pyrolysis could be used as a tool to combat global warming which, according to
87	the International Panel on Climate Change, is very likely due to the combined
88	influences of greenhouse gas (GHG) increases and stratospheric ozone depletion [20].
89	The generation of renewable energy through pyrolysis has been shown to result in net
90	reductions in GHG emissions compared to fossil fuel combustion [21]. However, the
91	net energy generation from the drying and pyrolysis of manure has been shown to be
92	negative due to the high water content (WC) of manures [19]. The addition of sawdust
93	
	to separated manure reduces the WC and may result in a positive net energy yield.
94	to separated manure reduces the WC and may result in a positive net energy yield. Composting also reduces the WC of the feedstock [22] and may also be incorporated
94 95	to separated manure reduces the WC and may result in a positive net energy yield. Composting also reduces the WC of the feedstock [22] and may also be incorporated into the treatment process to alter the net energy yield. Some research has been
94 95 96	to separated manure reduces the WC and may result in a positive net energy yield. Composting also reduces the WC of the feedstock [22] and may also be incorporated into the treatment process to alter the net energy yield. Some research has been performed on biochar production from manures [19,23,24]; however, no study has yet

98 The effect of composting the feedstocks before pyrolysis has also never been previously 99 studied. The objectives of this study were to: (1) investigate the effect of sawdust 100 addition on the products of pyrolysis of separated anaerobically digested pig manure (2) 101 analyze the effect of composting the feedstocks before pyrolysis, and (3) investigate the

102 effect of composting and sawdust addition to the energy balance.

103

## 104 2 Materials and Methods

### 105 **2.1** Feedstock

106 The pig manure was taken from an 800-sow pig farm in Roughty Valley Pig 107 Unit, Kilgarven, County Kerry, Ireland. This farm contained pigs from all stages of 108 production, fed with a dry feeding system and housed in slatted sheds above underground manure storage tanks. The liquid manure was transferred from the 109 110 underground storage tanks to a 45m<sup>3</sup> overground storage tank prior to anaerobic 111 digestion. The anaerobic digested manure was generated in a mesophilic anaerobic 112 digester with a residence time of 20 to 30 days. The anaerobically digested pig manure 113 was separated by decanter centrifuge (GEA Westfallia Separator UCD 205, Bönen, 114 Germany). The separated solids of AD pig manure (SADPM) had an average dry matter content of 310 g kg<sup>-1</sup>. This SADPM was mixed with Sitka Spruce sawdust, produced 115 without bark (WC = 144 g kg<sup>-1</sup>  $\pm 27$  g kg<sup>-1</sup>, bulk density = 40 kg m<sup>-3</sup>  $\pm 2$  kg m<sup>-3</sup>), at 116 117 different rates (4:1 and 3:2) based on their wet weights. These feedstocks were then 118 pyrolised both before and after composting. The feedstocks were: SADPM only (MO); 119 SADPM and sawdust mixed at a 4:1 ratio (4:1); SADPM and sawdust mixed at a 3:2 120 ratio (3:2); composted SADPM (MO(CP)); SADPM and sawdust mixed at a 4:1 ratio 121 and composted (4:1(CP)), and SADPM and sawdust mixed at a 3:2 ratio, and

122	composted (3:2(CP)). The composting process consisted of 56 day aerobic composting
123	in small-scale (40 - 50 kg of compost was produced for each mixture of SADPM and
124	sawdust) composting tumblers similar to the methods described by Nolan et al. [25]. All
125	materials were dried at 60°C for 48 hours and milled before storage in a refrigerator
126	(~4°C) prior to pyrolysis and analysis.

## 128 2.2 Pyrolysis

129 A laboratory-scale pyrolysis reactor (5cm i.d.) was used to produce the biochar, 130 bio-liquid and gases. A temperature of  $600\pm10$  °C and a residence time of 15 minutes 131 were used for all feedstocks. Approximately 50g of feedstock was used for each 132 pyrolysis run. The vapor exhaust end of the pyrolysis reactor was connected to a 133 condensing zone which was maintained at a temperature of -7±1°C. A flow of 50 cm<sup>3</sup>·min<sup>-1</sup> N<sub>2</sub> for 15 minutes before pyrolysis commenced, ensured that the atmosphere 134 135 inside the reactor was oxygen free. The vapours produced by the pyrolysis process were 136 carried through to the condensing zone, where the condensable fractions were converted 137 to bio-liquid. The bio-liquid was collected in a round bottomed flask at the end of the 138 condensing zone and the gases were collected in Tedlar gas sampling bags. 139 After pyrolysis, the biochar was moved to the cooling zone of the pyrolysis 140 reactor and allowed to cool in the N atmosphere before being removed from the reactor. 141 The mass of the biochar and bio-liquid was determined after pyrolysis to allow 142 calculation of the percentage yield of biochar and bio-liquid. Gas yield was calculated 143 from the difference after biochar and bio-liquid yield calculation. 144

## 145 2.3 Chemical and Physical Properties of Biochar

146	Feedstock and biochars were subjected to proximate analysis for WC (B/EN
147	14774:3:2009), ash content (BS/EN 14775:2009) and volatile matter content (VM)
148	(BS/EN 15148:2009).
149	Ultimate analyses of duplicate samples of feedstock and the biochars were performed.
150	Samples were analyzed for total carbon (C), nitrogen (N), sulphur (S) and hydrogen (H)
151	contents in accordance with CEN/TS 15104:2005. Elemental analysis was undertaken
152	using a "CHNOS Elemental Analyser Vario EL Cube" (Elemental Analysensysteme
153	GmbH, Hanau, Germany) operated at a combustion temperature of 1100 – 1200°C. All
154	analysis was preformed on a dry basis (db). H/C ratio was calculated from the ultimate
155	analyses as the mol ratio on a dry basis. Higher heating value (HHV) (MJ $kg^{-1}$ ) was
156	calculated from the ultimate analyses using the equation (1) [26]:
157	
158	HHV = 0.3491  C + 1.1783  H + 0.1005  S - 0.1034  O - 0.0151  N - 0.0211  Ash (1)
159	
160	Biochar pH measurements were performed in water solution using a bench top
161	meter (SevenEasy, Mettler-Toledo, Switzerland) at a biochar/distilled water ratio of
162	1:10 (w/v). Acid binding capacity (ABC) and buffering capacity (BUF) were measured
163	after Lawlor et al. [27] to final pH values of 6.5 and 7. In this method, 0.5g of biochar
164	was suspended in 50cm <sup>3</sup> de-ionized water and continuously stirred. Titrations of 100
165	mol m <sup>-3</sup> hydrochloric acid were performed using increments from 0.01cm <sup>3</sup> to 0.1cm <sup>3</sup>

166 until a pH of 6.5 and a pH of 7 were attained. Acid binding capacity was calculated as

167 the amount of acid in milli-equivalents required to reach the target pH. Buffering

168 capacity was calculated by dividing the ABC by the change in pH units. This test was

169 repeated using lime to compare the potential of the biochar as a replacement for lime.

170	The fertilizer value of the biochar was investigated by determining the
171	concentrations of P and K. The amount of P available to plants is given by the nutra
172	ammonium citrate soluble P (NACP) concentration [28]. This test is used to denote the
173	P content of common fertilizers. Formic acid soluble P relates to less available P [29],
174	and is often found in high concentrations in slow release fertilizers, often utilised in
175	forest plantations. Total P was analyzed by acid digestion according to Standard Method
176	4500-P B&E [30]. Water soluble P, nutra ammonium citrate soluble P, and formic acid
177	soluble P were determined after Byrne [31]. Total K was also determined after Byrne
178	[31]. Brunauer-Emmet-Teller (BET) surface area of the biochars was measured by
179	nitrogen gas sorption analysis at 77K using a surface area analyser (Micromeritics
180	Gemini 2375 V5.01). Samples were pre-treated by degassing at 300°C for 4 hours in
181	nitrogen gas.
182	
183	2.4 Thermo Gravimetric Analysis
184	The six feedstocks were subject to thermo gravimetric analysis runs (TGA)
185	using a TGA analyser (Q600 TGA/DTA Thermal Analyser System, TA Instruments).
186	Samples were placed in open top alumina crucibles and were pyrolised with N as a
187	carrier gas at a flow rate of 100cm <sup>3</sup> min <sup>-1</sup> . A temperature ramp of 10K min <sup>-1</sup> from
188	ambient temperature up to 600°C was used to create a temperature-based mass profile.
189	

190 2.5 Gas and Bio-liquid Analyses

Elemental analyses of duplicate samples of the bio-liquids were preformed in
accordance with CEN/TS 15104:2005 as above. Samples were analyzed on the same
day as production to ensure there was no reduction in the quality of the bio-liquids

194	associated with storage. Higher heating values (MJ kg <sup>-1</sup> ) were calculated from the
195	ultimate analyses using equation (2) [32]:
196	
197	HHV = $3.55 \text{ C}^2 - 232 \text{ C} - 2230 \text{ H} + (51.2 \text{ C} \cdot \text{H}) + 131 \text{ N} + 20600$ (2)
198	
199	Analysis of the major non-condensable gases produced by pyrolysis was
200	undertaken using a gas chromatograph (Agilent Micro GC, Agilent Technologies). The
201	yields were quantified by calibration with a standard gas mixture consisting of $N_2$ , $H_2$ ,
202	CO, CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> , C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> , C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> and C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> in helium. Higher heating values (MJ·m <sup>-3</sup> ) under
203	standard conditions were calculated from the gas composition results using the equation
204	(3) [33]. Standard conditions were taken as a temperature of $20^{\circ}$ C and a pressure of 100
205	kPa.
206	
207	HHV = $39.82 \text{ CH}_4 + 12.63 \text{ CO} + 12.74 \text{ H}_2 + 7.29 \text{ C}_2\text{H}_6 + 63.42 \text{ C}_2\text{H}_4 + 58.06 \text{ C}_2\text{H}_2$ . (3)
208	
209	2.6 Energy Balance
210	The energy required to treat digested manures, through pyrolysis, can be split
211	into four processes: separation, composting, drying, and pyrolysis (Figure 1). The
212	separation energy requirements of anaerobically digested pig manure using a decanter
213	centrifuge was taken as 7.92 MJ t <sup>-1</sup> manure input [34] and the quantity of manure solids
214	produced per tonne of anaerobically digested pig manure input was taken as 0.07 t [35].
215	The energy use during composting was estimated to be 18.4 MJ $t^{-1}$ input material [36].

216 The overall mass reduction of the composting pile from the beginning to end of the

217 composting process was taken to be 50% [37], including a reduction of 15% in the

218	water content. The drying energy requirement was estimated by adding the heat
219	required to increase the temperature of the wet feedstock from ambient $(10^{\circ}C)$ to 100
220	°C, plus the latent heat required to evaporate the water from the feedstock [19]. The heat
221	capacity of the wet manure was estimated to be 3.292 kJ kg <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> [38], while the heat
222	capacity of sawdust with a WC of 120 g kg <sup>-1</sup> was estimated to be 1.5 kJ kg <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> [39].
223	The efficiency of the drying process was estimated at 80% [19]. The energy requirement
224	for pyrolysis was the energy required to increase the temperature of the dried feedstock
225	from 100 $^{\circ}$ C to 600 $^{\circ}$ C. The heat capacity of the dried manure was estimated to be 0.92
226	kJ kg <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> [38], while the heat capacity of dry sawdust was estimated to be 2.51 kJ kg <sup>-1</sup>
227	$K^{-1}$ [39]. The energy loss during pyrolysis is estimated to be 5% [19].
228	There are two output options investigated (Figure 1) with regards to the end use
229	of the products (bio-liquid, biochar and gases). For option one, all three pyrolysis
230	products are used as a fuel. Option two uses the bio-liquid and gases as a fuel, while the
231	biochar is used as a soil addendum. When calculating the energy production from
232	combustion of the biochar, bio-liquid and gases, a combined heat and power (CHP)
233	efficiency of 80% was assumed.
234	"(Figure 1 here)"
235	
236	2.7 Statistical Analysis

Data was analyzed using the Statistical Analyses System (SAS, V9.1.3, 20022003). Comparison of yield, elemental analyses, proximate analyses and HHV were
performed using the Proc Mixed SAS procedure. Treatment was included as a fixed

 $240 \qquad \text{effect. For all analyses, significance was at $p < 0.05$.}$ 

# **3 Results and Discussion**

# 243 3.1 Feedstock Characteristics

244	Proximate and ultimate analyses of the biomass feedstock are given in Table 1.
245	The addition of sawdust to the SADPM resulted in higher VM and $FC$ contents (p <
246	0.001 and $p < 0.01$ , respectively), while the ash and N contents decreased with sawdust
247	addition (p < 0.01 for both). Feedstock MO(CP) was an exception to this, where the N
248	content was unusually low. The low N content was most likely caused by leaching
249	which occurred from this feedstock during the composting process. There was an
250	increase in C contents when sawdust was added to the SADPM, although this increase
251	was not significant ( $p = 0.26$ ). There was no significant difference in feedstock HHV
252	with increasing sawdust addition ( $p > 0.05$ ). Composting of the feedstocks resulted in
253	lower VM contents ( $p < 0.001$ ). Ash contents were also higher, although the increase
254	was not significant ( $p > 0.05$ ). Organic matter is decomposed by microorganisms during
255	composting, resulting in higher ash contents, while VM is lost as gases during the
256	composting process [22]. There was a reduction in C contents after composting,
257	although the decrease was only significant in the 4:1 treatment ( $p < 0.05$ ). Carbon is lost
258	during the composting process, primarily as carbon dioxide [22]. The decrease in C
259	contents and the increase in ash contents, following composting, resulted in a decrease
260	in HHV in the composted feedstock ( $p < 0.001$ ).
261	"(Table 1 here)"

## **3.2 Biochar Characteristics**

264 The biochar yields obtained from the pyrolysis process are shown in Figure 2.265 Biochar yield was influenced by feedstock composition. However, composting of the

feedstock had no significant effect of biochar yield (p > 0.05). The addition of sawdust to SADPM reduced biochar yield significantly (p < 0.05). In a study using poultry manure [24], the increasing addition of wood also resulted in decreasing biochar yield. The higher biochar yield for the manure only feedstock was due to changes in the high ash content of the manure, which decreased with sawdust addition (Table 1).

271 *"(Figure 2 here)"* 

272 The results of proximate and ultimate analyses of the biochars are shown in 273 Table 2. The C content of the biochar increased as the proportion of sawdust in the 274 feedstock was increased (p < 0.01 for non-composted feedstock and p < 0.05 for 275 composted feedstock). This resulted in an increase in HHV and a reduction in the H/C 276 ratio (p < 0.05 for both). This was a result of the higher C content and lower ash 277 contents of the feedstocks with added sawdust. The FC was also increased (p < 0.05), 278 while ash and N contents decreased with sawdust addition (p < 0.05 and p < 0.001, 279 respectively). Composting of the feedstock increased VM and N contents in the sawdust 280 amended treatments only (p < 0.05 and p < 0.001, respectively). In the MO feedstock, 281 there was no significant increase in VM and N contents when the feedstocks were 282 composted (p = 0.83 and 0.13, respectively). Carbon and FC contents in the biochars 283 were not significantly changed when the feedstocks were composted (p > 0.05 for both). 284 With composting, biochar HHV was not significantly changed (p > 0.05). Biochar 285 surface area increased with sawdust addition to the SADPM, but decreased when the 286 feedstocks were composted. 287 "(Table 2 here)"

288 The MO biochars without sawdust addition had a HHV lower than a low-rank 289 coal (lignite, 16 MJ.kg<sup>-1</sup>). The 3:2 biochars with the higher rate of sawdust addition had

a HHV in the range of bituminous coal (17-23 MJ kg<sup>-1</sup>), and above that of peat (14-21 290 MJ kg<sup>-1</sup>). On the basis of yield and HHVs of the feedstock and biochar, 26-38% of the 291 292 non-composted feedstocks energy was retained in the biochar. However, when the 293 feedstock was composted, this proportion increased to 35-44%. 294 The H/C ratios decreased from 1.28 and greater for the feedstocks to less than 295 0.50 in the biochars. This reduction indicates an increase in aromaticity in the biochars 296 when compared to the feedstocks. Charring of lignin and cellulose has been reported to 297 cause loss of aliphatic components along with the conversion of ring structures into 298 aromatic compounds [40]. This increase in aromaticity can also be seen in the increased 299 FC contents of the biochars when compared to their feedstock. Increases of 181-243% 300 were shown in the FC contents of biochars from non-composted feedstock, while 301 increases of 117-222% were found in the FC contents of biochars from composted 302 feedstock. 303 The concentration of total P, the soluble fractions of P and total K in the biochar 304 are given in Table 2. Composting of the feedstock resulted in no major change in 305 biochar total K concentrations and small increases in total P concentrations. Biochar 306 total P and total K decreased with increasing sawdust addition. The amount of P leached 307 from soil is dependant on the amount of water soluble P (WSP) available [41]. Concentrations of WSP are generally very high (150-500 g kg<sup>-1</sup>) in super phosphate 308 fertilizers. The WSP concentrations in the biochars studied are very low ( $< 0.16 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$ ), 309 310 indicating unsuitability as a fast release fertilizer. However, it also indicates that P 311 leaching from the biochar would probably be very small and that biochars might be 312 suitable as a slow release P fertilizer.

313	The concentration of NACP decreased when feedstocks were composted and
314	when sawdust was added, reducing the fertilizer value of the biochar. The percentage of
315	total P which is available to plants also decreases when feedstocks are composted and
316	amended with sawdust. Plant-available P decreased from 97% of total P for the MO
317	biochar to 32% of the total P for the 3:2(CP) biochar. Formic acid P accounted for
318	between 14% and 34% of the total P concentration. There was a decrease in formic acid
319	P concentrations with sawdust addition and after composting of the feedstock.
320	Acid binding capacities and BUF to achieve pH values of 6.5 and 7.0 are given
321	in Table 3. The optimum pH for grass, wheat, barley and maize is reported to be 6.5,
322	while a soil pH of 7 is recommended for white clover, beet, beans, peas and oilseed rape
323	[42]. The biochar from SADPM alone had the highest BUF for both pH 6.5 and 7.0.
324	The addition of sawdust to the SADPM resulted in a lower ABC and BUF in the
325	biochar for both pH values. Composting of the feedstock also reduced ABC and BUF.
326	In comparison, when this test was repeated using lime in place of biochar, values of 161
327	and 307 were recorded for BUF-6.5 and BUF-7, respectively. This demonstrates that
328	lime has a significantly greater buffering capacity, indicating that while biochars offer
329	some ability to increase soil pH when added to acid soils, they are not an adequate
330	replacement for lime.

331 *"(Table 3 here)"* 

332

# 333 3.3 Thermo Gravimetric Analyses

Figure 3 (a) and (b) show the mass (Thermo gravimetric (TG)) and derivative of TG curves (DTG) of the feedstocks, respectively. The TG analyses show the loss of mass of the feedstock as the temperature increases from ambient temperature to 600°C.

337	The primary devolatilisation stage is indicated by the highest peaks on the DTG curve
338	(Figure 3b). The temperatures of primary devolatilisation are shown in Table 4. The
339	onset temperature ( $T_{on}$ ) was determined as the point of 5% loss of weight (db). The
340	temperature at maximum devolatilisation $(T_{max})$ was determined from the peaks on the
341	DTG curves. The final temperature of primary devolatilisation $(T_f)$ was determined
342	from the DTG curve as the temperature corresponding to the intersection of the tangent
343	lines in the primary and secondary devolatilisation stages. The final temperature of
344	primary devolatilisation was similar for all feedstock at 370 $^{o}\text{C} \pm 6^{o}\text{C}.$ The temperature
345	range ( $T_r$ ) of devolatilisation is the difference between $T_f$ and $T_{on}$ . The primary
346	devolatilisation stage is followed by the secondary devolatilisation stage (Figure 3b)
347	when heavier chemical structures are degraded [43].
348	Similarly to the results seen in Section 3.2, sawdust addition and composting of
349	the feedstocks reduced biochar yield. The MO feedstocks had a greater secondary
350	devolatilisation stage, indicating the presence of heavier chemical structures in the
351	manure [43]. This stage was reduced with sawdust addition.
352	The addition of sawdust to SADPM increased $T_{on}$ due to the presence of more
353	complex compounds in the sawdust. Composting of the feedstock caused a reduction in
354	$T_{on}$ . This is due to the degradation of complex compounds into simpler molecules
355	during the composting process [22]. These simpler compounds are more easily
356	volatilised at lower temperatures. The temperature at maximum devolatilisation
357	increased with sawdust addition and with composting of the feedstocks. The
358	temperature range reduced with sawdust addition and increased with composting of the
359	feedstock due to the changes in T <sub>on</sub> .
360	"(Table 4 here)"

*"(Figure 3 here)"* 

# 363 3.4 Characteristics of Bio-liquid and Gas

364	Bio-liquid yield ranged from 110 to 300 g kg <sup>-1</sup> (Figure 2). Sawdust addition to
365	SADPM increased bio-liquid yield ( $p < 0.01$ ), however, composting of the feedstock did
366	not significantly alter the yield ( $p > 0.05$ ). Between 21 and 46% of the volatiles were
367	found to be condensable. Similar to the overall bio-liquid yield, sawdust addition and
368	composting increased the proportion of volatiles which were condensable. Elemental
369	analyses of the bio-liquids are shown in Table 5. Carbon contents of the bio-liquids
370	decreased as the proportion of sawdust in the feedstock increased ( $p < 0.01$ ). This
371	results in a decrease in HHV ( $p < 0.01$ ). Mante and Agblevor [24] also found increased
372	bio-liquid yields, decreased C and N contents and HHV values by adding wood to
373	poultry manure. Composting of the feedstock resulted in increased C and N contents (p
374	< 0.01 and p $< 0.05$ , respectively) and HHV of the bio-liquids (p $< 0.01$ ).
375	"(Table 5 here)"
376	Gas yield ranged from 340 to 510 g kg <sup>-1</sup> (Figure 2). Yields decreased
377	significantly when the feedstocks were composted ( $p < 0.05$ ). This is because gases,
378	primarily carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) and ammonia (NH <sub>3</sub> ), but also nitrous oxide (N <sub>2</sub> O) and
379	methane (CH <sub>4</sub> ), are lost during the composting process [22]. The compositions of the
380	non-condensable gases are given in Table 6. As $N_2$ was used as a carrier gas during
381	pyrolysis, the proportion found in the produced gas was artificially high. Therefore, the
382	proportion of $N_2$ in the manure only feedstock was estimated to be 10%, as found in Ro
383	et al. [19] and this was revised downwards for all other feedstocks based on the
384	proportion of manure to sawdust in the feedstock. The largest component gas in the

385	mixtures was $CO_2$ (35-48%) followed by CO (15-37%). $CO_2$ decreases the overall
386	quality of the gas produced, therefore, pyrolysis conditions which reduce the production
387	of $CO_2$ are desirable [13,23]. Increasing the rate of sawdust addition to SADPM
388	decreased the $CO_2$ concentration and increased the CO concentrations in the gas
389	produced, similar to the finding of a similar study by Mante and Agblevor [24] using
390	poultry manure. The addition of sawdust to the SADPM also increased the
391	concentrations of $CH_4$ and $H_2$ , while there was a reduction in $C_2H_4$ concentration. There
392	was little difference in the overall gas composition or HHV when the feedstock was
393	composted.
394	"(Table 6 here)"
395	More than 54% of the original energy in the feedstock remained in the biochar,
396	bio-liquid and gas after pyrolysis. This figure ranged from 54-81% for the non-
397	composted feedstock, increasing as the proportion of sawdust increased. For the
398	composted feedstock, the figure was higher (70-94%) and rose with increasing sawdust
399	addition.
400	
401	3.5 Energy Balance
402	The inputs required and the outputs obtained from each feedstock are given in
403	Table 7. All values are calculated on the basis of one tonne of liquid pig manure after
404	anaerobic digestion.
405	
406	3.5.1 Inputs
407	There are no differences between feedstocks for the separation energy
408	requirement. However, following separation, different quantities of sawdust were mixed

409 with the separated manure solids to form the various feedstocks (Table 7). The addition 410 of sawdust to SADPM increased the energy requirements for composting, drying and 411 pyrolysis, due to the increased mass of the feedstock. The quantity of bio-liquid, gases 412 and biochar produced were increased, resulting in greater energy generation. 413 The input energy required for the composting process was relatively small in 414 comparison to the other processes. However, the inclusion of a composting step had a 415 large influence on the energy requirements of the drying and pyrolysis processes. The 416 energy required for drying showed a decrease of approximately 58% when a 417 composting stage was included. The energy required for pyrolysis also showed a 418 reduction of between 31 and 43% with the addition of a composting stage. These 419 reductions were due to a mass reduction of 50%, including a 15% decrease in water 420 content, which occurs during the composting process [37]. 421

## 422 3.5.2 Outputs

423 The amount of biochar, bio-liquids and gases is dependant on whether a 424 composting stage is applied. The quantity of biochar produced decreased by between 20 425 and 38% when a composting stage was incorporated. Similarly, bio-liquid and gas 426 production decreased by between 36 and 47% with the addition of a composting stage. 427 The severity of these reductions increased with sawdust addition. This resulted in 428 reduced energy generation when a composting step was applied. Therefore, although the 429 inclusion of a composting stage reduced the energy requirements for drying and 430 pyrolysis, there was a decrease in energy production. 431

432 3.5.2.1 Output 1: Using Biochar as a Fuel

The results of using the biochar produced through pyrolysis as a fuel are shown in Table 7. There was a positive net energy yield for all feedstocks, except for the MO treatment. However, the addition of sawdust to SADPM substantially increased the net energy yield. The addition of a composting stage resulted in a reduced net energy yield with the exception of the MO treatment, where the addition of a composting stage changed a negative net energy yield to a positive net energy yield.

439

## 440 3.5.2 Output 2: Using Biochar as a Soil Addendum

441 The results of using the biochar produced through pyrolysis as a soil addendum 442 are shown in Table 7. There was a positive net energy yield only when sawdust was 443 added to SADPM. For the MO treatment, the energy generated from the combustion of 444 the bio-liquid and gas was not sufficient to sustain the treatment processes. The addition 445 of sawdust substantially increased the net energy yield. The addition of a composting 446 stage had a positive effect in treatments MO and 4:1, while for 3:2, the addition of a 447 composting stage reduced the net energy yield. Some feedstocks did show a small 448 increase in the biochar nutrient concentrations (Table 2) when a composting step was 449 incorporated. However, the nutrient concentration of the biochar produced decreased 450 with sawdust addition to the manure (Table 2), decreasing the value of the biochar as a 451 soil addendum.

452 *"(Table 7 here)"* 

453

454 **4 Conclusions** 

The influence of (1) the addition Sitka Spruce sawdust and (2) composting of the feedstock on the products of pyrolysis of anaerobically digested pig manure was

457	significant. The proportion of biochar, bio-liquid and gas produced, and the physical
458	and chemical characteristics of these products were influenced by both sawdust addition
459	and feedstock composting. Increasing the sawdust content in the wood/manure mixture
460	decreased the biochar yield and increased the bio-liquid yield. The biochar showed
461	increased heating values, but reduced nutrient concentrations with increasing sawdust
462	addition. The heating value of the gases produced also increased, while that of the bio-
463	liquid was decreased with sawdust addition. Composting of the feedstock before
464	pyrolysis increased the biochar and bio-liquid yield, but decreased the gas yield. The
465	biochar showed reduced heating values, while the bio-liquid heating values were
466	increased with composting.
467	The net energy yield from biochar and renewable energy production increased
468	with sawdust addition to the manure digestate. The energy yield also increased when the
469	manure only (without sawdust addition) feedstock was composted before pyrolysis.
470	However, with increasing sawdust addition, composting of the feedstock reduces the net
471	energy yield. If the biochar is used as a fuel, all feedstocks except for the non-
472	composted manure only feedstock, produced a positive net energy yield. Should the
473	biochar be used as a soil addendum, then sawdust addition to the manure may be
474	required to produce a positive energy yield.
475	
476	
477	
478	
479	

### 481 **References**

482 [1] Marquer P. Pig farming in the EU, a changing sector. Luxembourg;

483 EurostatStatistics in Focus 2010/8. European Union; 2010.

- 484 [2] EU. Directive 91/676/EEC concerning the protection of waters against pollution
- 485 caused by nitrates from agricultural sources. OJ L 375, 31.12.1991, p. 1-8.
- 486 [3] Singh K, Risse LM, Das KC, Worley J, Thompson S. Effect of fractionation and
- 487 pyrolysis on fuel properties of poultry litter. J Air Waste Manag Assoc
- 488 2010;60(7):875–83. [4] Melligan F, Auccaise R, Novotny E, Leahy JJ, Hayes
- 489 MHB, Kwapinski W. Pressurised pyrolysis of Miscanthus using a fixed bed
  490 reactor. Bioresour Technol 2011;102:3466–70.
- 491 [5] Lehmann J, da Silva JP Jr, Steiner C, Nehls T, Zech W, Glaser B. Nutrient
- 492 availability and leaching in an archaeological Anthrosol and a Ferralsol of the
- 493 Central Amazon basin: fertilizer, manure and charcoal amendments. Plant Soil
  494 2003;249:343–57.
- 495 [6] Steiner C, Glaser B, Teixeira WG, Lehmann J, Blum WEH, Zech W. Nitrogen
- retention and plant uptake on a highly weathered central Amazonian Ferralsol
  amended with compost and charcoal. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci 2008;171:893-9.
- 498 [7] Steinbeiss S, Gleixner G, Antonietti M. Effect of biochar amendment on soil carbon
- balance and soil microbial activity. Soil Biol Biochem 2009;41:1304-10.
- 500 [8] Laird DA, Fleming P, Davis DD, Horton R, Wang B, Karlen DL. Impact of biochar
- amendments on the quality of a typical Midwestern agricultural soil. Geoderma2010;158:443-9.
- 503 [9] Lehmann J. Bio-energy in the black. Front Ecol Environ 2007;5:381–7.

	04	[10] Bruun EW	, Hauggaard-Niel	sen H, Ibrahim	N, Egsgaard	l H, Ambus F	?, Jensen PA	۱, et
--	----	---------------	------------------	----------------	-------------	--------------	--------------	-------

- al.. Influence of fast pyrolysis temperature on biochar labile fraction and shortterm carbon loss in a loamy soil. Biomass Bioenerg 2011;35:1184-9.
- 507 [11] Kwapinski W, Byrne C, Kryachko E, Wolfram P, Adley C, Leahy JJ, et al. Biochar
  508 from waste and biomass. J Waste Biomass Valor 2010;1:177–89.
- 509 [12] Fowles M. Black carbon sequestration as an alternative to bioenergy. Biomass
  510 Bioenerg 2007;31:426-32.
- 511 [13] Mullen CA, Boateng AA, Goldberg NM, Lima IM, Laird DA, Hicks KB. Bio-oil
- and bio-char production from corn cobs and stover by fast pyrolysis. Biomass
  Bioenerg 2010;34:67-74.
- 514 [14] Chan KY, Van Zwieten L, Meszaros I, Downie A, Joseph S. Agronomic values of
  515 greenwaste biochar as a soil amendment. Aus J Soil Res 2007;45:629–34.
- 516 [15] Novak JM, Busscher WJ, Laird DA, Ahmedna M, Watts DW, Niandou MAS.
- 517 Impact of biochar amendment on fertility of a southeastern coastal plain soil.
  518 Soil Sci 2009;174:105-12.
- 519 [16] Laird DA, Fleming P, Wang B, Horton R, Karlen DL. Biochar impact on nutrient
  520 leaching from a Midwestern agricultural soil. Geoderma 2010;158:436-42.
- 521 [17] Glaser B, Lehmann J, Zech W. Ameliorating physical and chemical properties of
- highly weathered soils in the tropics with charcoal a review. Biol Fertil Soils
  2002;35:219-30.
- 524 [18] Chan KY, Van Zwieten L, Meszaros I, Downie A, Joseph S. Using poultry litter
  525 biochars as soil amendments. Soil Res 2008;46:437-44.

526 [19] Ro KS, Cantrell KB, Hunt PG. High-temperature pyrolysis of blended animal

- 527 manures for producing renewable energy and value-added biochar. Ind Eng
  528 Chem Res 2010;49:10125–31.
- 529 [20] IPCC. Summary for policymakers. In: Metz B, Davidson OR, Bosch PR, Dave R,
- 530 Meyer LA, editors. Climate change 2007: Mitigation. Contribution of Working
- 531 Group III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on
- 532 Climate Change. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ Press; 2007.
- 533 [21] Gaunt JL, Lehmann J. Energy balance and emissions associated with biochar
- 534 sequestration and pyrolysis bioenergy production. Environ Sci Technol
- 535 2008;42:4152-8.
- 536 [22] Bernal MP, Alburquerque JA, Moral R. Composting of animal manures and
- chemical criteria for compost maturity assessment. A review. Bioresour Technol
  2009;100:5444–53.
- [23] Lima IM, Boateng AA, Klasson KT. Pyrolysis of broiler manure: char and product
  gas classification. Ind Eng Chem Res 2009;48:1292-7.
- 541 [24] Mante OD, Agblevor FA. Influence of pine wood shaving on pyrolysis of poultry
- 542 litter. Waste Manage 2010;30:2537-47.
- 543 [25] Nolan T, Troy SM, Healy MG, Kwapinski W, Leahy JJ, Lawlor PG.

544 Characterization of separated pig manure composted with a variety of bulking

- 545 agents at low initial C/N ratios. Bioresour Technol 2011;102:7131–8.
- 546 [26] Channiwala SA, Parikh PP. A unified correlation for estimating HHV of solid,
- 547 liquid and gaseous fuels. Fuel 2002;81:1051:63.

548	[27] Lawlor PG, Lynch PB, Caffrey PJ, O'Reilly JJ, O'Connell MK. Measurements of
549	acid-binding capacity of ingredients used in pig diets. Irish Vet J 2005;58:447-
550	52.
551	[28] Gowariker V, Krishnamurthy VN, Gowariker S, Dhanorkar M, Paranjape K. The
552	Fertilizer Encyclopaedia. New Jersey: Wiley; 2009.
553	[29] Chien SH. Solubility assessment for fertilizer containing phosphate rock. Fert Res
554	1993;35:93-9.
555	[30] APHA/AWWA/WEF. Standard methods for the examination of water and
556	wastewater. 21 <sup>st</sup> edition. Eaton AD, Clesceri LS, Rice EW, Greenberg AE,
557	editors. Washington DC: American Public Health Association / American Water
558	Works Association / Water Environment Federation; 2005
559	[31] Byrne E. Chemical Analysis of Agricultural Materials. Dublin, Ireland: An Foras
560	Taluntais; 1979.
561	[32] Friedl A, Padouvas E, Rotter H, Varmuza K. Prediction of heating values of
562	biomass fuel from elemental composition. Anal Chem 2005;544:191-8.
563	[33] Basu P. Biomass Gasification and Pyrolysis. Practical Design. Oxford, UK:
564	Elsevier; 2010.
565	[34] Moller HB, Lund I, Sommer SG. Solid-liquid separation of livestock slurry:
566	efficiency and cost. Bioresour Technol 2000;74:223-9.
567	[35] Moller HB. Methane productivity and nutrient recovery from manure. PhD Thesis.
568	BioCentrum-DTU, Technical University of Denmark; 2003.
569	[36] Diaz LF, Golueke CG, Savage GM. Energy balance in compost production and
570	use. In: Bertoldi M, De Ferranti MP, L'Hermite P, Zucconi F, editors. Compost:
571	Production, Quality and Use. London, UK: Elservier Applied Science; 1986.

572	[37] Tiquia SM, Richard TL, Honeyman MS. Carbon, nutrient and mass loss during
573	composting. Nutr Cycl Agroecosys 2002;62:15-25.
574	[38] Bohnhoff DR, Converse JC. Engineering properties of separated manure solids.
575	Biol Waste 1987;19:91-106.
576	[39] Raglands KW, Aerts DJ. Properties of wood for combustion analysis. Bioresour
577	Technol 1991;37:161–8.
578	[40] Rutherford DW, Wershaw RL, Cox LG. Changes in composition and porosity
579	during the thermal degradation of wood and wood components. United States
580	Geological Survey Scientific Investigation Report 2004, p. 5292.
581	[41] Weaver DM, Ritchie GSP. Phosphorus leaching in soils amended with piggery
582	effluent or lime residues from effluent treatment. Environ Pollut 1994;84:227-
583	35.
584	[42] Anon. Advice on Liming. Johnstown Castle Laboratory, Wexford, Ireland: Teagasc
585	Publications; 2010. Available at:
586	www.teagasc.ie/publications/2010/20100910a/AdviceOnLiming.pdf. (Accessed:
587	21/07/2011)
588	[43] Biagini E, Tognotti L. Comparison of devolatilisation/char oxidation and direct
589	oxidation of soild fuels at low heating rate. Energ Fuel 2006;20:986-92.
590	
591	
592	
593	
594	
595	

596	Figure Captions
597	Figure 1: Schematic outlining the process, inputs and outputs for the energy balance.
598	
599	Figure 2: Pyrolysis product yield: effect of sawdust addition and composting of
600	feedstock.
601	
602	Figure 3: (a) Mass (TG) curves and (b) derivative mass (DTG) curves during N
603	pyrolysis: ambient – $600^{\circ}$ C, 10K min <sup>-1</sup> ; mass (%) is the percentage of actual weight.
604	
605	
606	
607	
608	
609	
610	
611	
612	
613	
614	
615	
616	
617	
618	
619	

	Parameters	МО	4:1	3:2	MO (CP)	4:1 (CP)	3:2 (CP)
	Water content (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	85	70	74	90	62	58
	Volatile matter (g kg $^{-1}$ <sub>db</sub> )	697	718	755	628	663	740
	Fixed C (g kg $^{-1}$ db)	81	150	163	108	171	170
	Ash (g kg <sup>-1</sup> <sub>db</sub> )	222	132	82	264	166	90
	N (g kg <sup>-1</sup> <sub>db</sub> )	45	30	17	19	42	30
	$C (g kg^{-1}_{db})$	452	476	490	406	414	442
	$H (g kg^{-1}_{db})$	51	53	52	47	47	51
	O (g kg <sup>-1</sup> <sub>db</sub> )	219	301	354	258	319	378
	H/C (mol ratio <sub>db</sub> )	1.37	1.34	1.28	1.39	1.35	1.37
	HHV (MJ kg <sup>-1</sup> )	19.1	19.5	19.5	16.5	16.4	17.3
21	db=dry basis; (CP)=compo	osted feedsto	ock; HHV=hi	gher heating	value		
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
20							
27							
28							
29							
30							
31							
32							
33							
34							
35							
36							
37							
38							
39							
37							
-+U							
41							
42							

620 Table 1. Proximate and Ultimate Analysis of Feedstock before Pyrolysis

644 Table 2. Yield, Chemical Analysis, Higher Heating Value and Surface Area of Biochar

Parameters	МО	4:1	3:2	MO(CP)	4:1(CP)	3:2(CP)
Yield (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	434	321	306	493	391	345
Water content (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	53	55	77	30	48	58
Volatile matter (g kg $^{-1}$ db)	226	166	171	230	204	192
Fixed C (g kg $^{-1}$ db)	262	423	561	233	372	547
Ash (g kg <sup>-1</sup> <sub>db</sub> )	512	412	268	536	424	261
N (g kg $^{-1}$ <sub>db</sub> )	38	27	22	40	33	27
$C (g kg^{-1}_{db})$	338	516	669	330	501	624
H (g kg <sup>-1</sup> <sub>db</sub> )	10	11	11	13	11	13
H/C (mol ratio <sub>db</sub> )	0.34	0.26	0.20	0.48	0.26	0.25
HHV (MJ kg <sup>-1</sup> )	11.3	18.2	24.0	11.6	17.9	22.1
Total P (g kg $^{-1}$ <sub>db</sub> )	31.8	23.4	23.7	32.1	30.2	25.9
Water Sol. P (g kg $^{-1}$ <sub>db</sub> )	0.13	0.15	0.15	0.11	0.09	0.09
NACP (g kg <sup>-1</sup> <sub>db</sub> )	30.7	19.6	14.8	27.3	15.1	8.4
Formic Acid P (g kg <sup>-1</sup> <sub>db</sub> )	10.8	7.5	5.5	8.8	5.9	3.6
Total K (g kg <sup>-1</sup> <sub>db</sub> )	16.1	12.3	9.0	16.6	12.3	8.6
$S_{BET} (m^2 g^{-1})$	17.0	19.4	24.4	14.2	17.4	23.2

645 db=dry basis; (CP)=composted feedstock; HHV=higher heating value; S<sub>BET</sub>=BET surface area,

<sup>646</sup> NACP=Nutra Ammonium Citrate Soluble P.

MO 4:1 3:2 MO (CP) 4:1 (CP) 3:2 (CP) Parameters 9.3 9.0 9.4 9.5 8.7 pН 8.9 ABC-6.5 ABC-7.0 BUF-6.5 BUF-7.0 (CP)=composted feedstock 

666	Table 3. pl	H. Acid Binding	Capacity	v (ABC)	) and Buffering	Capacity	(BUF) of Biocha
000			Cupacity.	(1 - 2 - 2)		, capacity	(201) 01 2100110

	Parameters	МО	4:1	3:2	MO (CP)	4:1 (CP)	3:2 (CP)	
	T <sub>on</sub>	191	202	223	124	172	191	
	T <sub>max</sub>	323	342	347	331	349	354	
	T <sub>r</sub>	184	167	149	240	202	184	
694	(CP)=compost	ed feedstock; 7	on=onset tem	perature; T <sub>ma</sub>	<sub>ax</sub> =maximum te	emperature; T	' <sub>r</sub> =temperature	e range
695								
696								
697								
698								
699								
700								
701								
702								
703								
704								
705								
700								
707								
708								
710								
711								
712								
713								
714								
715								
716								
717								
718								
719								
720								
721								
722								
723								
724								
725								
726								
727								

693 Table 4. Temperatures of Primary Devolatilisation (°C)

	Parameters	MO	4:1	3:2	MO(CP)	4:1(CP)	3:2(CP)
	Yield (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	119	163	255	169	208	303
	N (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	45	36	26	56	48	34
	C (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	388	358	354	438	421	394
	H (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	92	94	94	90	88	95
	HHV (MJ kg <sup>-1</sup> )	15.3	13.6	13.2	18.1	17.1	15.4
729	(CP)=composted	d feedsto	ck; HHV=	higher hea	ting value		
730							
731							
732							
733							
734							
735							
736							
737							
738							
739							
740							
741							
742							
743							
744							
745							
746							
747							
748							
749							
750							
751							
752							
753							
754							
755							
756							
757							
758							
759							
760							

728 Table 5. Yield, Elemental Analysis and Higher Heating Value of Bio-liquid

Parameters	MO	4:1	3:2	MO (CP)	4:1 (CP)	3:2 (CP)
Yield (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	447	516	439	338	401	352
$N_2$	10.0	5.6	3.2	10.0	5.6	3.2
$CH_4$	6.1	11.3	13.5	6.2	11.5	11.7
CO <sub>2</sub>	43.2	34.8	35.2	47.5	38.7	34.5
CO	19.3	31.0	36.2	14.8	25.6	37.2
H <sub>2</sub>	2.4	3.4	3.5	2.5	3.2	3.3
$C_2H_6$	0.9	1.5	1.4	1.1	1.3	1.3
$C_2H_4$	8.9	6.7	5.7	10.0	8.0	6.9
$C_2H_2$	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2
Other C <sub>x</sub> H <sub>y</sub> *	8.8	5.4	1.1	7.5	5.9	1.6
HHV (MJ m <sup>-3</sup> )	11.7	14.4	15.1	12.0	14.4	15.2

761 Table 6. Yield, Composition (volume fraction) and Higher Heating Value of Non-condensable Gases

762 (CP)=composted feedstock; HHV=higher heating value; \*=by difference

702
763
764
765

70.

100		MO	4:1	3:2	MO(CP)	4:1(CP)	3:2(CP)
	Inputs						
	Separation (MJ)	-7.9*	-7.9	-7.9	-7.9	-7.9	-7.9
	Composting (MJ)	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.3	-1.6	-2.1
	Drying Heat (MJ)	-168.9	-177.8	-192.7	-72.3	-76.2	-81.3
	Pyrolysis (MJ)	-9.5	-29.8	-63.7	-6.6	-18.3	-36.3
	Sawdust (t)	0.0	0.0175	0.0467	0.0	0.0175	0.0467
	Outputs						
	Bio-liquid & gases (t)	0.0112	0.0238	0.0425	0.0069	0.0131	0.0224
	CHP Generated (MJ)	86.0	217.6	413.2	63.6	133.8	239.3
	Biochar (t)	0.0084	0.0112	0.0188	0.0067	0.0084	0.0117
	CHP Generated (MJ)	76.2	163.1	361.1	61.8	119.7	207.6
	Output 1:Biochar as a Fuel						
	Energy Balance (MJ)	-24.1	165.1	509.9	37.3	149.5	319.2
	Output 2: Biochar to Soil						
	Energy Balance (MJ)	-100.4	1.9	148.9	-24.5	29.8	111.7
789	* Positive values indicate an	energy gener	ation, while	negative val	ues indicate a	n energy requ	irement.
790							
791							
792							
793							
794							
795							
796							
797							
798							
799							
800							

788 Table 7: Inputs and Outputs from each Feedstock and Process (Values per tonne liquid manure)





- - -



